© Indian Academy of Sciences

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Mapping of the *multifoliate pinna* (*mfp*) leaf-blade morphology mutation in grain pea *Pisum sativum*

RAGHVENDRA KUMAR MISHRA^{1,2}, ANIL KUMAR², SWATI CHAUDHARY¹ and SUSHIL KUMAR^{1*}

¹National Institute of Plant Genome Research (NIPGR), Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi 110 067, India ²School of Biotechnology, Devi Ahilya University, Indore 452 001, India

Abstract

The *multifoliate pinna* (*mfp*) mutation alters the leaf-blade architecture of pea, such that simple tendril pinnae of distal domain are replaced by compound pinna blades of tendrilled leaflets in *mfp* homozygotes. The *MFP* locus was mapped with reference to DNA markers using F_2 and $F_{2:5}$ RIL as mapping populations. Among 205 RAPD, 27 ISSR and 35 SSR markers that demonstrated polymorphism between the parents of mapping populations, three RAPD markers were found linked to the *MFP* locus by bulk segregant analyses on *mfp/mfp* and *MFP/MFP* bulks assembled from the $F_{2:5}$ population. The segregational analysis of *mfp* and 267 DNA markers on 96 F_2 plants allowed placement of 26 DNA markers with reference to *MFP* on a linkage group. The existence of common markers on reference genetic maps and *MFP* linkage group developed here showed that *MFP* is located on linkage group IV of the consensus genetic map of pea.

[Mishra R. K., Kumar A., Chaudhary S. and Kumar S. 2009 Mapping of *multifoliate pinna (mfp)* leaf-blade morphology mutation in grain pea *Pisum sativum. J. Genet.* **88**, 227–232]

Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) is a grain legume which is widely cultivated for use as an ingredient of human foods and animal feed. Improvement of its allometry for high-grain yield and diversification of genetic tolerance for pests and diseases are the main breeding objectives in this crop. On account of its architectural features, pea plant is proving to be an useful model system for studying the developmental biology of leaf and flower (Gourlay *et al.* 2000; Kumar *et al.* 2004; Wang *et al.* 2008).

The leaves of pea, into which more than one third of biomass is partitioned, comprise a target for improvement of harvest index. Each node produces a compound leaf blade and a peltate simple-stipule blade on either side of leaf blade. The leaf blade rachis bears up to three pairs of simple leaflets on the petiole proximal side; up to four pairs of simple tendrils on the petiole distal side, and terminates into a simple tendril. Mutations in the *multifoliate pinna* (*MFP*), *afila* (*AF*), *tendril-less* (*TL*), *unifoliata*[(*UNI*)

or unifoliata-tendrilled acacia (UNI-TAC)], stamina pistilloida (STP) and crispa (CRI) genes/loci alter the morphology of one or more domains of leaf blade (de Vilmorin and Bateson 1911; Lamprecht 1933; Kujala 1953; Goldenberg 1965; Taylor et al. 2001; Kumar et al. 2004; Tattersall et al. 2005). Stipule morphology is altered by the mutations in stipule-reduced (ST) and cochleata (COCH) genes (Pellew and Sverdrup 1923; Blixt 1972). The UNI, STP and CRI genes of pea have been identified as orthologues of *leafy* (LFY), unusual floral organs (UFO) and asymmetric leaves 1 (AS1) of Arabidopsis thaliana (Hofer et al. 1997; Gourlay et al. 2000; Taylor et al. 2001; Champagne et al. 2007). The counterparts of MFP, AF, TL, COCH and ST remain unknown in A. thaliana and other plant systems. To increase the understanding of genetic control of leaf blade, stipule blade and of links in the development of the two organs, interactions among different leaf-blade mutations, stipuleblade mutations and mutations affecting the two organs are being investigated. It has been observed that pea plant typifies a unique group of plant species in which, unlike in Zea mays, A. thaliana and Solanum lycopersicon and many other

^{*}For correspondence. E-mail: sushil2000_01@yahoo.co.in.

Keywords. DNA markers; genetic mapping; leaf-blade mutant; multifoliate pinna gene; pea; Pisum sativum.

plants, leaf blade development is not controlled by *KNOX-1*-like genes (Hofer *et al.* 2001; Hake *et al.* 2004; Champagne *et al.* 2007), but is an outcome of interactions of *AF*, *TL* and *MFP* genes with *UNI* and *STP* genes. The *COCH* gene excludes the functioning of *UNI–STP* pathway from stipules and directs the *COCH–ST* pathway of stipule development.

