1	ArgR is an essential local transcriptional regulator of the <i>arcABC</i> -operon in
2	Streptococcus suis and crucial for biological fitness in acidic environment
3	
4	
5	Marcus Fulde ^{1§} , Joerg Willenborg ^{1§} , Astrid de Greeff ² , Laurentiu Benga ¹ , Hilde E. Smith ² ,
6	Peter Valentin-Weigand ¹ and Ralph Goethe ¹
7	
8	¹ Institut für Mikrobiologie, Zentrum für Infektionsmedizin, Stiftung Tieraerztliche
9	Hochschule Hannover, 30173 Hannover, Germany
10	² Animal Sciences Group (ASG), Wageningen UR, P.O. Box 65, 8200 AB Lelystad, The
11	Netherlands
12	
13	[§] These authors contributed equally to this work.
14	
15	Corresponding author; mailing address:
16	Stiftung Tieraerztliche Hochschule Hannover
17	Zentrum für Infektionsmedizin
18	Institut für Mikrobiologie
19	Bischofsholer Damm 15
20	30173 Hannover, Germany
21	Phone: ++49-511 9537625
22	Fax: ++49-511 9537697
23	Email: ralph.goethe@tiho-hannover.de
24	
25	
26	Page heading title: Relevance of ArgR in Streptococcus suis

- 1 Abstract
- 2

3 Streptococcus suis is one of the most important pathogens in pigs and can also cause 4 severe infections in humans. Despite its clinical relevance very little is known about the 5 factors contributing to its virulence. Recently, we identified a new putative virulence factor in 6 Streptococcus suis, the arginine deiminase system (ADS), an arginine catabolic enzyme 7 system encoded by the arcABC-operon, which enables Streptococcus suis to survive in acidic 8 environment. In this study, we focused on ArgR, an ADS associated regulator belonging to 9 the ArgR/AhrC arginine repressor family. Using an argR knock-out strain we could show that 10 ArgR is essential for *arc*ABC-operon expression and necessary for the biological fitness of 11 Streptococcus suis. By cDNA expression microarray analyses and quantitative real time RT-12 PCR we found that the *arc*ABC-operon is the only gene cluster regulated by ArgR, which is 13 in contrast to many other bacteria. Reporter gene analysis with gfp under the control of the 14 arcABC promoter demonstrated that ArgR is able to activate the arcABC promoter. 15 Electrophoretic mobility shift assays with fragments of the arcABC promoter and 16 recombinant ArgR, and chromatin immunoprecipitation with antibodies directed against ArgR 17 revealed that ArgR interacts with the arcABC promoter in vitro and in vivo by binding to a 18 region from -147 to 72 bp upstream of the transcriptional start point. Overall our results show 19 that in Streptococcus suis ArgR is an essential, system specific transcriptional regulator of the 20 ADS directly interacting with the arcABC promoter in vivo.

- 21
- 22

23 keywords: arginine repressor, arginine deiminase system, chromatin immunoprecipitation

1 Introduction

2

3 The regulation of arginine metabolism is mediated by the members of the ArgR/AhrC 4 family of transcriptional regulators. These regulators and their cognate target sites are highly 5 conserved among very diverse organisms, including Gram-positive and Gram-negative 6 bacteria. In general, ArgR/AhrC proteins regulate their target genes by binding to operator 7 sites, leading to repression of arginine biosynthetic genes and activation of catabolic genes in 8 the presence of arginine (Gardan et al., 1995; Grandori et al., 1995; Kiupakis & Reitzer, 9 2002; Klingel et al., 1995; Lu & Abdelal, 1999; Makarova et al., 2001). Furthermore, it is 10 now clear that in Escherichia coli ArgR is not only involved in regulation of arginine 11 metabolism but also regulates various genes of arginine transport (Caldara et al., 2006).

12 Mechanisms for arginine catabolism differ among organisms (Blakemore & Canale-13 Parola, 1976; Broman et al., 1978; Floderus et al., 1990; Mercenier et al., 1980). A widely 14 distributed system in many bacteria, including homofermentative cocci, is the arginine 15 deiminase system (ADS). It allows degradation of arginine into ornithine, ammonia, and 16 carbon dioxide catalyzed by the arginine deiminase (ArcA), the ornithine carbamoyl 17 transferase (ArcB), and the carbamate kinase (ArcC) (Barcelona-Andres et al., 2002; Burne et 18 al., 1989; Champomier Verges et al., 1999; Chaussee et al., 2003; Crow & Thomas, 1982; 19 Zuniga et al., 2002b). Expression of the ADS is often closely connected to carbon metabolism 20 via carbon catabolite repression [CCR, (Liu et al., 2008; Zeng et al., 2006)]. The generation 21 of ATP by the conversion of arginine to ornithine plays an important role in supplying the 22 bacteria with energy during nutrient starvation. Furthermore, the ADS facilitates evasion from 23 acid stress by production of ammonium, and it supplies carbamoyl phosphate, which is 24 essential for *de novo* synthesis of pyrimidines (van den Hoff *et al.*, 1995).

In many bacteria the ADS has been shown to be regulated by the members of the ArgR/AhrC family. In addition, the CCR regulator protein CcpA contributes to its regulation as a repressor, whereas the members of the CRP/FNR family of transcriptional regulators
 have been shown to positively regulate ADS expression (Barcelona-Andres *et al.*, 2002; Dong
 et al., 2004; Maghnouj *et al.*, 2000; Zuniga *et al.*, 2002a).

Streptococcus suis is a Gram-positive, facultative anaerobic pathogen colonizing
mainly the upper respiratory tract of swine. It is endemic in nearly all pig producing industries
and causes high economical losses due to meningitis, septicemia, arthritis, endocarditis, and
bronchopneumonia. As a zoonotic agent *Streptococcus suis* can cause meningitis and sepsis in
humans (Arends & Zanen, 1988; Chanter *et al.*, 1993; Clifton-Hadley & Alexander, 1980;
Gottschalk *et al.*, 2010; Rosenkranz *et al.*, 2003).

10 Little is known about the virulence factors or protective antigens of Streptococcus suis. Previously, we have identified two proteins of the Streptococcus suis ADS, which were 11 12 temperature induced and expressed on the streptococcal surface (Winterhoff et al., 2002). 13 Furthermore, we could show that the ADS is inducible by arginine, O₂ tension, subjected to 14 CCR, and that it contributes to survival under acidic conditions (Gruening et al., 2006). The 15 arginine catabolic genes arcA, arcB and arcC, which are transcribed polycistronically, are 16 clustered together with the genes for a putative arginine/ornithine antiporter (arcD), a putative 17 Xaa-His dipeptidase (arcT), and a putative endo-beta-galactosidase C (arcH). The ADS gene 18 cluster is confined by the genes of two putative transcriptional regulators, the FNR-like 19 protein of Streptococcus suis (FlpS) at the 5' end of the arcABC-operon, and argR, encoding 20 for a repressor of the ArgR/AhrC familiy (ArgR) at the 3'end of arcH.

In the present study we found that in *Streptococcus suis* ArgR is essential for *arc*ABCoperon expression activity and specifically regulates the *arc*ABC-operon by directly interacting with its promoter. Furthermore we provide evidence that ArgR is essential for biological fitness of *Streptococcus suis*.

- 1 Methods
- 2

3 Bacterial strains and growth conditions

4 The highly virulent serotype 2 strain 10 and its capsule deficient mutant strain 5 $10\Delta cps EF$::spcR were used as parental strains (Smith et al., 1999). Bacteria were routinely 6 grown in Todd Hewitt Broth (Becton Dickinson Diagnostics, Heidelberg, Germany) or, if 7 indicated, in a tryptone-yeast (TY) minimal medium with 10 mM galactose as a non-8 repressive sugar. Subculturing was performed on Columbia blood agar base (Difco, Detroit, 9 USA) containing 6% (vol/vol) sheep blood overnight at 37°C. To analyze the external pH 10 during bacterial growth, bacteria were grown in TY medium overnight. Then, streptococci 11 were adjusted to an optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.02, and the pH was monitored at 12 indicated time-points. Escherichia coli was subcultured and maintained on Luria Bertani agar 13 plates. If required, antibiotics were added at following concentrations: spectinomycin at 100 14 µg/ml (Streptococcus suis) and 50 µg/ml (Escherichia coli), erythromycin at 1 µg/ml 15 (Streptococcus suis) and 300 µg/ml (Escherichia coli), ampicillin at 100 µg/ml (Escherichia 16 coli).

