J. Chem. Sci., Vol. 122, No. 6, November 2010, pp. 791–800. © Indian Academy of Sciences.

An asymmetric route to 2,3-epoxy-syn-1,4-cyclohexane diol derivatives using ring closing metathesis (RCM)

SOUMITRA MAITY and SUBRATA GHOSH*

Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700 032 e-mail: ocsg@iacs.res.in

MS received 16 August 2010; accepted 29 October 2010

Abstract. An asymmetric route for the synthesis of highly functionalized 2,3-epoxy-syn-1,4 cyclohexane diol derivatives present in some polyketide natural products has been developed. The key step involves RCM of an appropriately constructed 1,7-dienol derived from D-mannitol to cyclohexane-1,4-diol followed by its stereoselective epoxidation.

Keywords. Asymmetric synthesis; epoxides; metathesis; Michael addition; natural products.

1. Introduction

2,3-Epoxy-syn-1,4-cyclohexane diol structural motif 1 is frequently encountered in a large number of compounds belonging to a growing family of polyketide natural products.¹ Many of these compounds possess interesting biological and pharmacological properties. The varied substitution and polyoxygenation pattern present in these molecules are reflected in epoxy-cyclohexane natural products like eutypoxide A 2^2 eutypoxide B 3^2 asperpentyn 4^3 and integrasone 5^4 to name a few.

 This class of natural products exhibits interesting biological activities such as antitumor, antibacterial, antifungal, etc. The highly oxygenated structural features coupled with the interesting biological activities elicited considerable interest amongst biologists, pharmacologists, and synthetic chemists. The densely functionalised cyclohexane frameworks associated with these natural products prompted organic chemists to develop efficient routes for their synthesis.^{1,5} Apart from the construction of highly oxygenated cyclohexane rings, stereocontrol in the synthesis of epoxy-cyclohexane natural products is the major hurdle. Several syntheses of these compounds in racemic as well as enantiomerically pure form have been reported. The key step in the synthetic strategies developed so far towards cyclohexane epoxides can be classified into three categories

namely (i) Diels-Alder reaction of a properly substituted diene,^{5a,f} (ii) retro Diels–Alder reaction of functionalized masked cyclohexane derivatives 5b,c,i and (iii) transformation of naturally occurring functionalized cyclohexane derivatives.^{$5d,e$} Some of these approaches lack stereoselectivity requiring separation of undesired diastereoisomers. Initially, we planned to develop a concise stereocontrolled protocol for the construction of the epoxy cyclohexane derivative 6 which would be exploited for the synthesis of natural products in the second phase. In this paper we report the results of the preliminary investigation.

Figure 1. Naturally occurring epoxy-cyclohexane derivatives.

^{*}For correspondence

2. Experimental

Melting points were taken in open capillaries in sulfuric acid bath and are uncorrected. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction having b.p. 60–80°C. A usual workup of the reaction mixture consists of extraction with diethyl ether, washing with brine, drying over $Na₂SO₄$, and removal of the solvent in vacuo. Column chromatography was carried out with silica gel (60–120 mesh). Peak positions in ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are indicated in parts per million downfield from internal TMS in δ units. NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C on Bruker-Avance DPX_{300} instrument. IR spectra were recorded on Shimadzu FTIR-8300 instrument. Optical rotations were measured using Jasco P-1020 digital polarimeter and $[\alpha]^{D}$ values are given in units of 10^{-1} deg cm² g⁻¹. Mass spectra were measured in a QTOF I (quadrupole-hexapole-TOF) mass spectrometer with an orthogonal Z-spray– electrospray interface on Micro (YA-263) mass spectrometer (Manchester, UK). Unless otherwise indicated, all reactions were carried out under a blanket of Ar.

2.1 (S)-6-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-yl) octa-1,7-dien-4-ol (11)

To a magnetically stirred solution of the aldehyde 10 $(1·0 g, 4·46 mmol)$ in THF $(20 mL)$ was added dropwise allylmagnesium chloride solution (2⋅7 mL, 5⋅35 mmol, 2⋅0 M in THF) at 0°C. After stirring for 2 h, the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH4Cl solution (3 mL), and the whole mixture was extracted with diethyl ether $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered and evaporated to give crude product. Purification of the residue by column chromatography (10% Et₂O/petroleum ether) gave an inseparable mixture of dienols 11 (1⋅0 g, 85%) as a colourless liquid. $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D + 24⋅0 (c 3⋅9, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.6$ (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 3447, 3075, 2935, 1639, 1448 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for both diastereoisomers) 5⋅82–5⋅57 (m, 2H), 5⋅12–5⋅02 (m, 4H), 4⋅09–3⋅89 (m, 2H), 3.61–3.56 (m, 2H), 2.46–2.42 (m, 1H), $2.31-2.26$ (m, 1H), $2.18-2.08$ (m, 4H), 1.54 (br s, 4H), 1⋅52 (br s, 4H), 1⋅33 (br s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for major isomer) 137⋅5, 134⋅7, 117⋅8, 117⋅7, 109⋅2, 78⋅0, 68⋅0, 66⋅6, 43⋅4, 42⋅5, 38⋅0, 35⋅7, 34⋅8, 25⋅1, 23⋅8 (2C); (for minor

isomer) 137⋅8, 134⋅7, 117⋅7, 117⋅2, 109⋅4, 77⋅0, 68⋅4, 66⋅5, 43⋅5, 41⋅4, 38⋅4, 35⋅7, 34⋅6, 25⋅0, 23⋅7 (2C); HRMS (ESI): m/z $[M + Na]$ ⁺ calcd. for $C_{16}H_{26}O_3Na$: 289⋅1780; found: 289⋅1782.

