



AUX/IAAs, as negative regulators of (activating) ARFs, are probably not only subject to auxin-mediated degradation for proper plant development but also to spatio-temporal control of expression. The latter is reflected in the restricted expression domain of, for example, *IAA18* (Ploense et al., 2009), *SOLITARY ROOT (SLR)/IAA14* (Fukaki et al., 2002; Vanneste et al., 2005), *IAA28* (De Rybel et al., 2010) or *BDL* (Hamann et al., 2002). While *BDL* and *MP* seem to be expressed in the whole embryo proper early on, their expression is restricted during further embryo development (Hamann et al., 2002). How this is brought about is not fully known. We recently showed that *MP* itself is an important regulator of *BDL* expression (Lau et al., 2011), and therefore *BDL* expression might mainly follow *MP* expression. However, *MP* is expressed more broadly than *BDL*. This is most apparent at later embryonic stages and also during post-embryonic development when *MP* is expressed at the basal pole of the embryo and in the root columella cells, respectively, while *BDL* is not expressed there (Hamann et al., 2002; Weijers et al., 2006), implying that there are factors besides *MP* that regulate *BDL* expression.

The HOMEODOMAIN-LEUCINE ZIPPER (HD-ZIP)-encoding superfamily of homeobox genes is unique to plants and consists of more than 40 members in *Arabidopsis*, which have been divided into four subfamilies (Ruberti et al., 1991; Schena and Davis, 1992; Ariel et al., 2007). HD-ZIP family members are involved in the regulation of meristem activity and patterning, and also in various physiological responses (Harris et al., 2011; Zúñiga-Mayo et al., 2012). In these situations, links between plant hormones and HD-ZIPs have been reported, but these connections are often in the context of drought stress or shade avoidance (Himmelbach et al., 2002; Sorin et al., 2009; Son et al., 2010; Harris et al., 2011).

In this study, we investigate the transcriptional control of the well-characterized *AUX/IAA* gene *BDL*. We identify HOMEODOMAIN-LEUCINE ZIPPER 5 (HB5)/ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA HOMEODOMAIN-LEUCINE ZIPPER 5 (ATHB5), a member of the HD-ZIP I subfamily, as a negative regulator of *BDL* expression. HB5 might as such contribute to the spatial regulation of *BDL* expression, although there appear to be additional negative regulatory influences.

## Materials and methods

### Plant material and growth conditions

Plants were grown under long-day conditions (16 h light, 8 h dark) at 22 to 24 °C. Seedlings used for expression or phenotypic analyses were grown from surface-sterilized seeds on vertical half-strength MS agar plates containing 10 g l<sup>-1</sup> of sucrose. *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Columbia, Landsberg *erecta* or Wassilewskija was used. The *HB5* allele *hb5-1* as well as transgenic lines *pBDL::bdl:GUS*, *pBDL::NLS:3×GFP*, *LTP::LhG4*, and *UAS::bdl* have been described previously (Baroux et al., 2001; Johansson et al., 2003; Dharmasiri et al., 2005; Weijers et al., 2006; De Smet et al., 2010).

### Transient activity assays

Transient activity assays were performed as described previously (Lau et al., 2011).

### Cloning and constructs

*pGreenII/BDL pBDL<sub>921::bdl:GUS</sub>*, *pGreenII/BDL pBDL<sub>245::bdl:GUS</sub>*, and *pGreenII/BDL pBDL<sub>195::bdl:GUS</sub>* were constructed by replacing the *BDL* promoter of *pGreenII/BDL pBDL::bdl:GUS* (Dharmasiri et al., 2005) by *EcoRI/PstI*-cut fragments amplified with primers 5'-CCGAATTCAACATAATGATGAATA TCTCATCACC-3' and 5'-GGCTGCAGACAACAAGAAGAGA AAGAG-3' (for *pGreenII/BDL pBDL<sub>921::bdl:GUS</sub>*), 5'-CCGAATT CGCCATTACAAGACATATGGG-3' and 5'-GGCTGCAGACAA CAAGAAGAGAAAGAG-3' (for *pGreenII/BDL pBDL<sub>245::bdl:GUS</sub>*), and 5'-CCGAATTCAAATCCTCTCTCTCTCTC-3' and 5'-GGCTGCAGACAACAAGAAGAGAAAGAG-3' (for *pGreenII/BDL pBDL<sub>195::bdl:GUS</sub>*).

To clone *GIK p3×PF:m35S::NLS:3×GFP*, first *GIK m35S::NLS:3×GFP* was generated by amplifying *m35S* from *pGreen II 35Smini::NLS:3×EGFP::nost* (Takada and Jürgens, 2007) with primers 5'-TTTCTGCAGCTTCGCAAGACCCTTCTCTATATA AG-3' and 5'-AAGGATCCATCCCCCGTGTCTCTCCAAATGA AATG-3', cutting the amplified *m35S* with *PstI* and *BamHI*, and inserting the *PstI/BamHI*-cut *m35S* fragment into *PstI/BamHI*-cut *pGreenII KAN NLS:3×GFP* (Schlereth et al., 2010). Then *3×PF* was amplified from ligated annealed linkers 5'-AATTCGCCATTACAAGACAT ATGGGTCCCAATTCTCATCCTCTCTCCACCACCG-3' and 5'-AATTCGGTGGTGGAGAGAGTGTATGAGAATTGG ACCCATATGTCTTGTAAATGGCG-3' with primers 5'-CGATAAG CTTGATCTCTCGAGCCATTACAAGAC-3' and 5'-CTTTAT TATTTTAAATTAATACTGCAGGGTGGTGGAG AG-3', cut with *XhoI* and *PstI*, and inserted into *XhoI/PstI*-cut *GIK m35S::NLS:3×GFP*.

