brought to you by TCORE

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE EPIDEMIOLOGY** 

### Dutch patients, retail chicken meat and poultry share the same ESBL genes, plasmids and strains

M. A. Leverstein-van Hall<sup>1,2</sup>, C. M. Dierikx<sup>3</sup>, J. Cohen Stuart<sup>1</sup>, G. M. Voets<sup>1</sup>, M. P. van den Munckhof<sup>1</sup>, A. van Essen-Zandbergen<sup>3</sup>, T. Platteel<sup>1,4</sup>, A. C. Fluit<sup>1</sup>, N. van de Sande-Bruinsma<sup>2</sup>, J. Scharinga<sup>1</sup>, M. J. M. Bonten<sup>1,5</sup> and D. J. Mevius<sup>3,6</sup>; on behalf of the national ESBL surveillance group\*

- 1) Department of Medical Microbiology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, 2) Centre for Infectious Disease Control, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven, 3) Department of Bacteriology and TSEs, Central Veterinary Institute of Wageningen UR, Lelystad,
- 4) SALTRO, Primary Health Care Laboratory, Utrecht, 5) Julius Centre for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Centre, Utrecht and
- 6) Department of Infectious Diseases & Immunology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands

#### **Abstract**

Intestinal carriage of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) -producing bacteria in food-producing animals and contamination of retail meat may contribute to increased incidences of infections with ESBL-producing bacteria in humans. Therefore, distribution of ESBL genes, plasmids and strain genotypes in Escherichia coli obtained from poultry and retail chicken meat in the Netherlands was determined and defined as 'poultry-associated' (PA). Subsequently, the proportion of E. coli isolates with PA ESBL genes, plasmids and strains was quantified in a representative sample of clinical isolates. The E. coli were derived from 98 retail chicken meat samples, a prevalence survey among poultry, and 516 human clinical samples from 31 laboratories collected during a 3-month period in 2009. Isolates were analysed using an ESBL-specific microarray, sequencing of ESBL genes, PCR-based replicon typing of plasmids, plasmid multi-locus sequence typing (pMLST) and strain genotyping (MLST). Six ESBL genes were defined as PA (bla<sub>CTX-M-1</sub>, bla<sub>CTX-M-2</sub>, bla<sub>SHV-2</sub>, bla<sub>SHV-12</sub>, bla<sub>TEM-20</sub>, bla<sub>TEM-52</sub>): 35% of the human isolates contained PA ESBL genes and 19% contained PA ESBL genes located on Incl1 plasmids that were genetically indistinguishable from those obtained from poultry (meat). Of these ESBL genes, 86% were blactx-m-1 and bla<sub>TEM-52</sub> genes, which were also the predominant genes in poultry (78%) and retail chicken meat (75%). Of the retail meat samples, 94% contained ESBL-producing isolates of which 39% belonged to E. coli genotypes also present in human samples. These findings are suggestive for transmission of ESBL genes, plasmids and E. coli isolates from poultry to humans, most likely through the food chain.

Keywords: Antibiotic resistance, Escherichia coli, human, multi-locus sequence typing, plasmid, poultry, zoonosis Original Submission: 3 January 2011; Revised Submission: 31 January 2011; Accepted: 7 February 2011 Editor: D. Raoult

Article published online: 22 February 2011 Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; 17: 873-880 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03497.x

Corresponding author: M. A. Leverstein-van Hall, Department of Medical Microbiology, Internal post number G04-614, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584CX Utrecht, the

E-mail: m.leversteinvhall@umcutrecht.nl

\*The national ESBL surveillance group are listed in the Appendix.

#### Introduction

Netherlands

There is a worldwide increase in infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria producing extended spectrum betalactamases (ESBL), even in a low-resistance country such as the Netherlands [1]. This is remarkable because the Netherlands have low levels of antibiotic usage and have been successful in controlling nosocomial spread of other multiresistant bacteria [2-4].

In contrast to human antibiotic use, antibiotic use in the poultry industry is higher in the Netherlands than in any other European country [5]. The prevalence of ESBL-producing Escherichia coli in the gastrointestinal tract of healthy food-producing animals, especially poultry, increased from 3% in 2003 to 15% in 2008 and in 2009 ESBL-producing bacteria were detected in all 26 of 26 broiler farms studied [6,7]. Furthermore, contamination of retail chicken meat with ESBL-producing Gram-negative bacteria has been documented in several countries [8–10].

For these reasons the poultry industry has been considered a potential reservoir of ESBL-producing Gram-negative bacteria that may be acquired by humans through handling or consumption of contaminated meat. We therefore determined the distribution of ESBL genes, plasmids and strain genotypes in *E. coli* obtained from poultry and retail chicken meat in the Netherlands and defined these as 'poultry associated' (PA). Subsequently, we quantified the proportion of *E. coli* isolates with PA related ESBL genes, plasmids and strains in a large and representative sample of clinical *E. coli* isolates from Dutch patients.

