

Strathprints Institutional Repository

Buist, Amanda and Kennedy, Alan (2014) Ionic cocrystals of pharmaceutical compounds : sodium complexes of carbamazepine. Crystal Growth and Design, 14 (12). pp. 6508-6513. ISSN 1528-7483 , http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cg501400n

This version is available at http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/50373/

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: strathprints@strath.ac.uk



I lonic Cocrystals of Pharmaceutical Compounds: Sodium Complexes ² of Carbamazepine

3 Amanda R. Buist and Alan R. Kennedy*

4 WESTCHEM, Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow G1 1XL Scotland 5

S Supporting Information 6

ABSTRACT: Three inorganic cocrystal (ICC) forms of carbamazepine 7 8 (CBZ) have been synthesized, and their crystal structures are described. $[Na(CBZ)_4(MeOH)][I] \cdot H_2O$, $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$, and $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ -9 $[C_{13}H_{10}N][IBr_2]_2$ are the first CBZ structures that contain metal 10 cations, and the latter example also contains acridinium, which is a 11 known metabolite of CBZ. All three Na complexes have distorted square 12 pyramidal NaO₅ coordination geometries but different conformations of 13 the four basal ligands and different hydrogen bonding interactions for 14 the apical ligand. The hydrogen bonded synthons that have been 15 identified for other species that contain neutral CBZ molecules are 16 absent in all these Na containing ICC phases and are replaced by Na-O 17 dative bonds. However, previously identified nonpolar supramolecular 18 constructs in the form of stacks and dimers are shared with other CBZ 19 containing structures. 20



22 Carbemazepine (CBZ) is the anticonvulsant drug used in the 23 treatment of epilepsy that has become one of the best known 24 model compounds used in solid state form identification and 25 characterization studies. It is attractive because it is a 26 molecularly simple active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) 27 with a nonpolar backbone and a single polar amide 28 functionality that is available for hydrogen bonding. Such ²⁹ studies have led to date to five polymorphic crystalline phases
 ³⁰ of CBZ being described,¹⁻³ together with the structures of over 31 50 cocrystalline or solvate forms. Approximately half of the 32 crystal coformers used with CBZ are neutral carboxylic acids^{4–} 33 with organic solvates making up the next biggest grouping.⁷⁻¹⁰ 34 Supramolecular structural similarities throughout these species 35 have been described by Gelbrich and Hursthouse and further 36 commented on by others.^{4,11} Although numerous, most of the 37 known phases of CBZ are thus somewhat homogeneous with 38 respect to general chemical type. Some alternative formulations 39 have been attempted. For instance, although amide function-40 alities are traditionally thought of as being nonionizable under 41 normal chemical conditions,¹² salt forms of CBZ with 42 protonation at the amide O atom have recently been reported. 43 Perumalla and Sun described a hydrochloride salt form,¹³ 44 Frampton and co-workers described the methanesulfonate salt 45 form,¹⁴ and we have described a series of five [CBZ(H)][X]. 46 nH_2O (X = Cl or Br, n = 0 or 1) salts.¹⁵ This latter work also 47 described how exposing carbamazepine hydrochloride to 48 atmospheric water led to the formation of a hydronium salt, 49 [H₃O][Cl]·2CBZ·2H₂O. Although this can be described as a 50 salt form, it contains neutral CBZ rather than protonated



CBZ(H) and can thus perhaps be best thought of as a cocrystal ₅₁ of hydronium chloride and CBZ. Recently the term ionic 52 cocrystal (ICC) has been popularized for such formulations to 53 differentiate them from the more usual API cocrystal case 54 where both the coformers are neutral organic molecules.^{16,17} 55 Structurally similar species with neutral organic molecules 56 cocrystallized with cations and anions are of course well-known 57 in metal coordination chemistry and elsewhere, where they are 58 typically referred to as dipole (or solvent) separated ion pair $_{59}$ complexes.^{18–22} Similar species are also known with $_{60}$ ammonium ions replacing metal ions.^{23–25} The novelty of $_{61}$ the ICC terminology lies in the context of typically 62 pharmaceutically active organic species and the deliberate 63 crystal engineering of their structures to replace organic to 64 organic intermolecular interactions with ionic contacts. Despite 65 the recent coining of the term, such API-based ICC species can 66 be found in the historical literature, including a structural report 67 on two forms of CBZ, namely [NH₄][X][CBZ] where X = Cl 68 or Br.²⁶ With ammonium and hydronium halide complexes of 69 CBZ being known and with the well-known occurrence of 70 donor separated ion pair species throughout main group metal 71 coordination chemistry, we decided to investigate the 72 possibility of forming ICC forms of CBZ with s-block metal 73 salts. Described herein is the synthesis and characterization of 74 three such species, [Na(CBZ)₄(MeOH)][I]·H₂O, [Na- 75 $(CBZ)_{5}[I_{3}]$, and $[Na(CBZ)_{5}][C_{13}H_{10}N][IBr_{2}]_{2}$. 76