17

18 **DNA techniques**

19 Chromosomal *Streptococcus suis* DNA was prepared according to standard procedures 20 (Sambrook *et al.*, 1989). Plasmid DNA was purified with the NucleoSpin[®] Plasmid Kit 21 (Macherey-Nagel, Dueren, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions. If not stated 22 otherwise, all restriction enzymes were purchased from NEB (New England Biolabs, 23 Frankfurt am Main, Germany).

- 25
- 26

1 **5'-RACE**

2 The transcriptional start point of the arcABC-operon was determined by rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) with the 5'RACE kit (Invitrogen, Groningen, 3 4 Netherlands). Briefly, cDNA was synthesized from DNaseI (Ambion Inc., Texas, USA) 5 treated RNA of Streptococcus suis strain 10 with primer GSP2 (supplementary table 1) 6 according to the manufacturer's instructions. After tailing, PCR was performed with the 7 primer pair ADSprimerext/AAP, followed by a nested PCR with primer pair 8 ADSprimerext/AUAP (supplementary table 1). Sequencing was performed by Seqlab 9 Laboratories, Goettingen, Germany.

10

11 **Production and purification of recombinant ArgR**

12 Recombinant ArgR was produced as a 6xHis-tagged fusion protein in Escherichia coli 13 with the QIA express paired *p*REP4-*p*QE plasmid system (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Briefly, 14 argR was amplified by PCR from chromosomal DNA with the specific oligonucleotide 15 primer pair ArgR-PstI and ArgR-SphI (supplementary table 1) and cloned into the PstI/SphI 16 digested expression vector pQE30. The resulting plasmid pQE30argR was introduced into 17 *Escherichia coli* strain M15[*p*REP4] (Qiagen). Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) induced recombinant ArgR was purified by Ni²⁺-nitrilotriacetic acid affinity chromatography 18 19 according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen). A polyclonal antiserum against 20 recombinant ArgR was raised in a New Zealand White rabbit (Charles River Laboratories, 21 Sulzfeld, Germany).

22

23 Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

To localize the ArgR binding site *in vitro*, different fragments of the promoter region of the *arc*ABC-operon were generated by PCR with the forward primers 1-EMSA, 2-EMSA, 3-EMSA and 4-EMSA in combination with the reverse primer EMSA-rev (supplementary

table 1). The DNA fragments were purified with the QIAqickTM PCR Purification Kit
(Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Then, 200 ng of DNA were incubated
with or without 1 μg of recombinant ArgR and incubated in binding buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl
[pH 7.5], 50 mM DTT, 5 % [v/v] glycerol, 10 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂) for 1 h at room
temperature (RT). Protein-DNA complexes were separated electrophoretically by a native 5%
polyacrylamide gel and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

7

8 Mutagenesis

9 The arcABC-operon and the ADS-associated regulator ArgR were inactivated by 10 insertion mutagenesis in *Streptococcus suis* strain 10 and $10\Delta cpsEF::spcR$ ($10\Delta cpsEF$). Briefly, the gene encoding the putative arginine regulator ArgR was amplified from the 11 streptococcal genome with the primers argRKOlin and argRKOrec (supplementary table 1) 12 and subsequently introduced into the cloning vector $pCR^{\otimes}2.1$ -TOPO (Invitrogen). The 13 14 resulting plasmid pTOPO-argR was linearized by HincII. Then, the PvuII released 15 erythromycin resistance cassette derived from vector pICerm was introduced to disrupt argR. 16 Electroporation of the parental strains was performed as previously described (Gruening et al., 2006; Smith et al., 1995). For inactivation of the arcABC-operon the plasmid pGEMAD 17 18 carrying *arcABC* was linearized with the restriction enzyme *Eco*RV and the operon was 19 disrupted by insertion of an erythromycin resistance cassette in arcA. The resulting plasmid 20 was transformed into *Streptococcus suis* strain 10 and $10\Delta cpsEF$. Mutants were controlled by 21 PCR and immunoblot analysis.

22

23 Construction of *gfp*-reporter strains

For transcriptional fusion of the *arc*ABC promoter to the GFP reporter protein, a 2836 bp fragment containing the promoter-operator region of the *arc*ABC-operon and the *arc*A gene was amplified with the primer pair IFL/IFR (supplementary table 1) from the

1 streptococcal genome and subsequently cloned into pGEMT-Easy (Promega, Mannheim, 2 Germany). The resulting plasmid pIFGEMT-Easy was used as template for inverse PCR using the primer pair backbone1-HindIII/backbone2-KpnI (supplementary table 1), and the 3 4 PCR product was digested with the respective restriction enzymes. In parallel, the promoterless gfp allele gfpmut3* was amplified from the Gram-positive shuttle vector 5 6 pDL278-gfpmut3* with the primer pair gfp-HindIII/gfp-KpnI (supplementary table 1) and 7 digested with the same enzymes inserted into the PCR amplified vector backbone. The 8 resulting fragment containing the promoter-gfp fusion was subcloned into the shuttle vector 9 pGA14_{spc} (Smith et al., 1995) to obtain the reporter plasmid pGA14_{spc}-Parc709-gfp. Reporter 10 plasmids carrying either the truncated arcABC promoter fusion (pGA14_{spc}-Parc187-gfp) or no promoter fusion $(pGA14_{spc}-gfp)$ were generated in the same way using different primers 11 12 (supplementary table 1) for inverse PCR. Reporter plasmids were introduced into 13 Streptococcus suis strain 10 or strain $10\Delta argR$ by electro-transformation. Transformants were 14 screened by plating onto spectinomycin-containing blood agar plates and plasmid isolation 15 with following EcoRI restriction digest. For promoter studies, bacteria were grown overnight 16 in TY medium supplemented with 10 mM galactose. Then, bacterial suspensions were adjusted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.02 in the same medium and cultured at 37°C for approx. eight hours 17 to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.2. One ml of bacterial culture was harvested by centrifugation, washed twice 18 19 with PBS and resuspended in 1 ml PBS. One hundred µl of the suspension was used for gfp-20 measurements. Fluorescence was measured in a fluorescence reader (excitation: 485 nm, emission: 535 nm). Relative fluorescence values were calculated by subtracting extinction 21 22 from the PBS background. Experiments were carried out in triplicates and repeated at least twice. 23