*LucTrap p3×PF:m35S* was generated by amplifying the *3×PF* fragment from ligated annealed linkers 5'-AATTCGCCATTACA AGACATATGGGTCCCAATTCTCATCCTCTCTCCACCACCA CCG-3' and 5'-AATTCGGTGGTGGAGAGAGTGTATGAGAATT GGGACCCATATGTCTTGTAAATGGCG-3' with primers 5'-CGA TAAGCTTGATATCGGATCCGCCATTACAAGAC-3' and 5'-CT TTATTATTTAATTAATAGAGCTCGGTGGTGGAGAG-3', cutting the amplified fragment with *BamHI* and *SacI*, and inserting it into *BamHI/SacI*-cut *LucTrap m35S* (Lau et al., 2011).

*LucTrap 4×PF<sub>36bp</sub>:m35S* was generated by amplifying *4×PF<sub>36bp</sub>* from ligated annealed linkers 5'-GGCCCCGCCATTACAAGACATA TGGGTCCCAATTCTCATCAGC-3' and 5'-GGCCCCGTGATGA GAATTGGGACCCATATGTCTTGTAAATGGCG-3' with primers 5'-GTCGACCTCGAGGGGGGATCCGCCATTACAAGACA-3' and 5'-AGGGCGAATTGGGTACCAGCTCGTGTATGAGAAT TG-3', cutting this fragment with *BamHI* and *SacI*, and inserting it into *BamHI/SacI*-cut *LucTrap m35S* (Lau et al., 2011).

*pHB5::HB5:3×GFP* was cloned by first inserting *EcoRI/PstI*-digested *pHB5*, which was amplified with primers 5'-CC GAATTCAGCATTTGGATAAAGGTGTTTGG-3' and 5'-CCCTG CAGCTTGTGGTTCGGAACA-3', into *EcoRI/PstI*-cut *pGreenIIKAN 3×GFP* (Schlereth et al., 2010), to yield *GIKpHB5::3×GFP*, and then inserting a *PstI/BamHI*-cut genomic *HB5* fragment from the start codon to the last codon before the stop codon, which was amplified with primers 5'-CCCTGCAGATGAAGAGATCACGTGGAA-3' and 5'-CCGGATCCCCGAATTCCTACTGATCGGAG-3', into *PstI/BamHI*-cut *GIK pHB5::3×GFP*.

To generate *GIK pRPS5A::HB5*, *JIT60 HB5*, and *pRSET A HB5*, *HB5* was amplified from cDNA with primers 5'-CC CTGCAGATGAAGAGATCACGTGGAA-3' and 5'-CCGGATCC TTACGAATTCCTACTGATCGGAG-3', cut with *PstI* and *BamHI*, and inserted into either *PstI/BamHI*-cut *GIK RPS5A-tNOS* (Weijers et al., 2006), *PstI/BamHI*-cut *pJIT60* (Schwechheimer et al., 1998) or *pRSET A* (Invitrogen), in which the multiple cloning site had been modified to allow insertion of *PstI/BamHI*-cut *HB5*.

*JIT60 HB6* was generated by amplifying *HB6* from cDNA with primers 5'-AAAGTCGACATGATGAAGAGATTAAGTAGT TCAGATTCAGTG-3' and 5'-TTGGATCCTCAATTCATGAT CAACGGTGGAGTAC-3' and inserting the *SalI/BamHI*-digested fragment into *SalI/BamHI*-digested *pJIT60* (Schwechheimer et al., 1998).

*pBluescript 2×PF<sub>36bp</sub>* was generated by inserting two copies of annealed linkers 5'-GGCCCCGCCATTACAAGACATATGGGTC CCAATTCTCATCACG-3' and 5'-GGCCCGTGATGAGAATTG GGACCCATATGTCTTGTAAATGGCG-3' into *Bsp120I*-cut *pBluescript*, and *pBluescript 4×mPF<sub>36bp</sub>* by inserting four copies of annealed linkers 5'-GGCCCCGCCTACAAGACATCTGGGTC TAGTGCTCATCACG-3' and 5'-GGCCCGTGATGAGCACTAG GACCCAGATGTCTTGTGAGGGCG-3' into *Bsp120I*-cut *pBluescript*.

*pHIS3NX PF* was created by inserting annealed linkers 5'-AA TTCGCCATTACAAGACATATGGGTCCTCAATTCTCA TCACTCTCCACCACCG-3' and 5'-AATTCGGTGGTGGAG AGAGTGATGAGAATTGGGACCCATATGTCTTGT AATGGCG-3' into *EcoRI*-cut *pHIS3NX* (Ouwerkerk and Meijer, 2001). To create *pINT1 PF HIS3*, *PF HIS3* was cut out from *pHIS3NX PF* with *NotI* and *XbaI*, and inserted into *NotI/XbaI*-cut *pINT1* (Ouwerkerk and Meijer, 2001). *JIT60 MP* and *LucTrap pBDL* have been described previously (Lau *et al.*, 2011).

#### Plant transformation

Plants were transformed by the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Primary transformants were selected on half-strength MS agar plates containing either 15 mg l<sup>-1</sup> of phosphinothricin or 62.5 mg l<sup>-1</sup> of kanamycin.

#### Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)

Recombinant HB5 was obtained by expressing *pRSET A HB5* in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3)pLysS; the recombinant HB5 was purified. The *PF<sub>36bp</sub>* and *mPF<sub>36bp</sub>* probes for the EMSA were cut out from *pBluescript 2×PF<sub>36bp</sub>* and *pBluescript 4×mPF<sub>36bp</sub>*, respectively, with *Bsp120I* and purified; overhangs were filled with radiolabelled dNTPs by the Klenow fragment. Binding reactions were performed in a total volume of 10 µl, combining 10 000 cpm of radiolabelled probe, 1 µl of binding buffer [250 mM HEPES (pH 7.6), 10 mM EDTA pH 8, 50 % (w/v) glycerol, 10 mM KCl] and 200 ng poly(dI-dC) in the presence or absence of recombinant HB5. Samples were incubated for 30 min at room temperature and loaded on a pre-run polyacrylamide gel.