Received: September 18, 2014

Table 1. Selected Crystallographic and Refinement Parameters for Na Complexes

compound	[Na(CBZ) ₄ (MeOH)][I]·H ₂ O	[Na(CBZ) ₅][I ₃]	$[Na(CBZ)_{5}][C_{13}H_{10}N] [IBr_{2}]_{2}$
formula	$C_{61}H_{54}IN_8NaO_6$	C ₇₅ H ₆₀ I ₃ N ₁₀ NaO ₅	$C_{88}H_{70}Br_4I_2N_{11}NaO_5$
formula wt	1145.01	1585.02	1957.98
cryst syst	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic
space group	<i>C</i> ₂	$P2_1/n$	$P\overline{1}$
<i>λ,</i> Å	1.5418	0.71073	0.71073
<i>a,</i> Å	27.3789(19)	16.1230(6)	10.2171(5)
b, Å	6.8157(2)	11.2270(4)	14.4369(6)
<i>c,</i> Å	20.8598(14)	36.8726(16)	27.5476(12)
α , deg	90	90	91.841(3)
β , deg	134.626 (12)	91.165(3)	96.689(4)
γ, deg	90	90	90.972(4)
vol, Å ³	2770.4(3)	6673.0(4)	4032.7(3)
temp, K	123(2)	153(2)	123(20)
Ζ	2	4	2
reflns collected	5761	32950	28299
2 heta max, deg	146.1	54.0	50.0
reflns unique	4078	13309	14166
reflns obsd	4037	7205	8248
Rint	0.0267	0.0732	0.0708
GOF	1.078	1.029	1.075
$R[I > 2\sigma(I)], F$	0.0364	0.0612	0.0847
Rw, F^2	0.0957	0.1019	0.2027
max/min electron density, e ${\rm \AA}^{-3}$	1.581/-0.685	0.646/-0.750	1.351/-1.168

77 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

t1

78 Single crystal X-ray diffraction (SXD) measurements on the acridine 79 I₂Br salt were carried out by the National Crystallography Service.²⁷ 80 All other crystallographic measurements were performed locally using 81 Oxford Diffraction instruments. Structural solution and refinement 82 against F^2 to convergence were performed using programs from the 83 SHELX suite.²⁸ Hydrogen atoms bound to carbon were placed in 84 idealized positions and refined in riding modes. Where possible, H 85 atoms bound to nitrogen or oxygen were placed as found in difference 86 Fourier syntheses and refined isotropically but with N-H bond length 87 restraints for CBZ containing species. For the structure of [Na-88 (CBZ)₅][C₁₃H₁₀N][IBr₂]₂, poor crystal quality and a disordered CBZ 89 ligand resulted in a relatively low quality structure. Here all H atoms 90 were generated in idealized positions and allowed to ride on their 91 parent atoms. Selected crystallographic and refinement parameters are 92 given in Table 1 for the Na containing species and in the Supporting 93 Information for the others.

94 FTIR measurements were made on crushed solid samples and with 95 an A₂ Technologies ATR instrument.

Synthesis of [Na(CBZ)₄(MeOH)][I]·H₂O, Method 1. A large 96 excess (5.010 g, 33.4 mmol) of sodium iodide and 0.216 g (0.91 97 mmol) of carbamazepine were dissolved in 8 cm³ of methanol. The 98 solution was heated in a water bath until the carbamazepine and 99 100 sodium iodide had dissolved. Once the solution had cooled to room 101 temperature, 1 cm³ of acetyl chloride was slowly added. A vigorous 102 reaction was observed, and NaI precipitated. This was removed by 103 filtration, and the filtrate was poured into a narrow tube and sealed 104 with parafilm. Small holes were made in the parafilm to aid 105 evaporation. Suitable crystals grew after approximately 7 days. IR 106 $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3458, 3323, 3266, 3197, 1652, 1575, 1490, 1418, 806, 764 cm⁻¹. Synthesis of [Na(CBZ)₄(MeOH)][I]·H₂O, Method 2. A large 107 excess (5.306 g, 35.4 mmol) of sodium iodide and 0.264 g (1.12 108 109 mmol) of carbamazepine were dissolved in 8 cm³ of methanol. The 110 solution was heated in a water bath until both the carbamazepine and 111 sodium iodide had dissolved. The test tube was sealed with parafilm 112 and left to cool to room temperature. Small holes were made in the 113 parafilm to aid evaporation. Suitable crystals grew after approximately 114 2 days. SXD and IR spectroscopy showed these to be identical to the 115 product isolated by method 1.

