



Animal (2012), 6:7, pp 1096–1102 © The Animal Consortium 2011  
doi:10.1017/S1751731111002527

## The influence of casein and urea as nitrogen sources on *in vitro* equine caecal fermentation

A. S. Santos<sup>1†</sup>, L. M. M. Ferreira<sup>1</sup>, W. Martin-Rosset<sup>2</sup>, M. Cotovio<sup>1</sup>, F. Silva<sup>1</sup>, R. N. Bennett<sup>3</sup>, J. W. Cone<sup>4</sup>, R. J. B. Bessa<sup>5</sup> and M. A. M. Rodrigues<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Animal Production Group, Animal and Veterinary Research Centre, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, PO Box 1013, 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal; <sup>2</sup>Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Center of Research of Clermont-Ferrand, Theix, 63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France; <sup>3</sup>Centre for the Research and Technology of Agro-Environmental and Biological Sciences, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro, PO Box 1013, 5001-801 Vila Real, Portugal; <sup>4</sup>Animal Nutrition Group, Department of Animal Science, Wageningen University, Marijkeweg 40, 6709 PG Wageningen, The Netherlands; <sup>5</sup>Interdisciplinary Centre of Research in Animal Health (CIISA), Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Technical University of Lisbon, Lisboa, Portugal

(Received 16 December 2010; Accepted 28 October 2011; First published online 19 December 2011)

To access the fermentative response of equine caecal microbial population to nitrogen availability, an *in vitro* study was conducted using caecal contents provided with adequate energy sources and nitrogen as limiting nutrient. Two nitrogen (N) sources were provided, protein (casein) and non-protein (urea). Caecal fluid, taken from three cannulated horses receiving a hay–concentrate diet, was mixed with a N-free buffer–mineral solution. The influence of four N levels (3.7, 6.3, 12.5 or 25 mg of N in casein or urea) was studied using the gas production technique. Total volatile fatty acids (VFA),  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  and gas production were measured after a 24-h incubation period. Microbial biomass was estimated using adenine and guanine bases as internal markers, and ATP production was estimated stoichiometrically. Microbial growth efficiency ( $Y_{\text{ATP}}$ ) and gas efficiency ( $E_{\text{gas}}$ ) were estimated. Fermentation with casein as the sole N source was generally characterized by lower total VFA,  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ , total gas production and higher acetate : propionate (A : P) ratio and  $Y_{\text{ATP}}$  than with urea. Results herein presented indicate that, under these *in vitro* conditions, caecal microbial population does in fact use urea N, but less efficiently than casein in terms of microbial growth.

**Keywords:** caecal fermentation, casein, urea, *in vitro*, equine hindgut

### Implications

Post-gastric placement of the hindgut in equids limits the amount and type of nutrients that reach these compartments. It is possible that the microbial population that inhabits these compartments is adapted to an environment where substrate degradation could be maximized in detriment of microbial protein synthesis. To provide additional information on the estimation of nitrogen requirements of the microbial population and on the efficiency of microbial protein synthesis of the equine hindgut population, this study focussed on *in vitro* utilization of protein (casein) and non-protein (urea) nitrogen by equine caecal contents. Results herein presented indicate that the caecal microbial population use urea nitrogen, but less efficiently than casein in terms of microbial growth.

### Introduction

The efficiency of post-gastric fermentative activity in equids depends on substrate availability and it is influenced by

feed intake, diet composition and pre-caecal digestibility of the feed. In general, this means that most soluble dietary nitrogen (protein and non-protein) and non-structural carbohydrates may not reach the hindgut, or do so in low amounts (Martin-Rosset and Tisserand, 2004). In contrast, cell wall carbohydrates and bound forms of nitrogen (N) will reach the hindgut as hydrolysis of these constituents in the pre-caecal environment is quite low (Martin-Rosset and Tisserand, 2004).

The extent to which hindgut bacteria utilize protein and non-protein N is not well understood. Caecal bacteria show proteolytic activity, and although caecal isolates have been shown to use ammonia and urea as N sources for microbial growth, many caecal bacteria can use N sources other than ammonia or urea for growth (Maczulak *et al.*, 1985). Nitrogen balance studies have shown that urea is utilized in the equine gastrointestinal tract (Slade *et al.*, 1970; Houpt and Houpt, 1971; Prior *et al.*, 1974). Prior *et al.* (1974) reported that in ponies 60% to 65% of urea was released in the intestine, and 50% of it would be recycled. Urease activity in caecal fluid has also been previously reported.

† E-mail: [assantos@utad.pt](mailto:assantos@utad.pt)

However, it was only 17% to 25% of that reported in bovine rumen fluid (Hintz and Schryver, 1972). Nevertheless, latter studies (Cheng and Wallace, 1979) have demonstrated that a large amount of the urease activity can be associated with bacteria adherent to the rumen epithelium; this can also be the case in equids, and therefore urease activity values can be higher.

