

# Cell- and Tissue-Specific Transcriptome Analyses of *Medicago truncatula* Root Nodules

Erik Limpens , Sjef Moling, Guido Hooiveld, Patrícia A. Pereira, Ton Bisseling, Jörg D. Becker, Helge Küster

# Abstract

Legumes have the unique ability to host nitrogen-fixing Rhizobium bacteria as symbiosomes inside root nodule cells. To get insight into this key process, which forms the heart of the endosymbiosis, we isolated specific cells/tissues at different stages of symbiosome formation from nodules of the model legume *Medicago truncatula* using laser-capture microdissection. Next, we determined their associated expression profiles using Affymetrix Medicago GeneChips. Cells were collected from the nodule infection zone divided into a distal (where symbiosome formation and division occur) and proximal region (where symbiosomes are mainly differentiating), as well as infected cells from the fixation zone containing mature nitrogen fixing symbiosomes. As non-infected cells/tissue we included nodule meristem cells and uninfected cells from the fixation zone. Here, we present a comprehensive gene expression map of an indeterminate Medicago nodule and selected genes that show specific enriched expression in the different cells or tissues. Validation of the obtained expression profiles, by comparison to published gene expression profiles and expression in indicates that the data can be used as digital "in situ". This digital "in situ" offers a genome-wide insight into genes specifically associated with subsequent stages of symbiosome and nodule cell development, and can serve to guide future functional studies.

Citation: Limpens E, Moling S, Hooiveld G, Pereira PA, Bisseling T, et al. (2013) Cell- and Tissue-Specific Transcriptome Analyses of *Medicago truncatula* Root Nodules. PLoS ONE 8(5): e64377. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377

Editor: Ive De Smet, University of Nottingham, United Kingdom

Received: January 29, 2013; Accepted: April 12, 2013; Published: May 29, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Limpens et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by the the Dutch Organization for Scientific Research (NWO grant 3184319448). Helge Küster and Jörg D. Becker acknowledge support from the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia project PTDC/AGR–GPL/70592/2006. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## Introduction

Legume plants have the unique ability to host nitrogen-fixing bacteria, collectively called rhizobia, in a newly formed organ, the so-called root nodule. Inside specialized cells of the nodule, the rhizobium bacteria are accommodated as novel organelle-like structures called symbiosomes [1]. Symbiosomes fix atmospheric nitrogen into ammonium which is transferred to the plant in return for carbohydrates [2]. This symbiosis is one of the most important sources of biologically fixed nitrogen and allows legumes to grow in nitrogen poor soil conditions, without the need of chemical fertilizer. To better understand this ecologically and agriculturally important interaction a key goal is the identification of the transcriptome changes that are associated with the different stages of the interaction and to link gene expression to the corresponding developmental processes. One of the key processes that occurs in the nodule, and is at the heart of the symbiosis, is the accommodation and development of the bacteria into nitrogen-fixing symbiosomes. Here, we aim to characterize the transcriptome of specific cells/tissues inside the nodule at different stages of symbiosome formation in the model legume *Medicago truncatula* (Medicago). The developmentally structured organization of Medicago nodules makes them an ideal system to study the different stages of nodule and symbiosome development.

Nodule development is triggered by rhizobial lipochito-oligosaccharide signal molecules, called Nod factors that activate a signaling cascade which triggers transcriptional responses that control nodule organogenesis as well as rhizobial infection and symbiosome formation [3]. Rhizobia enter the root and developing nodule through tubular structures called infection threads. Typically, these infection threads originate in root hairs that curl around attached bacteria after which they traverse the cortex to deliver the bacteria to the developing primordium [4]. When the infection threads reach the cells of the nodule primordium, the bacteria are released from the cell wall bound infection threads and are taken up into the cells through an endocytosis-like process by which they become surrounded by a specialized plant membrane and organelle-like symbiosomes are formed [5]. After the infection threads invade the nodule primordium, an apical meristem is established that continues to add cells to the developing nodule [6]. In Medicago, this meristem stays active by which an elongated indeterminate nodule is formed. These nodules show a strictly organized zonation, where infection thread formation followed by symbiosome formation and subsequent development occur along a developmental gradient [7].

Zone I of the nodule consists of the apical nodule meristem, consisting of uninfected dividing cells. In Zone II, the infection zone, plant and bacterial cell differentiation occur and this zone can be further divided into a distal and proximal region [7]. In the distal infection zone, ~4 cell layers just below the meristem, infection threads invade the cells coming from the meristem. Here so-called unwalled infection droplets extrude from the cell wall bound infection threads from where the bacteria are individually pinched off into the cytoplasm by which they become surrounded by the plant-derived symbiosome membrane [8], [9]. Next, the bacteria (now called bacteriods) divide and start filling the cells. In Medicago, bacteriod and symbiosome membrane division are strictly coupled by which symbiosomes remain single bacteria-containing compartments. In the proximal ~4 cell layers of the infection zone, the bacteria and involves a family of nodule-specific cysteine-rich NCR peptides [10], [11]. In this way the individual symbiosomes become >10x bigger and almost completely fill the host cells. In Zone III, the infected nodules cells and correlates with the induction of bacterial nitrogen fixation genes [12], [13]. Some cells originating from the meristem never become infected ondules cells and correlates with the induction of bacterial nitrogen fixation genes [12], [13]. Some cells originating from the meristem never become infected by the bacteria and these can be clearly seen as relatively small uninfected cells in between the large infected cells. These uninfected cells are thought to play an essential role in metabolite transport to and from the infected cells occurs in Zone IV (senescent zone) [15]. The different zones mentioned above, except for the meristem, are surrounded by the part cortex [7].

In the past years, various expression profiling strategies have been used during both early and late stages of nodulation to identify the genes that are associated with different stages of the interaction [16]–[25]. Such studies either focused on identifying transcriptome changes within hours of treatment with symbiotic signals, with Rhizobium inoculation, or compared whole nodules at different time points after inoculation. To establish a link between gene expression and processes in the nodule, such as meristem formation, symbiosome formation, differentiation or maintenance, two recent studies combined transcriptome analyses of wild-type Medicago nodules with that of nodules impaired in their development due to bacterial and plant mutations [26], [27]. This revealed several expression profiles that correlated with distinct developmental programs in the nodule. However, this approach does not clearly distinguish between different cell types in the nodule. Furthermore, the use of plant and bacterial mutatins has the inherent risk that genes are affected that are not normally expressed at corresponding developmental stages in wild-type nodules. Furthermore, genes that are differentially expressed in a specific cell type or at a specific stage might not be detected in whole nodule samples due to dilution effects by other more abundant cells.

Here, we used laser-capture microdissection (LCM) to isolate specific nodule cells at different stages of symbiosome development. To this end, we collected cells from the infection zone, divided into a distal region (where symbiosome formation and division occur) and a proximal region (where symbiosomes are mainly differentiating), as well as infected cells from the fixation zone containing mature nitrogen fixing symbiosomes. To include uninfected reference/control tissues, we also collected cells from the meristem as well as uninfected cells from the fixation zone. The captured cells/tissues were used to determine their associated expression profiles using Affymetrix Medicago GeneChips [22]. The resulting digital *"in situ"* offers a valuable data set to identify novel genes controlling nodule development and to unravel the unique ability of legumes to host the bacteria as nitrogen fixing organelles, which forms the heart of the Rhizobium-legume symbiosis, at a molecular level.

# **Results and Discussion**

# Laser Capture Microdissection of Medicago Nodules

To isolate distinct nodule cells at different stages of symbiosome development we used LCM, which allows the rapid and specific isolation of cells/tissues based on conventional histological identification [28]. To preserve as best as possible the zonation and histological detail, three week old nodules were fixed with Farmer's fixative and embedded in paraffin (according to [29]). Three week old Medicago nodules typically contain an active meristem at the apex, a well-defined infection zone and an active fixation zone. The quality of the RNA in the paraffin embedded nodule (data not shown). Subsequently, 8 micrometer thick median longitudinal sections were used to isolate cells from the meristem, ~4 cell layers of the distal infection zone (DIZ), ~4 cell layers from the proximal infection zone (PIZ), infected (IC), and uninfected (UIC) cells from the fixation at of the different cell types. However, as the exact borders between the meristematic cells and where histological preservation was sufficient to allow the identification of the difficult to allow the identification zones are difficult to distinguish precisely by light microscopy, it is possible that some overlap exists between these laser-captured tissues. The same holds for the uninfected cells, which are relatively small, highly vacuolated and have irregular shapes in between the large infected cells. For each tissue/cells type 3 biological replicates (e.g. different nodules) each consisting of ~50 cells pooled from 8 consecutive sections were collected and used for RNA isolation.