Mapping of classical mutations is a means for their positional cloning and, thereupon, sequencing and genetic engineering of the concerned genes. However, the *uni*, *stp* and *cri* genes of pea could be cloned, characterized and molecularly deployed on the basis of their orthology with already characterized genes in heterologous plants like *A. thaliana*. The *uni* (*uni-tac*), *stp*, *cri*, *af*, *tl*, *coch* and *st* mutations have been placed on the molecular genetic linkage map of pea (Ellis and Poyser 2002). Here, we report about the (i) application of bulk segregant analysis to identify DNA markers linked to the *MFP* locus, (ii) subsequent analyses of a mapping population to place the *MFP* on molecular map of linkage group IV, and (iii) use of certain common markers of the present study and earlier studies to align the present map of linkage group IV with earlier reported maps of this linkage group.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

The origin of *mfp* mutation has been described earlier (Kumar et al. 2004). The mfp/mfp line SKP-351a was crossed with multi-marked inbred line RMP-1 (A, MFP, gp, R) and a population consisting of 96 F₂ plants were developed. The F₂ was used as the mapping population and was also the progenitor of F2:5 RIL population deployed for bulk segregant analysis. The MFP/MFP, MFP/mfp or mfp/mfp genotypic identities of F₂ individual plants were confirmed by phenotyping of F₂ derived F₃ families. The phenotypes of members of F4 families were used to select MFP/MFP and mfp/mfp lines for the syntheses of phenotypic bulks. The MFP and mfp bulks comprised of plants from 11 and eight F_{2:5} lines, respectively. The MFP or mfp phenotype of individual plants was assessed after the onset of flowering. In this regard, each plant was scored at least twice. The second scoring was done after one week interval. The leaves of a single F₂ plants were harvested occasionally and plant-wise pools of leaves were stored at -80°C and samples were drawn for DNA extraction as and when required. For preparing MFP and mfp bulks, equal amount (by weight) of fresh leaves from selected lines were cut into small pieces, mixed and stored at -80°C to serve as DNA resource.

Growth conditions

The parental lines and filial generations were grown in field plots of the experimental farm of the institute (NIPGR) at New Delhi, India, during the winter rabi season (October/November to March/April) of the years 2003 to 2008. The cultivation and seed preservation were done as described earlier (Prajapati and Kumar 2001, 2002).

DNA isolation and marker analysis

The method of Doyle and Doyle (1990) was used for the extraction of DNA. DNA amplifications were carried out in a iCycler Thermal Controller (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA). In all 337 RAPD (Operon Technologies, Alameda, USA), 50 ISSR (Bioneer Corporation, Daedeok-gu, Korea) primers, 97 pea SSR (Agrogene Company Moissy Cramayel, France) and 51 Medicago truncatula EST-SSR primer pairs (Eujayl et al. 2004; Gutierrez et al. 2005) were deployed to map the mfp mutation. The PCR reactions with RAPD primers were performed in 25 μ L volume containing 2.5 μ L of 10x PCR reaction buffer (200 mM Tris- HCl (pH 8.4), 500 mM KCl) and 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM of each dNTP, 0.8μ M of primer, 25 ng of genomic DNA and 1 unit Taq polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). Amplification was performed in an iCycler Thermal Controller (Bio-rad, Hercules, USA). PCR conditions were: one cycle of 60 s at 94°C, 30 s at 36°C and 60 s at 72°C followed by 45 cycles of 5 s at 94°C, 15 s at 36°C and 60 s at 72°C and a final cycle of 7 min at 72°C. The amplified products were separated by electrophoresis in 1.2% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light. The bands were photographed using gel documentation system (Alpha Innotech Corporation, San Leandro, USA). The amplification and visualization of products with ISSR primers were similar except that PCR conditions included a preliminary cycle of 120 s at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 20 s at 94°C, 50 s at 50°C and 90 s at 72°C and final cycle of 7 min at 72°C. The amplification of the pea SSR and M. turncatula EST-SSR markers was done in $20 \,\mu$ L volume containing 2.0 µL of 10x PCR reaction buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.4), 500 mM KCl) and 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 0.8μ M of each primer, 25 ng of genomic DNA and 1 unit of *Taq* polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA). DNA amplifications were carried out in a iCycler Thermal Controller (Bio-rad, Hercules, USA). The annealing temperature of each primer was different. The general amplification conditions were 3 min at 94°C, followed by 45 cycles of 60 s at 94°C, 60 s at 50°C and 120 s at 72°C and final step of 10 min at 72°C. The amplification products were resolved in 3% metaphore agarose gels in TBE (45 mM Tris borate and 1 mM EDTA) (Cambryx Bioscience, Rockland, USA) and PAGE gels and visualized like for RAPD and ISSR products.