The gas production technique was developed to determine fermentation characteristics of organic matter (OM; Steingass, 1983). Originally developed for ruminants (Menke *et al.*, 1979), the cumulative gas production technique has been adapted to allow its utilization in horses (Lowman *et al.*, 1996; Jansen *et al.*, 2007). Recently, Cone *et al.* (2005 and 2009) adapted this technique to describe the protein fermentation characteristics of different feedstuffs. To achieve this, incubations were carried out with an excess of rapidly fermenting carbohydrates (glucose, starch and xylose). Incubations performed in an N-free environment makes N the limiting factor for microbial growth. Thus, microbial growth will depend on the availability of N from the samples that are incubated (Cone *et al.*, 2005). Gas production is a reflection of substrate fermentation into short-chain fatty acids. The other nutritionally important fermentation product is microbial biomass. Although both these products are linked by ATP production, it is well known that different amounts of microbial biomass can be produced per unit of ATP ( $Y_{ATP}$ ; Hespell and Bryant, 1979; Harrison and McAllan, 1980; Demeyer, 1991). This can impose an inverse relationship upon the production of short-chain fatty acids and microbial biomass yield (Preston and Leng, 1987). It has been shown that this relationship also applies to *in vitro* gas production and microbial biomass yield when both variables are related to a unit of the substrate fermented (Blümmel *et al.*, 1997).

As protein N is expected to reach the equine caecum in low amounts, we hypothesized that the caecal microbial population is well adapted to use urea as N source. The aim of this study was to access the fermentative response of caecal microbial population to an environment where N was the limiting nutrient. For this purpose, the effects of protein (casein) and non-protein (urea) N on the equine caecal fluid fermentation parameters and microbial growth efficiency were accessed using the gas production technique.

## Material and methods

### Gas production incubations

In this study, three horses ( $350 \pm 10$  kg BW) fitted with a permanent caecal cannula were used as caecal fluid donors. Animals were fed with a diet that consisted of 1.5 kg of commercial concentrate feed offered in two meals (0900 and 1600 h) and *ad libitum* meadow hay. The diet level was based on the criteria previously defined by the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) for a resting horse housed in a box (INRA, 1990). The animals had free access to water and to mineral–vitamin blocks, and were allowed to walk for 20 min every day. Caecal fluid was

withdrawn 2 h after the morning meal into pre-warmed insulated flasks, previously filled with CO<sub>2</sub>. Caecal fluid was then strained through eight layers of cheesecloth and kept at 39°C under a constant flux of CO<sub>2</sub>. Incubations were performed using methods previously described by Cone *et al.* (1996) with minor modifications. The buffer–mineral solution was N-free and contained 10.03 g/l NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1.43 g/l Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1.55 g/l KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.15 g/l MgSO<sub>4</sub> · 7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.52 g/l Na<sub>2</sub>S, 0.017 g/l CaCl<sub>2</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.015 g/l MnCl<sub>2</sub> · 4H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.002 g/l CoCl<sub>3</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.012 g/l FeCl<sub>3</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.125 mg/l resazurin. To avoid a high input of N, caecal fluid was diluted 1 : 10 (v/v) with the buffer–mineral solution. To ensure that N was the limiting factor for fermentation, 10 g/l of rapidly fermentable carbohydrates (glucose, 3.33 g/l; xylose, 3.33 g/l and soluble starch, 3.33 g/l) were added to the buffered caecal fluid and incubated at 39°C (Cone *et al.*, 2009).

To determine when N would become limiting for microbial growth, an incubation assay was performed for 24 h using buffered caecal fluid with an excess of carbohydrates. Gas production along with NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations were measured. The results showed that a 2-h incubation period was necessary to ensure that N was the limiting nutrient in the medium. Consequently, this procedure was followed for all incubation trials.

Incubations were performed in 250 ml fermentation bottles (Schott, Mainz, Germany) using 60 ml of the buffered caecal fluid containing 3.7, 6.3, 12.5 or 25 mg of N in the form of casein or urea, designed as C3–C6–C12 and C25 or U3–U6–U12 and U25, respectively, in the results. Laboratory processing of samples was done under continuous flushing with CO<sub>2</sub>. All samples were incubated in duplicate with three repetitions in time. Gas production was recorded for 24 h, using a fully automated system (Cone *et al.*, 1996).

### Chemical analysis

Determination of pH, volatile fatty acids (VFA), NH<sub>3</sub>-N and quantification of purine bases were performed after the 24 h fermentation. pH values of the inoculum and fermentation medium were measured with a digital pH meter (Wissenschaftlich-Technische Werkstätten, pH 530, Weilheim, Germany).