## Figure 1. Laser capture microdissection of nodule cells.

Panels represent 8 µM thick longitudinal sections of 3-week old Medicago nodules before capture (a,d,g,j,m), after capture (b,e,h,k,n) and captured/isolated cells (c,f,i,l,o). Cells/tissues were isolated from the meristem (m; a–c), distal infection zone (diz; d–f), proximal infection zone(piz; g–i), infected cells (ic; j–l) and uninfected cells (uic; m–o) from the fixation zone. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.g001

# Cell-type Specific Expression Profiling of Medicago Root Nodules

To determine the transcriptome of the isolated cell/tissue types we used the Affymetrix Medicago GeneChips, which contains 50900 Medicago probe sets representing the majority of genes in this species [22]. The RNA isolated from the LCM cells was amplified using a two-step RNA amplification protocol to obtain sufficient material for hybridization experiments (see methods).

Analyses of the expression levels of control genes (i.e. GAPDH), divided into 3'- and 5'-regions, showed that there is a bias towards the 3'-end of transcripts (data not shown). This can be due to the two-step T7 amplification protocol and/or due to degradation of RNA in the LCM samples. Each gene on the Medicago GeneChip is

represented by 11 probes. To account for this 3'-bias we re-analyzed the data using only the five most 3' located probe sets instead of all 11 probe sets. Expression data are available at the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO accession GSE43354). Although most probe sets on the Medicago array are designed in the 3'part of transcripts, the observed 3'-bias may affect the reliable detection of genes for which the probe sets on the array are located in more 5' regions [30].

To identify genes specifically correlated with the developmental processes in the different cell/tissue types we first selected genes that show enriched expression, at least 2-fold higher (q < 0, 1 (p < 0.01)), compared to (the average of) all other LCM samples in: 1) the meristem (M), 2) the distal infection zone (DIZ), 3) the proximal infection zone (PIZ), 4) the complete infection zone (DIZ and PIZ), 5) infected cells (IC) of the fixation zone, and 6) uninfected cells (UIC). This data set is represented as Table S1. Figure 2 summarizes the number of genes that show at least 2 fold enriched expression compared to the average of the other LCM samples.



## Figure 2. LCM data validation.

(a,b) *In situ* localization of *MtENOD12* (antisense probe) in the infection zone of longitudinal sections of 14-day-old Medicago nodules, representing brightfield (a; signal appears as black dots) and epipolarization images (b). (c,d) Promoter-GUS analysis of Medicago ROP GTPase (Mtr.35940.1.S1\_at, Mtr.15539.1.S1\_at), showing β-glucoronidase (GUS) activity in the nodule meristem. (e,f) Promoter-GUS analysis of *MtENOD8.2*, showing β-glucoronidase activity in the non-infected cells of the nodule as well as in the nodule parenchyma. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.0002

From this analysis, we next selected those genes that show at least a 2 fold higher enrichment factor in an individual/specific cell-type compared to any of the other cell types (Tables S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7). These genes will be referred to as "cell-type enriched" genes and are summarized in Table 1. In total 4999 genes show at least 2-fold enriched expression in a specific nodule tissue/cell type.

Meristem	Distal infection zone	Preximal infection zone	<b>Total Infection zone</b>	infected cells	Uninfected cells
e95	53	79	299	1909	2072
Total: 4999 genes					

 Table 1. Number of genes showing cell/tissue specific or enriched expression.

 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.t001

# Validation of Cell-type Specific Expression in Medicago Root Nodules

To validate the "specificity" of the obtained digital expression profiles and to establish to what extent the array data can be used as digital "*in situ*" we first compared the LCM microarray data to published expression profiles from promoter-reporter analyses or *in situ* hybridizations (summarized in Table 2). Additionally, we analyzed the expression profile of several selected genes in the nodule (Table 2).

 Table 2. Selection of genes with known expression profiles used for validation.

 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.t002

## Meristem vs infection zone.

First, we compared the meristem to the infection zone and surrounding cortex. The absence of *MtN13* (Mtr.33137.1.S1\_at; Mtr.37852.1.S1\_at) gene expression from the "meristem-enriched" data set, which is known to be highly expressed specifically in the nodule cortex [31], indicates that the meristem LCM sample is not



significantly contaminated with nodule cortex cells (although some contamination can be observed in case of probe set Mtr.37852.1.S1\_at). In addition, several genes that are reported to be specifically/most highly expressed in the infection zone were examined. These include for example *MtN1, MtN6, MtAnn1, DNF1/DAS12, MtRR4, MtN9/MtMMPL1* and *MtEFD* [31]–[37]. All these genes show infection zone enriched expression in the LCM samples (Table 2, Table S3), validating the results of the LCM analysis. As an additional example, we verified the infection zone-specific expression of the early nodulin *MtEN012* (Mtr.8924.1.S1\_et) by *in situ* hybridization. This showed that *MtEN0D12* is indeed most highly expressed throughout the infection zone of the nodule and not (or hardly) in the nodule meristem (Fig. 2a,b).

To our knowledge, there are currently no genes described that are specifically/exclusively expressed in the nodule meristem of Medicago. At the switch from meristem to infection zone, the meristem-derived cells still enter the cell cycle, but instead of dividing they undergo several rounds of endoreduplication [38], [39]. Therefore, we looked whether genes associated with G2/M transition/cytokinesis are specifically enriched in the meristem data set. Indeed, the cytokinesis-specific t-SNARE/syntaxin *Knolle* (Mtr.41560.1.S1\_at) and several cyclin and cyclin-dependent kinase genes that are required for G2/M transition (B-type cyclins: Mtr.31360.1.S1\_at, Mtr.31859.1.S1\_at; cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK2): Mtr.50839.1.S1\_at, Mtr.43543.1.S1\_at) show "meristem enriched" expression [39]–[41]. Among the genes that appear nodule "meristem enriched" is also the *WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX5* gene (*MtWOX5*; Mtr.33304.1.S1\_at), which is thought to also control stem cell activity in the root meristem. Recently, it has been shown by promoter-β-glucoronidase (GUS) reporter analyses that *MtWOX5* is indeed expressed in the nodule meristem-creginizing quiescent center cells, although the exact organization of the nodule meristem and stem cell niche is not known.

The Nod factor receptor LYK3 (Mtr.142.1.S1\_s\_at) also shows meristem enriched expression in the LCM data. Previous *in situ* hybridizations have shown that *LYK3* is expressed in the proximal site of the nodule meristem at the border with the infection zone, where it may control the invasion of the meristematic cells by infection threads [43]. To further confirm the predictive value of the "meristem enriched" data set, the putative promoter region of a ROP GTPase, (Mtr.35940.1.S1\_at, Mtr.15539.1.S1\_at), was isolated and its expression determined by promoter-GUS analysis. This confirmed the "meristem"-specific expression of this gene in Medicago nodules (Fig. 2c,d). These data indicate that the meristematic region as captured can be

clearly distinguished from the infection zone.

## Distal vs proximal infection zone.

The infection zone can be further divided into a distal and proximal zone based on the developmental status of the symbiosomes in this part of the nodule. In the distal part (~4 cell layers just below the meristem), after infection threads have invaded the meristem-derived cells, symbiosomes are formed (bacteria are released from the infection threads) and symbiosomes divide. In the proximal ~4 cell layers symbiosomes have stopped dividing and are terminally differentiating by which they become much bigger and fill the growing nodule cells. To identify genes potentially associated with these different stages, we selected infection zone enriched genes that are >2x enriched in the distal infection zone. These are the early nodulin *ENOD11* (Mtr.13473.1.S1\_at) and annexin *MtANV1* (Mtr.14183.1.S1\_at) [32], [34]. Both genes show distal infection zone enriched expression in the LCM array data, confirming the specificity of the captured cells. Among the genes that show a "proximal infection zone enriched" expression (Table S5) is the nodule-specific *IRE* gene (Mtr.15644.1.S1\_s\_at). This AGC-like kinase has been shown to be most highly expressed in the ordural proximal infection zone LCM data, include the phytocyanin-like *ENOD20* (Mtr.17106.1.S1\_at) [45] and glycine-rich protein-encoding genes (*GRP*s; Mtr.858.1.S1\_s\_at, Mtr.49309.1.S1\_at) [46]. These data validate the specificity of the LCM data to distinguish distal from proximal cells in the infection zone of the nodule.

## Infected vs uninfected cells

The fixation zone consists of two cell types; infected and uninfected cells. To validate the specificity of the infected versus uninfected LCM data we looked for genes that are reported to be specifically expressed in either cell type in Medicago. Recently, a basic helix–loop–helix transcription, MtbHLH1, was shown to be expressed in Medicago nodules in the uninfected cells and vascular bundles, where it is thought to control vascular patterning and nutrient exchange [47]. In our LCM data *MtbHLH1* (Mtr.10993.1.S1\_at) indeed shows specific expression in the uninfected cells, confirming the reported promoter-GUS data. To further validate the uninfected cell LCM data we checked the expression pattern of *MtENOD8.2* (Mtr.8511.1.S1\_at), which shows anunifected cell "specific" expression from the LCM data. ENOD8.2, like its close homolog ENOD8.1, belongs to the GDSL family of lipase and esterase proteins [48]. The putative promoter-region of *MtENOD8.2* (Fig. 2e,f). Additionally, *ENOD8.2* was found to be expressed in the nodule parenchyma. Therefore, the uninfected cell enriched data set presented here offers an important insight into this essential nodule cell type (Table S7).