- 24
- 25
- 26

1 **Chromatin immunoprecipitation**

2 For ChIP analysis the wildtype strain 10 and strain $10\Delta argR$ were grown in THB media to OD_{600} of 0.3 and 0.9, representing the mid exponential and early stationary growth 3 4 phase, respectively. The in vivo cross-linking of protein-bound DNA was done with 1% formaldehyde (v/v) for 5 min at room temperature and then stopped by addition of glycine in 5 6 a final concentration of 0.125 M. The bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation at 4,000 x g and 4°C and washed twice with ice-cold 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0). The pellet was 7 8 resuspended in cell lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 10 9 mM EDTA, 1mM AEBSF (Merck Biosciences, Darmstadt, Germany)) and 1x protease 10 inhibitor for bacterial cell extracts (Sigma, Munich, Germany), and was ruptured using the FastPrep[®] instrument (Qbiogene, Eschwege, Germany) three times for 45 sec at highest 11 12 intensity. Bacterial chromatin was sheared by ultrasonic disintegration. The cleared lysates 13 were diluted 5-fold in ChIP dilution buffer (16.5 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1.2 mM EDTA, 167 14 mM NaCl, 1.1 % Triton X 100, 0.01 % SDS, 1 mM AEBSF). Following, 25 µg of ChIP lysate 15 chromatin were mixed with 30 µl salmon sperm DNA/protein-A agarose matrix and 10 µl of 16 polyclonal rabbit α-rArgR antiserum or preimmune serum, respectively. Immunoprecipitation 17 carried out on а rotator at room temperature for 90 minutes. The was 18 protein-A/antibody/DNA complexes were washed and DNA was extracted as described 19 (Braunstein et al., 1993). ChIP-DNA was analysed by quantitative real-time PCR using 20 primer pairs (supplementary table 1) flanking the arcABC, sly and glgC promoter region, respectively. The amount of immunoprecipitated DNA was assayed by real-time PCR using a 21 22 Stratagene (Mx3005P) instrument. The reaction mixture contained 1 µl of ChIP- or input-23 DNA, 400 nM primers and 10 µl of SYBRGreen Mix (Qiagen) in a total volume of 20 µl. The 24 PCR conditions were 95 °C for 10 min, 95 °C for 20 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 20 s followed 25 by a melting curve of the product as control. Serial dilutions of input DNA revealed linearity from 250 pg to 8 pg and were used to calculate absolute amounts of PCR products (Hoffmann 26

- *et al.*, 2008). Significance (*P* < 0.01) was calculated in a two- sample *t*-test by comparison of
 DNA obtained after immunoprecipitation with the α-rArgR antiserum or preimmune serum.
- 3

4 DNA microarray analysis

5 For RNA extraction the wildtype strain and its mutant strain $10\Delta argR$ were grown in THB to an OD_{600} of 0.3 or 0.9. The cultures were immediately cooled on ice and the bacteria 6 were harvested by centrifugation. Bacteria were resuspended in 1 ml Trizol[®] reagent 7 (Invitrogen), disrupted by FastPrep[®] for three times of 45 sec at intensity setting 6.5 and 8 9 cooled on ice. After chloroform extraction and isopropanol precipitation the RNA was further 10 purified using the RNeasy Mini-Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's 11 recommendations. RNA concentration was determined spectrophotometrically, and quality 12 and integrity were confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis (2100 Bioanalyzer, Agilent 13 Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). For cDNA synthesis and labelling a total of 10 µg RNA of 14 three independent replicated biological preparations was pooled. Ten µg of pooled RNA was reverse transcribed and labelled with the CyScribeTM Post-Labelling Kit (GE Healthcare, 15 16 RPN5660, Munich, Germany) and then purified via the GFX purification kit (GE Healthcare) 17 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Complementary DNA from wildtype strain 10 18 and mutant strain $10\Delta argR$ was labelled with Cy3 or Cy5, respectively, and analyzed in dye 19 swap microarray experiments to avoid dve related effects. Equivalent amounts (25 pmol) of 20 Cy3/Cy5 labelled cDNA were mixed together in hybridization buffer of the In situ hybridization kit Plus (Agilent Technologies) following instructions of the manufacturer. 21 22 Hybridization was performed at 60°C for 17 h. Slides were washed for 10 min in 6 x SSC/0.05% Triton-X102 at room temperature, followed by 5 min in 0.1 x SSC/0.05% Triton 23 X102 at 4°C. Slides were dried using pressured air and scanned in a GenePix[®] 4200AL 24 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, USA). Scans were analyzed using the GenePix software 25 26 (Molecular Devices). A customized in house developed R-based normalization procedure was

1 performed to fit the data. Subsequently, data were analysed using SAM. After statistical 2 normalization and evaluation of the dye swap experiments genes with more than a 2-fold ratio 3 change and *P* value < 0.05 were dedicated as regulated genes.

4 The microarray slides used for this study were produced by Agilent Technologies, according 5 to a custom probe design based on the genome sequence of *Streptococcus suis* serotype 2 6 strain P1/7. A total of 7651 unique 60-mers having a theoretical melting temperature of 7 approximately 81°C and representing 1960 ORFs were selected as described by (Saulnier et 8 al., 2007). Genes were represented to 91%, 4%, 2% and 3% by 4, 3, 2 oligonucleotides or 1 9 oligonucleotide, respectively. A total of 25 putative genes were not represented on the array 10 because no unique 60-mer satisfying the selection criteria could be selected. Most of the 11 putative genes not represented on the array were relatively short and encoded hypothetical 12 proteins, transposase fragments, prophage proteins, ribosomal proteins and tRNAs.

13

14 **Real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)**

15 Wildtype strain 10 and its mutant strain $10\Delta argR$ were grown in THB medium to 16 early stationary (stat) phase and RNA was prepared as described above. Two µg of RNA were 17 reverse transcribed (primers listed in supplementary table 1) and analysed by real-time qRT-18 PCR with a Stratagene Mx3005P system. QRT-PCR settings and conditions were used as 19 described for the ChIP PCR. Data were normalized to a non regulated housekeeping gene 20 (*dna*H) and the relative transcript levels were calculated by the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method. A two- sample 21 *t*-test was used to calculate the significance (P < 0.05) or differential gene expression in strain 22 $10\Delta arg R$ compared to the wildtype strain.

23

24 Preparation of whole cell lysates and Western blot analysis

Bacteria were grown in THB to mid exponential and early stationary growth phase and
 lysates were prepared as described above. Protein concentrations were determined using the

Bio-Rad D_c protein assay (BioRad, Munich, Germany). Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and electroblotted onto a PVDF-membrane (Serva, Heidelberg, Germany). Parallel gels were silver stained to control protein loading. Membranes were blocked for 1 h with 5 % skim milk, and then incubated for 2 h with polyclonal antisera raised against recombinant ArcB (Gruening *et al.*, 2006) or ArgR diluted 1:100 or 1:1,000, respectively, in 0.1 % skim milk. Membranes probed with anti-ArcB were developed with conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (Amersham, Freiburg, Germany) and chemiluminescence.

8

9 Determination of ammonia in the culture supernatant

Ammonia production of the wildtype and the respective mutant strains was monitored with the ammonia assay kit (Sigma). For this, bacteria were grown in TY media and harvested by centrifugation 24 h after inoculation. The amount of ammonia in the supernatant was determined according to manufacturer's instructions. To calculate ammonia production (mg/ml), the ammonia content of TY medium was substracted from the amount of the 24 h culture supernatants.

16

17 Survival in cells

The ability of the wildtype strain 10 and the different mutant strains to survive in HEp-2 cells was performed as described previously (Benga *et al.*, 2004) with the modification that, in addition to untreated cells HEp-2 cells, parallel assays were done with HEp-2 cells which had been pre-treated with bafilomycin (200 nM) for 1 h to inhibit endosomal acidification. The number of CFU was determined and expressed as percentage invasion of the respective inoculum used for infection.

24 Statistical analysis

When not stated otherwise statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA followed by
a post *t*-test. A *P*-value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

- 1 Results
- 2
- 3

ArgR is essential for *arc*ABC-operon expression in *Streptococcus suis*

4 We have previously characterized the ADS of Streptococcus suis as a gene cluster that is confined at its 5' and 3' end by the genes *flpS* and *argR*, respectively, which are putative 5 6 regulators of the arcABC-operon (Gruening et al., 2006). In Streptococcus gordonii, the ADS 7 is under control of ArcR, a member of the arginine repressor family of transcriptional 8 regulators that is homologous to argR. This prompted us to elucidate the role of ArgR in 9 arcABC-operon regulation of Streptococcus suis. For this, argR was deleted by insertion 10 mutagenesis. Integrity of the mutant strain $10\Delta argR$ was controlled by immunoblot analysis 11 of bacterial lysates with a polyclonal antiserum raised against recombinant ArgR (figure 1A). 12 To analyse the relevance of ArgR for arcABC-operon expression we determined ArcB 13 expression in strain $10\Delta argR$ and compared it to the wildtype strain 10 and strain 14 $10\Delta arcABC$ which is deficient in *arcABC*-operon expression. As shown by immunoblot analysis (figure 1B), in mid exponential growth phase ArcB expression was not detectable in 15 16 both the wildtype strain 10 and the ArgR mutant strain. At early stationary growth ArcB expression was enhanced only in the wildtype strain 10, but it was not induced in strain 17 18 $10\Delta argR$ and was completely abolished in strain $10\Delta arcABC$. These results indicate that 19 ArgR is essential for ArcABC expression of *Streptococcus suis*.