Fermentation media from the gas production were sequentially centrifuged at  $500 \times g$  for 5 min at 4°C, and the supernatants were centrifuged at  $20\,000 \times g$  for 20 min, at the same temperature. The final supernatants were frozen for subsequent VFA and NH<sub>3</sub>-N analysis. The residues (bacterial pellets) were frozen and then lyophilized for subsequent purine quantification.

NH<sub>3</sub>-N was analysed according to Conway (1950). The VFA concentrations in the fermentation medium after the incubations were analysed using GLC (Shimadzu GC-141 B, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a flame-ionization detector and a capillary column (SUPELCO Nukol, 30 m × 0.25 mm i.d.; 0.25 µm film) using pivalic acid (0.4 M) as the internal standard (Czerkawski, 1976). The area for each VFA (i.e. acetate, propionate, butyrate, isovalerate and valerate) was compared with the internal standard.

Adenine and guanine were identified and quantified in bacterial pellets using a previously reported method (Reynal and Broderick, 2009) with some modifications. The HPLC used was a Gilson system (805 manometric module, 811C dynamic mixer, 305 and 306 pumps and 234 auto-injector) in combination with a Jones 7971 column heater (set at 28°C) and a Finnigan 'Surveyor' photodiode-array detector (set to collect data specifically at 254 nm and over the range 200 to 600 nm). The column used was an ACE C<sub>18</sub> reverse-phase column (250 × 4.6 mm, 5 µm). The solvents used were A (0.3 M potassium dihydrogen phosphate adjusted to pH 4 and filtered using a Millipore system with a 0.45 µm filter and then vacuum/ultrasound degassed) and solvent B (80% v/v solvent A with 20% v/v 100% HPLC-grade acetonitrile, which was filtered using a Millipore system with a 0.45 µm filter and then vacuum/ultrasound degassed). Injection volume of the samples was 10 µl. The flow rate was 1 ml/min and the linear gradients were as follows:  $T = 0$  min (100% A),  $T = 7$  min (100% A),  $T = 20$  min (50% A, 50% B),  $T = 25$  min (100% B),  $T = 30$  min (100% B),  $T = 35$  min (100% A) and  $T = 45$  min (100% A). Calibration curves with pure adenine and guanine were constructed by 10 µl injection of 25, 50, 100, 250 and 500 µM standards.

#### Calculations

Data on VFA, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, purine bases and gas production were used to estimate microbial protein synthesis, VFA production and ATP production. Microbial biomass was estimated using purine bases (adenine + guanine) as markers (Zinn and Owens, 1986). Data from VFA production and application of the stoichiometric theoretical equations (Demeyer, 1991; Van Soest, 1994; Groot *et al.*, 1998) allowed the estimation of ATP production. For ATP production estimates, 1 mmol acetate (C<sub>2</sub>) = 2 mmol ATP, 1 mmol propionate (C<sub>3</sub>) = 3 mmol ATP (assuming that all propionate is generated by the succinate pathway), or 1 mmol propionate (C<sub>3</sub>) = 1 mmol ATP (assuming that all propionate is generated by the acrylate pathway), 1 mmol butyrate (C<sub>4</sub>) = 3 mmol ATP and 1 mmol methane = 1 mmol ATP (Van Soest, 1994; Groot *et al.*, 1998). The molar proportions of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> in the gas produced should be derived, as only acetate and butyrate account for direct gas production. From stoichiometric equations it can be calculated that  $CO_2 = (C_2/2) + (C_3/4) + (3C_4/2)$  and  $CH_4 = C_2 + 2C_4 - CO_2$  (Van Soest, 1994; Groot *et al.*, 1998).

Microbial protein synthesis efficiency is related to ATP production ( $Y_{ATP}$ ), defined as the weight (mg) of microbial cells that are produced by mmol of ATP available:  $Y_{ATP} = \text{mg MS (microbial biomass)}/\text{mmol ATP}$  (Preston and Leng, 1987). Microbial protein synthesis related to the amount of gas produced was estimated:  $E_{gas} = \text{mg microbial biomass}/\text{ml of gas produced at 24 h}$ .

#### Statistical analysis

The database was analysed as a 2 × 4 factorial arrangement on an incomplete block design with PROC MIXED of SAS (SAS Institute, 1999). The main factors were the type of N substrate (casein *v.* urea) and N levels (3.7, 6.3, 12.5 or

25 mg of N) and their interaction was included in the model as categorical fixed effects. The five incubation runs (blocks) were included in the model as a random effect. Polynomial contrasts for unequal spacing N levels were computed in Proc IML of SAS and used in the Proc MIXED model. When significant interactions were found, polynomial contrasts for N levels were determined independently on each data subset (casein and urea).