#### Yeast one-hybrid screen

A yeast one-hybrid screen was performed as described previously (Ouwerkerk and Meijer, 2001). The *PF* sequence was used as the bait sequence in *pINT1 PF HIS3*.

#### Expression analysis

For β-glucuronidase (GUS) staining, seedlings were fixed in 90% acetone for 20 min at -20 °C and then washed twice for 10 min in washing buffer [0.1 M phosphate (pH 7.0), 10 mM EDTA, 2 mM K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]. Subsequently, seedlings were incubated in staining buffer [0.1 M phosphate (pH 7.0), 10 mM EDTA, 1 mM K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>, 1 mM K<sub>4</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, 1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl glucuronide] in a desiccator for 10 min at room temperature, and then overnight at 37 °C. GUS-stained seedlings were fixed in a 3:1 mixture of ethanol and acetic acid for 1 h, and then washed in 75, 50, and 25% ethanol for 10 min each. For microscopy, seedlings were mounted in chloral hydrate solution (8:3:1 mixture of chloral hydrate, water, and glycerol). GFP fluorescence was analysed in whole-mount preparations of embryos or seedlings. Propidium iodide was used at a concentration of 10 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Image acquisition

Images were acquired using a confocal laser-scanning microscope (TCS-SP2; Leica), a Zeiss Axiophot microscope or a digital camera (Coolpix 990; Nikon). Images were processed using Adobe Photoshop software.

#### Data mining

Protein and genomic sequence information used in this study were retrieved from the Arabidopsis Information Resource (<http://www.arabidopsis.org>), Joint Genome Institute (<http://genome.jgi-psf.org>), Brassica Genome Gateway (<http://brassica.bbsrc.ac.uk>), Plant Transcription Factor Database (<http://plntfdb.bio.uni-potsdam.de>) and NCBI BLAST (<http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>). Sequence details are given in Supplementary List S1 at JXB online.

#### Phylogenetic analysis

Protein sequences were aligned using CLC DNA Workbench 5.7.1 with the following settings: gap open cost: 10, gap extension cost: 1, end gap cost: as any other, alignment: very accurate. The phylogenetic tree was created using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) algorithm and a bootstrap analysis with 1000 replicates within CLC DNA Workbench 5.7.1.

#### Analysis of 5'-upstream sequences

A 1 kb 5'-upstream region was used for each gene of interest and uploaded into mVISTA (<http://genome.lbl.gov/vista/mvista/submit.shtml>). Analyses were performed using the standard settings (using MLAGAN alignment). Subsequently, individual comparisons against the 1 kb 5'-upstream region of *IAA12/BDL* from *A. thaliana* were optimized for each sequence by adjusting the conservation parameters ('0' for minimum  $\gamma$ -value on the VISTA plot, '50' for minimum length for a conserved non-coding region, and a minimum conservation identity as indicated for the respective comparison as a percentage). A sequence logo was made from manually optimized 50 bp promoter sequence alignments (generated by CLC DNA Workbench 5.7.1) using WebLogo 3 (<http://weblogo.threeplusone.com/>) (Schneider and Stephens, 1990; Crooks *et al.*, 2004).


#### Statistics

Details of the statistical tests used are given in Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online.

## Results

### Conserved regulatory fragment important for *BDL* expression

To gain insight into the transcriptional control of *BDL* expression, in addition to the positive control exerted by auxin and MP (Abel *et al.*, 1995; Tian *et al.*, 2002; Lau *et al.*, 2011), we analysed the *BDL* upstream regulatory region. As *BDL* is involved in crucial developmental processes during embryogenesis and later stages of development, we reasoned that the regulation of its expression is probably evolutionarily conserved. Hence, assuming this conserved regulatory mechanism, the *BDL* promoter would be suitable for a phylogenetic shadowing analysis (Boffelli *et al.*, 2003; Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2013). In order to delineate the relevant conserved regulatory regions within the *BDL* promoter, we compared promoter sequences across different species. We chose to analyse orthologues of *BDL* and its similarly expressed paralogue *IAA13* (Weijers *et al.*, 2005) in *Arabidopsis lyrata* and *Brassica rapa*, two Brassicaceae species closely related to *A. thaliana*, and in the more distant species *Populus trichocarpa* belonging to the Salicaceae; the orthologues were identified by




**Fig. 1.** Identification of a conserved regulatory element in the 5' region of *BDL/IAA12*. (A) mVISTA analysis of 1 kb upstream regulatory regions of the indicated genes with reference to the *AtIAA12* (*BDL*) sequence. Blue arrowhead/pink block, region of conservation. A.l., *Arabidopsis lyrata*; A.t., *Arabidopsis thaliana*; B.r., *Brassica rapa*; P.t., *Populus trichocarpa*. (B) Sequence alignment of a 50bp stretch of the respective 5' regulatory regions indicated by the blue arrowhead in (A) (corresponding to 245–196bp upstream of the start codon in *BDL/AtIAA12*). *PF36bp* indicates the fragment used for EMSA (see Fig. 3). (C) Sequence logo of the conserved 50bp stretch.

database mining and subsequent phylogenetic analyses (Fig. S1 at JXB online). In *A. thaliana*, *BDL* is expressed in the apical cell lineage during embryogenesis, and its expression is restricted to the stele in the main root (Hamann et al., 2002). A promoter-deletion series for *pBDL::bdl::GUS* revealed that an ~1 kb promoter fragment was sufficient to mimic the *BDL* expression pattern in the root tip (Fig. S2A at JXB online). Therefore, we focused our analysis on the 5' region 1 kb upstream of the start codon. Using the mVISTA tool for comparative genomics (Mayor et al., 2000; Frazer et al., 2004), we identified a conserved 50 bp region in the different 5'-upstream sequences of the homologues from *A. thaliana*, *A. lyrata*, *B. rapa*, and *P. trichocarpa* (Fig. 1A). Sequence alignment of this 50 bp fragment showed its high level of conservation (Fig. 1B, C).