20

21 ArgR is important for growth and survival of *Streptococcus suis*

Further, we compared growth kinetics of the mutant strain $10\Delta argR$ with that of the wildtype strain and strain $10\Delta arcABC$ in TY medium supplemented with galactose. As shown in figure 2A, starting at 4 h of culture, both mutant strains were significantly reduced in their growth rate when compared to the wildtype strain. Furthermore, the growth of strain $10\Delta argR$ exceeded that of strain $10\Delta arcABC$ which was most clearly seen at 6, 8, and 24 h.

1 These data indicated that argR and the arcABC-operon are necessary for optimal growth of 2 Streptococcus suis. Next we monitored pH and ammonia production during growth of 3 wildtype strain 10, strain $10\Delta argR$, and strain $10\Delta arcABC$. As shown in figure 2B, both 4 mutant strains were unable to neutralize growth dependent acidification. Thus, after 24 h of 5 growth we could determine a pH value of approx. 7.9 in the supernatant of the wildtype strain 6 10, whereas pH values of approx. 5.6 were detected for the strains $10\Delta argR$ and $10\Delta arcABC$, 7 respectively. The differences in neutralising growth dependent acidification after 24 h 8 corresponded to a loss in ammonia production (figure 2C). In contrast to wildtype strain 10 9 (1.15 mg/ml) ammonia production of strain $10\Delta arcABC$ (0.03 mg/ml) and strain $10\Delta argR$ 10 (0.06 mg/ml) was markedly reduced. Finally, survival of ArgR deficient Streptococcus suis in 11 eukaryotic cells was investigated using the human epithelial cell line HEp-2. Since the 12 polysaccharide capsule prevents Streptococcus suis uptake by epithelial cells, we generated 13 argR and arcABC deficient strains in a non-encapsulated background (strain $10\Delta cpsEF$). As 14 shown in figure 2D, strain $10\Delta cps$ EF was able to survive and multiply intracellularly at a rate 15 of approx. 125 % of the initial inoculum. In contrast, significantly lower survival rates (60 %) 16 were determined for both double knock-out mutant strains. To analyse whether reduced 17 survival correlated with the inability of strains $10\Delta cps EF\Delta argR$ and $10\Delta cps EF\Delta arcABC$ to 18 generate ammonia and prevent acidification, cells were treated with bafilomycin to inhibit 19 endosomal acidification before infection. Compared to the infection of untreated cells, the 20 pre-treatment of the cells with bafilomycin significantly increased the survival rates of the 21 strains $10\Delta cps EF\Delta arg R$ and $10\Delta cps EF\Delta arcABC$. These data suggest that *Streptococcus suis* 22 is able to resist endosomal acidification due to ArgR dependent arcABC-operon induction 23 and ammonia production.

- 24
- 25
- 26

1 ArgR deficiency specifically affects *arcABC*-operon expression

2 The above results indicated that ArgR is an important regulator of the *arc*ABC-operon 3 in Streptococcus suis. The differences in the growth kinetic of $10\Delta argR$ and $10\Delta arcABC$, 4 however, suggested that ArgR might have additional regulatory functions. To test this, we performed whole genome cDNA microarray analyses to evaluate the relevance of ArgR in 5 6 Streptococcus suis gene expression. RNA was extracted from strain $10\Delta argR$ and wildtype 7 strain 10 grown to mid exponential (reflecting a similar growth of $10\Delta argR$ and 8 $10\Delta arcABC$), and to early stationary phase when growth of $10\Delta argR$ and $10\Delta arcABC$ clearly 9 differed from each other (figure 2 A). After reverse transcription, cDNA of strain 10∆argR 10 and the wildtype strain 10 were analysed on a whole genome Streptococcus suis strain P1/7 11 microarray The comparison of microarray data of the bacteria grown to mid exponential 12 growth phase revealed no significantly up- or down-regulated genes by ArgR (supplementary 13 table 2). In early stationary growth phase, 26 genes were differentially expressed (with P14 values of <0.05) between the wildtype and the mutant strain (table 1). Interestingly, 15 expression of only 5 genes was significantly reduced in the mutant strain. As expected, one of 16 them was argR of which mRNA expression was abolished (down regulation 124-fold). The 17 other 4 genes with strongly reduced mRNA expression in the mutant strain (down regulation of ≤ -28 -fold, table 1) represented the members of the arcABC-operon, arcA, SSU0581, 18 19 arcB and arcC. In addition, only mRNA expression of a putative trehalose-6-phosphate 20 hydrolase (treA) was negatively influenced by ArgR deficiency (-3.7-fold). The group of the 21 20 genes with higher mRNA expression in the mutant strain comprised 7 ribosomal proteins 22 (rplM, rplT, rpmI, rpsD, rpsL, rpsP, rpsU), 6 hypothetical proteins (SSU0068, SSU297, 23 SSU0810, SSU1181, SSU1391, SSU1936), 2 hydrolase family proteins (SSU1578, 24 SSU1763), the adenylate kinase (adk), an exodeoxyribonuclease (exoA), the translation 25 initiation factor IF-3 (*infC*), a mechanosensitive channel protein (*mscL*) and suilysin (*sly*). 26 Their lower level of expression differences, which was at max less than 7.3-fold, compared to

1 the genes of the *arc*ABC-operon suggests that these genes and *tre*A might be influenced 2 indirectly by the ArgR deficiency. QRT-PCR analyses were performed to confirm the array 3 data. Expression levels of *arc*B, which is representative for the *arc*ABC-operon, *arg*R, *sly*, 4 *tre*A, *adk* and *glg*C were determined. Interestingly, significant differences in gene expression 5 of stationary grown strain 10 and $10\Delta arg$ R could be confirmed only for *arc*B and *arg*R. In 6 contrast, the expression levels of *sly*, *tre*A and *adk* did not significantly differ from *glg*C 7 which was included as control gene with similar expression in strain 10 and $10\Delta arg$ R.

Another interesting finding was that ArgR deficiency did not alter mRNA expression of the arginine anabolic genes, arginino succinate synthase (*arg*G) and arginino succinate lyase (*arg*H), which were reported to be regulated by ArgR in other Gram-positive bacteria (Larsen *et al.*, 2004; Larsen *et al.*, 2005; Larsen *et al.*, 2008; Ryan *et al.*, 2009) (table 1). This was confirmed by qRT-PCR analysis (figure 3). In conclusion, the results of microarray and qRT-PCR analyses strongly suggest that in *Streptococcus suis* ArgR seems to be a specific, positive transcriptional regulator of the *arc*ABC-operon.