2.2 (S)-5-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2 yl)cyclohex-3-enol (12)

A solution of dienols 11 (1⋅25 g, 4⋅70 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) was treated with Grubbs' first generation catalyst (193 mg, 0.23 mmol) under Argon atmosphere and stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The residual mass obtained after removal of solvent was column chromatographed (30% $Et₂O/petroleum$ ether) to afford an inseparable mixture of cyclohexenols 12 $(1·0 g, 90%)$ as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D –51⋅9 (c 5⋅3, CHCl₃); R_f = 0⋅4 (30%) EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 3403, 2931, 1448, 1364 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for the mixture) 5⋅78 (d, J = 10⋅2 Hz) and 5⋅65 $(br s, total 2H), 4·08 (br s, 1H), 3·97–3·85 (m, 3H),$ 3.61 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 1H), $2.42 - 2.27$ (m, 3H), $2.03 -$ 1⋅83 (m, 2H), 1⋅56 (br s, 4H), 1⋅52 (br s, 4H), 1⋅34 (br s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for major isomer) 127⋅1, 125⋅8, 109⋅7, 78⋅7, 67⋅0, 66⋅7, 40⋅0, 36⋅3, 35⋅0, 34⋅8, 32⋅0, 25⋅2, 24⋅0, 23⋅8; (for minor isomer) 127⋅6, 124⋅8, 109⋅7, 79⋅0, 67⋅3, 64⋅3, 36⋅4, 36⋅0, 35⋅1, 34⋅9, 33⋅7, 25⋅1, 24⋅0, 23⋅8; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for C₁₄H₂₂O₃Na: 261⋅1467; found: 261⋅1462.

2.3 (S)-5-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2 yl)cyclohex-3-enyl acetate (14)

To a magnetically stirred solution of the cyclohexenols 12 (570 mg, 2⋅40 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) at 0°C was added drop-wise triethyl amine (0⋅67 mL, 4⋅78 mmol), acetic anhydride (0⋅34 mL, 3⋅60 mmol), and 4-DMAP (cat). The reaction mixture was then allowed to stir for 2 h at room temperature. Solvent was evaporated, and the residual mass was extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The ether layer was then washed with water (2 mL) and brine. Removal of solvent under reduced pressure followed by column chromatography $(5\%$ Et₂O/petroleum ether) afforded the corresponding acetate 14 (640 mg, 95%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]^{27}$ –47.3 (c 5.9, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.4$ (30% EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (KBr) : 2935, 2860, 1734, 1448, 1365 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for the mixture) 5⋅82–5⋅62 (m, 2H), 5⋅18–5⋅11 and 4⋅97–4⋅87 (m, total 1H),

3⋅90–3⋅88 (m, 2H), 3⋅63–3⋅58 (m, 1H), 2⋅43–2⋅28 $(m, 2H), 2·13–2·07$ $(m, 1H), 2·00$ $(s, 3H), 1·88–1·81$ $(m, 1H), 1.58$ (br s, 4H), 1⋅54 (br s, 4H), 1⋅50–1⋅32 $(m, 3H)$; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for major isomer) 170⋅8, 127⋅6, 124⋅5, 109⋅7, 78⋅6, 67⋅7, 67⋅2, 36⋅4, 36⋅0, 35⋅0, 30⋅4, 28⋅9, 25⋅2, 24⋅1, 23⋅9, 21⋅4; (for minor isomer) 170⋅6, 127⋅7, 125⋅0, 109⋅7, 78⋅5, 70⋅0, 67⋅0, 40⋅0, 36⋅3, 35⋅1, 31⋅2, 30⋅5, 25⋅2, 24⋅0, 23⋅9, 21⋅4; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for C16H24O4Na: 303⋅1572; found: 303⋅1577.

2.4 (R)-5-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-yl)-7 oxabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-3-yl acetate (15)

m-CPBA (273 mg, 1⋅58 mmol) was added in one portion to a solution of the acetate 14 (222 mg, 0.79 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The mixture was treated with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and Na₂SO₃ (5 mL, $v/v = 1:1$), and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 10 mL). The extract was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give crude product. Purification of the crude product by column chromatography (15% Et₂O/petroleum ether) afforded the title compound 15 (192 mg, 82%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]^{27}$ _D –30⋅1 (c 5⋅9, CHCl₃); R_f = 0⋅6 (30% EtOAc/ petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 2935, 2860, 1736, 1446, 1365 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for the mixture) 4⋅88–4⋅77 and 4⋅66–4⋅61 (m, total 1H), 4.12–3.98 (m, 2 H), 3⋅67–3⋅61 (m, 1H), 3⋅38, 3⋅29, 3⋅24, and 3⋅15 (all s, total 2H), 2⋅21–2⋅03 (m, 2H), 2⋅00, 1⋅99, 1⋅97, and 1⋅96 (all s, total 3H), 1⋅79–1⋅66 (m, 1H), 1⋅57 (br s, 8H), 1⋅36 (br s, 3H), 1⋅27–1⋅10 $(m, 1H)$; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for the mixture) 170⋅4, 170⋅3, 170⋅2, 110⋅2, 110.1, 109.6, 109.5, 76.9, 76.8, 76.7, 76.1, 68⋅5, 68⋅2, 67⋅7, 67⋅6, 67⋅2, 66⋅3, 65⋅7, 53⋅7, 53⋅2, 52⋅9, 52⋅7, 52⋅4, 50⋅8, 50⋅7, 50⋅0, 39⋅0, 38⋅7, 37⋅0, 36⋅6, 36⋅5, 36⋅4, 36⋅3, 35⋅1, 35⋅0, 34⋅2, 30⋅7, 30⋅0, 29⋅5, 29⋅3, 29⋅2, 27⋅4, 25⋅7, 25⋅2, 24⋅3, 24⋅1 (2C), 24⋅0, 23⋅8, 21⋅4, 21⋅3, 21⋅2; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for $C_{16}H_{24}O_5$ Na: 319⋅1521; found: 319⋅1524.

