MASTER NEGATIVE NUMBER: 09296.32

Arunachalam, V., Vijayakumar, C.H.M., Chakrabarty, S.K. and Kesava Rao P. S. Advances in Breeding for Basal Branching and Productive Lines of Brassica Juncea. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 14 (1997): 15-17.

Record no. D-96

121314 J. Oilscea. Res. 14 (1) 1997: 15-17 ICSN 0970-2776

ADVANCES IN BREEDING FOR BASAL BRANCHING AF D PRODUCTIVE LINES OF Brassica juncea

C.H.M. VIJAYAKUMAR, V. ARUNACHALAM, S.K. CHAKRABARTY and P.S. KESAVA R 13 Genetics Division, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi-110 012.

ABSTRACT

An attempt was made to study the variability of basal and non-basal branching genotypes in F, and F, generations derived from nine crosses in Indian mustard (Brassica Juncea) [Czern & Coss (L)]. Sixty four F, families were studied for yield and yield attributes. Among them thirty two were selected and F, families of them were evaluated for yielding ability. The results revealed that there is sufficient variability available for all the plant type and yield parameters in the F, generation. A selection towards basal branching types is suggested to enhance the seed yield in B. Juncea.

Key Words: Brassica Juncea, basal branching; selection; variability.

INTRODUCTION

A pre-requisite for any selection programme is the existence of genetic variability. In Indian mustard (Brassica Juncea), the genetic variability is limited (Rai, 1989). A major advance was made in this respect by Prakash (1973), when a large number of ampidiploids were synthesized. Based on several studies it has been suggested that (Jain, 1984; Labana, 1984) the ideal plant for improved agronomic situations should be 1m tall with basal and compact branching having appressed pods and higher number of bold seeds. However, the studies relating to basal branching and its utilization are very scarce. Basal branching genotype has been defined (Vijayakumar et al., 1994) as one in which at least one productive primary branch initiates within a height of 30 cm (H₁) from the ground.

The aim of this paper is to examine the variability, particularly for basal branching and its association with yield in F, and F, generations of some inter-varietal crosses made using cultivars and synthetic strains of B.Juncea.

individual plants were selected for basal branching and other yield components during rabi 1989.

List of crosses and their pedigree

Cross	No. of Plants	Expanded pedigree	
PBRN	10	PB = Pusa Bold	
PBNN	6	RN = Synthetic B.Jurcea	
PBYS	8	(B. campestris ssp. rapifera x B. nigra)	
JNRN	6	NN - Synthetic B.Juncea	
JNNN	4	(B. campestris ssp. narinosa x B. nigra)	
RNJN	8	YS = Yellow seeded B.Junca	
RNYS	8	(An accession from Poland)	
NNRN	8	JN = Synthetic B.Juncea	
RNNN	6	(B. campestris ssp. japonica x B. nigra)	

Z LE AL WALLEY

In the F, of nine, cosses detailed below, 64 raised during rabi 1990 on plant-to-proveny basis.

F, malies from the colected plants were

of it of from blication in August, 19.5

Each family was sown in four rows of 3m length with a spacing of 75cm between rows and 10 cm between plants. Single plant data were collected on seven traits which included those indicative of basal branching, namely, number of primary (PBI) and secondary (SBI) branches within the high high and addition to plant neight (HT), seed yield (LT) and harvest index (HI) on per plant basis. An antity where, two thirds of the selected plants were basal branching were considered as less branching entries, and the rest as non-basal branching.

Data collected on five randomly chosen plants was subjected to ANOVA. Using the inethod of Arunachalam and Bandyop, thyay (1994), the 64 families very purped into four classes, Hilligh, M-: Medium a re mean, M-: Medium below mean and the own using a performance scare computed across the seven traits. The top 20% entries in each class was selected to give 52 families. The F4 progeny of the selected F3 plants from all the nine crosses was raised during rabi 1991 in a RBD, where each family was sown in a single row of 5m length in two replications with sacings mentioned failier. The seed yield (a) per plot of each family was recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The variation between the 64 families was significant so. all the traits. The coefficient of variation (C.v.) was greater for basal branching traits (Table 1) than others. However variation was subdued for the economically impresant traits, seed yield and harvest index. But the C.V. for SYI and HII were 4 and 5 times that of SY and HI, indicating that mullised variability was abound for basal branching productivity traits. Though high variation for number of branches was recorded earlier (Paul, 1978), such variation for be sal branching as obserzed in this study has not ye been reported. This was followed by significant differences in F, families for seed yield.

Data on mean seed yield of 32 selected families in F, and F, brought to light that all the productive families with high (E) or M+ overall status were pasal branching. Dest ite some having Missatur, most of the non-basal branching families were of Mistatus. No basal branching families attained overall status 'L'. The mean seed yield (g) of families with high status was 23.3, followed M (21.4g), M-(16.8g) and low (11.9g). Since index selection was reported to be efficient in preliminary selection for single plant yield (Chatterjee and Bhattucharya, 1986; Teresa, 1987), the results of this study would add a modification that stable and high yields could preferentially be selected for using basal branching rails, FEI, CEI, SYI and HII.