Synthesis of [Na(CBZ₅)][I₃]. A large excess (5.515 g, 36.8 mmol) 116 of sodium iodide and 0.246 g (1.04 mmol) of carbamazepine were 117 dissolved in 8 cm³ of ethanol. The solution was heated in a water bath 118 until both the carbamazepine and sodium iodide had dissolved. Once 119 the solution had cooled to room temperature, 1 cm³ of acetyl bromide 120 was slowly added. A vigorous reaction was observed, and an inorganic 121 solid precipitated. This was removed by filtration, and the solution was 122 left in a narrow tube for approximately 1 month, after which time 123 suitable crystals had formed. IR ν_{max} 3463, 3434, 3411, 3266, 3194, 124 1652, 1569, 1489, 1418, 1394, 808, 763 cm⁻¹.

Synthesis of Carbamazepine Ammonium Bromide. Ammo- 126 nium bromide (0.043 g, 0.44 mmol) and 0.209 g (0.88 mmol) of 127 carbamazepine were dissolved in 4 cm³ of methanol. The solution was 128 heated in a water bath until both ammonium bromide and 129 carbamazepine had dissolved. Once the solution had cooled to room 130 temperature, 1 cm³ of acetyl bromide was slowly added. A vigorous 131 reaction was observed. The test tube was sealed with parafilm. Small 132 holes were made in the parafilm to aid evaporation. After 23 days, 133 crystals had formed and were collected for SXD analysis. 134

Synthesis of Acridinium I₂X Species, $[C_{13}H_{10}N][I_2X]$, X = Cl or 135 Br. Potassium iodide (0.078 g, 0.47 mmol) and 0.221 g (0.94 mmol) 136 of carbamazepine were dissolved in 8 cm³ of methanol. The solution 137 was heated in a water bath until both the potassium iodide and 138 carbamazepine had dissolved. Once the solution had cooled to room 139 temperature, 1 cm³ of acetyl chloride was slowly added. A vigorous 140 reaction was observed, and a solid formed, which was removed by 141 filtration. After slow evaporation overnight, colorless crystals of 142 carbamazepine hydrochloride form II were obtained.¹⁵ Leaving the 143 solution for a further 3 days resulted in darkening of the solution and 144 the deposition of red crystals. These have been characterized as 145 [acridinium][I₂Cl]. The same acridinium species was obtained when 146 CsI was used in place of KI. Replacing MI with NH4I and using acetyl 147 bromide rather than acetyl chloride gave dark blue crystals of 148 [acridinium][I₂Br]. 149

Synthesis of $[Na(CBZ)_5][C_{13}H_{10}N]$ [IBr₂]₂. Sodium iodide (0.071 150 g, 0.43 mmol) and 0.224 g (0.95 mmol) of carbamazepine were 151 dissolved in 4 cm³ of methanol. The solution was heated in a water 152 bath until both sodium iodide and carbamazepine had dissolved. Once 153 the solution had cooled to room temperature, 1 cm³ of hydrobromic 154 acid was added. The test tube was sealed with parafilm. Small holes 155 were made in the parafilm to aid evaporation. Yellow crystalline 156

157 material was deposited after approximately 4 days. IR ν_{max} 3421, 3315, 158 1601,1567, 1487, 1455, 1435, 804, 769, 746, 726 cm⁻¹.

159 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

160 The structures of two types of species that can be described as 161 ICC complexes are known for CBZ, the ammonium containing 162 isostructural species $[NH_4][X][CBZ]$ where X = Cl or Br and 163 the hydronium $[H_3O]$ $[Cl] \cdot 2CBZ \cdot 2H_2O$.^{15,26} Neither type contains a metal ion, so the initial goal of this work was to 164 165 introduce a pharmaceutically acceptable metal cation such as 166 Na⁺. The known CBZ ICC species were not prepared by 167 straightforward cocrystallization of the salt with CBZ. The 168 ammonium species were prepared by Reck and Thiel in the 169 presence of a surfactant,^{26¹} while the hydronium species 170 originated from slow addition of atmospheric water to a 171 reactive salt form of CBZ, namely, the oxygen protonated salt [CBZ(H)][Cl].¹⁵ Initially, we attempted to form CBZ ICC 172 173 complexes by simply cocrystallizing methanolic solutions of CBZ with aqueous solutions of a wide variety of simple s-block 174 175 metal salts. None of these attempts were successful; nor was a similar attempt to prepare $[NH_4][X][CBZ]$ in the absence of 176 surfactant. It was thus decided to attempt an adaptation of the 177 route that gave the hydronium species. This involved addition 178 179 of acetyl halide to methanol solutions of the API to give in situ generation of nonaqueous HCl or HBr. In the absence of other 180 ¹⁸¹ ions, this is known to give protonated CBZ, which crystallizes ¹⁸² as halide salts.^{13,15} However, herein the methanolic CBZ 183 solutions also contained additional simple salts (usually iodides 184 because these were found to be more soluble than other 185 halides) initially in very high concentrations in an attempt to 186 force the inclusion of ions in the final product. This approach 187 allowed the isolation of two ICC forms of CBZ with Na. Single 188 crystal diffraction showed these to be $[Na(CBZ)_4(MeOH)][I]$. 189 H_2O and $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$, see Experimental Section for 190 preparative details. Despite the great efforts previously 191 expended on preparing novel forms of CBZ, there are no 192 structural reports of CBZ species that contain metal ions in the 193 CCDC.²⁹ A similar approach utilizing acetyl bromide also allowed [NH₄][Br][CBZ] to be isolated and analyzed without 194 the use of surfactant. 195