2.5 (R)-5-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-yl)-7 oxabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-3-ol (13)

The acetate 15 (165 mg, 0⋅56 mmol) was dissolved in CH₃OH (4 mL) and cooled to -5° C. LiOH⋅H₂O (35 mg, 0⋅83 mmol) was then added and stirred for 3 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ and washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, filtered and then concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the crude residue by column chromatography $(70\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{petroleum}$ ether) afforded the epoxy-alcohol 13 (120 mg, 85%) as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{26}$ –19⋅6 (c 6⋅5, CHCl₃); R_f = 0⋅3 (50% EtOAc/ petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 3431, 2936, 2860, 1448, 1365 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for the mixture) 4⋅10–3⋅95 (m, 3H), 3⋅90 (br s, 1H), 3⋅74– 3⋅58 (m, 1H), 3⋅37 and 3⋅27 (all s, 1H), 3⋅22–3⋅13 $(m, 1H), 2.44-2.19$ $(m, 2H), 2.11-1.99$ $(m, 1H),$ 1⋅91–1⋅67 (m, 1H), 1⋅58 (br s, 4H), 1⋅55 (br s, 4H), 1⋅36 (br s, 2H), 1⋅27–1⋅13 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = (for the mixture) 110⋅0, 109⋅6, 109⋅5, 77⋅0, 76⋅7, 67⋅6, 67⋅5, 67⋅2, 66⋅2, 64⋅2, 62⋅8, 54⋅2, 53⋅3, 53⋅1, 52⋅6, 51⋅4, 50⋅5, 40⋅0, 38⋅7, 36⋅5 (2C), 36⋅3, 35⋅2, 35⋅1, 35⋅0, 34⋅9, 34⋅2, 33⋅7, 33⋅0, 32⋅2, 29⋅4, 27⋅4, 25⋅2, 24⋅0 (2C), 23⋅8; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for C₁₄H₂₂O₄Na: 277⋅1416; found: 277⋅1417.

2.6 (4S,5S)-4-hydroxy-5-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5] decan-2-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (17) and (4R,5S)-4 h ydroxy-5-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-yl) cyclohex-2-enone (18)

To a solution of the epoxy-alcohols 13 $(1·1 g, ...)$ 4⋅33 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) at room temperature was added DMP (2⋅2 g, 4⋅76 mmol) portion-wise and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 2 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with 10% $Na₂S₂O₃$ solution (20 mL) doped with NaHCO₃ at ice-cold condition and stirred vigorously until the organic layer became transparent. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude mixture thus obtained after chromatography over silica gel column (12% EtOAc/petroleum ether) afforded cyclohexenone derivatives 17 (640 mg, 58%) and 18 (210 mg, 20%). Compound 17: colourless oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ –50.7 (c) 1⋅5, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.40$ (30% EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 3444, 2935, 2860, 1682, 1448 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 6.95 (dd, J = 10.0, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (d, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.61 (br s, 1H), 4⋅21 (dd, $J = 14.3$, 7⋅1 Hz, 1H), 4⋅06 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.65 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dd, $J = 17.3$, 11⋅2 Hz, 1H), 2⋅30–2⋅20 (m, 2H), 1⋅83– 1⋅77 (m, 1H), 1⋅56 (br s, 4H), 1⋅53 (br s, 4H), 1⋅35 (br s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 197⋅8, 149⋅3, 129⋅7, 110⋅3, 75⋅1, 67⋅6, 65⋅0, 43⋅0, 36⋅5, 36⋅4, 35⋅1, 25⋅0, 24⋅0, 23⋅9; HRMS (ESI): m/z $[M + Na]$ ⁺ calcd. for C₁₄H₂₀O₄Na: 275⋅1259; found: 275⋅1257; Compound 18: white solid; M.p. 80– 78°C; $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D +55⋅4 (c 1⋅5, CHCl₃); R_f = 0.45 (30%) EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 3462, 2935, 2858, 1674, 1448 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.87$ (d, $J = 10.3$ Hz, 1H), 5.95 (d, $J = 10.2$ Hz, 1H), 4⋅60 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 1H), 4⋅16– 4⋅10 (*m*, 2H), 3⋅72−3⋅65 (*m*, 1H), 2⋅30 (*t*, $J = 6·3$ Hz, 1H), 2⋅25–2⋅06 (m, 2H), 1⋅84–1⋅80 (m, 1 H), 1⋅63 $(br s, 3H)$, 1⋅59 $(br s, 5H)$, 1⋅39 $(br s, 2H)$; ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 196.6, 152.7, 128.0, 111.0,$ 79⋅4, 70⋅9, 67⋅9, 47⋅0, 37⋅9, 35⋅9, 34⋅9, 24⋅8, 24⋅0, 23⋅7; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for C14H20O4Na: 275⋅1259; found: 275⋅1258.

2.7 (1R,4S,5R,9S)-4,9-dihydroxy-2-oxabicyclo $[3.3.1]$ nonan-7-one (20)

A solution of cyclohexenone 18 (40 mg, 0⋅16 mmol) in CDCl₃ (0⋅60 mL) was kept for five days in room temperature and then the whole mixture was collected with ethyl acetate. The residual mass obtained after removal of solvent was column chromatographed (50% EtOAc/petroleum ether) to afford the cyclic ether 20 (22 mg, 80%) as crystalline solid. M.p.: 112–110°C; $[\alpha]^{27}$ _D + 26⋅8 (c 0⋅5, EtOH); IR (KBr) : 3404, 3385, 2907, 1695 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_3\text{OD})$: $\delta = 4.11$ (br s, 1H), 3⋅89–3⋅82 $(m, 2H)$, 3⋅60 (dd, J = 12⋅4, 5⋅9 Hz, 1H), 3⋅02 (t, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 1H), 2⋅85 (dd, $J = 17.7$, 4⋅6 Hz, 1H), 2⋅63–2⋅57 (m, 3H), 2⋅42 (d, J = 17⋅8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): $\delta = 211.3, 72.7, 66.4,$ 65⋅5, 62⋅0, 41⋅5, 41⋅4, 34⋅3; HRMS (ESI): m/z $[M + Na]$ ⁺ calcd. for $C_8H_{12}O_4Na$: 195⋅0633; found: 195⋅0631.