#### **Methods**

#### Isolates

Retail chicken meat. Between April and June 2010, 98 fresh raw chicken breasts were purchased in 12 stores in Utrecht, the Netherlands. Seventy-eight of the samples were purchased at nine stores belonging to six supermarket chains (Dutch market share of 90%) and 20 from three different butcheries. Information about the region where the chickens were raised was available for 30 supermarket samples (27% Netherlands, 73% Benelux). For culture methods see Supplementary material Data S1.

Poultry. The poultry isolates were derived from the Dutch surveillance programme on antibiotic resistance in bacteria isolated in food-producing animals in 2006 [11]. The sampling strategy in this programme aims to obtain annual collections of *E. coli* and *Salmonella enterica*, representative of the Dutch food-producing animal bacterial populations. Twelve percent (22 *E. coli* and 22 *S. enterica*) of all isolates were cefotaxime resistant. ESBL genes were identified in 35 of these: 17 (49%)  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ , ten (29%)  $bla_{TEM-52}$ , four (11%)  $bla_{TEM-20}$ , three (9%)  $bla_{CTX-M-2}$ , and one (3%)  $bla_{SHV-2}$  [12]. The 27  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and  $bla_{TEM-52}$  positive isolates were included.

Human. From I February 2009 until I May 2009, 31 Dutch laboratories submitted all *E. coli* with a positive ESBL screen test (MIC > I mg/L for cefotaxime or ceftazidime or an ESBL alarm from the Phoenix or Vitek-2 expert system) [13]. For each isolate the following data were collected: age, gender, material and institution (hospital, general practitioner (GP), long-term care facility (LTCF)). From each laboratory the first 25 consecutive isolates (if available), one isolate per patient, were included. The participating laboratories are geographically dispersed over the Netherlands

and represent a mixture of secondary and tertiary care hospitals, LTCFs and GPs. The laboratories serve a total of 58 hospitals, covering approximately 45% of all hospital beds in the Netherlands.

#### Molecular analyses

The presence of ESBL genes was determined by microarray analysis [14] and gene sequencing. All human isolates were investigated by microarray and sequencing was performed on a random selection of 50%. Among the retail isolates all morphologically different ESBL-positive *E. coli* from three meat samples of each available packaging type (whole breast or sliced) per store were analysed by sequencing.

Plasmid analysis was performed on a random selection of human and poultry isolates carrying either a  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  or a  $bla_{TEM-52}$  gene. All plasmids were characterized using PCR-based replicon typing (PBRT) [12,15]. The association between ESBL gene and plasmids was determined either by Southern blot hybridization or transformation [12]. Incl1 plasmids were typed by plasmid multi-locus sequence typing (pMLST) [16].

Isolates were genotyped by MLST (http://www.mlst.net). Among the human isolates 27 were genotyped: isolates with documented presence of  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  or  $bla_{TEM-52}$  genes on an Incl I plasmid (n = 15) and a random selection (n = 12) of all other isolates carrying a  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  or  $bla_{TEM-52}$ .

Among the poultry isolates, all 22 isolates with either  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  or  $bla_{TEM-52}$  located on Incl I plasmids were selected for genotyping.

From the retail isolates with a *bla*<sub>CTX-M-1</sub>, *bla*<sub>SHV-12</sub> or *bla*<sub>TEM-52</sub> gene, 23 isolates were randomly selected for genotyping.

#### Results

#### Distribution of ESBL genes

Retail chicken meat. Of the 98 chicken retail meat samples, 92 (94%) samples contained at least one *E. coli* isolate with an ESBL phenotype, yielding 163 isolates (average number per sample 2; range 1–4). From 48 samples, 81 isolates cultured were further analysed. The array confirmed the presence of an ESBL gene in all isolates: 40 CTX-M-1-group, 21 TEM-3-group, 13 SHV-4-group, three SHV-2-group, three CTX-M-2-group and one TEM-19-group. By sequencing one ESBL gene was identified in each of these six different ESBL groups:  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{SHV-12}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{SHV-2}}$ ,  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-2}}$  and  $bla_{\text{TEM-20}}$ , respectively. These genes were considered as PA. The  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$  and  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$  accounted for 75% of the genes (Table 1). The  $bla_{\text{SHV-12}}$  gene was not detected in poultry in 2006, but has been detected in poultry isolates obtained in 2009 (D. Mevius, personal communication).

TABLE I. Distributions of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) genes in *Escherichia coli* and Salmonella spp. isolates from poultry, poultry retail meat samples and from human origin based on array results combined with sequence results

Poultry-associated ESBL genes	Poultry  n = 35	Poultry meat samples <sup>a</sup> n = 81	Human <sup>a</sup> n = 409
bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> (%)	49	49	24
bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> (%)	29	26	6
bla <sub>SHV-12</sub> (%)	0	16	4
bla <sub>SHV-2</sub> (%)	11	4	0.4
bla <sub>CTX-M-2</sub> (%)	9	4	0.2
bla <sub>TEM-20</sub> (%)	3	1	0
Total (%)	100	100	35

The number of isolates analysed by array among meat and human isolates was 81 and 409, respectively. The number of isolates analysed by sequencing among poultry, meat and human isolates was 35 (100%), 81 (100%) and 208 (51%), respectively.