The structure of $[Na(CBZ)_4(MeOH)][I] \cdot H_2O$ has crystallo-196 graphically imposed C₂ symmetry, and both [Na-197 $(CBZ)_4(MeOH)$ $[I] \cdot H_2O$ and $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$ feature dis-198 torted square pyramidal NaO₅ cores (τ = 0.41 and 0.28 for 199 $[Na(CBZ)_4(MeOH)][I] \cdot H_2O$ and $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$, respec-200 201 tively, where $\tau = 0$ indicates ideal square pyramidal geometry 202 and $\tau = 1$ corresponds to trigonal bipyramidal),³⁰ see Figures 1 203 and 2. In both cases, the Na ion is raised slightly above the basal plane described by the four O donor atoms from the CBZ 204 205 ligands (by 0.453(3) and 0.574(3) Å, respectively), with the 206 apical coordination site being occupied by a disordered MeOH 207 ligand in [Na(CBZ)₄(MeOH)][I]·H₂O and by a fifth CBZ 208 ligand in $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$. Na-O bond lengths in the more 209 sterically crowded [Na(CBZ)₅] cation are somewhat elongated 210 compared with those of the $[Na(CBZ)_4(MeOH)]$ ion. The 211 range of basal Na-O distances is thus 2.326(4) to 2.403(4) Å 212 for $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ and 2.317(3) to 2.366(3) Å for [Na- $(CBZ)_4(MeOH)$]. In both species, the apical Na–O bond is 213 214 the shortest, with this being a more significant difference for the 215 coordinated MeOH (2.307(4) and 2.258(9) Å for [Na(CBZ)₅] 216 and [Na(CBZ)₄(MeOH)], respectively). All four CBZ ligands 217 of the $[Na(CBZ)_4(MeOH)]$ ion are orientated so that their 218 azepine rings lie below the complex's basal plane, with one trans

f2



Figure 1. Molecular structure of $[Na(CBZ)_4(MeOH)][I] \cdot H_2O$. Disorder in the solvent and all nonamide hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Here and elsewhere, hydrogen bonds are shown as light blue lines.



Figure 2. Molecular structure of the cation in $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$. Nonamide hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

pair of ligands significantly more below the plane than the other 219 mutually *trans* pair (compare 145.33(19)° and 170.0(2)° for 220 O1-Na1-O1' and O2-Na1-O2' respectively, ' = 1 - x, y, 1 221 - z).

The four basal CBZ ligands of the $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ ion adopt a 223 different conformation, with three azepine rings below the basal 224 plane and one (that containing N1) above the plane, see Figure 225 f3 3. Despite this conformational difference, intramolecular 226 f3 hydrogen bonding between the four basal CBZ ligands is 227 similar for the two complexes, Figures 1 and 2. In each 228 complex, each of the amide NH₂ groups of the four basal CBZ 229 ligands donates a single intramolecular hydrogen bond to the 230 amide O atom acceptor of the neighboring basal CBZ ligand. 231 The second H atom of these four NH₂ groups does not form a 232 hydrogen bonding interaction. The apical CBZ ligand of the 233 $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ cation does not take part in any classical hydrogen 234 bonds, but its NH2 group is orientated toward the olefin 235 backbone of the unique azepine ring that lies above the basal 236 plane (H to C=C centroid is 2.80 Å). In contrast, the apical 237 MeOH ligand of [Na(CBZ)₄(MeOH)] simply donates a O- 238



Figure 3. Cation of $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$ drawn so as to emphasize the three down, one up conformation of the apezine rings of the four basal ligands. The ligand containing N9 is the fifth, apical ligand.