2.8 (4S,5R)-4-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-5-((S)- 1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (21)

To a magnetically stirred solution of the alcohol 17 (209 mg, 0⋅83 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (7 mL) at -40 °C, was added triethyl amine (0⋅12 mL, 0⋅91 mmol), followed by t-butyldimethylsilyl triflate (0⋅23 mL, 0⋅10 mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at that temperature for 15 min. The crude mass obtained after evaporation of the solvent, was column chromatographed $(5\%$ Et₂O/petroleum ether) to afford the silyl ether 21 (280 mg, 92%) as a colourless liquid; $[\alpha]^{27}$ _D -86⋅1 (c 3⋅9, CHCl₃); $R_f = 0.8$ (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 2934, $2856, 1682, 1462$ cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃):

 δ = 6⋅92 (dd, J = 9⋅9, 5⋅6 Hz, 1H), 5⋅97 (d, J = 9⋅9 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (dd, $J = 4.8$, 2.4 Hz, 1H), $4.15-4.09$ (m, 1H), 4.04 (dd, $J = 7.9$, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H), 2.59 (dd, $J = 15.8$, 12.8 Hz, 1H), $2·16–2·01$ (m, 2H), $1·57$ (br s, 8H), $1·40$ (br s, 2H), 0.87 (s, 9H), 0.15 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 199.0, 148.1, 129.8, 109.6,$ 74⋅7, 67⋅5, 63⋅0, 44⋅4, 36⋅7, 35⋅5, 35⋅0, 25⋅8 (3C), 25⋅3, 24⋅1, 24⋅0, 18⋅2, –4⋅0, –4⋅7; HRMS (ESI): m/z $[M + Na]$ ⁺ calcd. for C₂₀H₃₄O₄SiNa: 389.2124; found: 389⋅2122.

2.9 (1R,4S,5R)-4-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)- 5-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-yl)cyclohex-2-enol (22)

To a magnetically stirred solution of the cyclohexenone derivative 21 (212 mg, 0⋅58 mmol) in methanol (5 mL) was added CeCl₃⋅H₂O (458 mg, 1⋅73 mmol) and stirred for 5 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was then cooled to -78° C and to it NaBH4 (66 mg, 1⋅73 mmol) was added portion-wise. After stirring for 30 min at that temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched by drop-wise addition of AcOH. Usual workup of the reaction mixture afforded, after column chromatography $(20\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{C}))$ petroleum ether), the cyclohexenol derivative 22 (180 mg, 85%) as a colourless oil; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ –78⋅5 (c 0⋅9, CHCl₃); R_f = 0⋅3 (20% EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 3348, 2932, 1448 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC1}_3)$: $\delta = 5.80 - 5.72$ (m, 2H), 4.21 (d, $J = 3.0$ Hz, 1H), 4⋅14 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 4⋅10–4⋅01 $(m, 2H)$, 3⋅64 $(t, J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}$, 1H), 1⋅64–1⋅54 $(m, 9H)$, $1.40-1.31$ (m, 3H), $1.23-1.18$ (m, 1H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 0⋅07 (s, 3H), 0⋅06 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 133⋅6, 130⋅2, 109⋅1, 75⋅5, 68⋅3, 67⋅6, 63⋅8, 43⋅3, 36⋅7, 35⋅3, 28⋅9, 26⋅0 (3C), 25⋅3, 24⋅1, 24⋅0, 18⋅2, -3⋅8, -4⋅7; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for $C_{20}H_{36}O_4\sinh 391.2281$; found: 391⋅2281.

2.10 $(1S, 4S, 5R)$ -4-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-5-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-yl)cyclohex-2-enol (23)

To a solution of cyclohexenol 22 (120 mg, 0⋅32 mmol) in THF (2 mL) were added triphenylphosphine (214 mg, 0⋅82 mmol), diisopropyl azodicarboxylate $(0.13 \text{ mL}, 0.65 \text{ mm})$, and pnitrobenzoic acid (217 mg, 1⋅30 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. After being diluted with $Et₂O$ (20 mL), the reac-

tion mixture was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution, water and brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude residue was purified by column chromatography (4% Et₂O/petroleum ether) to give p-nitrobenzoate derivative (135 mg, 80%) as a colourless liquid; $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D –164⋅4 (c 2⋅5, CHCl₃); R_f = 0⋅6 (10% EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 2953, 2856, 1724, 1608, 1531, 1464 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDCl}_3): \delta = 8.27 \text{ (d, } J = 8.8 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H}),$ 8⋅16 (d, J = 8⋅8 Hz, 2H), 6⋅14 (dd, J = 9⋅8, 5⋅3 Hz, 1H), 5⋅95 (dd, $J = 9.7$, 4⋅5 Hz, 1H), 5⋅51 (d, $J = 4.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.34 (dd, $J = 5.2$, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.13 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 4.07 (dd, $J = 7.5$, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), $2.10-1.97$ (m, 2H), 1.61 (br s, 4H), 1⋅58 (br s, 4H), 1⋅39 (br s, 3H), 0⋅88 (s, 9H), 0⋅13 (s, 3H), 0⋅10 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 164⋅3, 150⋅6, 135⋅9, 135⋅3, 130⋅8 (2C), 125⋅4, 123⋅6 (2C), 109⋅4, 75⋅1, 68⋅3, 68⋅0, 63⋅2, 40⋅2, 36⋅7, 35⋅3, 25⋅9 (3C), 25⋅3, 25⋅0, 24⋅2, 23⋅9, 18⋅2, -3⋅9, -4⋅7; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for $C_{27}H_{39}NO_7SiNa$: 540⋅2393; found: 540⋅2390.