15

16 ArgR binds to and activates the *arc*ABC-operon promoter

17 To further analyse the regulatory function of ArgR in arcABC-operon expression we 18 cloned a 788 bp fragment representing the promoter-operator region of the *arcABC*-operon in 19 the gfp-reporter vector pGA14_{spc}-gfp and transformed it into Streptococcus suis strains 10 and 20 $10\Delta argR$. As a control, wildtype strain 10 was transformed with the promoterless pGA14_{spc}-21 gfp vector. Bacteria were grown in galactose containing TY medium until stationary growth, 22 and reporter gene activity was then determined by fluorescence measurement. As shown in 23 figure 4 A, compared to the control, the wildtype strain was able to induce reporter gene 24 activity. In contrast, nearly no gfp expression could be detected in strain $10\Delta argR$, showing 25 that argR is essential for reporter gene activity. In conclusion, ArgR was shown to interact with the arcABC-operon promoter. 26

1 We have previously shown that the arcABC-operon promoter region contains a putative far up-stream ArgR binding site (Gruening et al., 2006). Therefore, we transformed 2 3 wildtype strain 10 with gfp-reporter vector $pGA14_{spc}$ -gfp in which the putative ArgR binding 4 site was deleted by exclusion of 522 bp of the 5' arcABC-operon promoter-operator region. 5 As shown in figure 4B, similar to the full length promoter, reporter gene expression was still 6 present after deletion of the putative ArgR binding site indicating that ArgR binding occurred 7 further downstream. In silico analysis of the 5'-truncated arcABC-operon promoter-operator 8 region using the virtual footprint promoter analysis program (Munch et al., 2005) revealed 3 9 AT-rich elements (ARG-boxes) with homologies to the predicted ArgR binding site in 10 Escherichia coli (figure 4C). According to the positions of the ARG-boxes we generated 4 11 PCR fragments of different length (figure 4C) which were investigated for ArgR DNA 12 binding by EMSA. The DNA fragments were incubated with recombinant ArgR, and DNA 13 binding to the PCR fragments was assayed after native gel electrophoresis and ethidium 14 bromide staining. As shown in figure 4 D, recombinant ArgR was able to bind to the 15 fragments 3 and 4 containing ARG-boxes 2 and 3 (indicated by the retarded mobility of DNA 16 fragments after incubation with ArgR), whereas the shorter fragments 1 and 2 containing 17 ARG-box 1 were unable to bind ArgR.

Interestingly, the ArgR binding region was located within the predicted operator region of the *arc*ABC-operon, which is contradictory to its role as a positive regulator. This prompted us to redefine the transcriptional start point and to revise the *arc*ABC promoter structure. Repeated 5' RACE analyses identified a tyrosin 79 bp upstream of the ArcA ATG as transcriptional start (data not shown and figure 4 C).

These data revealed that, based on to the corrected transcriptional start point, a region between -147 to -72 bp within the *arc*ABC promoter sequence (-147-72-box) seems to be necessary for ArgR binding *in vitro*.

26

1 ArgR interacts with the *arcABC*-operon promoter *in vivo*

2 The above results indicated the relevance of ArgR and the ArgR binding sites within the arcABC promoter for arcABC-operon expression. Next we analysed whether ArgR 3 4 physically interacts with the -147-72-box of the arcABC promoter in vivo by chromatin 5 immunoprecipitation analyses. For this, wildtype strain 10 and $10\Delta argR$ grown either to mid 6 exponential or to early stationary phase were analysed by ChIP assays with preimmune or α -7 ArgR antiserum. After DNA extraction, binding of ArgR to the -147-72-box was determined 8 by real-time qRT-PCR with the oligonucleotide primer pair ChiP-for/ChIP-rev indicated in 9 figure 4 C and calculating the amounts of amplified fragments. As controls we investigated 10 the ArgR binding to the promoter region of the ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase gene (*glcC*) 11 and suilysin gene (sly). According to results of our real-time qRT-PCR studies these genes 12 were not regulated by ArgR (figure 3) and thus the promoters should not be recruited by 13 ArgR. As depicted in figure 5, approx. 0.2 ng of arcABC promoter DNA was precipitated in 14 mid exponential phase with antibodies directed against ArgR using wildtype strain 10. 15 Growth to stationary phase enhanced the yield of DNA to 0.35 ng, which indicated in vivo 16 binding of ArgR to the arcABC promoter. In contrast, only lowest amounts of DNA were 17 detected in experiments using the preimmune serum or in experiments using strain $10\Delta argR$, 18 indicating a high specificity of the α -ArgR antiserum. As expected, the ChIP experiments 19 revealed that ArgR did not bind to the *sly* and *glg*C promoters. These analyses demonstrate 20 that ArgR binds to the arcABC promoter in vivo.

- 1 Discussion
- 2

3 This study showed that ArgR is essential for arcABC-operon expression of the ADS 4 gene cluster in Streptococcus suis. Furthermore we could demonstrate that, in contrast to 5 other bacteria, ArgR is a local regulator that specifically interacts with the arcABC-operon 6 promoter in *Streptococcus suis*. In our previous work we found that in *Streptococcus suis* the 7 genes of the ADS are located on a gene cluster consisting of the arcABC-operon, the genes 8 for a putative arginine/ornithine antiporter (arcD), a putative Xaa-His dipeptidase (arcT), a 9 putative endo-beta-galactosidase (arcH), and the genes of two regulatory factors FlpS and 10 ArgR at the 5' and 3' end, respectively (Gruening et al., 2006).

The members of the ArgR/AhrC family of transcriptional regulators are mediators of arginine metabolism regulation. ArgR proteins and their cognate target sites are highly conserved among very diverse organisms, including Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. In general, ArgR proteins regulate their target genes by binding to operator sites, leading to repression of arginine biosynthetic genes and activation of catabolic genes (Gardan *et al.*, 1995; Grandori *et al.*, 1995; Kiupakis & Reitzer, 2002; Klingel *et al.*, 1995; Lu & Abdelal, 1999; Makarova *et al.*, 2001).

18 Here we used the isogenic argR negative mutant strain $10\Delta argR$ and immunoblot analysis to 19 demonstrate that ArgR is a positive regulator of arcABC expression in Streptococcus suis, 20 which is essential for induction of arcABC expression. This result is in agreement with 21 findings that ArgR proteins are activators of arginine catabolic genes, as it has been described 22 for other Gram-positive bacteria such as Bacillus licheniformis and Streptococcus gordonii (Maghnouj et al., 1998; Zeng et al., 2006). However, the array and qRT-PCR analyses 23 24 revealed that ArgR seems to solely regulate the arcABC-operon. This was indicated by the 25 significantly reduced mRNA expression of the *arcABC* genes in strain $10\Delta argR$ at early stationary growth phase in both assays. A single system regulation by ArgR has not been 26

described yet and is different to many other bacteria, in which ArgR has been shown to
regulate both arginine anabolic and catabolic genes (Hashim *et al.*, 2004; Hernandez-Flores *et al.*, 2004; Larsen *et al.*, 2004; Larsen *et al.*, 2005; Larsen *et al.*, 2008; Lu *et al.*, 2004; Park *et al.*, 1997). From our array analyses we could exclude any polar effects due to the insertion
mutation since expression of the genes upstream (SSU0587) and downstream (SSU0589) of *arg*R (SSU0588) was not significantly altered (supplementary table 2).

7 The fact that ArgR seems to be a highly specific regulator of the arcABC-operon in 8 Streptococcus suis was underlined by several findings. First of all, our array analyses with 9 RNA from mid exponential growth did not reveal any significantly differentially expressed 10 genes in strain $10\Delta argR$. Furthermore, there was no evidence of an ArgR dependent 11 regulation of the argGH operon, which seems to be the only arginine anabolic system in 12 Streptococcus suis as no other putative anabolic gene clusters like argCJDBF or gltS-argE 13 could be identified in the Streptococcus suis genome annotation. Secondly, at early stationary 14 growth the array analyses revealed a low number of differentially expressed genes which had 15 a low degree of differential expression in strain $10\Delta argR$. The qRT-PCR analyses revealed 16 that the genes were regulated 2-fold maximally. Notably, most of them are connected to ATP 17 generation, which seems to be plausible since ATP production by ArcABC is strongly reduced in strain $10\Delta argR$. For example, the adenylate kinase (Adk) produces ATP and AMP 18 19 from two moles of ADP (Willemoes & Kilstrup, 2005). The trehalose-6-phosphate hydrolase (TreC) catalyzes the conversion from trehalose-6-phosphate to glucose and glucose-6-20 21 phosphate (Rimmele & Boos, 1994). Thus, it is very likely that these genes are not primarily 22 regulated by ArgR. Thirdly, our ChIP analysis demonstrated clearly that ArgR binds to the 23 arcABC promoter in vivo. Finally, all our phenotypical tests revealed that, in comparison to 24 the wildtype strain 10, strain $10\Delta argR$ was similarly affected in growth and biological fitness 25 as strain $10\Delta arcABC$ (figure 2). These assays also demonstrated that enhanced arcABC

expression mediated by ArgR is essential for optimal growth and intracellular survival of
 Streptococcus suis.