The next step was the functional analysis of this *in silico*-identified conserved region with respect to *BDL* expression in *A. thaliana*. Therefore, we generated specific deletions of the *A. thaliana BDL* promoter. Driving the expression of *bdl::GUS*, a promoter fragment starting 245 bp upstream of

the start codon and containing the conserved 50 bp at its 5' end still resulted in normal *BDL* expression and yielded *bdl* mutant phenotypes (*pBDL<sub>245</sub>::bdl::GUS*) (Fig. 2A, B). However, by deleting the conserved 50 bp from this promoter fragment, *BDL* expression was reduced and characteristic *bdl* phenotypes were not observed (*pBDL<sub>195</sub>::bdl::GUS*) (Fig. 2C, D). Therefore, the highly conserved region that was identified using an *in silico* approach (hereafter referred to as *Promoter Fragment* or *PF*), was also relevant *in planta* for *BDL* expression. To check if *PF* was by itself sufficient for normal *BDL* expression, we fused three copies of this element to *m35S::NLS:3×GFP* (*p3×PF:m35S::NLS:3×GFP*). *p3×PF:m35S::NLS:3×GFP* mimicked the *BDL* expression pattern as visualized by *pBDL::NLS:3×GFP* (Fig. 2E, F and Fig. S2B). However, with neither constructs was it possible to visualize *BDL* expression at the very early stages of embryogenesis. These analyses demonstrated that a fragment of 50 bp is relevant for *BDL* expression and is sufficient to mimic the *BDL* expression pattern, at least in the later stages of embryo development.



**Fig. 2.** *pBDL* deletion study. (A) GUS-stained *pBDL245::bdl:GUS* seedling root tip. (B) Hypophysis division defect (vertical instead of horizontal division) in *pBDL245::bdl:GUS* embryos. (C) GUS-stained *pBDL195::bdl:GUS* seedling root tip. (D) Normal hypophysis division in *pBDL195::bdl:GUS* embryos. (E, F) *p3xPF:m35S::NLS:3xGFP* expression in a seedling root counterstained with propidium iodide (E) or in a torpedo-stage embryo (basal part shown) (F). Bars, 25  $\mu$ m.

#### *HB5* interacts with PF of the *BDL* promoter

To identify proteins interacting with *PF*, we performed a yeast one-hybrid screen and isolated several transcription factors as putative *PF* interactors (data not shown). One of these, *HB5* (Johannesson *et al.*, 2001, 2003), was chosen for a more detailed analysis because other members of the HD-ZIP family, such as MERISTEM LAYER 1 (ATML1), PROTODERMAL FACTOR 2 (PDF2) and GLABRA 2 (GL2), play important roles in plant development (Ariel *et al.*, 2007).

We confirmed the yeast one-hybrid data by demonstrating that *HB5* bound to a 36 bp subfragment of the *PF* element (hereafter referred to as *PF*<sub>36bp</sub>) *in vitro* (Fig. 1B and Fig. 3). An EMSA with recombinant *HB5* protein revealed a shift of the radiolabelled wild-type probe, and this shift was almost abolished when six mutations were introduced into *PF*<sub>36bp</sub> (Fig. 3). Thus, we concluded that *HB5* can bind directly to this 36 bp *BDL* promoter fragment.


#### *HB5* accumulates outside the *BDL* expression domain

To assess the biological relevance of this interaction, we monitored *HB5* expression with a *pHB5::HB5:3xGFP* reporter

gene. *HB5:3xGFP* accumulated outside the *BDL* expression domain in the protoderm of the embryo (Fig. 4A, B) and in the epidermis and cortex of the main root tip (Fig. 4C). Thus, the inner cells in which *BDL* is normally expressed in the embryo and in the seedling root (Hamann *et al.*, 2002; Dharmasiri *et al.*, 2005; Weijers *et al.*, 2006) are outside the domain where *HB5:3xGFP* was detected. This suggested that *HB5* is not a positive, but rather is a negative regulator of *BDL* expression.

#### *Expression of bdl in the epidermis impairs cotyledon development*

Auxin response in the (globular) embryo is important for cotyledon initiation and development (Hamann *et al.*, 1999; Benková *et al.*, 2003; Ploense *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, it could be significant that *BDL* expression gets restricted to the inner cells of the embryo (Hamann *et al.*, 2002), which coincides with the detectable onset of *HB5* expression in protodermal cells at the globular stage during embryogenesis (Fig. 4A). Because in the *hb5-1* knockout mutant (Johannesson *et al.*, 2003) cotyledon formation and embryogenesis in general are not obviously impaired, *HB5* is either not the only factor involved in excluding *BDL* expression from the protoderm and/or the




**Fig. 3.** Direct binding of HB5 to a *BDL* promoter fragment (see Fig. 1). Results of an EMSA with *PF36bp* and *mPF36bp* in the absence or presence of HB5. Mutations are indicated by asterisks and the shifted band by an arrowhead.

effect of possible misexpression of *BDL* in the *hb5-1* mutant is not strong enough to affect cotyledon development. The latter is in agreement with the absence of obvious phenotypes when altering the levels of wild type *AUX/IAAs*—despite higher *BDL* transcript levels, *BDL* protein levels might actually not increase due to auxin-facilitated *BDL* degradation.