## Results

#### Fermentation parameters

Table 1 presents values for fermentation parameters measured after a 24-h incubation period. Results show that the total VFA concentrations were higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) when urea was the N source (on average 50.2 mmol/l with urea *v.* 25.5 mmol/l for casein). For both N sources, a quadratic ( $P < 0.01$ ) increase of VFA concentrations with the level of substrate supplied was observed, mainly because of the similar values of total VFA production in the lower levels of N and because of the increase of VFA values in the higher N levels. Although the interaction between substrate and N level did not reach statistical significance ( $P < 0.1$ ), it seems that VFA production for urea shows a steady increase trend, whereas for casein this is not the case.

Higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) acetate and propionate concentrations were measured when urea was the N source. For both casein and urea, an increase in acetate concentrations was measured with the increase of N levels. Although propionate concentrations tended to increase with N level, this increase was different for casein and urea. Casein showed a linear ( $P < 0.001$ ) increase, whereas urea showed a quadratic steady increase ( $P < 0.01$ ). For butyrate, a linear increase ( $P < 0.001$ ) was observed when casein was used, whereas a cubic ( $P < 0.01$ ) response was observed for urea.

Higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) A : P ratio was observed when casein was the N source. The A : P ratio was higher for the lowest N level (i.e. 3 mg N) for both casein and urea. Although results showed a trend for a decrease in this ratio with the increase of N level, this decrease was observed from U3 to U6 levels in urea, whereas for casein it was only observed from C6 to C12 levels. As a result, an interaction between N source and N level was observed ( $P < 0.001$ ) and a cubic ( $P < 0.01$  for casein and for urea) effect was observed. The same pattern of variation was also measured in the molar proportions of acetate. Molar proportions of propionate showed a quadratic ( $P < 0.05$ ) increase for casein mainly because of similar values for the lower levels and an increase in the next two higher levels of N (i.e. C12 and C25), whereas in urea there was an increase and then a decrease in propionate concentration denoting a cubic ( $P < 0.01$ ) effect. Butyrate proportions increased linearly ( $P < 0.05$ ) for casein levels and had a cubic ( $P < 0.001$ ) effect for urea.

Results showed similar NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations for all casein levels and for the lower (i.e. U3 and U6) urea levels. However, NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations increased when the urea level was raised to 12 and to 25 mg of N, resulting in an interaction

**Table 1** Fermentation parameters measured after a 24-h fermentation period

S	Casein				Urea				s.e.m.	S	NL contrasts				
	3	6	12	25	3	6	12	25			L <sup>1</sup>	Q <sup>2</sup>	C <sup>3</sup>	S × NL	
Total VFA (mmol/l)	15.7	17.3	28.7	40.4	30.5	47.7	58.7	63.8	3.91	<0.001		<0.001	0.005	0.061	0.060
Acetic acid (mmol/l)	10.2	11.1	15.1	19.0	19.2	22.1	31.0	33.6	1.74	<0.001		<0.001	0.012	0.273	0.073
Propionic acid	3.4	3.7	8.7	13.9	8.6	20.1	23.9	25.6	1.98	<0.001	C	<0.001	0.081	0.255	0.005
											U	<0.001	0.006	0.108	
Butyric acid (mmol/l)	2.1	2.5	4.9	7.4	2.7	5.5	3.8	4.6	0.59	0.790	C	<0.001	0.143	0.305	<0.001
											U	0.649	0.404	0.003	
A : P <sup>4</sup>	3.8	3.7	1.9	1.5	2.7	1.1	1.3	1.4	0.22	<0.001	C	<0.001	<0.001	0.003	<0.001
											U	0.011	0.004	0.002	
Acetate Pp (%)	67.8	66.3	53.3	47.1	65.2	46.6	52.9	53.4	2.02	0.003	C	<0.001	0.021	0.077	<0.001
											U	0.033	0.002	<0.001	
Propionate Pp (%)	17.7	18.9	30.2	34.3	26.5	42.1	40.3	39.3	2.04	<0.001	C	<0.001	0.011	0.105	<0.001
											U	0.003	0.001	0.001	
Butyrate Pp (%)	14.5	14.9	16.6	18.6	8.3	11.3	6.8	7.1	1.25	<0.001	C	0.029	0.946	0.818	0.005
											U	<0.001	0.732	<0.001	
NH <sub>3</sub> -N <sup>5</sup> (mg/100 ml)	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.3	1.8	3.0	9.2	0.22	<0.001	C	0.180	0.007	0.034	<0.001
											U	<0.001	<0.001	0.331	
pH	5.5	5.6	5.8	6.2	5.8	5.7	5.5	5.8	0.12	0.240	C	<0.001	0.059	<0.001	0.007
											U	0.558	0.120	0.862	

S = substrate; NL = N level; VFA = volatile fatty acid.