239 H…O hydrogen bond to the water solvate. Both MeOH and 240 water positions are disordered about the crystallographic 2-fold 241 rotation axis, and thus discussion of the geometric details of 242 these groups is not warranted. This difference in hydrogen 243 bonding between the two species may contribute to the 244 different conformations of the basal $Na(CBZ)_4$ units. 245 Examination of the bond lengths of the amide groups and 246 comparison with literature compilations of equivalent data 247 shows that all the CBZ ligands of these two Na complexes have 248 C=O and C-N bond lengths that lie within the ranges 249 normally seen for neutral CBZ species.^{14,15} This indicates that 250 complexation to Na does not greatly alter the ligands' internal 251 geometries. However, the geometry of the apical CBZ ligand of 252 the $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ cation does differ subtly from the geometries 253 of the other coordinated CBZ ligands. The C=O length of the 254 apical ligand is somewhat shorter than the others (compare 255 1.223(7) with a range of 1.240(6)-1.251(6) Å) and both C-N 256 distances of the apical ligand are somewhat longer than those of 257 the other ligands (compare 1.370(8) and 1.378(7) Å for C-258 NH2 and C-NR2 with ranges of 1.335(7)-1.344(8) and 259 1.354(7)-1.369(7) Å).

Most of the cocrystallization experiments attempted simply 260 261 returned the starting materials or CBZ dihydrate or previously 262 known hydrogen halide salts of CBZ as the sole products. The 263 color of some experiments, especially those with low pH, was 264 observed to darken with time. Similar darkening of samples 265 with time was seen in solutions of protonated CBZ(H) salt 266 forms.¹⁵ This was attributed to the decomposition of CBZ to 267 acridine, and this is now confirmed by the isolation and crystal structure determination of two acridinium salt species, 268 $[C_{13}H_{10}N][I_2X]$, X = Cl or Br. The structures are included in 269 270 the Supporting Information as rare examples of crystallographically well ordered $[I_2X]_n$ species.^{31–33} Although the 271 272 transformation from CBZ to acridine is rather unintuitive, a variety of experimental conditions have been reported to induce 273 this decomposition. Indeed acridine is a known metabolite of 274 CBZ.^{34–37} On attempting to replace in situ generation of acid 275 with a simple addition of aqueous HBr, a third Na ICC 276 complex was obtained, $[Na(CBZ)_5][C_{13}H_{10}N][IBr_2]_2$. That 277 278 this species contains both CBZ and acridinium makes it of 279 enhanced interest, see Figure 4.

The $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ cation in $[Na(CBZ)_5][C_{13}H_{10}N][IBr_2]_2$ is similar to that in $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$ in that the NaO₅ core has a near square pyramidal geometry ($\tau = 0.11$, Na1 raised 0.395(5) sign Å from the plane defined by the four basal O atoms). Another

f4



Figure 4. Hydrogen bonded $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ and acridinium cation pair found in the structure of $[Na(CBZ)_5][C_{13}H_{10}N][IBr_2]_2$. H atoms bound to C are omitted for clarity.

similarity is that one of the four basal CBZ ligands is again 284 orientated with its azepine ring above the basal plane defined by 285 the four O atoms and the other three azepine rings lie below 286 this plane. However, one of these basal CBZ ligands is 287 disordered such that a minor conformation is also present in 288 the crystal, and this has two azepine rings above the basal plane 289 and two below it. As with both the other Na complexes, the 290 amide groups of the four basal CBZ ligands form intra- 291 molecular N-H…O hydrogen bonds with their neighboring 292 CBZ ligands. The NH₂ group of the fifth apical CBZ ligand 293 behaves differently from that in $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$. Rather than a 294 weak interaction with the π system of the azepine ring that is 295 raised above the basal plane, here the amine group forms a 296 classical N-H...O interaction with the O atom of the raised 297 ligand. Meanwhile, the O atom of the apical CBZ ligand is 298 involved in a strong hydrogen bond with the formally positively 299 charged N-H group of the acridinium cation. Thus, the two 300 cations $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ and $[C_{13}H_{10}N]$ are bound tightly together. 301 None of the anions in any of the three Na species described 302 interact with polar groups of the cations. In all cases, only C- 303 $H \cdots X$ (X = I or Br) interactions are observed. For 304 $[Na(CBZ)_5][I_3]$ none of these interactions are shorter than 305 the sum of van der Waals distances while the other two species 306 each make four C-H···X interactions shorter than van der 307 Waals distances. Inorganic coordination chemists would thus 308 classify all three species as dipole separated ion pair 309 complexes.18-22