To circumvent these problems, we expressed stabilized *BDL* (*bdl*), which is not prone to auxin-facilitated degradation, ectopically in the developing epidermis, using the protoderm-specific driver line *pLTP1* for transactivation of *bdl* (*pLTP1>>bdl*) (Baroux *et al.*, 2001; Weijers *et al.*, 2006). This resulted in mild effects on cotyledon development in F1 *LTP1>>bdl* seedlings ( $n= 22$ ). For example, seedlings with no cotyledons (1%), with only one fully developed cotyledon (16%), or with cotyledons of different size (9%) were observed, in contrast to the wild-type control (Fig. 5A–C). These defects had their origin in embryogenesis, with embryos not developing two equal-sized cotyledons or appearing cup-shaped, which contrasted to wild-type embryos with two normally developing cotyledons (Fig. 5D–F). These results suggested that *HB5* might contribute to the repression of *BDL* expression in the protodermal layer.

*Ectopic expression of HB5 rescues the bdl rootless phenotype*

To assess the repressive effect of *HB5* on *BDL* expression when expressing *HB5* in the normal *BDL* expression domain, we used a transgenic line expressing stabilized *BDL* (*bdl*) from its endogenous promoter (*pBDL::bdl:GUS*). The *pBDL::bdl:GUS* line resembles the originally identified *bdl* line and gives rise to ~29% rootless seedlings (Dharmasiri *et al.*, 2005) (Fig. 6A, red bars). *HB5* was ectopically expressed in this *pBDL::bdl:GUS* line via the strong embryo promoter *pRPS5A* (Weijers *et al.*, 2001) (*pRPS5A::HB5*) to determine if the rootless seedling phenotype resulting from the non-degradation of *bdl* could be suppressed. Indeed, ectopic expression of *HB5* in the *pBDL::bdl:GUS* transgenic background reduced the proportion of characteristic *bdl* rootless seedlings in multiple independent transgenic lines variably to a minimum of 9% (Fig. 6A). We observed rescued plants that were homozygous for *pBDL::bdl:GUS* (Fig. 6B, C). One T<sub>1</sub> plant carrying two *pRPS5A::HB5* transgenes was homozygous for *pBDL::bdl:GUS* and segregated only about 35% rootless seedlings (Fig. 6A, blue bar). Taken together, these data



**Fig. 4.** *HB5* expression. (A–C) *pHB5::HB5:3xGFP* expression (green) in a globular-stage embryo (A), heart-stage embryo (B), and seedling root counterstained with propidium iodide (red) (C). Bars, 50 µm.




**Fig. 5.** Transactivation of *bdl* by *pLTP1* results in cotyledon defects. (A–C) Seedlings with cotyledon defects caused by protoderm-specific transactivation of *bdl* expression (B, C) compared with the wild-type (A). Bar, 1 mm. (D–F) Cotyledon development is already impaired in embryogenesis in *pLTP1>>bdl* (compare panels D and F with panel E). Bars, 10  $\mu$ m.

suggested that, *in planta*, HB5 plays a negative regulatory role in controlling the expression of *BDL*.


*HB5 represses BDL expression in protoplasts*

To further support the results on the negative effect of HB5 on *BDL* expression, we investigated this relationship

quantitatively, using a well-established luciferase reporter system in protoplasts (Lau *et al.*, 2011; Niu and Sheen, 2012). To examine HB5 for *BDL*-repressing activity, we made use of the auxin inducibility of *BDL* expression (Abel *et al.*, 1995; Tian *et al.*, 2002; Lau *et al.*, 2011). Auxin inducibility of *BDL* could be mimicked in protoplasts by *p3* $\times$ *PF:m35S::LUC* and *p4* $\times$ *PF<sub>36bp</sub>:m35S::LUC* (Fig. 7A, B), where copies of these *PFs*



**Fig. 6.** Rescue of the *bdl* rootless phenotype by *HB5* overexpression. (A) Segregation analysis of independent *pRPS5A::HB5* transgenic lines in the *pBDL::bdl:GUS* background ( $T_2$  seedlings were counted). Bars for the *pBDL::bdl:GUS* controls are shown in red and the bar for the homozygous *pBDL::bdl:GUS* line with two *pRPS5A::HB5* transgenes in blue. (B) Five-week-old plant homozygous for *pBDL::bdl:GUS* 'rescued' by *pRPS5A::HB5*. Bar, 1 cm. (C) One-week-old rootless *pBDL::bdl:GUS* plant. Bar, 1 mm.



**Fig. 7.** Effect of HB5 on the expression of different *pBDL* reporter constructs. (A–C) Transient activity assays using *p3xPF::LUC* (A), *p4xPF36bp::LUC* (B), or *pBDL::LUC* (C) as reporter constructs in the presence or absence of HB5, MP, and 1-naphthaleneacetic acid (NAA), as indicated in the respective panels. Values represent mean  $\pm$  standard error. Statistically significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) are indicated by asterisk (Student's *t*-test; for statistical details see Table S1).

were fused in tandem to a minimal cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter to drive expression of firefly *LUCIFERASE* (*LUC*). This auxin-mediated induction was repressed by HB5 (Fig. 7A, B). To rule out non-specific *trans*-effects of the HB5 effector construct, we also co-transfected the empty effector vector, which had no comparable effects (Fig. S3A at JXB online). Furthermore, HB5 repressed auxin-induced expression of the full-length *BDL* promoter as well as its recently shown stronger induction by MP (Lau *et al.*, 2011) (Fig. 7C). Taken together, these results demonstrated that HB5 functions as a negative regulator of *BDL* expression *in vivo*.