Values with different superscripts in the same line are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

<sup>1</sup>L – linear.

<sup>2</sup>Q – quadratic.

<sup>3</sup>C – cubic.

<sup>4</sup>A : P – acetate : propionate ratio.

<sup>5</sup>NH<sub>3</sub>-N – ammonia nitrogen.

**Table 2** Cumulative gas production (ml) at 6, 12 and 24 hours of fermentation

S	Casein				Urea				s.e.m.	S	NL contrasts				
	3	6	12	25	3	6	12	25			L <sup>1</sup>	Q <sup>2</sup>	C <sup>3</sup>	S × NL	
Gas 6 h (ml)	52.8	55.7	53.2	51.5	46.9	52.4	53.6	50.1	3.14	0.107		0.763	0.105	0.205	0.523
Gas 12 h (ml)	67.7	67.7	64.9	70.7	69.1	73.2	75.3	74.3	2.62	0.001		0.097	0.934	0.429	0.179
Gas 24 h (ml)	95.1	88.5	91.0	112.6	89.0	103.2	110.0	115.3	3.96	0.010	C	<0.001	0.021	0.113	0.0112
											U	<0.001	0.002	0.08	

S = substrate; NL = N level.

<sup>1</sup>L – linear.

<sup>2</sup>Q – quadratic.

<sup>3</sup>C – cubic.

( $P < 0.001$ ) between N source and N level. There was no influence of N source on the pH of the fermentation media. However, pH values increased for the highest casein level, whereas no differences for urea levels were observed, leading to an interaction ( $P < 0.01$ ) between N source and N level.

#### Gas production

Table 2 shows the gas production values obtained during the *in vitro* fermentation with increasing levels of casein and urea. The volume of gas produced after 6 h of incubation was similar for both N sources and N levels. However, at 12 h of incubation, urea showed higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) gas production, but no influence of N level was observed. Gas production at

24 h was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) for urea, and showed a quadratic increase with N level for both casein ( $P < 0.05$ ) and urea ( $P < 0.01$ ); nevertheless, urea showed a steady increase, whereas this was not the case for casein.

#### Efficiency of microbial growth

Estimates of microbial biomass, ATP(S), ATP(A) and efficiency of microbial growth related to ATP availability ( $Y_{ATP}(S)$  and  $Y_{ATP}(A)$ ) and to gas production ( $E_{gas}$ ) are presented in Table 3. By relating microbial biomass (mg) with the estimated ATP produced (mmol), estimates of efficiency of microbial growth ( $Y_{ATP}$ ) were calculated for both succinate ( $Y_{ATP}(S)$ ) and acrylate ( $Y_{ATP}(A)$ ) metabolic pathway.

**Table 3** Microbial biomass (mg), estimated ATP yield (mmol),  $Y_{ATP}$  and efficiency of microbial growth related to gas production ( $E_{gas}$ ) after a 24-h fermentation period

S	Casein				Urea				s.e.m.	S	NL contrasts				
	3	6	12	25	3	6	12	25			L <sup>1</sup>	Q <sup>2</sup>	C <sup>3</sup>	S × NL	
Microbial biomass (mg)	59	105	116	92	95	72	95	92	10.2	0.485	C	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.002
ATP <sub>S</sub> (mmol) <sup>4</sup>	2.53	2.80	4.73	6.71	4.87	7.78	9.39	10.22	0.643	<0.001	U	0.219	0.233	0.026	0.059
ATP <sub>A</sub> (mmol) <sup>5</sup>	2.12	2.36	3.68	5.04	3.83	5.37	6.52	7.16	0.429	<0.001	C	<0.001	0.005	0.589	0.216
$Y_{ATP(S)}$ <sup>6</sup>	26.3	38.5	24.3	13.9	23.9	9.9	10.3	10.1	2.70	<0.001	C	<0.001	0.059	<0.001	0.005
$Y_{ATP(A)}$ <sup>7</sup>	30.2	44.5	30.9	18.4	30.2	14.3	14.8	14.2	3.44	<0.001	U	0.070	0.011	0.012	0.005
$E_{gas}$ <sup>8</sup>	0.62	1.20	1.34	0.84	1.04	0.73	0.90	0.77	0.112	0.070	C	<0.001	0.010	<0.001	<0.001
											U	0.122	0.015	0.016	0.002
											C	0.947	<0.001	0.007	<0.001
											U	0.830	0.073	0.010	0.002

S = substrate; NL = N level.

<sup>1</sup>L – linear.