ICC forms are of generic interest because they present the 311 possibility of generating API containing materials with different 312 intermolecular bond types from those seen in organic systems, 313 and this may allow the chemicophysical properties of APIs to 314 be modified.^{16,17} Intermolecular interactions and packing in 315 CBZ polymorphs and cocrystals have been widely commented 316 on in the literature. Two descriptive strands are often used. The 317 first of these describes the various supramolecular synthons 318 found in CBZ species, commonly such synthons are based on 319 the hydrogen bonding modes of the amide group. The various 320 structures of the CBZ polymorphs are based upon CBZ dimers. 321 This dimeric arrangement is often contrasted with a theoretical 322 chain structure predicted to be stable and similar to the motif 323 found in related amides.^{38–41} In many cocrystalline forms of 324 CBZ, the dimeric motif is retained, commonly with the addition 325 of N–H···A hydrogen bonds as shown in Figure 5. An 326 fS



Figure 5. Representation of the CBZ hydrogen bonded dimer motif. When present, S is a hydrogen bond acceptor in the form of a solvent molecule or a cocrystal conformer.

327 alternative arrangement is found in phases where the coformer 328 is a carboxylic acid. Here the commonly found motif is a 329 heterodimer with an amide to COOH contact.^{8,9} A second 330 strand of CBZ structural analysis has looked not just at 331 intermolecular bonding interactions but at supramolecular 332 constructs, that is at any packing motif that is repeated in 333 different CBZ phases no matter what the bonding nature of the 334 interaction might be. This approach identified two dimeric 335 constructs and two stacking constructs that appear in multiple 336 CBZ structures (including the hydrogen bonded dimer 337 discussed above).¹¹

Ammonium halide based ICC forms of CBZ have been 339 known for some time. These are the isostructural species 340 $[NH_4][X][CBZ]$ where X = Cl and Br.²⁶ Because the available 341 database structures (VUBCAW and VUBCEA) do not contain 342 information on H atom positions, we have redetermined the 343 structure of $[NH_4][Br][CBZ]$ at low temperature. This 344 confirms that $[NH_4][Br][CBZ]$ has a CBZ hydrogen bonded 345 dimer motif with further hydrogen bonds from the dimer to 346 both cations and anions, see Figure 6. This is a simple variation 347 on the hydrogen bonding structure common to many organic

f6

Figure 6. Part of the hydrogen bonding motif found in the structure of $[NH_4][Br][CBZ]$. Bromide ions drawn as brown spheres.

cocrystals of CBZ. The hydronium, [H₃O][Cl]·2CBZ·2H₂O, 348 also retains the hydrogen bonded CBZ dimer although CBZ 349 salt forms with O atom protonated amide groups do not.¹⁵ 350 Furthermore, the [NH4][X][CBZ] species were included in 351 Gelbrich and Hursthouse's initial work on supramolecular 352 constructs in CBZ.¹¹ In this analysis, both have entirely 353 unexceptional packing features with structures that contain the 354 common "translational stack" motif as well as the hydrogen 355 bonded dimer (supramolecular constructs A and C in ref 11). 356 Thus, despite containing charged ions, the ICC structures of 357 $[NH_4][X][CBZ]$ are not obviously different from the 358 structures of nonionic CBZ cocrystals. However, the three Na 359 containing ICC forms of CBZ described herein do have 360 significant structural differences from the CBZ cocrystals 361 previously described. The hydrogen bonded CBZ dimer is 362 now absent. Complexation to the Na center requires the CBZ 363 ligands to point inward to the common center and constrains 364 the homoleptic CBZ NH…O interactions such that they 365 connect only to CBZ ligands bound to the shared Na center. 366 Note that although the observed structures do not contain CBZ 367 dimers, such an arrangement is entirely possible. The structures 368 of ammonium and alkali metal salts of the same anions are 369 often isostructural;^{42–45} thus a situation where the "NaI" 370 species adopt structures akin to that of [NH4][Br][CBZ] is 371 conceivable. Although the three Na complexes do not adopt the 372 same hydrogen bonded supramolecular synthons as other CBZ 373 species, they do adopt the nonpolar (or shape-based) 374 supramolecular constructs previously identified.¹¹ Both trans- 375 lational stacks and dimeric examples can be identified, as 376 illustrated in Figures 7 and 8. 377 f7f8



Figure 7. Translational stack of CBZ molecules found in the structure of $[Na(CBZ)_4(MeOH)][I]$ ·H₂O. This is supramolecular construct A in ref 11. In this case, the translation is along the crystallographic *b* axis and hence along the Na–O(MeOH) molecular axis.



Figure 8. Close packed dimer of CBZ molecules found in the structure of $[Na(CBZ)][I_3]$. This is supramolecular construct B in ref 11. Similar interactions can be found in the structure of $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ - $[C_{13}H_{10}N][IBr_2]_2$.