3 Regulation of the ArgR family of transcriptional regulators is exerted by binding to so-called 4 ARG operator sites preceding the relevant target genes. ARG operator sites consist of pairs of 5 18-bp palindromic sequences (called ARG-boxes), of which the 6 5'-TnTGnATwwwATnCAnA-3' (conserved residues are capitalized, n represents any 7 nucleotide, and w represents A or T) consensus sequence in Escherichia coli (Maas, 1994) is 8 conserved with only small variations in various other organisms studied (Cherney et al., 2008; 9 Garnett et al., 2008; Makarova et al., 2001). Our promoter studies with the arcABC 10 promoter-operator-gfp constructs indicated that ArgR is responsible for arcABC promoter 11 activity. Furthermore, we could exclude the relevance of a putative ARG-box in the 12 5' promoter region of the arcABC promoter that we identified in a previous study (Gruening 13 et al., 2006) for arcABC promoter activity. Further in silico analysis of the arcABC 14 promoter-operator region with the virtual footprint promoter prediction program (Munch et 15 al., 2005) revealed three further putative ARG-boxes. Gel retardation assays with 16 recombinant ArgR and truncated fragments of the arcABC promoter-operator region allowed 17 us to identify a DNA binding region of 75 bp that was able to interact with recombinant 18 ArgR. Since identification of potential A+T rich ArgR binding sites in silico and EMSA do 19 not reflect the *in vivo* situation (not all binding sites may be predicted *in silico* or weak 20 binding sites relevant in vivo may not be recognised by EMSA) we performed ChIP analysis 21 which demonstrated that ArgR physically interacts with arcABC-promoter region in vivo. The 22 context of ArgR binding to the ARG-boxes in the promoter sequence, however, awaits further 23 studies.

In conclusion our data indicate that ArgR is an essential, local transcriptional regulator of the *arc*ABC-operon expression in *Streptococcus suis*. Its specificity for the ADS makes it highly relevant for biological fitness of *Streptococcus suis*.

1 Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Sven Hammerschmidt, Greifswald, Germany, for providing plasmid *p*DL278-*gfp*mut3* and Christoph Baums (Hannover, Germany) for providing plasmid *p*IC_{erm}. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), Bonn, Germany (SFB 578 and GRK 745) and a Georg Christoph Lichtenberg stipend to JW (ZIB, Center for Infection Biology).

- 1 References
- 2

14

18

23

31

35

- Arends, J. P. & Zanen, H. C. (1988). Meningitis Caused by *Streptococcus suis* in Humans.
 Rev Infect Dis 10, 131-137.
- Barcelona-Andres, B., Marina, A. & Rubio, V. (2002). Gene structure, organization,
 expression, and potential regulatory mechanisms of arginine catabolism in
 Enterococcus faecalis. J Bacteriol 184, 6289-6300.
- Benga, L., Goethe, R., Rohde, M. & Valentin-Weigand, P. (2004). Non-encapsulated
 strains reveal novel insights in invasion and survival of *Streptococcus suis* in epithelial
 cells. *Cell Microbiol* 6, 867-881.
- Blakemore, R. P. & Canale-Parola, E. (1976). Arginine catabolism by *Treponema denticola. J Bacteriol* 128, 616-622.
- Braunstein, M., Rose, A. B., Holmes, S. G., Allis, C. D. & Broach, J. R. (1993).
 Transcriptional silencing in yeast is associated with reduced nucleosome acetylation.
 Genes Dev 7, 592-604.
- Broman, K., Lauwers, N., Stalon, V. & Wiame, J. M. (1978). Oxygen and nitrate in utilization by *Bacillus licheniformis* of the arginase and arginine deiminase routes of arginine catabolism and other factors affecting their syntheses. *J Bacteriol* 135, 920-927.
- Burne, R. A., Parsons, D. T. & Marquis, R. E. (1989). Cloning and expression in
 Escherichia coli of the genes of the arginine deiminase system of *Streptococcus sanguis* NCTC 10904. *Infect Immun* 57, 3540-3548.
- Caldara, M., Charlier, D. & Cunin, R. (2006). The arginine regulon of *Escherichia coli*:
 whole-system transcriptome analysis discovers new genes and provides an integrated
 view of arginine regulation. *Microbiology* 152, 3343-3354.
- Champomier Verges, M. C., Zuniga, M., Morel-Deville, F., Perez-Martinez, G., Zagorec,
 M. & Ehrlich, S. D. (1999). Relationships between arginine degradation, pH and
 survival in *Lactobacillus sakei*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 180, 297-304.
- Chanter, N., Jones, P. W. & Alexander, T. J. (1993). Meningitis in pigs caused by
 Streptococcus suis-a speculative review. Vet Microbiol 36, 39-55.
- Chaussee, M. S., Somerville, G. A., Reitzer, L. & Musser, J. M. (2003). Rgg coordinates
 virulence factor synthesis and metabolism in *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *J Bacteriol* 185, 6016-6024.
- 42 Cherney, L. T., Cherney, M. M., Garen, C. R., Lu, G. J. & James, M. N. (2008). Crystal
 43 structure of the arginine repressor protein in complex with the DNA operator from
 44 *Mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Mol Biol* 384, 1330-1340.
- Clifton-Hadley, F. A. & Alexander, T. J. (1980). The carrier site and carrier rate of
 Streptococcus suis type II in pigs. Vet Rec 107, 40-41.

- Crow, V. L. & Thomas, T. D. (1982). Arginine metabolism in lactic streptococci. *J Bacteriol* 150, 1024-1032.
- Dong, Y. Q., Chen, Y. Y. M. & Burne, R. A. (2004). Control of expression of the arginine deiminase operon of *Streptococcus gordonii* by CcpA and Flp. *J Bacteriol* 186, 2511-2514.
- Floderus, E., Linder, L. E. & Sund, M. L. (1990). Arginine catabolism by strains of oral
 streptococci. *APMIS* 98, 1045-1052.
- Gardan, R., Rapoport, G. & Debarbouille, M. (1995). Expression of the *roc*DEF Operon
 Involved in Arginine Catabolism in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Mol Biol 249, 843-856.
- Garnett, J. A., Marincs, F., Baumberg, S., Stockley, P. G. & Phillips, S. E. (2008).
 Structure and function of the arginine repressor-operator complex from *Bacillus* subtilis. J Mol Biol 379, 284-298.
- Gottschalk, M., Xu, J., Calzas, C. & Segura, M. (2010). *Streptococcus suis*: a new emerging or an old neglected zoonotic pathogen? *Future Microbiol* 5, 371-391.
- Grandori, R., Lavoie, T. A., Pflumm, M., Tian, G. L., Niersbach, H., Maas, W. K.,
 Fairman, R. & Carey, J. (1995). The DNA-Binding Domain of the Hexameric
 Arginine Repressor. J Mol Biol 254, 150-162.
- Gruening, P., Fulde, M., Valentin-Weigand, P. & Goethe, R. (2006). Structure, regulation,
 and putative function of the arginine deiminase system of *Streptococcus suis*. J
 Bacteriol 188, 361-369.
- Hashim, S., Kwon, D. H., Abdelal, A. & Lu, C. D. (2004). The arginine regulatory protein
 mediates repression by arginine of the operons encoding glutamate synthase and
 anabolic glutamate dehydrogenase in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 186,
 3848-3854.
- Hernandez-Flores, J. L., Lopez-Lopez, K., Garciduenas-Pina, R., Jofre-Garfias, A. E. &
 Alvarez-Morales, A. (2004). The global arginine regulator ArgR controls expression
 of argF in Pseudomonas syringae pv. phaseolicola but is not required for the synthesis
 of phaseolotoxin or for the regulated expression of argK. J Bacteriol 186, 3653-3655.
- Hoffmann, E., Ashouri, J., Wolter, S., Doerrie, A., Dittrich-Breiholz, O., Schneider, H.,
 Wagner, E. F., Troppmair, J., Mackman, N. & other authors (2008).
 Transcriptional regulation of EGR-1 by the interleukin-1-JNK-MKK7-c-Jun pathway.
 J Biol Chem 283, 12120-12128.
- 41