To get an idea of whether HBs other than HB5 might negatively regulate *BDL* expression, we analysed whether its close homologue, HB6 (Henriksson *et al.*, 2005; Ariel *et al.*, 2007), would also be able to repress auxin- or MP-mediated induction of *BDL* expression. Indeed, in transient activity assays, HB6 repressed the induction of *pBDL::LUC* by auxin or MP essentially as efficiently as HB5 (Fig. S3B). Given that *in silico* analyses using CORNET (De Bodt *et al.*, 2012) suggested co-expression between HB5 and HB6 (data not shown), there is probably functional redundancy among HB5-related transcription factors regulating *BDL* expression.

## Discussion

Auxin plays a major role in plant development. Auxin response relies on AUX/IAA degradation to release ARFs

from inhibition (De Smet and Jürgens, 2007; Lau *et al.*, 2008; Vanneste and Friml, 2009). Next to their auxin-mediated degradation, AUX/IAAs exhibit distinct expression patterns (Fukaki *et al.*, 2002; Hamann *et al.*, 2002; Vanneste *et al.*, 2005; Ploense *et al.*, 2009; De Rybel *et al.*, 2010). While the spatio-temporal control of AUX/IAA expression is likely to be relevant for the proper execution of developmental processes, very little is known about their transcriptional regulation. To address this problem, we focused on *BDL*, an AUX/IAA involved in embryonic and post-embryonic processes (Hamann *et al.*, 1999; Hamann *et al.*, 2002; De Smet *et al.*, 2010). Previously, we showed that MP activates the expression of its AUX/IAA inhibitor *BDL*, with auxin being able to act as a threshold-specific trigger by promoting the degradation of the inhibitor (Lau *et al.*, 2011). Here, we explored whether HB5 might be an additional transcriptional regulator contributing to the control of *BDL* expression.

Many animal and plant homeodomain proteins play a critical role in diverse developmental processes, including pattern formation and specification of cell fates of many tissues (Gehring *et al.*, 1994; Hake *et al.*, 2004; Ariel *et al.*, 2007). HB5 has been described as a potential regulator of abscisic acid (ABA) responsiveness, but has not been implicated in auxin response (Johannesson *et al.*, 2003). HD-ZIPs function as transcriptional regulators that are characterized by an evolutionarily conserved HD responsible for DNA binding and a leucine zipper motif adjacent to the HD, which facilitates



homo- and heterodimerization of these transcriptional regulators (Gehring *et al.*, 1994; Johannesson *et al.*, 2001; Ariel *et al.*, 2007). Members of the HD-ZIP I and II families form homo- and heterodimers exclusively with other members of their own family as a prerequisite to DNA binding, and target similar *cis* elements under *in vitro* conditions (Harris *et al.*, 2011). For example, HB16 regulates leaf development and flowering time, and has been demonstrated to heterodimerize with HB5 *in vitro* (Johannesson *et al.*, 2001; Wang *et al.*, 2003). *In vitro* DNA-binding assays have shown that HB5 preferentially interacts with the pseudopalindromic binding site CAATNATTG (Johannesson *et al.*, 2001). At least half of such a site is present in the *BDL* promoter, namely in the *PF*<sub>36bp</sub> element to which HB5 binds *in vitro*. This supported the view that HB5 interacts with the *BDL* promoter but did not reveal the regulatory effect of HB5.

HD-ZIPs can act as positive and negative regulators (Harris *et al.*, 2011). HD-ZIPs, including HB5, have been described to be able to induce transcription (Henriksson *et al.*, 2005); HB7 and HB12, also members of HD-ZIPs, have been reported to act as both transcriptional activators and repressors (Valdés *et al.*, 2012); and HB2 has been described to negatively regulate gene expression (Steindler *et al.*, 1999; Ohgishi *et al.*, 2001). Within the clade containing HB5, the ABA-inducible *HB6* positively regulates gene expression in protoplasts, and overall represents a negative regulator of the ABA signalling pathway downstream of ABI1 (Himmelbach *et al.*, 2002). Here, we demonstrated that HB5 acts as a negative regulator of *BDL* expression, and thus might contribute to the exclusion of *BDL* from the epidermis and cortex. The transcriptional regulation of *BDL* by HB5, HB6 and potentially other HD-ZIPs, might thus represent another means of auxin-response control—in addition to the auxin-inducible degradation of *BDL*.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

**Supplementary Fig. S1.** Phylogenetic relationship of IAA12 and IAA13 homologues and AtIAA3, AtIAA9, AtIAA10, AtIAA11 and AtIAA14.

**Supplementary Fig. S2.** (A) *pBDL*<sub>921bp</sub>::*bdl*:*GUS* expression in the seedling root tip. (B) *pBDL*::*NLS*:3×*GFP* expression in a torpedo-stage embryo.

**Supplementary Fig. S3.** (A) Empty vector (*pJIT60*) does not significantly repress *p3*×*PF*::*LUC* expression. (B) HB6 represses auxin- or MP-induced expression of *pBDL*::*LUC*.

**Supplementary Table S1.** Statistical details.

**Supplementary List S1.** Sequences used for the phylogenetic and the VISTA analysis.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Johan Memelink and Pieter Ouwerkerk for the yeast one-hybrid system and the cDNA library, Melina Zourelidou for instructions on protein expression in *E. coli* as well as EMSAs, Rada Kancheva and Ender Özdemiř for help

with plant work, Ole Herud for contributions to microscopy, and Peter Engström and Claus Schwechheimer for sharing materials. This work was supported by the Max Planck Society, a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 446) to G.J., and long-term post-doctoral fellowships from the European Molecular Biology Organization (ALTF 582–2001 to D.W.; ALTF 108–2006 to I.D.S.) and the Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship Scheme (FP6 MEIF-CT-2007–041375 to I.D.S.).