Data analysis and genetic mapping

For linkage analysis, the markers were analysed by a chisquare test for goodness-of-fit to the expected Mendelian segregation ratio (3:1) of a dominant locus in F_2 population. Linkage groups were built using the group assign order and RIPPLE command of MAPMAKER/EXP version 3.0 (Lander *et al.* 1987; Lincoln *et al.* 1992). LOD score of 3.0 and maximum distance 30 cM were chosen for establishing the marker order on each linkage group. The order of loci within the linkage group was refined by the RIPPLE command. The Kosambi (1944) mapping function was used to calculate genetic distances in centimorgans.

Results

Phenotype and inheritance of mfp

The *mfp* trait is inherited as a single gene effect (Kumar *et al.* 2004). It was imperative to note the *mfp* phenotype and its inheritance in the mapping population(s). The phenotypic screening of F_2 derived F_3 progenies permitted unambiguous identification of *mfp/mfp* genotypes (figure 1). The genotype of each F_2 plant was inferred by phenotyping of 15–20 progeny plants of each of F_2 derived F_3 family. In *mfp/mfp* plants, the first flowering node, and the nodes above and below it bore leaf blades that had mfp phenotype. The *mfp* leaf blades produced pairs of compound pinna blades in place of tendrilled pinnae in their distal domain. Each pinna blade comprised of three or more highly tendrilled leaflets borne on rachide. The petiole proximal domain of *MFP* and *mfp* leaf blades had the same phenotype by producing pairs of simple leafleted pinnae.



Figure 1. Leaf-blade morphology of (A) wild type *MFP/MFP*, (B) heterozygote *MFP/mfp*, and (C) *multifoliate-pinna* (*mfp*) mutant homozygote, in grain pea *Pisum sativum*.

The F₂ generation obtained from the cross between SKP-351a and RMP-1 segregated into 23 *mfp/mfp* and 73 *MFP/mfp* + *MFP/MFP* plants, a pattern that gave a good-fitto 1:3 ratio expected of single-gene inheritance ($\chi^2 = 0.03$, P = 0.81). In the RIL F_{2:5} of the cross, the number of *mfp/mfp*, *MFP/MFP* and *MFP/mfp* lines were 40, 46 and 10, respectively. The segregation pattern of the homozygous lines fitted the 1:1 ratio expected for a single-gene model of segregation ($\chi^2 = 0.42$, P = 0.52). These results are in agreement with those reported earlier on the segregation analyses of *mfp* in different crosses.

Bulk segregant analysis of mfp/mfp and MFP/MFP RILs

Bulks were made of randomly selected plants of 11 *MFP/MFP* and eight *mfp/mfp* lines present in the RIL ($F_{2:5}$) population of the cross SKP-351a × RMP-1. The DNA of bulks were amplified by use of 337 RAPD and 50 ISSR primers and 148 SSR primer pairs. Initially, 12 RAPD, three ISSR and five SSR primers were observed to have generated polymorphism between the bulks. However, upon further testing by amplification of DNA of individual members of the two bulks with the above putatively identified primer(s), only three RAPD markers were found to discriminate all the *mfp/mfp* lines from *MFP/MFP* lines, of the two bulks. These markers were OPH2a (600 bp), OPB5a (600 bp) and OPB5b (850 bp).