The *p*-nitrobenzoate derivative (190 mg, 0.36 mmol) was treated with LiOH.H₂O (15 mg, 0⋅36 mmol) in methanol (2 mL) at 0°C for 1 h. After being diluted with $Et₂O$ (20 mL), the reaction mixture was washed with brine solution, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (20% $Et_2O/petrolcum$ ether) to provide the title compound 23 (111 mg, 82%) as a colourless liquid; $\left[\alpha\right]_{\text{D}}^{26}$ –134⋅6 (c 1⋅5, CHCl₃); R_f = 0⋅3 (30%) EtOAc/petroleum ether); IR (KBr): 3398, 2934, 2856, 1531, 1448 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5⋅93 (dd, J = 9⋅8, 5⋅1 Hz, 1H), 5⋅84 (dd, $J = 10 \cdot 1$, 4⋅5 Hz, 1H), 4⋅22–4⋅17 (m, 2H), 4⋅11–4⋅07 $(m, 1H)$, 4⋅03 $(t, J = 6.0$ Hz, 1H), 3⋅64 $(t, J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 2⋅74 (br s, 1H), 1⋅91–1⋅83 (m, 2H), 1⋅57 (br s, 4H), 1⋅56 (br s, 4H), 1⋅40–1⋅30 (m, 3H), 0⋅85 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 132⋅5, 129⋅8, 109⋅2, 75⋅5, 68⋅0, 63⋅8, 63⋅5, 39⋅2, 36⋅7, 35⋅6, 28⋅0, 25⋅9 (3C), 25⋅4, 24⋅2, 24⋅0, 18⋅2, –3⋅8, –4⋅7; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for $C_{20}H_{36}O_4SiNa$: 391⋅2281; found: 391⋅2283.

2.11 ((1S,4S,5R)-5-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decan-2-yl)cyclohex-2-ene-1,4-diyl)bis(oxy)bis(tert-butyldimethylsilane) (24)

To a magnetically stirred ice-cold solution of the alcohol 23 (110 mg, 0⋅30 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL), was added 2,6-lutidine (0⋅07 mL, 0⋅60 mmol), followed by t-butyldimethylsilyl triflate (0⋅08 mL, 0⋅36 mmol) and allowed to stir for 10 min. The residual mass obtained after evaporation of the solvent, was column chromatographed $(2\% \text{ Et}_2\text{O}/\text{C})$ petroleum ether) to afford the bis-silyl ether derivative 24 (136 mg, 95%) as a colourless liquid; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{24}$ -117.3 (c 4⋅5, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): 2932, 2856, 1471, 1362 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5⋅85 $(dd, J=9.8, 5.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 5.72 (dd, J=9.7, 4.6 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 4.23 (dd, $J = 5.1$, 3.2 Hz, 1H), $4.18-4.13$ (m, 1H), $4 \cdot 11 - 4 \cdot 02$ (m, 2H), $3 \cdot 59$ (t, $J = 7 \cdot 1$ Hz, 1H), $2·05-1·98$ (m, 1H), 1⋅84 (td, $J = 12·9$, 4⋅0 Hz, 1H), 1⋅59 (br s, 4H), 1⋅58 (br s, 4H), 1⋅38 (br s, 3H), 0⋅88 (s, 9H), 0⋅86 (s, 9H), 0⋅09 (s, 3H), 0⋅06 (s, 3H), 0⋅05 $(s, 3H)$, 0⋅04 $(s, 3H)$; ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 131⋅0, 130⋅7, 109⋅1, 75⋅6, 68⋅2, 64⋅2, 63⋅9, 39⋅3, 36⋅7, 35⋅6, 28⋅7, 26⋅0 (6C), 25⋅5, 24⋅2, 24⋅0, 18⋅3, 18⋅2, –3⋅8, –4⋅3, –4⋅6, –4⋅7; HRMS (ESI): m/z $[M + Na]$ ⁺ calcd. for $C_{26}H_{50}O_4Si_2Na$: 505⋅3145; found: 505⋅3144.

2.12 ((1R,2R,3R,5S,6S)-3-((S)-1,4-dioxaspiro $[4.5]$ decan-2-yl)-7-oxabicyclo $[4.1.0]$ heptane-2,5diyl)bis(oxy)bis(tert-butyldimethylsilane) (25)

To a solution of the bis-silyl ether 24 (45 mg, 0⋅09 mmol) in 1,2-dichloro ethane (2 mL) was added m-CPBA (48 mg, 0⋅28 mmol), and the solution was heated under reflux. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL), washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and Na₂SO₃ solution (v/v, $1:1$, dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated. The residual oil was purified by column chromatography (3% $Et₂O/petroleum ether)$ to afford epoxide 25 (30 mg, 65%) as a colourless oil; $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D -68⋅1 (c 1⋅0, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): 2934, 2885, 1462 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ CDC1}_3)$: $\delta = 4.33$ (t, $J = 3.9 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$), 4⋅29 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 4⋅07 (dd, $J = 14.1$, 7⋅7 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (dd, $J = 7.6$, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 3⋅22 (t, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 1H), 3⋅01 (br s, 1H), 1⋅89–1⋅85 (m, 1H), 1⋅68 (td, J = 13⋅1, 2⋅8 Hz, 1H), 1⋅58 (br s, 4H), 1⋅56 (br s, 4H), 1⋅39 (br s, 3H), 0⋅92 (s, 9H), 0⋅90 (s, 9H), 0⋅16 (s, 3H), 0⋅12 (s, 3H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 109⋅1, 74⋅8, 67⋅8, 66⋅0, 64⋅7, 55⋅3, 54⋅6, 38⋅1, 36⋅7, 35⋅4, 26⋅0 (3C), 25⋅9 (3C), 25⋅4, 24⋅6, 24⋅2, 24⋅0, 18⋅5, 18⋅2, –4⋅2, –4⋅6, –4⋅7, –4⋅8; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for C₂₆H₅₀O₅Si₂Na: 521⋅3094; found: 521⋅3096.