## References

- Abel S, Nguyen MD, Theologis A. 1995. The *PS-IAA4/5*-like family of early auxin-inducible mRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **251**, 533–549.
- Ariel FD, Manavella PA, Dezar CA, Chan RL. 2007. The true story of the HD-Zip family. *Trends in Plant Science* **12**, 419–426.
- Baroux C, Blanvillain R, Moore IR, Gallois P. 2001. Transactivation of *BARNASE* under the *AtLTP1* promoter affects the basal pole of the embryo and shoot development of the adult plant in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal* **28**, 503–515.
- Benková E, Michniewicz M, Sauer M, Teichmann T, Seifertová D, Jürgens G, Friml J. 2003. Local, efflux-dependent auxin gradients as a common module for plant organ formation. *Cell* **115**, 591–602.
- Berleth T, Jürgens G. 1993. The role of the *monopteros* gene in organising the basal body region of the *Arabidopsis* embryo. *Development* **118**, 575–587.
- Boffelli D, McAuliffe J, Ovcharenko D, Lewis KD, Ovcharenko I, Pachter L, Rubin EM. 2003. Phylogenetic shadowing of primate sequences to find functional regions of the human genome. *Science* **299**, 1391–1394.
- Chapman EJ, Estelle M. 2009. Mechanism of auxin-regulated gene expression in plants. *Annual Review of Genetics* **43**, 265–285.
- Clough SJ, Bent AF. 1998. Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **16**, 735–743.
- Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE. 2004. WebLogo: a sequence logo generator. *Genome Research* **14**, 1188–1190.
- De Bodt S, Hollunder J, Nelissen H, Meulemeester N, Inzé D. 2012. CORNET 2.0: integrating plant coexpression, protein–protein interactions, regulatory interactions, gene associations and functional annotations. *New Phytologist* **195**, 707–720.
- De Rybel B, Vassileva V, Parizot B, *et al.* 2010. A novel Aux/IAA28 signaling cascade activates GATA23-dependent specification of lateral root founder cell identity. *Current Biology* **20**, 1697–1706.
- De Smet I, Jürgens G. 2007. Patterning the axis in plants—auxin in control. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* **17**, 337–343.
- De Smet I, Lau S, Voß U, *et al.* 2010. Bimodular auxin response controls organogenesis in *Arabidopsis*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **107**, 2705–2710.
- Dharmasiri N, Dharmasiri S, Weijers D, Lechner E, Yamada M, Hobbie L, Ehrismann JS, Jürgens G, Estelle M. 2005. Plant development is regulated by a family of auxin receptor F box proteins. *Developmental Cell* **9**, 109–119.