378 CONCLUSION

379 The first structures of metal containing forms of CBZ have 380 been synthesized and crystallographically characterized. These 381 three Na complexes can be described as ionic cocrystals. 382 Synthesis at low pH was found to be advantageous. Unlike the 383 previously described ammonium halide and hydronium 384 chloride ICC forms of CBZ, these new structures do not 385 show the same intermolecular bonding motifs as other neutral-386 CBZ containing phases. Instead dative bonds between Na and 387 the O atom of CBZ predominate and, because the halide based 388 anions make only relatively weak C-H…X contacts with the cations, give dipole separated ion pair forms. CBZ to CBZ 389 390 hydrogen bonding interactions exist solely within the ligand 391 sets of single $[Na(CBZ)_4(HOMe)]$ or $[Na(CBZ)_5]$ cations. 392 Despite the dramatic change in intermolecular bond type and 393 geometry, the three Na containing CBZ ICC phases still 394 present the previously identified nonpolar CBZ supramolecular 395 constructs, showing that here at least these shape-based packing 396 motifs are more robust than the polar hydrogen bonded synthons. The change in intermolecular bonding and the high 397 398 ratio of CBZ to coformer make these ICC forms interesting 399 materials for the study of API form selection.

400 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

401 Supporting Information

402 X-ray crystallographic information for $[NH_4][Br][CBZ]$ and 403 the acridinium salts with I₂Br and I₂Cl and crystallographic 404 information files (CIF) for all six structures. This material is 405 available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. 406 Crystallographic information files are also available from the 407 Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (CCDC) upon 408 request (http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk, CCDC deposition num-409 bers 1023495 to 1023500).

410 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

411 Corresponding Author

412 *a.r.kennedy@strath.ac.uk.

413 **Notes**

414 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

415 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

⁴¹⁶ The authors thank the National Crystallography Service at the ⁴¹⁷ University of Southampton for data collection on the I_2Br salt ⁴¹⁸ of acridinium.

419 **REFERENCES**

- 420 (1) Arlin, J.-B.; Price, L. S.; Price, S. L.; Florence, A. J. Chem. 421 Commun. **2011**, *47*, 7074.
- 422 (2) Lang, M.; Kampf, J. W.; Matzger, A. J. J. Pharm. Sci. 2002, 91, 423 1186.
- 424 (3) Grzesiak, A. L.; Lang, M.; Kim, K.; Matzger, A. J. J. Pharm. Sci. 425 **2003**, 92, 2260.
- 426 (4) Childs, S. L.; Wood, P. A.; Rodriguez-Hornedo, N.; Reddy, L. S.; 427 Hardcastle, K. I. *Crystal Growth Des.* **2009**, *9*, 1869.
- 428 (5) Majumder, M.; Buckton, G.; Rawlinson-Malone, C.; Williams, A.
- 429 C.; Spillman, M. J.; Shankland, N.; Shankland, K. *CrystEngComm* **2011**, 430 *13*, 6327.
- 431 (6) Childs, S. L.; Rodriguez-Hornedo, N.; Reddy, L. S.; Jayasanker,
- 432 A.; Maheshwari, C.; McCausland, L.; Shipplett, R.; Stahly, B. C. 433 *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10*, 856.
- 434 (7) Fleischman, S. G.; Kuduva, S. S.; McMahon, J. A.; Moulton, B.; 435 Bailey Walsh, R. D.; Rodriquez-Hornedo, N.; Zaworotko, M. J. *Crystal* 436 *Growth Des.* **2003**, *3*, 909.