<sup>2</sup>Q – quadratic.

<sup>3</sup>C – cubic.

<sup>4</sup>ATP<sub>S</sub> – ATP yield estimated assuming propionate is generated by succinate pathway.

<sup>5</sup>ATP<sub>A</sub> – ATP yield estimated assuming propionate is generated by acrylate pathway.

<sup>6</sup> $Y_{ATP(S)}$  – microbial growth efficiency (mg/mmol) computed using ATP<sub>S</sub>.

<sup>7</sup> $Y_{ATP(A)}$  – microbial growth efficiency (mg/mmol) computed using ATP<sub>A</sub>.

<sup>8</sup> $E_{gas}$  – microbial growth efficiency related to gas production (mg/ml).

In general, a decrease in the  $Y_{ATP}$  was observed when increasing the N levels in both the N sources. However, a distinct evolution of  $Y_{ATP}$  values was observed when N supply increased from 3 to 6 mg of N for urea and casein. The highest  $Y_{ATP}$  for urea was observed at the lowest N level (U3-23.4), whereas for casein the higher efficiency was observed for C6 (36.5). As a consequence, an interaction ( $P < 0.01$ ) for  $Y_{ATP(S)}$  and  $P < 0.001$  for  $Y_{ATP(A)}$  between N source and N level and a cubic effect were observed. The same tendency was observed for  $E_{gas}$ ; however, for casein, the highest value was observed at C12 (1.34).

## Discussion

Molar percentages of VFA are generally within values reported for the large intestine of horses. A propionate-directed fermentation would be expected as the presence of rapidly fermentable carbohydrates in the medium would enhance the growth of the non-fibrolitic bacterial population. Results, herein presented, should take into account the decrease of pH to values lower than it would be expected in these type of cultures. Limitations in the buffer capacity because of the excess of rapidly fermentable carbohydrates in the medium should be analysed in future studies.

When casein is the sole N source, amylolytic bacteria with proteolytic activity can likely prevail. There is evidence that caecal bacteria have proteolytic activity (Maczulak *et al.*, 1985) and that the growth of the proteolytic microbial population can be stimulated by soya supplementation (Julliand and Tisserand, 1992). In this study, the increasing levels of casein as the sole source of N could have promoted a higher development of this microbial population, explaining

the relatively constant  $NH_3-N$  concentrations. Casein fermentation was generally characterized by lower total VFA,  $NH_3-N$ , total gas production and by a higher  $Y_{ATP}$  and A : P. These results support the previous idea of an adaptation of the microbial population to an environment with low nutrient limitation to microbial growth. In ruminants, one of the major factors that influence microbial cell synthesis is the availability and/or concentration in rumen fluid of precursors (glucose, amino acids, nucleic acids, peptides, ammonia and minerals Preston and Leng, 1987). The higher  $Y_{ATP}$  values for casein (24.3 on average) can be a reflection of the lower VFA production and ATP estimates, indicating that a higher percentage of fermentable OM is converted into microbial cells. Higher microbial growth efficiency has been associated with protein N by several authors working with rumen bacteria. Maeng *et al.* (1976), using rumen wash cell suspensions *in vitro*, referred to a marked increase in microbial growth when casein was added to the incubation medium. The same authors also reported that when urea was the sole source of N, growth was likely depressed because of a lack of required amino acids. Argyle and Baldwin (1989) reported that *in vitro* batch cultures of mixed rumen bacteria grew 60% to 400% more efficiently when amino acids or peptides were present. Nevertheless, we should point out that ATP production can be influenced by several factors, namely low pH and amount of microbial protein synthesis, and *in vitro* batch cultures may be more prone to these effects.

Growth efficiency ( $Y_{ATP}$ ) estimated with either metabolic pathways (acrylate or succinate) reached a peak at C6 with a large decrease for higher casein levels. One possible explanation for this is the fact that, although casein is a soluble N source and therefore immediately available in the

environment, microorganisms have to break it down into amino acids and ammonia in order to incorporate it. Slowing down peptide breakdown would decrease the conversion of protein N to amino acids, and hence ammonia. Broderick and Wallace (1988) observed that peptides accumulate in the rumen of sheep fed casein as a protein supplement, but the same did not occur when a more slowly degradable protein (egg albumin) was used, concluding that rapidly hydrolysable proteins could lead to peptide accumulation.