The progress of yield improvement from F, and F, indicated that the mean seed yield of basal braighting farellies in II, (320g) was loverto no classil branching families (353g). The regres ion of F, seed yield on F, was negative and significant with respect to basal branching tamilies, while for non-basal branching types, it was positive and significant. Basel branching families, while for non-basal branching types, it was positive and rignificant. Basal branching families segregated into 68% of non-basal branching plants in F, incicating high heterozygosity for basal branching. In contrast, non-basal branching families gave an average of 71% of non-basal branching plants in F. confirming high genetic uniformity of non-basal branching types. This could be a main reason for the comparatively low yields of basal brnaching F, famalies. In view of the above results it is advisable that individual selection be practised for basal branching types beyond F, generation. Nevertheless, the results gave a conclusive trend that it is possible to breed for basal branching and high productivity disproving contrary opinion by some physiologists (Bhargava and Tomar, 1982; Bhargava et al., 1983; Chauhan et al., 1987) A variety evolved with basal branching and high production of about 29.4 q/ha compared

to the yield of about 25 c/ha of the check, Pusa Barani is in All Ir dia Trials. This is an encouragig proof, and it is time breeders invest sufficient efforts to evolve basal branching mustards, in future,

LITERATURE CLIED

- Arunachalam, V. and Bandyopadhyay, A. 1984. A method to make decisions jointly on a number of dependent characters. Indian Journal of Genetics. 44(3): 419-424.
- Pha. gava, S.C. and Tomar, D.P.S. 1982. Physiological basis of yield improvement in rapeseed-mustard. In: Proc. Indo-Sweedish Joint Workshop on Rapeseed-Mustard. Dept. of science and Tech., Govt. of India, New Delhi pp. 33-41.
- Bhargva, S.A., Tomar, D.35. and Sinha, S.K. 1983. Physiological hasis of plant type in eleiferous Brassica. 6th Int. Rapesseed conf., Paris, France op 472-475.
- Chatterjee, S.D. and Bhattacharya, N. 1986. Selection index in Indian mustard. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 56: 208-209.
- Chauhan, Y.S., Bhargava, S.C. and Tomar, D.P.S., 1987. Effect of de-branching on the yield and yield components of mustard (Brassica Junces). Experimental

Agriculture. 23:395-400.

- Jain, H.K. 1984. Improvement of Oilseed crops: Objectives, concepts and methods, pp: '-3, In: Research and development strategies for p Iseed production in India. Eds. P.L. Jaiswal and R.S. Gupta, ICAR, New Delhi.
- Labana, K.S. 1984. Breeding for developing high yielding varieties of Indian mustard. pp; 118-122. In: Research and development strategies for oilseed production in Fraia. Eds. P.L. Jaiswal and R.s. Gupta, ICAR, New Delhi.
- Paul, N.K.: 1978. Genetic architecture of yield and components of yield in mustard (Bransica Juncea L. Czern and coss). Theoretical Applied Genetics. 53:233-237.
- Prakash, S. 1973. A tissicial aunthosis of Brassica Juncea Coss.

 Genesica. 44: 249-263.
- Rai, B. 1980. Brassicas. pp. 159-170. In: Plant Breeding Ed. 5L. Chopta. Oxford IBH, New Delhi.
- Teresa, W. 1987. Selection criteria of winter rape single plant at dits seed yield. In Proc. 7th International Rapeseed Congress, 11-14, May, Poland, pp. 284-289.
- Vijaya Kumar, C.H.M., Arunachalam, V. Kesava Rao, P.S. and Chakrabarty, S.K. 1994, An assay of basal branching F. segregants from intra- and inter-specific crosses in Brassica. Cruciprae Newsletter. Nr. 16, 25-26.

Table 1. Mean (M), range (R) and coefficient of variation (CV) for seven traits in 64 families

Treit	M ± S.Em	Ŗ	CA (¿¿)
HT: Plant height (cm)	218.5 ± 6.10	172.4 - 264.0	8.1
PB 1: No. of primary branches at H1	0.8 ± 0.49	· 0·30	1 116.0
SB 1: No. of secondary branches at H1	2.3 ± 1.62	0 - 7.3	11.3
SY1: Seed yield (g) at H1.	1.2 ± 1.03	0 - 7.3	1 134.4
SY: Seed yield (g) / plant	\$7.2 ± 3.57	6.2 - 34.0	3.7
HI 1: Harvest index (%) at H1	0.8 ± 0.57	0 - 3.7	130.3
HI: Harvest index (%) / plant	13.8 ± 1.50	7.5 - 24.1	. 24.0