<sup>a</sup>Percentages are extrapolations based on array results and sequence results. For calculation of the percentages see also Fig. I. For example percentage of  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$  in human isolates =  $0.84 \times 0.85 \times 0.34 = 24\%$ .

Human samples. In the study period, 1017 E. coli were ESBL screen positive, from which 516 were included (Fig. 1). The median number per laboratory was 17 (range 7–25) and per hospital was 10 (range 0–21). The proportion of isolates derived from non-university hospitals was 54%, from GPs was 30%, from university medical centres was 6% and from LTCFs was 5% (5% unknown).

Based on the microarray results, 409 (79%) isolates contained an ESBL gene, and in 344 (84%) of these the ESBL genes were potentially PA (Fig. 1; rows A and B). Sequence results of 208 randomly selected isolates identified five (bla<sub>CTX-M-1</sub>, bla<sub>CTX-M-2</sub>, bla<sub>TEM-52</sub>, bla<sub>SHV-2</sub> or bla<sub>SHV-12</sub>) of the six PA genes (Fig. 1; row C). The bla<sub>TEM-20</sub> gene was not detected in any of the human isolates.

The proportion of  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and  $bla_{TEM-52}$  genes among all ESBL genes detected in clinical isolates was similar in five different age groups (0–4, 20–39, 40–59, 60–79, >80 years) and in four different geographic regions. The proportion of  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and  $bla_{TEM-52}$  genes was similar among isolates submitted by GPs (33%; 23/70; 95% CI: 22–44), non-academic hospitals (26%; 27/104; 95% CI: 18–34), LTCFs (26%; 4/14; 95% CI: 5–52) and academic hospitals (37%; 3/8; 95% CI: 4–71). The 27 isolates that were MLST genotyped were obtained from 17 different laboratories. Of these, 23 (85%) were urine isolates, 19 (70%) came from GPs and none came from the same facility.

#### Plasmid analysis and isolate typing

Human isolates. The PBRT was performed on 15 of 51 human isolates with a  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  gene and on six of 14 human isolates with a  $bla_{TEM-52}$  gene (Table 3; Fig. 1; rows C

and D). Nine of the 15  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  genes and all six of the  $bla_{TEM-52}$  (i.e. 15/21; 71%) were located on an Incl1 plasmid.

The pMLST demonstrated that seven of the nine  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-}1}$ /Incl1 plasmids (78%) belonged to pMLST Clonal Complex CC7 and pMLST sequence type ST7 (CC7/ST7), one to CC3/ST3 and one to CC31/ST35 (Table 3; Fig. 1; row E).

Isolate genotyping demonstrated that six of the seven CC7/ST7 isolates belonged to the PA MLST types: ST10 (n = 1), ST58 (n = 3), ST117 (n = 2) (Table 3; Fig. 1; row F).

The pMLST analysis of the six  $bla_{TEM-52}$ /Incl1 plasmids demonstrated that five were ST36 (CC5) and one was ST10 (CC5), which differ in a single locus (one mutation in the sogS-gene).

Genotyping revealed that two isolates belonged to PA ST10 (Table 3; Fig. 1; rows E and F).

Typing by MLST of 13 randomly selected isolates demonstrated among ten  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  positive isolates three PA genotypes (ST117, ST57, ST354) and among three  $bla_{TEM-52}$  positive isolates one PA genotype (ST23).

Poultry isolates. The PBRT was performed on all 27  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and  $bla_{TEM-52}$  containing E. coli and Salmonella. Sixteen (of 17)  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and six (of 10)  $bla_{TEM-52}$  genes were located on an Incl1 plasmid (22/27; 81%) (Table 3).

Plasmid MLST of the 16 *bla<sub>CTX-M-1</sub>*/Incl1 plasmids demonstrated that 12 (75%) (eight *E. coli*, four *Salmonella*) belonged to CC7/ST7 and one to CC7/ST30 (ST30 is a single-locus variant of ST7). One plasmid belonged to CC3/ST3 and two were non-typable.

Genotyping by MLST of the eight CC7/ST7 *E. coli* revealed ST10, ST48, ST58, ST117 and four STs not found among clinical or meat samples.

he pMLST of the six  $bla_{TEM-52}$ /Incl I plasmids demonstrated that all six (two E. coli, four Salmonella) belonged to CC5/ST10. One of the E. coli belonged to genotype ST10.

Retail meat. Isolate genotyping was performed on 23 retail  $E.\ coli$  [nine  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$  (five stores), seven  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$  (four stores), seven  $bla_{\text{SHV-12}}$  (five stores)]. Nine (39%) belonged to MLST types also found in human isolates: ST10 (n=4), ST23 (n=1), ST57 (n=1), ST117 (n=2), and ST354 (n=1). One isolate belonged to ST48, which was like ST10 and ST117 also identified among the poultry isolates.