Microbial growth efficiency was lower with urea and, the higher  $Y_{ATP}$  was observed in the lower N level (U3), decreasing thereafter as the N level increased. In addition, at this level of urea,  $NH_3$ -N concentrations were also low (1.3 mg/100 ml). Kang-Meznarich and Broderick (1981), by testing the effects of increasing urea supplementation on rumen bacterial formation, refer to 8.5 mg/100 ml as the optimal concentration for promoting microbial protein synthesis. These authors refer that the patterns of bacterial CP synthesis tended to peak at 13.8 mg/100 ml. In addition, Belasco (1954) reported a decrease in the efficiency of utilization at higher levels of urea. According to this author, it is probable that the hydrolysis of urea to ammonia and carbon dioxide exceeded the rate of ammonia utilization by the microorganisms, as also suggested by Cone *et al.* (2005). In this study, this decrease was marked at the higher level of N (U25) as  $NH_3$ -N concentration increased, whereas it was relatively constant at the lower levels of urea N, probably indicating that the ammonia utilization rate was decreasing; this can also be observed by the quadratic effect observed for urea. This higher ammonia concentration may also have accounted for the gas production values of the higher level of urea compared with that of casein. According to the VFA data, a higher total gas production would be expected in the urea incubation trials. Nevertheless, one must take into account that the fast degradation of urea, leading to the accumulation of  $NH_3$ -N in the incubation medium, may have prevented the release of  $CO_2$ , in the incubation vessels, explaining a temporary lower gas production (Cone *et al.* 2005). Hintz and Schryver (1972) suggested that the horse can utilize some urea, but the important question is how efficiently and at what levels this process may occur. Results of this study show that there is still fermentation at high urea levels, although a decrease in  $Y_{ATP}$  was observed.

## Conclusions

Compared with the rumen ecosystem, very little is known about hindgut activity in the horse. Information concerning the metabolism of this microbial population and its requirements is lacking. This study presents information regarding *in vitro* fermentative responses of caecal microbial population to N sources: casein and urea. By ensuring that energy was never limiting, microbial activity and N fermentation could be characterized. Results herein presented indicate that the caecal microbial population responds differently to casein and to urea. Casein is used with higher efficiency, and promotes a higher microbial growth and

fermentative activity, as it would be expected and reported for rumen microbial population. Results obtained with urea showed lower growth efficiency; however, total amounts of VFA and gas production were higher when urea was the N source. Possible adaptation mechanisms of the microbial population to an environment where protein N is limiting, thus promoting VFA production in detriment of microbial protein synthesis, may explain these results.

Nevertheless, methodological issues should be addressed. The fact that pH dropped lower than expected in our batch vessels can be an indicator that the buffer capacity was exceeded, and could have influenced ATP estimations. This should be addressed in future studies. In addition, future studies should include microbial characterization in order to access eventual shifts in different types of bacteria.

## Acknowledgements

A. S. Santos was funded by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology Doctoral Grant SFRH/BD/31294/2006.

## References

- Argyle JL and Baldwin RL 1989. Effects of amino acids and peptides on rumen microbial growth yields. *Journal of Dairy Science* 72, 2017–2027.
- Belasco IJ 1954. Comparison of urea and protein meals as nitrogen sources for rumen micro-organisms: urea utilization and cellulose digestion. *Journal of Animal Science* 13, 739–747.
- Blümmel M, Steingass H and Becker K 1997. The relationship between *in vitro* gas production, *in vitro* microbial biomass yield and N15 incorporation and its implications for the prediction of voluntary feed intake of roughages. *British Journal of Nutrition* 77, 911–921.
- Broderick GA and Wallace RJ 1988. Effects of dietary nitrogen source on concentrations of ammonia, free amino acids and fluoroescamine-reactive peptides in the sheep rumen. *Journal of Animal Science* 66, 2233–2238.
- Cheng KF and Wallace RJ 1979. The mechanism of passage of endogenous urea through the rumen wall and the role of ureolytic epithelial bacterial in the urea flux. *British Journal of Nutrition* 42, 553–557.
- Cone JW, Van Gelder AH, Visscher GJW and Oudshoorn L 1996. Influence of rumen fluid and substrate concentration on fermentation kinetics measured with a fully automated time related gas production apparatus. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 61, 113–128.
- Cone JW, Jongbloed AW, Van Gelder AH and De Lange L 2005. Estimation of protein fermentation in the large intestine of pigs with a gas production technique. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 123–124, 463–472.
- Cone JW, Rodrigues MAM, Guedes CM and Blok MC 2009. Comparison of protein fermentation characteristics in rumen fluid determined with the gas production technique and the nylon bag technique. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 153, 28–38.
- Conway EJ 1950. *Microdiffusion analysis and volumetric error*, 2nd edition. Crosby Lockwood and Son, London, UK.
- Czerkawski JW 1976. The use of pivalic acid as a reference substance in measurements of production of VFA by rumen microorganisms *in vitro*. *British Journal of Nutrition* 36, 311–316.
- Demeyer DI 1991. Quantitative aspects of microbial metabolism in the rumen and hindgut. In *Rumen microbial metabolism and ruminant digestion* (ed. JP Jouany), pp. 217–237. INRA Publications, Paris, France.
- Groot JCJ, Williams BA, Oostdam AJ, Boer H and Tamminga S 1998. The use of cumulative gas and volatile fatty acid production to predict *in vitro* fermentation kinetics of Italian ryegrass leaf cell walls and contents at various time intervals. *British Journal of Nutrition* 79, 519–525.
- Harrison DG and McAllan AB 1980. Factors affecting microbial growth yields in the reticulorumen. In *Digestive physiology and metabolism in ruminants* (ed. Y Ruckebusch and P Thivend), pp. 205–226. AVI Publishing Co., Inc., Westport, CT, USA.