Several genes have been reported that show specific/highly enriched expression in the infected cells of the fixation zone. These include: Leghemoglobin genes [13], aquaporin Nodulin-26 [49], Nodulin-25 [50], sulfate transporter SST1 [51], NCRs including for example NCR001/NCR035 [11], [52], and Calmodulin-like/CaML genes [53]. All these genes indeed show enriched expression in the LCM infected cells from the fixation zone (Table 2, Table S6) confirming the specificity of the LCM data.

## Cell/Tissue-specific Characteristics of Gene Expression in Medicago Root Nodules

Next, we examined the nodule cell/tissue-specific transcriptomes for characteristics that may be linked to the specific processes that occur in these cell types, with a special focus on symbiosome development and function.

## "Infection zone enriched".

The infection zone data set may contain numerous candidate genes that control the formation and development of symbiosomes. The nodule-specific signal peptidase subunit MtDNF1/DAS12, the putative metallo-peptidase MtMMPL1 and the AP2/ERF transcription factor MtEFD have indeed been shown to control infection and symbiosome development in this part of the nodule. MtEFD has been shown to be able to induce the expression of the A-type cytokinin response regulator *MtRR4*, which is thought to negatively regulate cytokinin signaling [36]. *MtR4* (Mtr.9656.1.S1\_at) indeed shows specific expression in the infection zone, with highest expression in the proximal part (see transcriptional regulators below). Therefore, downregulation of cytokinin signaling in the infection zone may be required for proper differentiation of symbiosome and nodule cells.

Terminal symbiosome differentiation is triggered by nodule-specific cysteine-rich peptides (NCRs) that resemble antimicrobial peptides. These NCRs contain a Nterminal signal peptide, which is processed by a nodule specific signal peptidase complex containing DNF1 that is active in the infection zone of the nodule, by which these peptides are targeted to the symbiosomes via a secretory pathway [11], [37]. Most NCR peptides are specifically induced in the infected cells of the infection zone, as also determined by *in situ* hybridization or promoter-GUS analysis [11], [52]. However, several NCR encoding genes (Mtr.35829.1.S1\_at, Mtr.3719.1.S1\_at) are specifically enriched in the infection zone, of which most tend to be higher expressed in the proximal part of the infection zone where terminal differentiation is observed (see also "proximal enriched" below). These NCRs may be key candidates that initiate the bacterial differentiation process.

## "Distal infection zone enriched".

To identify "distal infection zone enriched" genes we selected infection zone enriched genes that are >2x enriched in the distal infection zone compared to the proximal infection zone (Table S4). Among these genes are *ENOD11* (Mtr.13473.1.S1\_at), *ERN1* (Mtr.7556.1.S1\_at), *ERN2* (Mtr.43947.1.S1\_at) and *MtN2* (Mtr.3197.1.S1\_at) [16], [34], [53], [54]. It has been shown that the AP2/ERF transcription factors ERN1 and ERN2 function in the Nod factor signaling pathway and bind to a conserved motif GCAGGCC (NF-box) in the promoter region of *ENOD11* where they act as transcriptional activators [53]. MtERN1 has been shown to be required for infection thread growth in the epidermis [55]. Therefore, it can be hypothesized that ERN1 similarly controls infection events in the distal infection zone of the nodule through the activation of specific genes. It is known that the rhizobial *nod* genes involved in Nod factor production are still expressed by rhizobia inside infection threads in the distal infection zone of the nodule [56], [57], where also the Nod factor receptors are expressed [43] and that they are switched off as soon as the bacteria are released into the cells [58]. This suggests that Nod factor (NF) perception and signaling occur in these cells. To investigate whether this is also reflected in the LCM expression data, we compared the induction of genes 24 hours after NF treatment in the root (reported by [25]) with the genes specifically enriched in the apical part of nodule. This showed that ~20% (10 genes) of the "distal infection zone specific" genes are also induced 24 hours after NF treatment in plantlet roots (Table S8), whereas only 1,5% (13) of the meristem specific genes or 4% (3) proximal infection zone. However, overall, <10% of factor treatment there. This supports the hypothesis that NF signaling occurs at the transition from the meristem to the distal infection zone. However, overall, <10% of the 28 NF-induced genes show specific apres are specifically induced in root tissues.

An interesting gene that shows "specific" expression in the distal infection zone is the Medicago ortholog (Mtr.26489.1.S1\_at) of a recently identified pectate lyase (LjNPL) in *Lotus japonicus*. LjNPL was shown to control infection thread formation revealing that the plant actively contributes to plant cell wall degradation to facilitate rhizobial infection [59]. Therefore, MtNPL may also be involved in infection thread formation in the nodule and/or the formation of unwalled infection droplets to allow symbiosome formation. The putative *MtNPL* promoter region does not contain a conserved NF-box, indicating that different/additional transcription factors control the induction of this gene, such as the putative transcription factor NIN which was shown to bind to the *LjNPL* promoter [59].

Another component that is implicated in rhizobial infection is the ARP2/3 complex which controls actin polymerization. Mutations in the SCAR/WAVE complex, involved in the activation of the ARP2/3 complex, block infection by rhizobia [60]. Among the distal infection zone enriched genes is a subunit of the ARP2/3 complex (Mtr.37170.1.S1\_at). Interestingly, an ortholog of this subunit was recently shown in Lotus to control rhizobial infection [61]. Therefore, control of the actin cytoskeleton likely also plays a key role in the nodule to control infection thread formation and possibly symbiosome formation [62].

One of the most specifically expressed genes in the distal infection zone encodes a putative protease inhibitor, Mtr.35511.1.S1\_at. This gene is also highly induced in arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) roots, specifically in cells containing arbuscules [63]. It has recently become clear that rhizobia recruited the signaling pathway, including lipo-chitooligosaccharide signal molecules and receptor, from the ancient AM symbiosis to establish an intracellular symbiotic interface [64], [65]. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that this protease inhibitor is involved in the intracellular accommodation of both symbions. However, despite the shared signaling pathway there is overall only a limited overlap in genes that show enriched expression in mycorrhized roots and symbiosome containing nodule cells (Table S13).

## "Proximal infection zone enriched".

Among the genes that show a "proximal infection zone enriched" expression (Table S5) is the nodule-specific AGC kinase gene *IRE* (Mtr.15644.1.S1\_s\_at) [44]. AGC kinases are key regulators of cell growth and MtIRE could potentially play an important role in symbiosome and/or nodule cell enlargement, possibly through the regulation of vesicle trafficking or cytoskeletal organization [66]. A list of (receptor-like) kinases enriched in the different cells or tissues is represented in Table S9.

Another striking (distal and) proximal infection zone specific gene is a close homolog of the CLAVATA1-related AtBAM3 receptor-like kinase (Mtr.4752.1.S1\_at). In Arabidopsis BAM kinases regulate meristem function at shoot and flower meristems through complex interactions with CLAVATA signaling [67]. CLE peptides have been identified as ligands for such receptor-like kinases and another CLAVATA1 homolog, in Medicago called SUNN, has been shown to control nodule number in the process of autoregulation of nodule numbers [68]. It is therefore tempting to speculate that MtBAM3 plays a role in the perception of CLE peptides (such as the recently identified MtCLE12) in the nodule to control the balance between cell proliferation and differentiation.

As mentioned above, terminal symbiosome differentiation is triggered by nodule-specific NCR peptides [11]. Several NCR peptides appear most highly induced in the proximal part of the infection zone coinciding with the induction of symbiosome differentiation. These include: Mtr.4538.1.S1\_at, Mtr.48527.1.S1\_at and Mtr.10836.1.S1\_at. Most of these NCR genes, including the infection zone-enriched NCRs Mtr.35829.1.S1\_at, Mtr.29559.1.S1\_at, Mtr.37119.1.S1\_at, already show enriched expression in the distal infection zone. Therefore, these NCR's may be key NCR peptides to initiate symbiosome differentiation.

Several genes involved in cytokinin signaling show highest expression in the proximal infection zone. These include a histidine phosphotransfer encoding gene (Mtr.11120.1.S1\_at), two cytokinin-specific phosphoribohydrolase *LOGs* (Mtr.39530.1.S1\_at, Mtr.50458.1.S1\_at) which activate cytokinins [69], as well as two A-type RR genes (Mtr.9656.1.S1\_at (*MtRR4*), Mtr.17273.1.S1\_s\_at) and a cytokinin oxidase (Mtr.14413.1.S1\_at) that negatively regulate cytokinin signaling. Therefore, we speculate that cytokinin signaling is tightly regulated in the (proximal) infection zone of the nodule to control the proper differentiation of nodule cells and symbiosomes.