2.13 (1S,4S,5S)-5-((S)-1,2-dihydroxyethyl) cyclohex-2-ene-1,4-diol (26)

A solution of the cyclohexenol derivative 22 (200 mg, 0⋅54 mmol) in 80% aqueous acetic acid (3 mL) was stirred at 70°C for 4 h. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude mass by column chromatography $(20\% \text{ CH}_3OH/EtOAc)$ afforded the tetraol 26 (66 mg, 70%) as a colourless heavy oil; $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}$ –201⋅6 (c 4⋅6, CH₃OH); R_f = 0⋅2 (20% CH3OH/EtOAc); IR (KBr): 3389, 3350, 1435 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 5.96 $(dd, J=9.8, 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 5.88 \text{ (dd, } J=9.8, 4.2 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 4⋅29 (t, J = 4⋅1 Hz, 1H), 4⋅16 (d, J = 2⋅3 Hz, 1H), $3.76-3.65$ (m, 2H), 3.58 (dd, $J = 10.3$, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2⋅07–1⋅98 (m, 1H), 1⋅90 (td, J = 13⋅3, 4⋅1 Hz, 1H), 1⋅58 (d, J = 13⋅0 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 131⋅0, 130⋅5, 73⋅1, 64⋅3, 63⋅3 (2C), 36⋅0, 28⋅7; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for C8H14O4Na: 197⋅0790; found: 197⋅0793.

2.14 (1S,4S,5R)-5-(hydroxymethyl)cyclohex-2-ene-1,4-diol (27)

To a magnetically stirred ice-cold solution of the tetraol 26 (100 mg, 0⋅57 mmol) in CH_3OH/H_2O $(3:1, 3 \text{ mL})$ was added NaIO₄ (245 mg, 1⋅14 mmol) in multiple portions. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 0°C for 30 min. The precipitated white solid was filtered off, after washing it thoroughly with ethyl acetate. Usual workup of the filtrate afforded the corresponding aldehyde. To a stirred solution of this crude aldehyde in $CH₃OH$ (2 mL) was added NaBH₄ (ca. 40 mg) in small portions at 0°C, and the mixture was allowed to stir for 30 min. The reaction mixture was quenched with AcOH. Usual workup of the residual mass obtained after evaporation of methanol under reduced pressure followed by column chromatography (5% $CH₃OH/EtOAc$) afforded the triol 27 (56 mg, 68%) as a gummy liquid; $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D –286⋅7 (c 4⋅0, CH₃OH); $R_f = 0.4$ (20% CH₃OH/EtOAc); IR (KBr): 3367, 3308, 2933, 1410 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 5⋅92 (dd, J = 9⋅9, 4⋅3 Hz, 1H), 5⋅86 $(dd, J=9.9, 3.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 4.16 (br s, 2\text{H}), 3.73 (dd,$ $J = 10.7$, 7⋅6 Hz, 1H), 3⋅50 (dd, $J = 10.7$, 6⋅6 Hz, 1H), 2⋅17–2⋅06 (m, 1H), 1⋅80 (td, J = 13⋅7, 4⋅5 Hz, 1H), 1⋅58 (d, J = 13⋅7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD): δ = 131⋅0, 130⋅8, 63⋅7, 63⋅1, 62⋅7, 37⋅0, 29⋅0; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for C7H12O3Na: 167⋅0684; found: 167⋅0685.

2.15 $((1S, 4S, 5R) - 5 - ((tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy))$ methyl)cyclohex-2-ene-1,4-diyl)bis(oxy)bis (tert-butyldimethylsilane) (28)

Following the above described protocol a solution of the triol 27 (30 mg, 0.21 mmol) was silylated with tbutyldimethylsilyl triflate (0⋅21 mL, 0⋅93 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) to afford, after column chromatography (3% $Et_2O/petroleum$ ether), the trisilyl ether derivative 28 (86 mg, 85%) as a colourless liquid; $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{25}$ –107⋅5 (c 2⋅3, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): 2930, 2884, 1462 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 5.71 $(br$ s, 2H), 4⋅24 (d, J = 2⋅9 Hz, 2H), 3⋅75 (dd, $J = 10.0, 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 3.46 (t, $J = 9.7$ Hz, 1H), 2.18– 2⋅08 (m, 1H), 1⋅97–1⋅88 (m, 1H), 1⋅51 (d, J = 13⋅1 Hz, 1H), 0⋅89 (s, 18 H), 0⋅87 (s, 9H), 0⋅07 (s, 6H), 0⋅04 (s, 12H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 131⋅6, 131⋅4, 65⋅7, 64⋅8, 63⋅1, 39⋅2, 30⋅5, 26⋅1 (3C), 26⋅0 (3C), 25⋅9 (3C), 18⋅4 (2C), 18⋅3, –4⋅0, –4⋅4, –4⋅5, $-4.7, -5.2$ (2C); HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd. for C25H54O3Si3Na: 509⋅3279; found: 509⋅3276.