Genetic correlation between human, chicken meat and poultry isolates. These data revealed four sets of *E. coli* isolates of human and animal origin with indistinguishable ESBL genes, plasmids and isolate genotypes: (i) *E. coli* ST10 with  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and IncII/ST7 as human blood culture isolate and a poultry isolate, (ii) *E. coli* ST58 with  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and IncII/ST7 as three




FIG. 1. Schematic view of methods and numbers of isolates used to determine the proportion of human *Escherichia coli* isolates with poultry-associated extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) genes, plasmids and isolate genotypes. Percentages in bold were used to determine proportions (Table 2). \*Nine isolates harboured next to the CTX-M-I group another possibly poultry-associated gene: SHV-4-group gene (n = 5), a TEM-3-group gene (n = 3) and SHV-2-group gene (n = 1). \*\*One isolate carried according to the array an ESBL gene of the SHV-31 group but sequencing results indicated the presence of a  $bla_{\text{SHV-12}}$ . \*\*\*Three isolates harboured two poultry-associated genes:  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-I}}$  plus  $bla_{\text{SHV-12}}$  (n = 2) and  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-I}}$  plus  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$  (n = 1).

human urine isolates from three different laboratories and a poultry isolate, (iii) *E. coli* ST117 with  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$  and lnc11/ ST7 as two human isolates from different laboratories and a poultry isolate, and (iv) *E. coli* ST10 with  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$  and lnc1/ ST10/36 was detected in two human urine samples from two laboratories and a poultry isolate. These four MLST genotype/ESBL gene combinations were also found in retail meat isolates (Table 3).

## Quantification of the proportion of PA genes, plasmids and strains in human isolates

Based on these data we quantified the proportion of human ESBL-producing *E. coli* with PA genes, plasmids and isolates (Fig. I and Table 2).

On the level of ESBL genes 35% (95% CI: 30–39%) of the human ESBL isolates contained PA ESBL genes and  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$ 

and  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$  accounted for the majority (30/35; 86%) (Tables I and 2).

Plasmid analysis was limited to  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$ -positive and  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$ -positive isolates. On the level of these two ESBL genes and plasmid family (i.e. lncl1) the proportion of human isolates genetically related to poultry isolates was 20% (95% CI: 17–25%). On the level of these ESBL genes, the presence of lncl1 plasmid and similar plasmid sequence types (CC3, CC5 or CC7), this proportion was 19% (95% CI: 15–23%). Finally, at the level of these ESBL genes, plasmid typing and MLST of the isolate, this proportion was 11% (95% CI: 8–14%) (Table 2).

Of the five ESBL-producing *E. coli* bloodstream isolates that were sequenced two contained a PA ESBL gene:  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and  $bla_{TEM-52}$ . The  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  was located on the same plasmid (Incl I), from the same plasmid sequence type (CC7),

TABLE 2. The proportion of human extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) -positive Escherichia coli isolates that is genetically related to ESBL-positive poultry isolates on the level of gene, plasmid and strain genotype<sup>a</sup>

Level of genetic typing	% of human isolates with poultry associated genetic element <sup>a</sup>					
ESBL genes (bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> , bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-12</sub> , bla <sub>SHV-2</sub> and bla <sub>CTX-M-2</sub> )	35% (see Table I)					
bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> and bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> genes bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> and bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> genes on Incl I plasmid	30% (23.7% bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> ; 6.2% bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> ) 20% (14.2% bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> ; 6.2% bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> )					
bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> and bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> genes on Incl plasmid belonging to complex CC7 or CC3 and CC5 resp.	19% (12.6% bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> ; 6.2% bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> )					
bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> and bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> genes on Incl plasmid belonging to complex CC7 or CC3 and CC5 resp. in a poultry-associated MLST strain (ST10, ST58 or ST117)	11% (9.5% bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub> ; 2.0% bla <sub>TEM-52</sub> )					
MLST, multi-locus sequence typing. For example percentage of $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$ genes on Incl1 plasmid belonging to complex CC5 in to poultry identical MLST strains = 0.84 (row A) × 0.09 (row B) × 0.82 (row C) × I (row D) × I (row E) × 0.33 (row F) = 2.0%.						

and belonged to the same MLST cluster (ST10) as was detected in a poultry isolate (Table 3). No plasmid analysis was performed on the  $bla_{TEM-52}$ -positive blood culture isolate, but all other isolates with  $bla_{TEM-52}$  that were investigated had the same plasmids as found in poultry isolates (Fig. I; rows D and E).

#### **Discussion**

In a representative sample of human ESBL-positive *E. coli* isolates in the Netherlands, 35% contained ESBL genes and 19% contained ESBL genes located on plasmids that were genetically indistinguishable from those obtained in poultry isolates. The majority of these ESBL genes (86%) were  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and  $bla_{TEM-52}$  genes, also the predominant genes in poultry (77%) and retail chicken meat (75%). Furthermore, 94% of a representative sample of chicken meat was contaminated with ESBL-producing *E. coli*, of which 39% belonged to genotypes also found in human samples.

These findings are suggestive for transmission of ESBL-producing *E. coli* from poultry to humans, most likely through the food chain. Although our findings do not unequivocally prove that the poultry reservoir is the source of infections in humans, there are four lines of circumstantial evidence that do support such a sequence of events.