## "Infected cell enriched".

Among the genes that show enrichment in the infected cells of the fixation zone is the essential Nod factor signaling gene *DMI1* (Mtr.19417.1.S1\_at, Mtr.124.1.S1\_s\_at), which encodes a putative cation channel that is required to induce calcium-spiking upon Nod factor perception in the epidermis [70], [71]. DMI1 was also identified by Moreau and co-workers [27] as a late expressed gene in their transcriptome analyses. Furthermore, the interacting protein of DMI3, *IPD3* (Mtr.3453.1.S1\_s\_at) is most highly expressed in the infected cells of the fixation zone, as confirmed by promoter-reporter analyses [72], [73]. Also DMI3 was shown to be expressed throughout the infection zone up to the fixation zone [74]. This indicates that several components of the Nod factor signaling pathway are also active at relatively late stages in the nodules, most likely to control symbiosome development [73]. As the bacterial *nod* genes are not active in these cells it suggests that additional mechanisms, independent of Nod factor perception, are able to activate DMI3 in these cells.

A functional nitrogen-fixing symbiosis requires the efficient transport of metabolites to, and from, the nitrogen-fixing symbiosomes. The bacteroids require carbohydrates from the plant, which are mainly supplied in the form of dicarboxylic acids, especially malate [14]. In pea it has been shown that bacteroids also need to be supplied by branched-chain amino acids [75]. How these components are transported across the symbiosome membrane, resembling transport to the apoplast [9], is currently not known. Additional minerals that need to be supplied by the host cells are for example zinc, iron, magnesium and sulfate. Most of these components will need specific transporters on the symbiosome membrane to be transported to the bacteroids, as exemplified by the sulfate transporter SS71 (Mtr.37708.1.S1\_at) [51]. However, in most cases the transporters involved are not known. Therefore, we searched for putative transporters that are specifically enriched in the infected cells containing nitrogen-fixing symbiosomes (based on Mapman and GO classification). These "infected cell enriched" transporters are summarized in Table S10. Among the genes are putative candidates for the transport of malate (Mtr.13956.1.S1\_at), zinc (Mtr.41323.1.S1\_at, Mtr.32958.1.S1\_at), nitrate (Mtr.40270.1.S1\_at), potassium (Mtr.9837.1.S1\_at) and several aquaporin-like proteins potentially transporting ammonium [76].

## "Meristem enriched".

The nodule meristem was captured to serve as one of the uninfected reference/control tissues for the infected nodule cell types. However, in addition, the meristem enriched transcriptome gives first insight into molecular players that controls its organization.

Among the genes that appear nodule "meristem enriched" (Table S2) are many genes that are associated with meristematic/dividing cells. These include the *WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX5* gene (*MtWOX5*; Mtr.33304.1.S1\_at), *SCARECROW* (*MtSCR*; Mtr.39371.1.S1\_at) and *BABY BOOM* gene (*MtBBM*; Mtr.21627.1.S1\_at) which are known to control stem cell activity in the root meristem [77], [78]. This supports the hypothesis that nodule formation recruits a program involved in lateral root formation [79], [80]. Furthermore, the array data indicate an important role for auxin signaling in the control and maintenance of a functional nodule meristem. Several auxin signaling related genes show a "meristem specific" expression in the nodule. These include for example: **AUX/IAA's** (Mtr.43054.1.S1\_at, Mtr.38407.1.S1\_at, Mtr.43345.1.S1\_at, Mtr.10432.1.S1\_at, Mtr.48811.1.S1\_at, Mtr.13714.1.S1\_at, Mtr.41219.1.S1\_at, Mtr.33279.1.S1\_at), **ARF's** (Mtr.26217.1.S1\_at, Mtr.35827.1.S1\_at, Mtr.31716.1.S1\_at, Mtr.39377.1.S1\_at, Mtr.24462.1.S1\_at, Mtr.44217.1.S1\_at, Mtr.40094.1.S1\_at, Mtr.41237.1.S1\_at) and **auxin** responsive genes such as **GH3**-like (Mtr.6663.1.S1\_at, Mtr.40094.1.S1\_at, Mtr.41237.1.S1\_at) and **auxin** responsive genes such as **GH3**-like (Mtr.6663.1.S1\_at, Mtr.40094.1.S1\_at, Mtr.41237.1.S1\_at) and **auxin** responsive promoters in the nodule meristem salso suggested from the activation of auxin responsive promoters in the nodule meristem salso suggested from the activation of auxin

of the genes that is highly expressed in the nodule meristem is the Medicago ortholog (Mtr.43054.1.S1\_at) of *IAA14/SLR* (*SOLITA/RY ROOT*), which has been shown to control lateral root formation [82], [83]. In Arabidopsis, a stabilizing mutation in IAA14 blocks lateral root formation by inhibiting the auxin response factors ARF7 and ARF19 [82], [84]. Interestingly, several mutants, such as the pea *cochleata* and Medicago *noot* mutant, have been identified where the nodule meristem switches to a root meristem and roots emerge from the nodules [79], [80]. Therefore, the upregulation of *IAA14* expression in the nodule meristem may play a role in inhibiting the switch to a lateral root meristem. However, given the number of auxin-related signaling genes, auxin signaling in the nodule meristem is likely to be a complex process involving various feedback loops.

## "Uninfected cell enriched".

As an additional reference cell-type uninfected cells from the fixation zone were captured. Although uninfected cells are thought to play an essential role in metabolite transport in functional nodules. The uninfected cell enriched data set presented here offers a first insight into this essential nodule cell type (Table S7).

Carbon derived from photosynthesis is transported mainly as sucrose via the phloem, which is thought to be cleaved/converted in the uninfected nodule cells into malate to be transferred to the infected cells [14]. In support of this, several genes involved in sucrose cleavage and transport are found specifically/enriched in the uninfected cells. These include: putative *SWEET/MtN3*-like sucrose transporters (Mtr.42041.1.S1\_at, Mtr.43349.1.S1\_at, Mtr.43685.1.S1\_at, Mtr.41025.1.S1\_at) [85], sucrose/l+ co-transporters (Mtr.21349.1.S1\_s\_at, Mtr.12339.1.S1\_at), sucrose synthases (Mtr.2239.1.S1\_at, Mtr.43674.1.S1\_at, Mtr.22018.1.S1\_s\_at), and a sucrose-cleavage protein (Mtr.43417.1.S1\_at).

Uninfected cells in legumes such as Medicago are further thought to play a role in the transport/export of fixed nitrogen in the form of amides, of which asparagine is considered to be the major exported nitrogenous compound [14]. Several genes involved in asparagine synthesis, such as *ASPARAGINE SYNTHETASE*, have been found to be highly expressed in uninfected cells in alfalfa, in addition to their expression in infected cells [86]. The LCM array data show that also in Medicago asparagine synthase (Mtr.8498.1.S1\_at; Mtr.32211.1.S1\_at; Mtr.7084.1.S1\_at) genes are strongly enriched in the uninfected cells. This supports a major physiological role for the uninfected cells in the export of fixed nitrogen in the form of asparagine in Medicago. Interestingly, the uninfected cell "specific" MtbHLH1 transcription factor (Mtr.10993.1.S1\_at) was shown to be required for expression of the uninfected cell enriched asparagine synthase (Mtr.8499.1.S1\_at), suggesting that it might bind to its promoter [47]. Furthermore, several putative amino-acid transporters, potentially involved in the transport of amino-acids to or from the infected cells, appear to be enriched in the uninfected cells of the nodule (Table S11).

Analysis of the "uninfected cell enriched" gene set indicated a relatively high number of genes, compared to the infected nodule cells, that can be associated with biotic stress or defense responses against pathogenic microbes according to Mapman classification [87] (Figure S1a,b). This supports the hypothesis that suppression of defense responses in the infected cells is essential to allow the accommodation of the rhizobia. Among these genes are several key enzymes involved in phenylpropanoid metabolism (Figure S1e,f) and in jasmonic acid biosynthesis (Figure S1c,d), including several lipoxygenase (*LOX*) genes (Mtr.30415.1.S1\_s\_at, Mtr.37265.1.S1\_at, Mtr.46864.1.S1\_at, Mtr.46864.1.S1\_at, Mtr.46864.1.S1\_at, and pea nodules [88], [89]. Jasmonates have emerged as important signals in both beneficial and pathogenic plantmicrobe interactions and show a complex interplay with Nod factor signaling and the plant hormones salicylic acid and ethylene [90]–[93]. Therefore, jasmonates may play a key role in the development of uninfected cells by controlling defense responses or by affecting the formation of secondary signals required for symbiosis through their effect on secondary metabolism or signaling [93].

## Transcriptional Regulators in Nodule Cell Types

To identify potential key transcriptional regulators in the different cell types we looked for cell-type enriched/specific transcription factors. These are summarized in Table S12.

73 genes appear to be specifically enriched in the meristem of the nodule, representing various TF families. As mentioned earlier TFs related to auxin signaling (AUX/IAA and ARFs) are enriched in the nodule meristem, as well as various homeobox domain containing TFs, which may be key regulators of nodule meristem organization.