Linkage mapping of mfp

The mapping population comprised of 96 F₂ generation plants of the cross SKP-351a \times RMP-1. The DNA of the parental lines were amplified by use of all the 535 primers or primer pairs used above to distinguish the mfp and MFP bulks. A total of 125 RAPD, 12 ISSR primers and 20 SSR primer pairs were found to generate polymorphism between the parents of the mapping population. In all, 205 RAPD, 27 ISSR and 35 SSR bands were polymorphic between SKP-351a and RMP-1. Segregational analyses of all 267 DNA markers were carried out on the 96 F₂ generation mapping population plants. The linkage analyses on the phenotypic and DNA marker segregational data by use of MAPMAKER/EXP version 3.0 produced a linkage group on which the mfp locus was located in between H2a-600 and B5a-600 markers. The distance between H2a-600 and mfp was estimated as 6.9 cM and that between mfp and B5a-600 as 15.1 cM, the distance between H2a-600 and B5a-600 being 22.0 cM. In all, 26 markers could be placed on the mfp carrying linkage group which measured 285.5 cM in length (figure 2, B). The average distance of markers on this linkage group was 10.9 cM. In this map, OPJ14 and OPH14 on one hand, and OPG12 and OPL18 on the other, showed no recombination.

Location of mfp on the linkage group IV

To determine the location of *mfp* gene in relation to the published and consensus linkage maps of pea, linkage groups of two reference maps on which some of the markers were common with the *mfp* carrying linkage groups were aligned with the latter. The *mfp* linkage group developed in this study has two RAPD markers; namely OPJ14a and OPG12, that are placed in the linkage group IV of the map generated by Irzykowska *et al.* (2001). Further, two RAPD markers; namely J14a-850 (or OPJ14a) and L19-700, of the linkage group IV of the map of Laucou *et al.* (1998) are present in the *mfp* linkage group constructed in this study. One marker J14a-850 is common to the linkage group IV of Irzykowska *et al.* (2001) and Laucou *et al.* (1998) and the presently



Figure 2. Location of the *multifoliate-pinna* (*mfp*) locus on linkage group IV of grain pea *Pisum sativum*. (A₁ and A₂) Reference linkage group IV maps from the work of Laucou *et al.* (1998), (B) linkage group developed in this study, and (C) reference linkage group IV of Irzykowska *et al.* (2001).

developed *mfp* linkage group. These results suggest that *mfp* is located on the linkage group IV of the conventional genetic map of pea.

Discussion

In grain pea, *P. sativum*, *mfp* is a dominant mutation responsible for gain of a function. In the leaf blades of *mfp/mfp* plants, the simple tendrils of the distal domain are replaced by compound pinna blades of tendrilled leaflets. Some of the distal tendrils are branched in the distal domain of *MFP/mfp* leaf blades. The *mfp* mutation increases the compoundness

of leaf blades in the backgrounds of *af* and *tl* mutations such that *af tl mfp* homozygotes produce leaf blades bearing several hundred leaflets. The mfp function appears to enlarge the size of leaf-blade primordium and subprimordia leading to extensive ramification of the leaf blade.

The experiments described above have localized *mfp* mutation on the linkage group IV of pea. Using bulked segregant analysis (Michelmore *et al.* 1991), three RAPD markers (OPH2a-600, OPB5a-600 and OPB5b-850) that are linked to *mfp* were identified. Based on segregation of these markers, *mfp* and additional 264 DNA markers in 96 F_2 progeny plants of a suitable cross, the genetic distance between *mfp* and H2a, B5a and B5b was estimated as 6.9, 15.1 and 18.6 cM, respectively and the loci were arranged in the order H2a-*mfp*-B5a-B5b. Further, 23 additional DNA markers could be placed with respect to each other, *mfp* and markers revealed to be linked with *mfp* by BSA, on the *mfp* bearing linkage group.

Pea genetic linkage maps have been constructed using mapping populations from a variety of crosses and markers, including classical mutations, allozymes, RAPDs, RFLPs, SSRs, AFLPs, retrotransposons, and gene anchored ESTs and SNPs (Weeden and Marx 1987; Ellis et al. 1992; Dirlewanger et al. 1994; Gilpin et al. 1997; Laucou et al. 1998; Remeau et al. 1998; Weeden et al. 1998; Irzykowska et al. 2001; Ellis and Poyser 2002; Loridon et al. 2005; Aubert et al. 2006). Because some of the markers were common, the maps developed for different mapping populations or marker sets could be integrated to give rise to reference or consensus maps. The current genetic linkage map of pea has seven linkage groups corresponding to its n = 7 chromosomes and is a result of integration of different maps on account of common markers. Some of the common markers in the integrated maps are RAPD markers (Gilpin et al. 1997; Laucou et al. 1998; Irzykowska and Wolko 2004). Carefully selected RAPD markers give reproducible results and have proved valuable in map construction and mapping of specific traits.