First, the potential of animal-derived Enterobacteriaceae to cause infections in humans has been established in community outbreaks of Salmonella and enteropathogenic E. coli [17], and associations between E. coli colonization and

infection in humans and exposure to retail chicken and other food sources have been reported [18–20]).

Second, the prevalence of  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  genes (24%) and  $bla_{TEM-52}$  (6%) among human *E. coli* is higher in the Netherlands than in most other countries [21–26].

Third, the increase of  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$  and  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$  genes among human E.~coli corroborates with an increase of these ESBL genes in poultry isolates in the Netherlands. The prevalence of cefotaxime-resistant E.~coli in Dutch poultry started to increase in 2003 [6] and in a human surveillance study among 21 laboratories in the Netherlands in 2006, proportions of  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-1}}$  and  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$  E.~coli producers were 9% and 3%, respectively (Sandra Bernards; personal communication).

Fourth, in one study people working with poultry seemed to have a higher risk for intestinal carriage of ESBL-producing bacteria [7].

Our study was restricted to Dutch patients, poultry and poultry meat products. Yet, ESBL carriage by poultry and contamination of retail meat with ESBL-producing bacteria has also been demonstrated in other European countries [8,9,25–29].

Our study has limitations. First, the spectrum of PA ESBL genes was based on a single study in poultry in 2006 and the analysis of 98 retail chicken meat samples in 2010, and this spectrum was compared with human isolates obtained between February and May 2009. Naturally, it is impossible to directly link carriage among poultry in 2006 to contaminated meat samples in 2010 to infected humans in 2009. Yet, although the ESBL epidemiology is rapidly evolving, it seems unlikely that the spectrum of genes present in these three compartments has changed dramatically over the period of 4 years. In fact, the five genes identified in poultry in 2006 were all identified on meat in 2010, in both compartments  $bla_{CTX-M-1}$  and  $bla_{TEM-52}$  genes accounted for 78% and 75% of ESBL genes and in both compartments strains with the same genotype were detected.

Second, the plasmid analysis was limited to a small selection of isolates with  $bla_{\text{CTX-M-I}}$  and  $bla_{\text{TEM-52}}$  genes, only. The latter was a consequence of the extreme labour-intensity of these analyses.

Strengths of our study include the detailed molecular analyses and the inclusion of human isolates from a nationwide surveillance programme covering all aspects of the healthcare system and with an unbiased selection of isolates allowing, for the first time, the possibility to quantify the association between genetic relationships and incidence of infections in humans.

For example, during the study period 27 patients had an E. coli bacteraemia with a positive ESBL screen test. If,

TABLE 3. Results of strain (multi-locus sequence typing) and plasmid typing (Inc-group and plasmid multi-locus sequence typing) of bla<sub>CXT-M-1</sub>- and bla<sub>TEM-52</sub>-producing Escherichia coli isolates from human patients and E. coli and Salmonella enterica isolates from poultry sources

ESBL- Strain gene code			Species	Material	Plasmid typing		Incl I typing		E. coli strain typing
		Origin			ESBL localization	Plasmid size (kb)	Clonal complex	Sequence type	Sequence type
bla <sub>CTX-M-1</sub>	148	Human	E. coli	Blood	Incl I	100	CC7	ST7	10
	38.27	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl I	88	CC7	ST7	10
	53a, 54a	Retail	E. coli	Chicken meat	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10 (n = 2)
	1365	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incl I	100	CC7	ST7	58
	1350	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incl I	100	CC7	ST7	58
	1240	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incl I	95	CC7	ST7	58
	38.16	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl I	100	CC7	ST7	58
	1240	Human	E. coli	Urine	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	58
	897	Human	E. coli	Respiratory tract	Incl I	100	CC7	ST7	117
	1047	Human	E. coli	Rectal swab	Incl I	100	CC7	ST7	117
	38.52	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl I	100	CC7	ST7	117
	623	Human	E. coli	Urine	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	117
	39.26	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl I	100	CC7	ST7	48
	38.53	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl I	100	CC7	ST7	155
	38.49	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl I	97	CC7	ST7	641
	39.02	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl I	110	CC7	ST7	665
	39.05	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl	97	CC7	ST7	752
	1247	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incl	100	CC7	ST7	767
	162.03	Poultry	S. Java <sup>b</sup>	Unknown	Incl	97	CC7	ST7	n.d.
	175.77	Poultry	S. Infantis	Unknown	Incl	100	CC7	ST7	n.d.
	187.45	Poultry	S. Infantis	Caecum	Incl	100	CC7	ST7	n.d.
	187.46	Poultry	S. Infantis	Caecum	Incl	100	CC7	ST7	n.d.
	39.51	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl	95	CC7	ST30	155
	691	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incl	90	CC31	ST35	131
	1503	Human	E. coli	Urine	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	131
	39.47		E. coli	Meat	Incl I	97	n.d.		117
	186.74	Poultry	S. Java <sup>b</sup>		Incl	97		Non-typable <sup>a</sup>	
	450	Poultry		Caecum		95	n.d. CC3	Non-typable <sup>a</sup>	n.d. 167
	186.27	Human	E. coli	Urine Caecum	Incl I Incl I	110	CC3	ST3 ST3	n.d.
		Poultry	S. Agona						
	990	Human	E. coli	Urine	IncB/O	95	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	1198	Human	E. coli	Urine	IncB/O	95	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	312	Human	E. coli	Urine	IncB/O	100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	60	Human	E. coli	Urine	IncN	30	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	1455	Human	E. coli	Urine	IncN	35	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	627	Human	E. coli	Urine	Non-typable	30	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	13, 591, 416, 152, 179	Human	E. coli	Urine	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	69 (n = 2), 57, 162
	666, 152, 387	Human	E. coli	Urine	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	354, 453, 545
	52a, 54a, 72a, 71	Retail	E. coli	Chicken meat	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	23 (n = 2), 624, 1564
	60, 61, 63a, 69, 39b	Retail	E. coli	Chicken meat	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1594 (n = 2), 1901, n.t. (n =
bla <sub>TEM-52</sub>	38.34	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	InclI	97	CC5	ST10	10
	320	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incll	95	CC5	ST36	10
	681	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incll	95	CC5	ST36	10
	85b	Retail	E. coli	Chicken meat	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	10
	68	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incl I	95	CC5	ST10	156
	39.76	Poultry	E. coli	Caecum	Incl I	90	CC5	ST10	752
	166.01	Poultry	S. Java <sup>b</sup>	Meat	Incl I	82	CC5	ST10	n.d.
	166.22	Poultry	S. Java <sup>b</sup>	Meat	Incl I	82	CC5	ST10	n.d.
	162.19	Poultry	S. Infantis	Unknown	Incl I	82	CC5	ST10	n.d.
	173.44	Poultry	S. Infantis	Caecum	InclI	90	CC5	ST10	n.d.
	85	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incl I	90	CC5	ST36	131
	91	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incl I	90	CC5	ST36	Non-typable <sup>c</sup>
	1362	Human	E. coli	Urine	Incl I	90	CC5	ST36	453
	229, 194	Human	E. coli	Urine	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	23, 744
	45a, 47a, 83a, 90, 95a	Retail	E. coli	Chicken meat	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	23, 48, 117, 1403, n.t.
	,,,,,							<del>-</del> -	., -, ,

ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; n.d., not determined; n.t., non-typable.

This table shows the genetic correlation between E. coli from patients and retail meat and E. coli and Salmonella from poultry carrying  $bla_{CTX.M-1}$  or  $bla_{TEM.52}$ . The E. coli isolates were compared by Multi Locus Sequence Typing (http://www.mlst.net). All Incl1 plasmids were compared by pMLST and three genetically related clusters were found, indicated by bold face text: CC7, CC3 and CC5. There were four sets of E. coli isolates, of human and animal origin, with indistinguishable ESBL genes, plasmids and isolated genotypes, indicated in the table by different shading patterns (light grey, MLST ST10 (n = 2); middle grey, MLST 58; dark grey, MLST 117).

based on our results, 79% of these isolates contained an ESBL gene, this would imply 21 patients with ESBL bacteraemia. The ESBL genes from five of these isolates were sequenced and at least one and possibly two were PA. When extrapolated, at least one of 21 (5%), but possibly eight (38%) patients would have suffered an episode of PA *E. coli* bacteraemia. As the participating laboratories cover nearly half of Dutch hospital beds this would mean between two and 16 patients in the Netherlands between February and May 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Four sequences in conformance with pMLST ST7, but for locus ardA no sequence results were obtained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Salmonella enterica serovar paratyphi B variant Java.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Six sequences in conformance with MLST ST767, but for locus icd no sequence results were obtained.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors thank the curator of the pMLST database, A. Carattoli, for the assignment of the pMLST sequence types and for kindly providing the control isolates for the PBRT method. M.J.M.B. was supported by the Netherlands Organization of Scientific Research (NWO-VICI 918.76.611).

#### **Transparency Declaration**

Conflicts of interest: nothing to declare.

# Appendix: Members of the National ESBL Surveillance Group

Gunnar Andriesse, Jan P. Arends, Sandra T. Bernards, Marc J.M. Bonten, Els I.G.B. De Brauwer, Anton G.M. Buiting, James W. Cohen Stuart, Alje P. van Dam, Bram M.W. Diederen, J. Wendelien Dorigo-Zetsma, Andre Fleer, Ad C. Fluit, Arjanne van Griethuysen, Hajo Grundmann, Bea G.A. Hendrickx, Alphons M. Horrevorts, Jan A.J.W. Kluytmans, Maurine A. Leverstein-van Hall, Ellen M. Mascini, Bernard Moffie, Albert J. de Neeling, Tamara N. Platteel, Luc J.M. Sabbe, Nienke van de Sande, Claudia M. Schapendonk, Jelle Scharringa, Joop F.P. Schellekens, Fré W. Sebens, Frans S. Stals, Patrick Sturm, Steven F.T. Thijssen, Jeroen T. Tjhie, Liesbeth Verhoef, Bart J.M. Vlaminckx, Guido M. Voets, Willem H.M.Vogels, Rolf W. Vreede, Karola Waar, Peter C. Wever, Rob G.F. Wintermans, Maurice J.H.M. Wolfhagen.