Among the 7 TFs enriched in the distal infection zone are the above described AP2/ERF transcription factors *ERN1* and *ERN2* as well as an additional uncharacterized AP2/ERF TF (Mtr.17511.1.s1\_at). Also a gene (Mtr.1584.1.S1\_at) encoding a CCAAT-domain binding transcription factor of the HAP2 type appears to be specifically expressed in the distal infection zone, similar to the MtHAP2-1 *TF* controlling symbiosome formation [93]. *In situ* hybridization by Combier and colleagues [94] suggested that *MtHAP2-1* (Mtr.43750.1.s1\_at) is most strongly expressed in the meristem of the nodule, however in the LCM array data it appears to be most enriched in the distal infection zone. This might be due to the control of *MtHAP2-1* expression by miRNAs that could leave transcript that can be detected via GeneChip hybridizations.

Most striking among the 5 proximal infection zone enriched TFs are two A-type cytokinin response factors (Mtr.9656.1.s1\_at, Mtr.17273.1.s1\_s\_at), including MtRR4 which was shown to be regulated by the transcription factor EFD [36]. Both genes are already induced in the distal infection zone, but show the strongest enrichment in the proximal infection zone. As discussed above, this suggests that down-regulation of cytokinin signaling in the (proximal) infection zone is important to allow differentiation and the proper development of the symbiosomes.

Among the 54 putative TFs that are specifically enriched in the infected cells of the fixation zone, there may be key regulators of the infected cell-specific transporter genes (Table S9) and of the characteristic metabolism that facilitates rhizobial nitrogen-fixation. Strikingly, almost 3 times more TFs (143) appear to be specifically enriched in the uninfected cells of the fixation zone, including notably 16 AP2/ERF TFs, 14 Homeobox domain TFs and 7 SCARECROW-like GRAS-type TFs. This relatively high number of uninfected cell enriched transcriptional regulators highlights the important role of this cell-type in nodule functioning.

## Conclusion

Here we present a comprehensive gene expression map of an indeterminate Medicago nodule, covering the nodule meristem, (distal and proximal) infection zone as well as infected and uninfected cells from the fixation zone. Our LCM array data fit very well with published gene expression profiles and several cell/tissue specific genes were experimentally verified, indicating that the data may be used as digital "*in situ*". Many nodule-specific processes that are essential for a successful nitrogen fixing symbiosis, such as symbiosome formation, differentiation and maintenance, nodule meristem development, nodule cell differentiation (infected vs uninfected cells), and metabolite transport processes in the nodule are still far from understood. Therefore, the cell- and tissue-specific data sets presented here offer a valuable resource for further functional studies.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Plant Growth and Infection

Medicago truncatula accession Jemalong A17 was used. Nodulation was done according to Limpens et al., 2004 using a 2 ml suspension (OD<sup>600</sup> 0.1) of Sinorhizobium meliloti strain Sm2011 per plant in (agra)perlite saturated with nitrate-free Fähraeus medium. Three weeks after inoculation nodules were harvested for LCM. Agrobacterium rhizogenes mediated root transformation were performed as described by Limpens et al. [95], using A. rhizogenes strain MSU440.

# Laser Capture Microdissection

Three week-old nodules were fixed in Farmer's fixative (3:1 ethanol:acetic acid), after 30 min. vacuum, at 4°C overnight. Fixed nodules were further dehydrated through an ethanol series: 75%, 85%, 100% (4x) for 15 min. each at room temperature (RT). At the first 100% ethanol step eosin B was added to facilitate the recognition of the nodule meristem during the sectioning steps. Nodules were subsequently infiltrated with xylene: ethanol 1:3, 1:1, 3:1 and finally 100% xylene (3x); 30 min at RT each.

Next, the nodules were infiltrated with liquid filtered paraffin (Paraplast) at 60°C for 2 days including 4 changes of paraffin. After solidification, 8 µm sections were cut on a RJ2035 microtome (Leica Microsystems, Rijswijk, The Netherlands). Only those consecutive sections that contained a well-developed nodule meristem (based on eosin B staining observed using a binocular) were subsequently deparaffinized using 100% xylene 2×5 min. each, air dried and immediately used for laser capture using a PixCell II LCM system (Arcturus). For each biological replicate, 8 consecutive sections containing ~50 cells/section were collected and used for RNA isolation. Sections that showed a distorted nodule ontology were discarded. Three biological replicates were collected per cell/tissue-type.

# RNA Extraction and GeneChip Hybridizations

The Qiagen RNeasy Micro kit was used for RNA isolation according to manufacturer's instructions, with one modification: for the LCM captured cells 50 ng poly-Inosine was added to 350 µl RLT buffer as carrier RNA. On-column DNAse treatment was performed according to the manufacturer's recommendation. The amount and quality of the RNA in the paraffin embedded nodules was verified before laser capture using agarose gel electrophoresis and using a ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies). The amount and quality of the RNA isolated from the LCM samples was too low to be accurately determined using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer due to the added poly-I.

RNA was processed for use on Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA, USA) Medicago GeneChips. Samples were amplified according to the first amplification cycle of the Affymetrix Two-cycle Target Labeling kit user manual. Briefly, total RNA containing spiked-in poly-A+ RNA controls was used in a reverse transcription reaction (Twocycle Target Labeling kit, Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) to generate first-strand cDNA. After second-strand synthesis, double-stranded cDNA was used in a 16 h *in vitro* transcription (IVT) reaction to generate aRNA (Two-cycle Target Labeling kit). The generated aRNA samples were than processed according to the Affymetrix, GeneChip 3' IVT Express kit user manual. Briefly, 100 ng of aRNA was used in a reverse transcription reaction (GeneChip 3' IVT Express Kit; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) to generate first-strand cDNA. Double-stranded cDNA obtained by second-strand synthesis was then used in a 16 h IVT reaction to generate aRNA (GeneChip 3' IVT Express Kit). Size distribution of *in vitro* transcribed aRNA and fragmented aRNA, respectively, was assessed via an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Böblingen, Germany), using an RNA 6000 Nano Assay. 30 µg to 40 µg of fragmented aRNA was added to a 250-µl (final volume) hybridization cocktail containing hybridization controls. 200 µl of the mixture was hybridized on GeneChips for 16 h at 45°C. Standard post-hybridization wash and double-stain protocols (FS450\_0001; GeneChip HWS kit; Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA) were used on an Affymetrix GeneChip Fluidics Station 450. GeneChips were scanned on an Affymetrix GeneChip Fluidics Station 450. GeneChips were scanned on an Affymetrix GeneChip Fluidics Station 450. GeneChips were scanned on an

Packages from the Bioconductor project [96] were used to analyze the array data according to Liu *et al.* [97]. Only the 5 most 3'located probe sets on the GeneChip were used to account for observed 3'bias. To identify genes enriched in a particular LCM sample, genes were first selected that show enriched expression, at least 2-fold higher (p<0.01, q <0.1), compared to the average of all other LCM samples. An intensity-based moderated T-statistic (IBMT) [98] was used to calculate p-values and q-values corrected for multiple testing [99]. The obtained (relative) expression values were further analyzed using Microsoft Office Excel 2007 software. Genes that showe  $\geq 2x$  enrichment compared to all other samples were selected as "cell-type enriched" genes. Expression data were further compared to expression data obtained from the Medicago Gene Expression Atlas (http://mtgea.noble.org/v2/; [22]) and data (24 h NF treatment) published by Czaja et al. [25]. Venn diagrams were created using Venny software (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html). MapMan software (version 3.5.1) (http://mapman.gabipd.org/web/guest/mapman) was used to analyze gene profiles using the Mt\_AFFY\_Mt3.1\_0510 mapping.

The complete dataset is available from the Gene Expression Omnibus, under accession GSE43354.

## Promoter-GUS Analyses

After sequence analysis, the corresponding pENTR clones were used to recombine the promoters into pKGWFS2-RR [100], creating a promoter:GUS-GFP reporter fusion. Transgenic roots were obtained via *A. rhizogenes* mediated root transformation. Histochemical GUS staining was performed according to [100] up to 4 hours in 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH7.0 containing, 3% sucrose, 5 µM EDTA, 0.5 mM K4Fe(CN)6, 0.5 mM K3Fe(CN)6 and 1 mM X-gluc (dissolved in DMFO) at 37°C. Subsequently, the GUS stained nodules were fixed using 5% glutaraldehyde (in 0.1 M phosphate buffer) and embedded in technovit 7100 (Heraeus-Kulzer) according to the manufacturers protocol. 10 µm sections were cut using a Reichert-Jung 2035 microtome, counterstained with 0.1% ruthenium red, and analyzed using a Leica DM5500B microscope equipped with a Leica DFC425C camera (Leica microscystems, Germany).

# In situ Hybridization

In situ hybridization of Medicago nodules were performed as described by Limpens et al. [43], based on the protocol by van de Wiel et al. [101]. A 220 bp ENOD12 cDNA fragment was amplified using primers: ENOD12-iF (AGGCATCCTCCAGCAGAAGA), ENOD12-iR (ATAGCACGATTTTACACTCATACCTCATA), and cloned into the pCRII-Blunt TOPO vector (Invitrogen). T7 and Sp6 primers were used to synthesize radioactively label (sense and antisense) RNA probes after digesting the plasmids with Notl (sense) or Spel (antisense). For hybridization 2×106 cpm 35S (sense and antisense) labeled probe was used.