2

3 4

5

6

7

10

13

17

20

- 42 Kiupakis, A. K. & Reitzer, L. (2002). ArgR-independent induction and ArgR-dependent
 43 superinduction of the *ast*CADBE operon in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* 184, 294044 2950.
 45
- Klingel, U., Miller, C. M., North, A. K., Stockley, P. G. & Baumberg, S. (1995).
 Binding-Site for Activation by the *Bacillus subtilis* Ahrc Protein, A Repressor
 Activator of Arginine Metabolism. *Mol Gen Genet* 248, 329-340.

- Larsen, R., Buist, G., Kuipers, O. P. & Kok, J. (2004). ArgR and AhrC are both required
 for regulation of arginine metabolism in *Lactococcus lactis*. J Bacteriol 186, 1147 1157.
- Larsen, R., Kok, J. & Kuipers, O. P. (2005). Interaction between ArgR and AhrC controls
 regulation of arginine metabolism in *Lactococcus lactis*. J Biol Chem 280, 19319 19330.
- Larsen, R., van Hijum, S. A., Martinussen, J., Kuipers, O. P. & Kok, J. (2008).
 Transcriptome analysis of the *Lactococcus lactis* ArgR and AhrC regulons. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74, 4768-4771.
- Liu, Y., Dong, Y., Chen, Y. Y. & Burne, R. A. (2008). Environmental and growth phase
 regulation of the *Streptococcus gordonii* arginine deiminase genes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 74, 5023-5030.
- Lu, C. D. & Abdelal, A. T. (1999). Role of ArgR in activation of the *ast* operon, encoding
 enzymes of the arginine succinyltransferase pathway in *Salmonella typhimurium*. J
 Bacteriol 181, 1934-1938.
- Lu, C. D., Yang, Z. & Li, W. (2004). Transcriptome analysis of the ArgR regulon in
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol 186, 3855-3861.
- 21 Maas, W. K. (1994). The arginine repressor of *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol Rev* 58, 631-640.
- Maghnouj, A., Abu-Bakr, A. A., Baumberg, S., Stalon, V. & Vander, W. C. (2000).
 Regulation of anaerobic arginine catabolism in *Bacillus licheniformis* by a protein of
 the Crp/Fnr family. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 191, 227-234.
- Maghnouj, A., Sousa Cabral, T. F., Stalon, V. & Vander, W. C. (1998). The *arc*ABDC
 gene cluster, encoding the arginine deiminase pathway of *Bacillus licheniformis*, and
 its activation by the arginine repressor *arg*R. *J Bacteriol* 180, 6468-6475.
- Makarova, K. S., Mironov, A. A. & Gelfand, M. S. (2001). Conservation of the binding site
 for the arginine repressor in all bacterial lineages. *Genome Biol* 2, RESEARCH0013.
- Mercenier, A., Simon, J. P., Haas, D. & Stalon, V. (1980). Catabolism of L-arginine by
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Gen Microbiol 116, 381-389.
- Munch, R., Hiller, K., Grote, A., Scheer, M., Klein, J., Schobert, M. & Jahn, D. (2005).
 Virtual footprint and PRODORIC: an integrative framework for regulon prediction in prokaryotes. *Bioinformatics* 21, 4187-4189.
- Park, S. M., Lu, C. D. & Abdelal, A. T. (1997). Cloning and characterization of *arg*R, a
 gene that participates in regulation of arginine biosynthesis and catabolism in
 Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. *J Bacteriol* 179, 5300-5308.
- 43
 44 **Rimmele, M. & Boos, W. (1994).** Trehalose-6-phosphate hydrolase of *Escherichia coli*. J
 45 *Bacteriol* 176, 5654-5664.
- 46

17

20

22

26

- Rosenkranz, M., Elsner, H. A., Sturenburg, H. J., Weiller, C., Rother, J. & Sobottka, I.
 (2003). *Streptococcus suis* meningitis and septicemia contracted from a wild boar in Germany. *J Neurol* 250, 869-870.
- 4 Ryan, S., Begley, M., Gahan, C. G. & Hill, C. (2009). Molecular characterization of the
 arginine deiminase system in *Listeria monocytogenes*: regulation and role in acid
 tolerance. *Environ Microbiol* 11, 432-445.
- 8 Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F. & Maniatis, T. (1989). Molecular cloning: a laboratory
 9 manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Saulnier, D. A. A., Molenaar, D., de Vos, W. A., Gibson, G. R. & Kolida, S. (2007).
 Identification of prebiotic fructooligosaccharide metabolism in *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1 through microarrays. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73, 1753-1765.
- Smith, H. E., Damman, M., van der Velde, J., Wagenaar, F., Wisselink, H. J., Stockhofe Zurwieden, N. & Smits, M. A. (1999). Identification and characterization of the cps
 locus of *Streptococcus suis* serotype 2: the capsule protects against phagocytosis and
 is an important virulence factor. *Infect Immun* 67, 1750-1756.
- Smith, H. E., Wisselink, H. J., Vecht, U., Gielkens, A. L. J. & Smits, M. A. (1995). High Efficiency Transformation and Gene Inactivation in *Streptococcus suis* Type-2.
 Microbiology 141, 181-188.
- van den Hoff, M. J., Jonker, A., Beintema, J. J. & Lamers, W. H. (1995). Evolutionary
 relationships of the carbamoylphosphate synthetase genes. *J Mol Evol* 41, 813-832.
- Willemoes, M. & Kilstrup, M. (2005). Nucleoside triphosphate synthesis catalysed by
 adenylate kinase is ADP dependent. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 444, 195-199.
- Winterhoff, N., Goethe, R., Gruening, P., Rohde, M., Kalisz, H., Smith, H. E. &
 Valentin-Weigand, P. (2002). Identification and characterization of two temperature induced surface-associated proteins of *Streptococcus suis* with high homologies to
 members of the arginine deiminase system of *Streptococcus pyogenes*. J Bacteriol
 184, 6768-6776.
- Zeng, L., Dong, Y. & Burne, R. A. (2006). Characterization of *cis*-acting sites controlling
 arginine deiminase gene expression in *Streptococcus gordonii*. J Bacteriol 188, 941 949.
- Zuniga, M., Miralles Md, M. C. & Perez-Martinez, G. (2002a). The Product of *arc*R, the
 sixth gene of the arc operon of *Lactobacillus sakei*, is essential for expression of the
 arginine deiminase pathway. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 68, 6051-6058.
- Zuniga, M., Perez, G. & Gonzalez-Candelas, F. (2002b). Evolution of arginine deiminase
 (ADI) pathway genes. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 25, 429-444.
- 41

7

22

1 Figure legends

2

3 Figure 1: ArgR is essential for ADS expression

4 (A) Immunoblot analyses of whole cell lysates of *Streptococcus suis* wildtype strain 10 (wt) 5 and strain $10\Delta argR$ grown to early stationary phase in THB media. As a control, recombinant 6 ArgR (rArgR) was run in the same gel. Membranes were analysed with a polyclonal 7 antiserum raised against recombinant ArgR. (B) Immunoblot analysis of whole cell lysates 8 obtained from wildtype strain 10 (wt), strains $10\Delta argR$ and $10\Delta arcABC$ probed with 9 polyclonal antisera against recombinant ArcB (top) and ArgR (mid). Bottom, silver stained 10 gel for loading control. MW: molecular weight standard.