The *mfp* linkage group of 26 DNA markers constructed in the present study comprises of one SSR, two ISSR and 23 RAPD markers. Because it has the RAPD markers L19-700 and J14-850 mapped by Laucou *et al.* (1998) and Remeau *et al.* (1998) on linkage group IV, RAPD marker L18-900 mapped by Gilpin *et al.* (1997) on linkage group IV and RAPD markers OPJ14a and OPG12 placed on linkage group IV by Irzykowska *et al.* (2001) and Irzykowska and Wolko (2004), *mfp* linkage group of the present work must be linkage group IV of the consensus genetic map of pea genome.

The linkage map positions of the leaf-blade-architectural mutations *af*, *tl*, *uni*, *stp* and *cri* and stipule morphology mutations *st* and *coch* are known; they map on linkage groups I, V, III, VII, V, III and V, respectively (Ellis and Poyser 2002). In the present study, the *mfp* mutation has been mapped on the linkage group IV. Among the listed genes, *UNI*, *AF*, *TL* and *MFP* determine leaf blade ramification and *ST* and *COCH* control stipule growth and development, yet no two members of these two groups map on the same linkage groups. It appears that in *P. sativum*, the genes whose functions are inter-related in leaf blade or stipule development are not closely clustered but widely dispersed in the genome.

Acknowledgements

Grateful thanks are due to the Director, NIPGR for providing facilities and to the Indian National Science Academy for grant of INSA Senior Scientist Scheme to SK. The assistance provided in the field work by Vinod Kumar and Sunil Kumar is thankfully acknowledged.

References

- Aubert G., Morin J., Jacquin F., Loridon K., Quillet M. C., Petit A. et al. 2006 Functional mapping in pea, as an aid to the candidate gene selection and for investigating synteny with the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. Theor. Appl. Genet. **112**, 1024– 1041.
- Blixt S. 1972 Mutation genetics in *Pisum. Agri. Hort. Genet.* **30**, 1–293.
- Champagne C. E. M., Goliber T. E., Wojciechowski M. F., Mei R. W., Townsley B. T., Wang K. *et al.* 2007 Compound leaf development and evolution in the legumes. *Plant Cell* **19**, 3369–3378.
- de Vilmorin P. and Bateson W. 1911 A case of gametic coupling in *Pisum. Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. B.* **84**, 9–11.
- Dirlewanger F., Issac P. G., Ranade S., Belajouza M., Cousin R. D. E. and Vienne D. 1994 Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of loci associated with disease resistance genes and developmental traits in *Pisum sativum L. Theor. Appl. Genet.* 88, 17–27.
- Doyle J. J. and Doyle J. L. 1990 Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. *Focus* 12, 13–15.
- Ellis T. H. N. and Poyser S. J. 2002 An integrated and comparative view of pea genetic and cytogenetic maps. *New Phytol.* **153**, 17–25.
- Ellis T. H. N., Turner L., Helleus R. P., Lee D., Harker C. L., Enard C. *et al.* 1992 Linkage maps in pea. *Genetics* **130**, 649–663.
- Eujayl I., Sledge M. K., Wang L., May G. D., Chekhoyskiy K., Zwonitzer J. C. and Mian M. A. R. 2004 *Medicago truncatula* EST-SSRs reveal cross-species genetic markers for Medicago spp. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **108**, 414–422.
- Gilpin B. J., Mccallum J. A., Frew T. J. and Timmerman-Vaughn G. M. 1997 A linkage map of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) genome containing cloned sequences of known function and expressed sequence tags (ESTs). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **95**, 1289–1299.
- Goldenberg J. B. 1965 afila, a new mutation in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Bol. Genet.* **1**, 27–31.
- Gourlay C. W., Hofer J. M. I. and Ellis T. H. N. 2000 Pea compound leaf architecture is regulated by interactions among the genes UNIFOLIATA, COCHLEATA, AFILA and TENDRIL-LESS. Plant Cell 12, 1279–1294.
- Gutierrez M. U., Vazpatto M. C., Huguet T., Cubero J. J., Moreno M. T. and Torres A. M. 2005 Cross-species amplification of *Medicago truncatula* microsatellites across three major pulse crops. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **110**, 1210–1217.
- Hake S., Smith H. M. S., Holtan H., Magnani E., Mele G. and Ramirez J. 2004 The role of *KNOX* genes in plant development. *Ann. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **20** 125–151.
- Hofer J., Turner I., Hellens R., Ambrose M., Mathews P., Michael A. and Ellis N. 1997 UNIFOLIATA regulates leaf and flower morphogenesis in pea. Curr. Biol. 7, 581–587.
- Hofer J., Gourlay C., Michael A. and Ellis T. H. N. 2001 Expression of a class I *knotted-1* like homeobox gene is down-regulated in pea compound leaf primordia. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **45**, 387–391.
- Irzykowska L. and Wolko B. 2004 Interval mapping of QTLs controlling yield-related traits and seed protein content in *Pisum sativum. J. Appl. Genet.* **45**, 297–306.
- Irzykowska L., Wolko B. and Swiecicki W. K. 2001 The genetic linkage map of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) based on molecular, biochemical and morphological markers. *Pisum Genet.* 33, 13–18.
- Kosambi D. D. 1944 The estimation of map distance from recombination values. Ann. Eugen. 12, 172–175.