## Supporting Information



Column AA: Gene Ontology (GO) Biological function ID, Column AB: Biological function GO term, Column AC: Molecular Function GO ID, Column AD: Molecular function GO term, Column AE: prediced gene

function based on EuKaryotic Orthologous Groups. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s002 (XLSB)

## Table S2.

Genes showing enriched expression specifically in the nodule meristem. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s003 (XLS)

# Table S3.

Genes showing enriched expression in the infection zone of the nodule. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s004 (XLS)

## Table S4.

Genes showing enriched expression specifically in the distal infection zone. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s005 (XLS)

# Table S5.

Genes showing enriched expression specifically in the proximal infection zone. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s006 (XLS)

## Table S6.

Genes showing enriched expression specifically in the infected cells of the fixation. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s007 (XLS)

## Table S7.

Genes showing enriched expression specifically in the uninfected cells of the fixation zone. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s008 (XLS)

## Table S8.

Selected genes showing 2 fold upregulation upon 24 h Nod factor treatment, based on Czaja et al., 2012 [25]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s009 (XLS)

## (//20)

# Table S9.

Putative (receptor) protein kinase genes showing enriched expression specifically in the nodule meristem (sheet 1), distal infection zone (sheet 2), proximal infection zone (sheet 3), total infection zone (sheet 4), infected cells from the fixation zone (sheet 5) and uninfected cells (sheet 6). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s010 (XLS)

#### Table S10.

Genes encoding putative transporters enriched in the infected cells of the fixation zone. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s011 (XLS)

#### Table S11.

Genes encoding putative transporters enriched in the uninfected cells of the fixation zone. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s012 (XLS)

# Table S12.

Transcription factor genes showing enriched expression specifically in the meristem (sheet 1), distal infection zone (sheet 2), proximal infection zone (sheet 3), total infection zone (sheet 4), infected cells from the fixation zone (sheet 5) and uninfected cells (sheet 6). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s013 (XLS)

## Table S13.

Genes showing enriched expression in mycorrhized roots, according to the Medicago Gene Expression Atlas (http://mtgea.noble.org/v2/). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064377.s014 (XLS)

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Marijke Hartog for assistance with the in situ hybridizations and dr. René Geurts for helpful discussions on the manuscript.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: EL HK. Performed the experiments: EL SM PP. Analyzed the data: EL GH JB HK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: EL GH JB. Wrote the paper: EL TB HK.

# References

- 1. Roth LE, Stacey G (1989) Bacterium release into host cells of nitrogen-fixing soybean nodules: the symbiosome membrane comes from three sources. Eur J Cell Biol 49: 13–23.
- CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Oldroyd GE, Murray JD, Poole PS, Downie JA (2011) The rules of engagement in the legume-rhizobial symbiosis. Annul Rev Genet 45: 119–144. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-110410-132549.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar

- Kouchi H, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Hayashi M, Hakoyama T, Nakagawa T, et al. (2010) How many peas in a pod? Legume genes responsible for mutualistic symbioses underground. Plant Cell Physiol 51: 1381–1397. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcq107. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Emons AMC, Mulder BM (2000) How the deposition of cellulose microfibrils builds cell wall architecture. Trends Plant Sci 5: 35–40. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s1360-1385(99)01507-1.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Jones KM, Kobayashi H, Davies BW, Taga ME, Walker GC (2007) How rhizobial symbionts invade plants: The Sinorhizobium-Medicago model. Nat Rev Microbiol 5: 619–633. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1705. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Timmers AC, Auriac MC, de Billy F, Truchet G (1998) Nod factor internalization and microtubular cytoskeleton changes occur concomitantly during nodule differentiation in alfalfa. Development 125: 339–349. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 7. Vasse J, de Billy F, Camut S, Truchet G (1990) Correlation between ultrastructural differentiation of bacteroids and nitrogen fixation in Alfalfa nodules. J Bacteriol 172: 4295–4306.
- CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar

CrossRef

PubMed/NCBI

Google Scholar

- Brewin NJ (2004) Plant cell wall remodelling in the *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis. Crit Rev Plant Sci 23: 293–316. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07352680490480734.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Limpens E, Ivanov S, van Esse W, Voets G, Fedorova E, et al. (2009) Medicago N2-fixing symbiosomes acquire the endocytic identity marker Rab7 but delay the acquisition of vacuolar identity. Plant Cell 21: 2811–2828. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.064410. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Mergeart P, Uchiumi T, Alunni B, Evanno G, Cheron A, et al. (2006) Eukaryotic control on bacterial cell cycle and differentiation in the *Rhizobium*–legume symbiosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 5230–5235. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600912103. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Van de Velde W, Zehirov G, Szatmari A, Debreczeny M, Ishihara H, et al. (2010) Plant peptides govern terminal differentiation of bacteria in symbiosis. Science 327: 1122–1126. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1184057. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Soupène E, Foussard M, Boistard P, Truchet G, Batut J (1995) Oxygen as a key developmental regulator of *Rhizobium meliloti* N2-fixation gene expression within the alfalfa root nodule. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 92: 3759–3763. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.92.9.3759. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Ott T, van Dongen JT, Gunther C, Krusell L, Desbrosses G, et al. (2005) Symbolic leghemoglobins are crucial for nitrogen fixation in legume root nodules but not for general plant growth and development. Curr Biol 15: 531–535. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2005.01.042. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 14. White J, Prell J, James EK, Poole P (2007) Nutrient sharing between symbionts. Plant Physiol 144: 604–614. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.097741. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Van de Velde W, Guerra JC, De Keyser A, De Rycke R, Rombauts S, et al. (2006) Aging in legume symbiosis. A molecular view on nodule senescence in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Physiol 141: 711–720. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.078691. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Gamas P, de Carvalho Niebel F, Lescure N, Cullimore JV (1996) Use of a substrative hybridization approach to indentify new *Medicago truncatula* genes induced during root nodule development. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 9: 233–242. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Küster H, Hohnjec N, Krajinski F, El YF, Manthey K, et al. (2004) Construction and validation of cDNA-based Mt6k-RIT macro- and microarrays to explore root endosymbioses in the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. J Biotechnol 108: 95–113. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2003.11.011. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Mitra RM, Long SR (2004) Plant and bacterial symbiotic mutants define three transcriptionally distinct stages in the development of the *Medicago truncatula/Sinorhizobium meliloti* symbiosis. Plant Physiol 134: 595–604. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.031518. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Lohar DP, Sharopova N, Endre G, Penuela S, Samac D, et al. (2006) Transcript analysis of early nodulation events in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Physiol 140: 221–234. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.070326. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- El Yahyaoui F, Kuster H, Ben Amor B, Hohnjec N, Puhler A, et al. (2004) Expression profiling in *Medicago truncatula* identifies more than 750 genes differentially expressed during nodulation, including many potential regulators of the symbiotic program. Plant Physiol 136: 3159–3176. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.043612. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Godiard L, Niebel A, Micheli F, Gouzy J, Ott T, et al. (2007) Identification of new potential regulators of the *Medicago truncatula*-Sinorhizobium meliloti symbiosis using a large-scale suppression subtractive hybridization approach. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 20: 321–332. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi-20-3-0321. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 22. Benedito VA, Torres-Jerez I, Murray JD, Andriankaja A, Allen S, et al. (2008) A gene expression atlas of the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. Plant J 55: 504–513. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313x.2008.03519.x.
  - Manthey K, Krajinski F, Hohnjec N, Firnhaber C, Pühler A, et al. (2004) Transcriptome profiling in root nodules and arbuscular mycorrhiza identifies a collection of novel genes induced during *Medicago truncatula* root endosymbioses. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 17: 1063–1077. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi.2004.17.10.1063. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
  - Høgslund N, Radutoiu S, Krusell L, Voroshilova V, Hannah MA, et al. (2009) Dissection of symbiosis and organ development by integrated transcriptome analysis of *Lotus japonicus* mutant and wild-type plants. PLoS One 4: e6556. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0006556. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
  - 25. Czaja LF, Hogekamp C, Lamm P, Maillet F, Martinez EA, et al. (2012) Transcriptional responses toward diffusible signals from symbiotic microbes reveal MtNFP- and MtDMI3-dependent reprogramming of host gene expression by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal lipochitooligosaccharides. Plant Physiol 159: 1671– 1685. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.195990. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
  - Maunoury N, Redondo-Nieto M, Bourcy M, Van de Velde W, Alunni B, et al. (2011) Differentiation of symbiotic cells and endosymbionts in *Medicago truncatula* nodulation are coupled to two transcriptome-switches. Plos One 5: e9519. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009519.
     CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
  - 27. Moreau S, Verdenaud M, Ott T, Letort S, de Billy F, et al. (2011) Transcription reprogramming during root nodule development in Medicago truncatula. PLoS

One 6: e16463. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016463. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar

- Schnable PS, Hochholdinger F, Nakazono M (2004) Global expression profiling applied to plant development. Curr Opin Plant Biol 7: 50–56. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2003.11.001.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Kerk NM, Ceserani T, Tausta SL, Sussex IM, Nelson TM (2003) Laser capture microdissection of cells from plant tissues. Plant Physiol 132: 27–35. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.102.018127.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Hogekamp C, Arndt D, Pereira PA, Becker JD, Hohnjec N, et al. (2011) Laser microdissection unravels cell-type-specific transcription in arbuscular mycorrhizal roots, including CAAT-box transcription factor gene expression correlating with fungal contact and spread. Plant Physiol 157: 2023–2043. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.1111.186635.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Gamas P, de Billy F, Truchet G (1998) Symbiosis-specific expression of two Medicago truncatula nodulin genes, MtN1 and MtN13, encoding products homologous to plant defense proteins. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 11: 393–403. doi: 10.1094/mpmi.1998.11.5.393.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- de Carvalho Niebel F, Lescure N, Cullimore JV, Gamas P (1998) The Medicago truncatula MtAnn1 gene encoding an annexin is induced by Nod factors and during the symbiotic interaction with Rhizobium meliloti. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 11: 504–513. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi.1998.11.6.504.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Mathis R, Grosjean C, de Billy F, Huguet T, Gamas P (1999) The early nodulin gene MtN6 is a novel marker for events preceding infection of Medicago truncatula roots by Sinorhizobium meliloti. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 12: 544–555. doi: 10.1094/mpmi.1999.12.6.544.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Journet EP, El-Gachtouli N, Vernoud V, de Billy F, Pichon M, et al. (2001) Medicago truncatula ENOD11: a novel RPRP-encoding early nodulin gene expressed during mycorrhization in arbuscule-containing cells. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 14: 737–748. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi.2001.14.6.737. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 35. Combier JP, Vernié T, de Billy F, El Yahyaoui F, Mathis R, et al. (2007) The MtMMPL1 early nodulin is a novel member of the matrix metalloendoproteinase family with a role in *Medicago truncatula* infection by *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. Plant Physiol 144: 703–716. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.092585. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 36. Vernié T, Moreau S, de Billy F, Plet J, Combier JP, et al. (2008) EFD Is an ERF transcription factor involved in the control of nodule number and differentiation in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Cell 20: 2696–2713. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.059857. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Wang D, Griffitts J, Starker C, Fedorova E, Limpens E, et al. (2010) A nodule-specific protein secretory pathway required for nitrogen-fixing symbiosis. Science 327: 1126–1129. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1184096. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Cebolla A, Vinardell JM, Kiss E, Oláh B, Roudier F, et al. (1999) The mitotic inhibitor ccs52 is required for endoreduplication and ploidy-dependent cell enlargement in plants. EMBO J 18: 4476–4484. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.16.4476. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Foucher F, Kondorosi E (2000) Cell cycle regulation in the course of nodule organogenesis in Medicago. Plant Mol Biol 43: 773–786. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0936-2\_18. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Lauber MH, Waizenegger I, Steinmann T, Schwarz H, Mayer U, et al. (1997) The Arabidopsis KNOLLE protein is a cytokinesis-specific syntaxin. J Cell Biol. 139: 1485–1493. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.139.6.1485. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 41. Fung TK, Poon RY (2005) A roller coaster ride with the mitotic cyclins. Semin Cell Dev Biol 16: 335–42. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2005.02.014. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 42. Osipova MA, Mortier V, Demchenko KN, Tsyganov VE, Tikhonovich IA, et al. (2012) Wuschel-related homeobox5 gene expression and interaction of CLE peptides with components of the systemic control add two pieces to the puzzle of autoregulation of nodulation. Plant Physiol 158: 1329–1341. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.188078. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 43. Limpens E, Mirabella R, Fedorova E, Franken C, Franssen H, et al. (2005) Formation of organelle-like N2-fixing symbiosomes in legume root nodules is controlled by DMI2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102: 10375–10380. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504284102. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Pislariu CI, Dickstein R (2007) An IRE-like AGC kinase gene, *MtIRE*, has unique expression in the invasion zone of developing root nodules in Medicago truncatula. Plant Physiol 144: 682–694. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.092494.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 45. Vernoud V, Journet EP, Barker D (1999) MtENOD20, a Nod factor-inducible molecular marker for root cortical cell activation. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 12: 604–614. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi.1999.12.7.604. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 46. Kevei Z, Vinardell JM, Kiss GB, Kondorosi A, Kondorosi E (2002) Glycine-rich proteins encoded by a nodule-specific gene family are implicated in different stages of symbiotic nodule development in Medicago spp. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 15: 922–931. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi.2002.15.9.922. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Godiard L, Lepage A, Moreau S, Laporte D, Verdenaud M, et al. (2011) MtbHLH1, a bHLH transcription factor involved in *Medicago truncatula* nodule vascular patterning and nodule to plant metabolic exchanges. New Phytol 191: 391–404. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03718.x. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 48. Dickstein R, Hu X, Yang J, Ba L, Coque L, et al. (2002) Differential expression of tandemly duplicated *Enod8* genes in Medicago. Plant Sci 163: 333–343. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0168-9452(02)00132-2. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 49. Fortin MG, Morrison NA, Verma DP (1987) Nodulin-26, a peribacteroid membrane nodulin is expressed independently of the development of the peribacteroid compartment. Nucleic Acids Res 15: 813–824. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/15.2.813. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Hohnjec N, Lenz F, Fehlberg V, Vieweg MF, Baier MC, et al. (2009) The signal peptide of the *Medicago truncatula* modular nodulin MtNOD25 operates as an address label for the specific targeting of proteins to nitrogen-fixing symbiosomes. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 22: 63–72. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi-22-1-0063.
  - CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 51. Krusell L, Krause K, Ott T, Desbrosses G, Krämer U, et al. (2005) The sulfate transporter SST1 is crucial for symbiotic nitrogen fixation in *Lotus japonicus* root nodules. Plant Cell 17: 1625–1636. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.104.030106. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar

- Mergaert P, Nikovics K, Kelemen Z, Maunoury N, Vaubert D, et al. (2003) A novel family in *Medicago truncatula* consisting of more than 300 nodule-specific genes coding for small, secreted polypeptides with conserved cysteine motifs. Plant Physiol 132: 161–173. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.102.018192. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Liu J, Miller SS, Graham M, Bucciarelli B, Catalano CM, et al. (2006) Recruitment of novel calcium-binding proteins for root nodule symbiosis in *Medicago truncatula*. Plant Physiol 141: 197–177. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.076711.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 54. Andriankaja A, Boisson-Dernier A, Frances L, Sauviac L, Jauneau A, et al. (2007) AP2-ERF transcription factors mediate Nod factor dependent *MtENOD11* activation in root hairs via a novel cis-regulatory motif. Plant Cell 19: 2866–2885. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.052944.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 55. Middleton PH, Jakab J, Penmetsa RV, Starker CG, Doll J, et al. (2007) An ERF transcription factor in *Medicago truncatula* that is essential for Nod factor signal transduction. Plant Cell 19: 1221–1234. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.106.048264. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 56. Sharma SB, Signer ER (1990) Temporal and spatial regulation of the symbiotic genes of *Rhizobium meliloti* in planta revealed by transposon Tn5-gusA. Genes Dev 4: 344–356. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.4.3.344. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 57. Schlaman HR, Horvath B, Vijgenboom E, Okker RJ, Lugtenberg BJ (1991) Suppression of nodulation gene expression in bacteroids of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viciae. J Bacteriol 173: 4277–4287. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Marie C, Plaskitt KA, Downie JA (1994) Abnormal bacteroid development in nodules induced by glucosamine synthase mutant of *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 7: 482–487. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi-7-0482. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Xie F, Murray JD, Kim J, Heckmann AB, Edwards A, et al. (2012) Legume pectate lyase required for root infection by rhizobia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109: 633–638. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1113992109. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 60. Miyahara A, Richens J, Starker C, Morieri G, Smith L, et al. (2010) Conservation in function of a SCAR/WAVE component during infection thread and root hair growth in *Medicago truncatula*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 23: 1553–1562. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi-06-10-0144. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 61. Hossain MS, Liao J, James EK, Sato S, Tabata S, et al. (2012) Lotus japonicus ARPC1 is required for rhizobial infection. Plant Physiol 160: 917–928. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.202572. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Davidson AL, Newcomb W (2001) Changes in actin microfilament arrays in developing pea root nodule cells. Can J Bot 79: 767–776. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/b01-046.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 63. Gaude N, Bortfeld S, Duensing N, Lohse M, Krajinski F (2012) Arbuscule-containing and non-colonized cortical cells of mycorrhizal roots undergo extensive and specific reprogramming during arbuscular mycorrhizal development. Plant J 69: 510–528. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313x.2011.04810.x.