University Medical Centre Utrecht, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Utrecht (M.A. Leverstein-van Hall, MD PhD, J.W. Cohen Stuart, MD PhD, A.C. Fluit, PhD, G.M. Voets, J. Scharringa, C.M. Schapendonk, T.N. Platteel, MD, Prof. M.J.M. Bonten, MD PhD); Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Amsterdam (A.P. van Dam, MD PhD); Lievensberg Hospital, Lab. of Med. Microbiology, Bergen op Zoom (G. Andriesse, MD PhD); Amphia Hospital, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Breda (J.A.J.W. Kluytmans, MD PhD); Diagnostic Centre SSDZ, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Delft (R.W. Vreede, MD PhD); Deventer Hospital, Dept of Med. Microbiology and Infection Control Infectious Dis., Deventer (F.W. Sebens, MD); Admiraal De Ruyter Hospital, Dept of Med. Microbiology and Immunology, Goes (L.J.M. Sabbe, MD PhD); Laboratory for Infectious Diseases Groningen, Groningen (J.F.P. Schellekens, MD PhD, W.H.M.Vogels MD); University Medcal Centre Groningen,

Lab. of Medical Microbiology, Groningen (Jan P. Arends, MD, H. Grundmann, MD PhD MSC); Central Bact. and Ser. Laboratory Hilversum/Almere, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Hilversum (J.W. Dorigo-Zetsma, MD PhD); Izore, Centre of Infect. Diseases Friesland, Leeuwarden (Karola Waar, MD PhD); St. Antonius Hospital, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Nieuwegein (B.J.M. Vlaminckx, MD PhD); Canisius Wilhelmina Hospital, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Nijmegen (A.M. Horrevorts MD PhD); University Medical Centre St Radboud, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Nijmegen (P. Sturm, MD PhD); Laurentius Hospital, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Roermond (F.S. Stals, MD); Franciscus Hospital, Lab. Of Med. Microbiology, Roosendaal (R.G.F. Wintermans, MD); Vlietland Hospital, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Schiedam (B.G. Moffie, MD); ZorgSam Hospital Zeeuwsvlaanderen, Lab. Of Med. Microbiology, Terneuzen (B.G.A. Hendrickx, MD PhD); Streeklaboratorium voor de Volksgezondheid, Tilburg (A.G.M. Buiting, MD PhD); SALTRO, Primary Health Care Laboratory, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Utrecht (L. Verhoef, MD PhD); Stichting PAMM, Lab. Of Med. Microbiology, Veldhoven (H.T. Tjhie, MD PhD); ISALA Clinics, Lab. of Med. Microbiology and Inf. Diseases (M.J.H.M. Wolfhagen, MD PhD); Streeklaboratorium voor de Volksgezondheid Kennemerland, Haarlem (B.M.W. Diederen, MD PhD); Diakonessenhuis, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Utrecht (S.F.T. Thijssen, MD PhD); Alysis Zorggroep, Dept of Med. Microbiology and Med. Immunology, Velp (E.M. Mascini, MD PhD, A. van Griethuysen, MD PhD); Jeroen Bosch Hospital, Reg. Lab. of Med. Microbiology and Inf. Control, Den Bosch (P.C. Wever, MD PhD); Gelre Hospitals, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Apeldoorn (A. Fleer, MD PhD); Atrium Medisch Centrum Parkstad, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Heerlen (E.I.G.B. De Brauwer); University Medical Centre Leiden, Dept of Med. Microbiology, Leiden (A.T. Bernards, MD PhD); Epidemiology and Surveillance (EPI), Centre for Infectious Disease Control (Clb), National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven (M.A. Leverstein-van Hall, MD PhD, N. van de Sande-Bruinsma, PhD); Laboratory for Infectious Diseases and Perinatal Screening (LIS), Centre for Infectious Disease Control (CIb), National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM), Bilthoven (H. Grundmann, MD PhD MSC, A.J. de Neeling, PhD).

#### **Supporting Information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Data SI. Materials.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