2.16 ((1R,2R,3R,5S,6S)-3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]heptane-2,5 diyl)bis(oxy)bis(tert-butyldimethylsilane) (29)

Following the above described protocol a solution of the silyl ether 28 (30 mg, 0⋅21 mmol) was treated with m-CPBA (0⋅21 mL, 0⋅93 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (1 mL) to afford, after column chromatography $(3\%$ Et₂O/petroleum ether), the epoxy cyclohexene derivative 29 (86 mg, 85%) as a colourless liquid; $[\alpha]^{26}$ –26⋅6 (c 1⋅6, CHCl₃); IR (KBr): 2955, 2856, 1464, 1255 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 4⋅24–4⋅18 (*m*, 2H), 3⋅64 (*m*, 1H), 3⋅36 $(dd, J=9.8, 7.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.20 (t, J=3.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}),$ 3⋅05 (br s, 1H), 1⋅91–1⋅88 (m, 1H), 1⋅69–1⋅62 (m, 2H), 0⋅91 (s, 9H), 0⋅89 (s, 9H), 0⋅87 (s, 9H), 0⋅12 (s, 3H), 0⋅08 (s, 3H), 0⋅07 (s, 3H), 0⋅06 (s, 3H), 0⋅05 (s, 3H), 0⋅03 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 65⋅9, 65⋅6, 63⋅3, 56⋅4, 55⋅1, 36⋅9, 29⋅8, 26⋅2 (3C), 26⋅0 (3C), 25⋅9 (3C), 18⋅4 (2C), 18⋅3, $-4.2, -4.7$ (2C), $-4.9, -5.2$ (2C); HRMS (ESI): m/z $[M + K]^+$ calcd. for $C_{25}H_{54}O_4Si_3K$: 541⋅2967; found: 541⋅2966.

3. Results and discussion

In a retrosynthetic analysis, compound 6 could be obtained from the cyclohexenol 7. The ketal moiety would be the source of chirality as well as the masked carbonyl to be required for introduction of the carbon chain for completion of the synthesis of the natural products. The cyclohexenol 7 would be available by ring closing metathesis⁶ of the dienol 8, which in turn would be available from the unsaturated ester 9.

 The ester 9 was prepared from D-mannitol following the procedure developed earlier in this laboratory.⁷ The ester 9 was then transformed into the known aldehyde 10 using a reduction–oxidation sequence.⁸ The aldehyde 10 on reaction with allylmagnesium chloride afforded in 85% yield, an inseparable mixture of alcohols 11 (ca. $3:2$) as revealed by ¹³C NMR spectra. We decided to proceed with this mixture as in a subsequent step this stereogenic centre will be destroyed after oxidation of the hydroxy group. RCM of this dienol mixture with Grubbs' first generation catalyst, $Cl_2(PCV_3)_2$ Ru=CHPh (G 1) afforded a mixture of cyclohexenols 12 in excellent yield. The cyclohexenols 12 was then subjected to epoxidation with m -CPBA to produce a mixture of oxiranes 13 in 50% yield. It was thought that an appropriately protected hydroxyl group might increase the yield of oxiranes. After considerable experimentation, an acetoxy group was found to be most effective for this purpose. The hydroxyl group was thus transformed to the acetate 14. Epoxidation of the acetate 14 with m-CPBA proceeded smoothly to produce in 82% yield an inseparable mixture of the oxiranes 15.

 The acetate 15 was then hydrolysed. The resulting hydroxy-epoxides 13 were subjected to oxidation with Dess–Martin periodinane (DMP). Interestingly, purification of the resulting product through silica gel column chromatography afforded, instead of the keto-epoxide 16, the hydroxy enones 17 and 18 in a

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic plan.

ratio of ca. 3 : 1 in 78% yield. The formation of the hydroxy-enones could be attributed to the silica induced facile opening of the oxirane rings in the epoxy cyclohexanones 16. The gross structures of these compounds were established through analysis of their NMR spectra. The stereochemical assignment to the newly generated stereogenic center in 18 was confirmed by its transformation to 20. When a solution of 18 in CDCl₃ was allowed to sit for five days at room temperature, the cyclic ether 20 was formed in excellent yield as a white crystalline solid, mp 110–112°C. The structure of 20 was established through single crystal X-ray (figure 2). \degree The formation of 20 could be attributed as follows. Initially deketalization of 18 took place by trace amount of HCl present in $CDCl₃$ to provide the triol 19. The triol 19 underwent in situ intramolecular oxy-Michael addition to provide 20. With the establishment of the structure 19, the major and minor hydroxy enones were assigned the structures 17 and 18 respectively.

 The hydroxyl group of 17 was then protected to afford the silyl ether 21. Reduction of 21 using the condition developed by Luche¹⁰ $(NaBH₄-CeCl₃-$ MeOH) led to the isolation of a single diastereoisomer in overall excellent yield. Reduction of the carbonyl group in 21 was expected to proceed exclusively from the side opposite to the C-5 substituent to provide 22. Indeed determination of the structure of the reduction product through analysis of its HSQC, COSY and NOESY spectra (figure 3) showed the structure of the reduction product as 22. Inversion of the stereochemistry of the C-1 hydroxyl group was then required to have syn-1,4-hydroxy group and was achieved via Mitsunobu reaction 11 in good yield. In order to introduce the oxirane ring anti to the 1,4-dihydroxyl group, a unique characteristic of this family of natural products, it was earlier demonstrated by other workers^{5f} that an increase in steric bulk of the substituents at the allylic positions directed the electrophile to add from the opposite face. The free hydroxyl groups of 23 were protected as silyl ether by treating with TBSOTf to afford 24 in 95% yield. The cyclohexene derivative 24 was then treated with m-CPBA in DCE under reflux and the reaction was found to be highly stereoselective to furnish the epoxide 25 as the only isolable product in 65% yield. The stereochemical assignment to 25 followed from comparison of the coupling constant of the C-2 H [δ 3⋅22 (1H, t, J = 3⋅6 Hz)] with that reported in literature^{5f} for an analogous compound.

For structures 9-18: R^1 , $R^2 = -(CH_2)_{5}$

Scheme 2. Synthesis of functionalized cyclohexenone derivative.

Figure 2. ORTEP drawing of 20.

Figure 3. COSY and NOESY analysis of 22.