CrossRef

PubMed/NCBI

Google Scholar

- Maillet F, Poinsot V, Andre O, Puech-Pages V, Haouy A, et al. (2011) Fungal lipochitooligosaccharide symbiotic signals in arbuscular mycorrhiza. Nature 469: 58–63. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09622. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 65. Op den Camp R, Streng A, De Mita S, Cao Q, Polone E, et al. (2011) LysM-type mycorrhizal receptor recruited for *Rhizobium* symbiosis in nonlegume parasponia. Science 331: 909–912. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1198181. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 66. Pislariu CI, Dickstein R (2007) The AGC Kinase MtIRE: A Link to Phospholipid Signaling During Nodulation? Plant Signal Behav 2: 314–316. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/psb.2.4.4115. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 67. Deyoung BJ, Clark SE (2008) BAM receptors regulate stem cell specification and organ development through complex interactions with CLAVATA signaling. Genetics 180: 895–904. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1534/genetics.108.091108. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Mortier V, Den Herder G, Whitford R, Van de Velde W, Rombauts S, et al. (2010) CLE peptides control *Medicago truncatula* nodulation locally and systemically. Plant Physiol 153: 222–237. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.153718. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 69. Kurakawa T, Ueda N, Maekawa M, Kobayashi K, Kojima M, et al. (2007) Direct control of shoot meristem activity by a cytokinin-activating enzyme. Nature 445: 652–655. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature05504. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 70. Ané JM, Kiss GB, Riely BK, Penmetsa RV, Oldroyd GE, et al. (2004) Medicago truncatula DMI1 required for bacterial and fungal symbioses in legumes. Science 30: 1364–1367. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1092986. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 71. Peiter E, Sun J, Heckmann AB, Venkateshwaran M, Riely BK, et al. (2007) The Medicago truncatula DMI1 protein modulates cytosolic calcium signaling. Plant Physiol 145: 192–203. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.097261. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Messinese E, Mun JH, Yeun LH, Jayaraman D, Rougé P, et al. (2007) A novel nuclear protein interacts with the symbiotic DMI3 calcium- and calmodulindependent protein kinase of *Medicago truncatula*. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact 20: 912–921. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi-20-8-0912. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 73. Ovchinnikova E, Journet EP, Chabaud M, Cosson V, Ratet P, et al. (2011) IPD3 controls the formation of nitrogen-fixing symbiosomes in pea and Medicago Spp. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 24: 1333–1344. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/mpmi-01-11-0013. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 74. Smit P, Raedts J, Portyanko V, Debellé F, Gough C, et al. (2005) NSP1 of the GRAS protein family is essential for rhizobial Nod factor-induced transcription. Science 308: 1789–1791. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1111025. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 75. Prell J, White JP, Bourdes A, Bunnewell S, Bongaerts RJ, et al. (2009) Legumes regulate *Rhizobium* bacteroid development and persistence by the supply of branched-chain amino acids. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106: 12477–12482. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903653106.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 76. Jahn TP, Møller AL, Zeuthen T, Holm LM, Klaerke DA, et al. (2004) Aquaporin homologues in plants and mammals transport ammonia. FEBS Lett 574: 31–36. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2004.08.004. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 77. Galinha C, Hofhuis H, Luijten M, Willemsen V, Blilou I, et al. (2007) PLETHORA proteins as dose-dependent master regulators of Arabidopsis root

development. Nature 449: 1053–1057. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06206. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar

- 78. Péret B, De Rybel B, Casimiro I, Benková E, Swarup R, et al. (2009) Arabidopsis lateral root development: an emerging story. Trends Plant Sci 14: 399–408. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2009.05.002. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 79. Ferguson BJ, Reid JB (2005) Cochleata: getting to the root of legume nodules. Plant Cell Physiol 46: 1583–1589. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pci171. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Couzigou JM, Zhukov V, Mondy S, Abu El Heba G, Cosson V, et al. (2012) NODULE ROOT and COCHLEATA Maintain Nodule Development and Are Legume Orthologs of Arabidopsis BLADE-ON-PETIOLE Genes. Plant Cell 24: 4498–4510. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.103747. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Grunewald W, van Noorden G, Van Isterdael G, Beeckman T, Gheysen G, et al. (2009) Manipulation of auxin transport in plant roots during *Rhizobium* symbiosis and nematode parasitism. Plant Cell 21: 2553–2562. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.069617. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Fukaki H, Tameda S, Masuda H, Tasaka M (2002) Lateral root formation is blocked by a gain-of-function mutation in the SOLITARY-ROOT/IAA14 gene of Arabidopsis. Plant J 29: 153–168. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.0960-7412.2001.01201.x. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Vanneste S, De Rybel B, Beemster GT, Ljung K, De Smet I, et al. (2005) Cell cycle progression in the pericycle is not sufficient for SOLITARY ROOT/IAA14mediated lateral root initiation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Cell 17: 3035–3050. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.035493. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 84. Okushima Y, Overvoorde PJ, Arima K, Alonso JM, Chan A, et al. (2005) Functional genomic analysis of the AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR gene family members in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: unique and overlapping functions of ARF7 and ARF19. Plant Cell 17: 444–463. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.104.028316.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Chen LQ, Hou BH, Lalonde S, Takanaga H, Hartung ML, et al. (2010) Sugar transporters for intercellular exchange and nutrition of pathogens. Nature 468: 527–532. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09606.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Shi L, Twary SN, Yoshioka H, Gregerson RG, Miller SS (1997) Nitrogen assimilation in alfalfa: isolation and characterization of an aspargine synthetase gene showing enhanced expression in root nodules and dark-adapted leaves. Plant Cell 9: 1339–1356. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.9.8.1339. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Thimm O, Bläsing O, Gibon Y, Nagel A, Meyer S, et al. (2004) MAPMAN: a user-driven tool to display genomics data sets onto diagrams of metabolic pathways and other biological processes. Plant J 2004 37: 914–939. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313x.2004.02016.x.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Porta H, Rueda-Benítez P, Campos F, Colmenero-Flores JM, Colorado JM, et al. (1999) Analysis of lipoxygenase mRNA accumulation in the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) during development and under stress conditions. Plant Cell Physiol 40: 850–858. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.pcp.a029614.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Wisniewski JP, Gardner CD, Brewin NJ (1999) Isolation of lipoxygenase cDNA clones from pea nodule mRNA. Plant Mol Biol 39: 775–783. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Pozo MJ, Van Loon LC, Pieterse CMJ (2005) Jasmonates Signals in plant-microbe interactions. J Plant Growth Regul 23: 211–222. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02637262.

CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar

- Nakagawa T, Kawaguchi M (2006) Shoot-applied MeJA suppresses root nodulation in Lotus japonicus. Plant Cell Physiol 47: 176–180. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pci222. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 92. Sun J, Cardoza V, Mitchell DM, Bright L, Oldroyd G, et al. (2006) Crosstalk between jasmonic acid, ethylene and Nod factor signaling allows integration of
- diverse inputs for regulation of nodulation. Plant J 46: 961–970. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313x.2006.02751.x. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Hause B, Schaarschmidt S (2009) The role of jasmonates in mutualistic symbioses between plants and soil-born microorganisms. Phytochemistry 70: 1589– 15899. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.07.003.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Combier JP, Frugier F, de Billy F, Boualem A, El-Yahyaoui F, et al. (2006) MtHAP2–1 is a key transcriptional regulator of symbiotic nodule development regulated by microRNA169 in Medicago truncatula. Genes Dev. 20: 3084–3088. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.402806.
   CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- Limpens E, Ramos J, Franken C, Raz V, Compaan B, et al. (2004) RNA interference in Agrobacterium rhizogenes-transformed roots of Arabidopsis and Medicago truncatula. J Exp Bot 55: 983–992. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erh122. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 96. Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, et al. (2004) Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol 5: R80.
  - CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 97. Liu W, Kohlen W, Lillo A, Op den Camp R, Ivanov S, et al. (2011) Strigolactone biosynthesis in *Medicago truncatula* and rice requires the symbiotic GRAS-type transcription factors NSP1 and NSP2. Plant Cell 23: 3853–3865. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.111.089771. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 98. Sartor MA, Tomlinson CR, Wesselkamper SC, Sivaganesan S, Leikauf GD, et al. (2006) Intensity-based hierarchical Bayes method improves testing for differentially expressed genes in microarray experiments. BMC Bioinformatics 7: 538. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 99. Storey JD, Tibshirani R (2003) Statistical significance for genome wide studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100: 9440–9445. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1530509100. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 100.Op den Camp R, De Mita S, Lillo A, Cao Q, Limpens E, et al. (2011) A phylogenetic strategy based on a legume-specific whole genome duplication yields symbiotic cytokinin type-A response regulators. Plant Physiol 157: 2013–2022. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.111.187526. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar
- 101.van de Wiel C, Scheres B, Franssen H, van Lierop MJ, van Lammeren A, et al. (1990) The early nodulin transcript *ENOD2* is located in the nodule parenchyma (inner cortex) of pea and soybean root nodules. EMBO J 9: 1–7. CrossRef PubMed/NCBI Google Scholar