#### References

- van de Sande-Bruinsma NTP, Muilwijk J, Mulders M, Leverstein-van Hall MA, ISIS-AR participants. Epidemiology of ESBLs in the Netherlands; origin and treatment (3306). Boston: ICAAC, 2010.
- 2. Anonymous. EARRS annual report 2008. Bilthoven: RIVM, 2009.
- van de Sande-Bruinsma N, Grundmann H, Verloo D et al. Antimicrobial drug use and resistance in Europe. Emerg Infect Dis 2008; 11: 1722–1730.
- Goossens H, Ferech M, Vander Stichele R, Elseviers M. Outpatient antibiotic use in Europe and association with resistance: a crossnational database study. *Lancet* 2005; 365: 579–587.
- Grave K, Torren-Edo J, Mackay D. Comparison of the sales of veterinary antibacterial agents between 10 European countries. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010; 65: 2037–2040.
- Anonymous. Monitoring of Antibiotic Usage and Antimicrobial Resistance in The Netherlands in 2008 (MARAN-2008) March 2010.
- Dierikx CM, Fabri T, Goot JA et al. Prevalence of Extended-Spectrum-Beta-Lactamase producing E. coli isolates on broiler farms in The Netherlands. Scientific spring meeting of the Dutch Society for Medical Microbiology and the Dutch Society for Microbiology. Arnhem: Ned Tijdschr Med Microbiol 2010; 18: S28–S29.
- Doi Y, Paterson DL, Egea P et al. Extended-spectrum and CMY-type beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli in clinical samples and retail meat from Pittsburgh, USA and Seville, Spain. Clin Microbiol Infect 2010; 16: 33–38.
- Mesa RJ, Blanc V, Blanch AR et al. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in different environments (humans, food, animal farms and sewage). J Antimicrob Chemother 2006; 58: 211–215.
- Overdevest IJK. Extended-spectrum producing Enterobacteriaceae in retail meat. European Conference on Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ECCMID). Vienna: Clinical Microbiology and Infection, 2010
- Anonymous. Monitoring of Antibiotic Usage and Antimicrobial Resistance in The Netherlands in 2006/2007 (MARAN-2007).
- Dierikx C, van Essen-Zandbergen A, Veldman K, Smith H, Mevius D. Increased detection of extended spectrum beta-lactamase producing Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli isolates from poultry. Vet Microbiol 2010; 145: 273–278.
- Naiemi Na, Cohen Stuart JWT, Leverstein-van Hall MA, ESBL working party N.V.M.M. Guideline of the Dutch Society for Medical Microbiology for screening and confirmation of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL's) in Enterobacteriaceae. Ned Tijdschr Med Microbiol 2008; 16: 23–28.
- 14. Cohen Stuart J, Dierikx C, Al Naiemi N et al. Rapid detection of TEM, SHV and CTX-M extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in Entero-

- bacteriaceae using ligation-mediated amplification with microarray analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2010; 65: 1377–1381.
- Carattoli A, Bertini A, Villa L, Falbo V, Hopkins KL, Threlfall EJ. Identification of plasmids by PCR-based replicon typing. J Microbiol Methods 2005; 63: 219–228.
- Garcia-Fernandez A, Chiaretto G, Bertini A et al. Multilocus sequence typing of Incl I plasmids carrying extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in Escherichia coli and Salmonella of human and animal origin. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008; 61: 1229–1233.
- 17. Gerner-Smidt P, Whichard JM. Foodborne disease trends and reports. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2009; 6: 1–5.
- Vincent C, Boerlin P, Daignault D et al. Food reservoir for Escherichia coli causing urinary tract infections. Emerg Infect Dis 2010; 16: 88–95.
- Johnson JR, Sannes MR, Croy C et al. Antimicrobial drug-resistant *Escherichia coli* from humans and poultry products, Minnesota and Wisconsin, 2002–2004. Emerg Infect Dis 2007; 13: 838–846.
- Linton AH, Howe K, Bennett PM, Richmond MH, Whiteside EJ. The colonization of the human gut by antibiotic resistant *Escherichia coli* from chickens. J Appl Bacteriol 1977; 43: 465–469.
- Park Y, Kang HK, Bae IK et al. Prevalence of the extended-spectrum beta-lactamase and qnr genes in clinical isolates of Escherichia coli. Korean | Lab Med 2009; 29: 218–223.
- Pitout JD, Gregson DB, Campbell L, Laupland KB. Molecular characteristics of extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli isolates causing bacteremia in the Calgary Health Region from 2000 to 2007: emergence of clone ST131 as a cause of community-acquired infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009; 53: 2846–2851.
- Smet A, Martel A, Persoons D et al. Characterization of extendedspectrum beta-lactamases produced by Escherichia coli isolated from hospitalized and nonhospitalized patients: emergence of CTX-M-15producing strains causing urinary tract infections. Microb Drug Resist 2010; 16: 129–134.
- Luzzaro F, Mezzatesta M, Mugnaioli C et al. Trends in production of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases among enterobacteria of medical interest: report of the second Italian nationwide survey. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44: 1659–1664.
- Bortolaia V, Guardabassi L, Trevisani M, Bisgaard M, Venturi L, Bojesen AM. High diversity of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in Escherichia coli isolates from Italian broiler flocks. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2010: 54: 1623–1626.
- Smet A, Martel A, Persoons D et al. Diversity of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases and class C beta-lactamases among cloacal Escherichia coli isolates in Belgian broiler farms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2008; 52: 1238–1243.
- Costa D, Vinue L, Poeta P et al. Prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli isolates in faecal samples of broilers. Vet Microbiol 2009; 138: 339–344.
- Randall LP, Clouting C, Horton RA et al. Prevalence of Escherichia coli carrying extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (CTX-M and TEM-52) from broiler chickens and turkeys in Great Britain between 2006 and 2009. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011; 66: 86–95.
- Cloeckaert A, Praud K, Lefevre M et al. Incl I plasmid carrying extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase gene bla<sub>CTX-M-1</sub> in Salmonella enterica isolates from poultry and humans in France, 2003 to 2008. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2010; 54: 4484

  –4486.