 Deketalization of 25 appeared to be a tough job under a variety of conditions.¹² Thus, we decided to change the reaction sequence. Compound 23 was first subjected to deketalization with 80% aqueous AcOH at 70°C to give the tetraol 26 in excellent yield. The tetraol 26 was transformed to the triol 27 by cleavage of the vicinal diol unit with sodium metaperiodate followed by reduction of the resulting aldehyde with NaBH4. The cyclohexane derivative 28 with m -CPBA produced the oxirane 29 as a single diastereoisomer in overall good yield. The silyl ethers 25 and 29 represent the highly functionalized epoxy-cyclohexane-1,4-diol skeletons present in the natural products 2–5.

4. Conclusions

We have developed a new stereocontrolled route for the synthesis of the highly functionalized 2,3-epoxy-

Scheme 3. Synthesis of fully functionalized 2,3-epoxy-1,4-cyclohexane diol derivative.

syn-1,4-cyclohexane diol derivatives. The key step involves ring closing metathesis of an appropriately constructed 1,7-dienol derived from D-mannitol and stereoselective epoxidation.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India through a Ramanna Fellowship to S G is gratefully acknowledged. S M thanks Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi for SRF. Financial support from DST for the National Single crystal X-ray Diffractometer facility at the Department of organic Chemistry is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1. Marco-Contelles J, Molina M T and Anjum S 2004 Chem. Rev. 104 2857; (b) Miyashita K and Imanishi T 2005 Chem. Rev. 105 4515
- 2. Renaud J M, Tsoupras G, Stoeckli-Evans H and Tabacchi R 1989 Helv. Chim. Acta 72 1262
- 3. Mühlenfeld A and Achenbach H 1988 Phytochemistry 27 3853
- 4. Herath K B, Jayasuriya H, Bills G F, Polishook J D, Dombrowski A W, Guan Z, Felock P, Hazuda D J and Singh S B 2004 J. Nat. Prod. 67 872
- 5. (a) Defrancq E, Gordon J, Brodard A and Tabacchi R 1992 Helv. Chim. Acta 75 276; (b) Takano S, Moriya M, Higashi Y and Ogasawara K 1993 J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 177; (c) Takano S, Moriya M and Ogasawara K 1993 J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 614; (d) Barros M T, Maycock C D and Ventura M R 1997 J. Org. Chem. 62 3984; (e) Barros M T, Maycock C D and Ventura M R 2000 Chem. Eur. J. 6 3991; (f) Shimizu H, Okamura H, Iwagawa T and Nakatani M 2001 Tetrahedron 57 1903; (g) Mehta G and Roy S 2004 Org. Lett. 6 2389; (h) Mehta G and Pan S C 2004 Org. Lett. 6 3985; (i) Mehta G and Roy S 2005 Chem. Commun. 3210; (j) Mehta G and Roy S 2005 Tetrahedron Lett. 46 7927 and the references cited therein
- 6. For excellent accounts on RCM see: (a) Grubbs R H, Miller S J and Fu G C 1995 Acc. Chem. Res. 28 446; (b) Fürstner A 1997 Top. Catal. 4 285; (c) Schuster M and Blechert S 1997 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 36 2036; (d) Grubbs R H and Chang S 1998 Tetrahedron 54 4413; (e) Armstrong S K 1998 J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 371; (f) Fürstner A 2000 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 39 3012; (g) Deiters A and Martin S F 2004 Chem. Rev. 104 2199; (h) Nicolaou K C, Bulger P G and Sarlah D 2005 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 44 4490; (i) Ghosh S, Ghosh S and Sarkar N 2006 J. Chem. Sci. 118 223; (j) Chattopadhyay S K, Karmakar S, Biswas T, Majumdar K C, Rahaman H and Roy B 2007 Tetrahedron 63 3919
- 7. Banerjee S, Ghosh S, Sinha S and Ghosh S 2005 J. Org. Chem. 70 4199
- 8. Nayek A, Banerjee S, Sinha S and Ghosh, S 2004 Tetrahedron Lett. 45 6457

9. X-ray crystallography: X-ray single crystal data were collected using MoK α (λ = 0⋅7107 Å) radiation on a BRUKER APEX II diffractometer equipped with CCD area detector. Data collection, data reduction, structure solution/refinement were carried out using the software package of SMART APEX. The structure was solved by direct method and refined in a routine manner. Non-hydrogen atoms were treated anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were geometrically fixed. CCDC (CCDC No: 759612) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www. ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or

deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk). Crystal data for 20: $C_8H_1_2O_4$, $FM = 172.18$, orthorhombic space group $P2₁2₁2₁$ (No. $T_{YM} = 1/2.18$, of the momentum space group $F_{212}T_{21}$ (i.e.
19), $a = 6.926(4)$, $b = 9.196(5)$, $c = 12.178(6)$ Å. $V = 775.6(7)$ Å³, $T = 120$ K, $Z = 4$. $D_c = 1.475$ g cm⁻³. F $(000) = 368$, λ (Mo–K α) = 0⋅71073 Å, μ = 0⋅118 mm⁻¹, $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ = 57.36°, 9358 collected reflections measured, 1988 observed $(I > 2\sigma$ (I)) 111 parameters; $R_{int} =$ 0⋅0436, $R_1 = 0.0335$; $wR_2 = 0.0811$ $(I > 2\sigma$ (I)), $R_1 = 0.0379$; $wR_2 = 0.0831$ (all data) with GOF = 1.051

- 10. Luche J-L 1978 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100 2226 11. Mitsunobu O 1981 Synthesis 1
-
- 12. (a) Vijayasarandhi S, Singh J and Aidhen I S 2000 Synlett. 1 110; (b) Babjak M, Zálupský P and Gracza T 2005 ARKIVOC (v) 45; (c) Merino P, Jimenez P and Tejero T 2006 J. Org. Chem. 71 4685