- Hespell RB and Bryant MP 1979. Efficiency of rumen microbial growth: influence of some theoretical and experimental factors on  $Y_{ATP}$ . *Journal of Animal Science* 46, 1640–1659.
- Hintz HF and Schryver HF 1972. Nitrogen utilization in ponies. *Journal of Animal Science* 34, 592–595.
- Haupt TR and Haupt KA 1971. Nitrogen conservation by ponies fed a low-protein ration. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* 32, 579–588.
- Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) 1990. *Alimentation des chevaux* (ed. W Martin-Rosset), 232pp. INRA Publications, Paris, France.
- Jansen WL, Cone JW, Geelen SNJ, Sloet van Oldruitenborgh-Oosterbaan MM, Van Gelder AH, Oude Elferink SJWH and Beynen AC 2007. High fat intake by ponies reduces both apparent digestibility of dietary cellulose and cellulose fermentation by faeces and isolated caecal and colonic contents. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 133, 298–308.
- Julliard V and Tisserand JL 1992. Alimentation du poney: importance de la qualité de l'azote sur la flore microbienne caecale. *Compte rendu de la 18ème Journée de la Recherche Equine, Haras Nationaux ed.*, 4 mars 1992, Paris, pp. 2–11.
- Kang-Meznarich JH and Broderick GA 1981. Effects of incremental urea supplementation on ruminal ammonia concentration and bacterial protein formation. *Journal of Animal Science* 51, 422–431.
- Lowman RS, Theodorou MK, Longland AC and Cuddeford D 1996. A comparison of equine faeces or caecal digesta as sources of inoculum for *in vitro* fermentation studies using the pressure transducer technique. *Animal Science* 62, 683A.
- Maczulak AE, Dawson KA and Baker JP 1985. Nitrogen utilization in bacterial isolates from the equine cecum. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 50, 1439–1443.
- Maeng WJ, Van Nevel CJ, Baldwin RL and Morris JG 1976. Rumen microbial growth rates and yields: effect of amino acids and protein. *Journal of Dairy Science* 59, 68–79.
- Martin-Rosset W and Tisserand JL 2004. Evaluation and expression of protein allowances and protein value of feeds in the MADC system for the performance horse. In *Nutrition of the performance horse: which system in Europe for evaluating the nutritional requirements?* (ed. V Julliard and W Martin-Rosset), EAAP no. 111, pp. 103–140. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, the Netherlands.
- Menke KH, Raab L, Salewski A, Steingass H, Fritz D and Schneider W 1979. The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedingstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor *in vitro*. *Journal of Agriculture Science* 93, 217–222.
- Preston TR and Leng RA 1987. Digestive physiology of ruminants. In *Matching ruminant production systems with available resources in the tropics and subtropics* (ed. Penambul Books), pp. 21–48. Penambul Books Ltd, Armidale, NSW, Australia.
- Prior RL, Hintz HF, Lowe JE and Visek WJ 1974. Urea recycling and metabolism of ponies. *Journal of Animal Science* 38, 565–571.
- Reynal SM and Broderick GA 2009. Technical note: a new high-performance liquid chromatography purine assay for quantifying microbial flow. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92, 1177–1181.
- SAS Institute 1999. *SAS/STAT user's guide*, version 8. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA.
- Slade LM, Robinson DW and Casey KE 1970. Nitrogen metabolism in nonruminant herbivores. I. The influence of nonprotein nitrogen and protein quality on the nitrogen retention of adult mares. *Journal of Animal Science* 30, 753–760.
- Steingass H 1983. Bestimmung des energetischen Futterwertes von wirtschaftseigenen Futtermitteln aus der Gasbildung bei der Pansenfermentation *in vitro*. PhD thesis, University of Hohenheim, Germany.
- Van Soest PJ 1994. *Nutritional ecology of the ruminant*, 2nd edition. Comstock, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Zinn RA and Owens FN 1986. A rapid procedure for purine measurement and its use for estimating net ruminal protein synthesis. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 66, 157–166.