- 11
- 12

13 Figure 2: Influence of ArgR deficiency on the biological fitness of *Streptococcus suis*

14 (A) Streptococcus suis wildtype strain 10 (wt), strain $10\Delta argR$, and strain $10\Delta arcABC$ were 15 cultured in tryptone-based (TY) minimal medium supplemented with 10 mM galactose. The 16 graph shows bacterial growth including means and standard deviations of the optical density 17 at 600 nm (OD_{600}) from a representative experiment performed in triplicate. (B) Bacteria were 18 grown as described in (A). The graph represents the pH value of the growth medium with 19 means and standard deviations from a representative experiment performed in triplicate. (C) 20 Wildtype strain 10 (wt), $10\Delta argR$, and $10\Delta arcABC$ were cultured as described in (A). 21 Ammonia production is given as mg ammonia per ml medium. (D) Intracellular survival of 22 the capsule deficient strains $10\Delta cps EF$, $10\Delta cps EF\Delta argR$, and $10\Delta cps EF\Delta arcABC$ in HEp-2 cells that have been treated with bafilomycin (200 nM) for 1 hour before infection (white 23 24 bars) and untreated cells (black bars). Results are given as percentage of survival in 25 comparison to the initial inoculum. Data represent means and standard deviations of three

1 independent experiments. Results were considered statistically significant with P < 0.05 as 2 indicated by an asterisk (*).

3

4 Figure 3: ArgR mutation specifically affects the *arc*ABC-operon expression

Streptococcus suis wildtype strain 10 and the argR deficient mutant strain $10\Delta argR$ were 5 6 grown in THB medium to early stationary (stat) phase. Transcript levels of the indicated 7 genes were determined by real-time qRT-PCR. Signals were normalized to the housekeeping 8 gene (*dna*H) and relative gene expression was calculated by the $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method. The relative 9 fold-change ratio indicates the ratio of the values obtained for $10\Delta argR$ and wildtype. Data 10 are depicted with mean standard deviation for three independent real-time qRT-PCR performed in duplicate. A significant difference (P < 0.05) was defined as at least 2-fold 11 12 change gene expression compared to the wildtype strain 10. arcB, ornithine carbamoyl 13 transferase; argG, arginino succinate synthase; sly, suilysin; treA, putative trehalose-6-14 phosphate hydrolase; glgC, ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase; adk, adenylate kinase; argR, 15 arginine repressor (SSU0588).

16

17 Figure 4: ArgR binds to and activates the *arcABC*-operon promoter.

18 Streptococcus suis wildtype strain 10 and strain $10\Delta argR$ harbouring the plasmid pGA14_{spc}-19 Parc709-gfp (A) or wildtype strain 10 harbouring either plasmid pGA14_{spc}-Parc709-gfp 20 representing the whole arcABC promoter region (Parc709) or the far upstream ARG-box 21 deleted version (Parc187) (B) were grown for eight hours in TY medium. Bars represent the 22 relative fluorescence units (RFU) after subtracting the absolute values of the PBS control. 23 Wildtype strain 10 harbouring the promoterless construct $pGA14_{spc}$ -gfp served as negative 24 control. Experiments were carried out in triplicates and repeated at least twice. (C) Schematic 25 representation of the Streptococcus suis arcABC-operon promoter. The three putative ArgR 14-bp binding sites with highest homology to Escherichia coli ArgR binding site are shown in 26

1 grey. The transcriptional start point determined by 5'-RACE is indicated (+1), the predicted 2 amino acid sequences of the 3'-primed *flpS* gene and 5'-primed *arcA* gene are shown in 3 italics. Primer sequences used for amplification of arcABC promoter segments analysed by 4 EMSA and ChIP are indicated by underlining arrows. (D) PCR fragments were generated 5 with the primers 1-EMSA, 2-EMSA, 3-EMSA and 4-EMSA (1-4) in combination with 6 EMSA-rev (positions as indicated in C) and analyzed in an EMSA after a 1 h incubation with 7 (+) or without (-) recombinant ArgR (rArgR). Gel retardation by DNA protein complexes was 8 monitored after ethidium bromide staining.

9

10 Figure 5: ArgR binds to the *arc*ABC promoter *in vivo*

For ChIP assays wildtype strain 10 and strain $10\Delta argR$ were grown in THB medium to exponential (exp) and stationary phase (stat). ChIP lysate chromatin was precipitated using either α -ArgR or preimmune serum. ChIP-DNA was quantified by real-time qRT-PCR using primer pairs for the *arcABC* (black bars), *sly* (grey bars) and *glgC* (white bars) promoter region. The graphs represent means and standard deviations of immunoprecipitated DNA from three independent experiments. Significance (P < 0.01) was calculated in a two-sample *t*-test by comparing wildtype strain 10 and strain $10\Delta argR$.

s of <i>Streptococcus suis</i> wildtype strain 10 and 10\Delta argR grown to early stationary phase (OD ₆₀₀ 0.9).	for a lowest false discovery rate of $P < 0.05$.
Table 1: Comparative microarray analysis of Streptococcus suis wildt	Significantly regulated genes are shown for a lowest false discovery r

Fold change gene expression ¹	+ 3.11	+ 3.08	+2.84	- 3.68	+3.61	- 28.15	- 36.66	- 29.96	- 28.49	- 124.67	+3.63	+3.16	+6.18	NS^2	+2.88	+ 3.29	+ 3.03	+3.01	+ 3.23	+4.34	+ 4.01	+3.98	NS	+ 4.22	+ 2.77	+ 2.92	NS	NS	NS	+3.51	+ 7.84	intetion in 10 A and otherin according
Gene product	putative competence-specific global transcription modulator (fragment)	adenylate kinase	30S ribosomal protein S12	putative trehalose-6-phosphate hydrolase	putative transcription regulation protein	arginine deiminase	acetyltransferase (GNAT) family protein	ornithine carbamoyltransferase	carbamate kinase	arginine repressor	exodeoxyribonuclease	30S ribosomal protein S16	conserved hypothetical protein	ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase	50S ribosomal protein L20	50S ribosomal protein L35	translation initiation factor IF-3	putative membrane protein	suilysin (hemolysin)	large-conductance mechanosensitive channel	30S ribosomal protein S21	putative membrane protein	putative arginine repressor	gamma-glutamyl hydrolase	50S ribosomal protein L13	MutT/NUDIX hydrolase family protein	argininosuccinate synthase (citrulline-asparate ligase)	argininosuccinate lyase	putative arginine repressor	30S ribosomal protein S4	hypothetical protein	(\pm)
Gene name	comX2	adk	rpsL	treA, treC		arcA		arcB	arcC	argR	exoA	rpsP		glgC	rplT	Imd1	infC		sly	mscL	rpsU		argR		rpIM		argG	argH	argR	rpsD		
ORF in strain P 1/7	SSU0068	SSU0094	SSU0148	SSU0216	SSU0297	SSU0580	SSU0581	SSU0582	SSU0583	SSU0588	SSU0627	SSU0742	SSU0810	SSU0870	SSU1104	SSU1105	SSU1106	SSU1181	SSU1231	SSU1257	SSU1259	SSU1391	SSU1463	SSU1578	SSU1692	SSU1763	SSU1806	SSU1807	SSU1909	SSU1935	SSU1936	I Eald ahouge gene evere

¹ Fold change gene expression is given as positive (+) or negative (-) value representing either upregulation or downregulation in $10\Delta argR$ strain, respectively. ² NS: not significant

Fig.1

MW[kDa] 28 17 α-ArgR

B

A







B

D





Relative fold-change ratio







C







Fig.5