- Frazer KA, Pachter L, Poliakov A, Rubin EM, Dubchak I.** 2004. VISTA: computational tools for comparative genomics. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**, W273–W279.
- Fukaki H, Tameda S, Masuda H, Tasaka M.** 2002. Lateral root formation is blocked by a gain-of-function mutation in the *SOLITARY-ROOT/IAA14* gene of *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal* **29**, 153–168.
- Gehring WJ, Affolter M, Bürglin T.** 1994. Homeodomain proteins. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* **63**, 487–526.
- Hake S, Smith HMS, Holtan H, Magnani E, Mele G, Ramirez J.** 2004. The role of *knox* genes in plant development. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* **20**, 125–151.
- Hamann T, Benkova E, Bäurle I, Kientz M, Jürgens G.** 2002. The *Arabidopsis* *BODENLOS* gene encodes an auxin response protein inhibiting MONOPTEROS-mediated embryo patterning. *Genes & Development* **16**, 1610–1615.
- Hamann T, Mayer U, Jürgens G.** 1999. The auxin-insensitive *bodenlos* mutation affects primary root formation and apical-basal patterning in the *Arabidopsis* embryo. *Development* **126**, 1387–1395.
- Hardtke CS, Berleth T.** 1998. The *Arabidopsis* gene *MONOPTEROS* encodes a transcription factor mediating embryo axis formation and vascular development. *EMBO Journal* **17**, 1405–1411.
- Harris JC, Hrmova M, Lopato S, Langridge P.** 2011. Modulation of plant growth by HD-Zip class I and II transcription factors in response to environmental stimuli. *New Phytologist* **190**, 823–837.
- Henriksson E, Olsson ASB, Johannesson H, Johansson H, Hanson J, Engström P, Söderman E.** 2005. Homeodomain leucine zipper class I genes in *Arabidopsis*. Expression patterns and phylogenetic relationships. *Plant Physiology* **139**, 509–518.
- Himmelbach A, Hoffmann T, Leube M, Höhener B, Grill E.** 2002. Homeodomain protein ATHB6 is a target of the protein phosphatase ABI1 and regulates hormone responses in *Arabidopsis*. *EMBO Journal* **21**, 3029–3038.
- Johannesson H, Wang Y, Engström P.** 2001. DNA-binding and dimerization preferences of *Arabidopsis* homeodomain-leucine zipper transcription factors *in vitro*. *Plant Molecular Biology* **45**, 63–73.
- Johannesson H, Wang Y, Hanson J, Engström P.** 2003. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* homeobox gene *ATHB5* is a potential regulator of abscisic acid responsiveness in developing seedlings. *Plant Molecular Biology* **51**, 719–729.
- Lau S, De Smet I, Kolb M, Meinhardt H, Jürgens G.** 2011. Auxin triggers a genetic switch. *Nature Cell Biology* **13**, 611–615.
- Lau S, Jürgens G, De Smet I.** 2008. The evolving complexity of the auxin pathway. *Plant Cell* **20**, 1738–1746.
- Lau S, Slane D, Herud O, Kong J, Jürgens G.** 2012. Early embryogenesis in flowering plants: setting up the basic body pattern. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **63**, 483–506.
- Mayor C, Brudno M, Schwartz JR, Poliakov A, Rubin EM, Frazer KA, Pachter LS, Dubchak I.** 2000. VISTA: visualizing global DNA sequence alignments of arbitrary length. *Bioinformatics* **16**, 1046–1047.
- Niu Y, Sheen J.** 2012. Transient expression assays for quantifying signaling output. *Methods in Molecular Biology* **876**, 195–206.
- Ohgishi M, Oka A, Morelli G, Ruberti I, Aoyama T.** 2001. Negative autoregulation of the *Arabidopsis* homeobox gene *ATHB-2*. *The Plant Journal* **25**, 389–398.
- Ouwkerk PBF, Meijer AH.** 2001. Yeast one-hybrid screening for DNA–protein interactions. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology* Unit 12.12.
- Ploense SE, Wu MF, Nagpal P, Reed JW.** 2009. A gain-of-function mutation in *IAA18* alters *Arabidopsis* embryonic apical patterning. *Development* **136**, 1509–1517.
- Ruberti I, Sessa G, Lucchetti S, Morelli G.** 1991. A novel class of plant proteins containing a homeodomain with a closely linked leucine zipper motif. *EMBO Journal* **10**, 1787–1791.
- Schena M, Davis RW.** 1992. HD-Zip proteins: members of an *Arabidopsis* homeodomain protein superfamily. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **89**, 3894–3898.
- Schlereth A, Möller B, Liu W, Kientz M, Flipse J, Rademacher EH, Schmid M, Jürgens G, Weijers D.** 2010. MONOPTEROS controls embryonic root initiation by regulating a mobile transcription factor. *Nature* **464**, 913–916.
- Schneider TD, Stephens RM.** 1990. Sequence logos: a new way to display consensus sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* **18**, 6097–6100.
- Schwechheimer C, Smith C, Bevan MW.** 1998. The activities of acidic and glutamine-rich transcriptional activation domains in plant cells: design of modular transcription factors for high-level expression. *Plant Molecular Biology* **36**, 195–204.
- Son O, Hur YS, Kim YK, et al.** 2010. ATHB12, an ABA-inducible homeodomain-leucine zipper (HD-Zip) protein of *Arabidopsis*, negatively regulates the growth of the inflorescence stem by decreasing the expression of a gibberellin 20-oxidase gene. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **51**, 1537–1547.
- Sorin C, Salla-Martret M, Bou-Torrent J, Roig-Villanova I, Martínez-García JF.** 2009. ATHB4, a regulator of shade avoidance, modulates hormone response in *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *The Plant Journal* **59**, 266–277.
- Steindler C, Matteucci A, Sessa G, Weimar T, Ohgishi M, Aoyama T, Morelli G, Ruberti I.** 1999. Shade avoidance responses are mediated by the ATHB-2 HD-zip protein, a negative regulator of gene expression. *Development* **126**, 4235–4245.
- Takada S, Jürgens G.** 2007. Transcriptional regulation of epidermal cell fate in the *Arabidopsis* embryo. *Development* **134**, 1141–1150.
- Tian Q, Uhlir NJ, Reed JW.** 2002. *Arabidopsis* *SHY2/IAA3* inhibits auxin-regulated gene expression. *Plant Cell* **14**, 301–319.
- Valdés AE, Övernäs E, Johansson H, Rada-Iglesias A, Engström P.** 2012. The homeodomain-leucine zipper (HD-Zip) class I transcription factors ATHB7 and ATHB12 modulate abscisic acid signalling by regulating protein phosphatase 2C and abscisic acid receptor gene activities. *Plant Molecular Biology* **80**, 405–418.
- Vanneste S, De Rybel B, Beemster GT, et al.** 2005. Cell cycle progression in the pericycle is not sufficient for *SOLITARY ROOT/IAA14*-mediated lateral root initiation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell* **17**, 3035–3050.
- Vanneste S, Friml J.** 2009. Auxin: a trigger for change in plant development. *Cell* **136**, 1005–1016.
- Wang Y, Henriksson E, Söderman E, Henriksson KN, Sundberg E, Engström P.** 2003. The *Arabidopsis* homeobox gene, *ATHB16*, regulates leaf development and the sensitivity to photoperiod in *Arabidopsis*. *Developmental Biology* **264**, 228–239.

- Weijers D, Benkova E, Jäger KE, Schlereth A, Hamann T, Kientz M, Wilmoth JC, Reed JW, Jürgens G.** 2005. Developmental specificity of auxin response by pairs of ARF and Aux/IAA transcriptional regulators. *EMBO Journal* **24**, 1874–1885.
- Weijers D, Franke-van Dijk M, Vencken RJ, Quint A, Hooykaas P, Offringa R.** 2001. An *Arabidopsis* Minute-like phenotype caused by a semi-dominant mutation in a *RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN S5* gene. *Development* **128**, 4289–4299.
- Weijers D, Schlereth A, Ehrismann JS, Schwank G, Kientz M, Jürgens G.** 2006. Auxin triggers transient local signaling for cell specification in *Arabidopsis* embryogenesis. *Developmental Cell* **10**, 265–270.
- Yamaguchi N, Wu MF, Winter CM, Berns MC, Nole-Wilson S, Yamaguchi A, Coupland G, Krizek BA, Wagner D.** 2013. A molecular framework for auxin-mediated initiation of flower primordia. *Developmental Cell* **24**, 271–282.
- Zúñiga-Mayo VM, Marsch-Martínez N, de Folter S.** 2012. JAIBA, a class-II HD-ZIP transcription factor involved in the regulation of meristematic activity, and important for correct gynoecium and fruit development in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal* **71**, 314–326.