469

470

471

- (8) Johnston, A.; Johnston, B. F.; Kennedy, A. R.; Florence, A. J. 437 *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10*, 23. 438
- (9) Florence, A. J.; Johnston, A.; Price, S. L.; Nowell, H.; Kennedy, A. 439 R.; Shankland, N. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **2006**, *95*, 1918. 440
- (10) Fabbiani, F. P. A.; Byrne, L. T.; McKinnon, J. J.; Spackman, M. 441 A. *CrystEngComm* **2007**, *9*, 728. 442
- (11) Gelbrich, T.; Hursthouse, M. B. CrystEngComm 2006, 8, 448. 443
 (12) Nanubolu, J. B.; Sridhar, B.; Ravikumar, K. CrystEngComm 444
 2012. 14, 2571. 445
- (13) Perumalla, S. R.; Sun, C. C. Chem.—Eur. J. 2012, 18, 6462. 446
- (14) Eberlin, A. R.; Eddleston, M. D.; Frampton, C. S. Acta 447 Crystallogr. 2013, C69, 1260.
- (15) Buist, A. R.; Kennedy, A. R.; Shankland, K.; Shankland, N.; 449 Spillman, M. J. Cryst. Growth Des. **2013**, *13*, 5121. 450
- (16) Braga, D.; Grepioni, F.; Maini, L.; Capucci, D.; Nanna, S.; 451 Wouters, J.; Aerts, L.; Quéré, L. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 8219. 452
- (17) Braga, D.; Grepioni, F.; Maini, L.; Prosperi, S.; Gobetto, R.; 453 Chierotti, M. R. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 7715. 454
- (18) Belsky, V. K.; Masiennikova, V. I. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1999**, *C55*, 455 No. 9900057. 456
- (19) Chessa, S.; Wright, J. A. Acta Crystallogr. 2007, E63, No. m787. 457
 (20) Hevia, E.; Chua, J. Z.; Garcia-Alvarez, P.; Kennedy, A. R.; 458
 McCall, M. D. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2010, 107, 5294. 459
- (21) Blair, V. L.; Clegg, W.; Kennedy, A. R.; Livingstone, Z.; Russo, 460 L.; Hevia, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9857. 461
- (22) Wong, K. C.; Hamid, A.; Baharuddin, S.; Quah, C. K.; Fun, H.- 462 K. Acta Crystallogr. **2009**, *E65*, No. m1308. 463
- (23) Bandy, J. A.; Kennard, C. H. L.; Parsons, D. G.; Truter, M. R. J. 464
- Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. II **1984**, 309. 465 (24) Chekhlov, A. N. Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. **2010**, 55, 435. 466
- (25) Metz, B.; Rosalky, J. M.; Weiss, R. Chem. Commun. 1976, 533. 467
- (26) Reck, G.; Thiel, W. Pharmazie **1991**, 46, 509.
- (27) Cole, S. J.; Gale, P. A. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 683.
- (28) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112.
- (29) Allen, F. H. Acta Crystallogr. 2002, B58, 380.
- (30) Addison, A. W.; Rao, T. N. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 7, 472 1349.
- (31) Chernovyants, M. S.; Burykin, I. V.; Kostrub, V. V.; Tsupak, E. 474 B.; Starikova, Z. A.; Kirsanova, J. A. J. Mol. Struct. **2012**, 1010, 98. 475
- (32) Shilov, G. V.; Kazheva, O. N.; Dyachenko, O. A.; Chernovyants, 476 M. S.; Simonyan, S. S.; Goleva, V. E.; Pyshchev, A. I. *Russ. J. Phys.* 477 *Chem.* 2002, 76, 1436. 478
- (33) Wang, Y.-Q.; Wang, Z.-M.; Liao, C.-S.; Yan, C.-H. Acta 479 Crystallogr. **1999**, C55, 1503.
- (34) Csetenyi, J.; Baker, K. M.; Frigerio, A. J. Pharm. Pharmaci. 1973, 481 25, 340. 482
- (35) Miao, X.-S.; Metcalfe, C. D. Anal. Chem. 2003, 75, 3731. 483
- (36) Furst, S. M.; Uetrecht, J. P. Biochem. Pharmacol. 1993, 45, 1267. 484
- (37) Jelic, A.; Cruz-Morató, C.; Marco-Urrea, E.; Sarrá, M.; Perez, S.; 485
- Vincent, T.; Petrović, M.; Barcela, D. *Water Res.* **2012**, *46*, 955. 486 (38) Florence, A. J.; Leech, C. K.; Shankland, N.; Shankland, K.; 487 Johnson, A. *CrystEngComm* **2006**, *8*, 746. 488
- (39) Arlin, J.-B.; Johnston, A.; Miller, G. J.; Kennedy, A. R.; Price, S. 489 L.; Florence, A. J. *CrystEngComm* **2010**, *12*, 64. 490
- (40) Florence, A. J.; Bedford, C. T.; Fabbiani, F. P. A.; Shankland, K.; 491 Gelbrich, T.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Shankland, N.; Johnston, A.; 492 Fernandes, P. *CrystEngComm* **2008**, *10*, 811. 493
- (41) Cruz Cabeza, A. J.; Day, G. M.; Motherwell, W. D. S.; Jones, W. 494 Crystal Growth Des. 2007, 7, 100. 495
- (42) Whiteside, A.; Xantheas, S. S.; Gutowski, M. Chem.—Eur. J. 496 2011, 17, 13197. 497
- (43) Ktari, L.; Abdelhedi, M.; Dammak, M.; Cousson, A.; Kolsi, A. 498 Acta Crystallogr. **2008**, *E64*, i18. 499
- (44) Leban, I.; Rudan-Tasic, D.; Lah, N.; Klofutar, C. Acta Crystallogr. 500 2007, B63, 418. 501
- (45) Gelbrich, T.; Threlfall, T. L.; Huth, S.; Seeger, E.; Hursthouse, 502 M. B. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **2004**, 630, 1451. 503