- Kujala V. 1953 Felderbse bie welcher die ganze blattspreite in ranken umgewandelt ist. Arch. Soc. Zoo. Bot. Fenn. Vanamo 8, 44–45.
- Kumar S., Rai S. K., Pandey-Rai S., Srivastava S. and Singh D. 2004 Regulation of unipinnate character in the distal tendrilled domain of compound leaf-blade by the gene *MULTIFOLIATE PINNA (MFP)* in pea *Pisum sativum. Plant Sci.* 166, 929–940.
- Lamprecht H. 1933 Ein unifoliata Typus von Pisum mit gleichzeitiger Pistilloidie. Hereditas 18, 56–64.
- Lander E. S., Green P., Abrahamson J., Barolw A., Daly M., Lincoln S. and Newberg L. 1987 MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. *Genomics* 1, 174–181.
- Laucou V., Haurogne K., Ellis N. and Rameau C. 1998 Genetic mapping in pea.1. RAPD-based genetic linkage map of *Pisum* sativum. Theor. Appl. Genet. 97, 905–915.
- Lincoln S., Daly M. and Lander E. S. 1992 Constructing genetic maps with MAPMAKER/EXP 3.0 Whitehead Institute Technical Report, 3rd edition. Whitehouse Technical Institute, Cambridge, USA.
- Loridon K., Mcphee K., Morin J., Dubreuil P., Pilet-Nayel M. L., Aubert G. *et al.* 2005 Microsatellite marker polymorphism and mapping in pea (*Pisum sativum L.*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **111**, 1022–1031.
- Michelmore R. W., Paran J. and Kisseli R. V. 1991 Identification of markers linked to disease resistance genes by bulked segregant analysis: a rapid method to detect markers in specific genomic regions using segregating populations. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 88, 9828–9832.

- Pellew C. and Sverdrup A. 1923 New observations on the genetics of peas (*Pisum sativum*). J. Genet. **13**, 125–131.
- Prajapati S. and Kumar S. 2001 Role of *LLD*, a new locus for leaflet/pinna morphogenesis in *Pisum sativum*. J. Biosci. 26, 607–625.
- Prajapati S. and Kumar S. 2002 Interaction of the UNIFOLIATA-TENDRILLED ACACIA gene with AFILA and TENDRIL-LESS genes in the determination of leaf-blade growth and morphology in pea Pisum sativum. Plant Sci. 162, 713–721.
- Remeau C., Denoue D., Fravel F., Haurogne K., Josserand J., Laucou V. et al. 1998 Genetic mapping of pea 2. Identification of RAPD and SCAR markers linked to genes affecting plant architecture. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 97, 916–928.
- Tattersall A. D., Turner L., Knox M. R., Ambrose M. J., Ellis T. H. N. and Hofer J. M. I. 2005 The mutant *crispa* reveals multiple roles for *PHANTASTICA* in pea compound leaf development. *Plant Cell* 17, 1046–1060.
- Taylor S., Hofer J. and Murfet I. 2001 *Stamina pistilloida*, the pea ortholog of *Fim* and *UFO*, is required for normal development of flowers, inflorescences and leaves. *Plant Cell* **13**, 31–46.
- Wang Z., Luo Y., Li X., Wang L., Xu S., Yang J. et al. 2008 Genetic control of floral zygomorphy in pea (*Pisum sativum L.*). Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 10414–10419.
- Weeden N. F. and Marx G. A. 1987 Further genetic analysis and linkage relationships of isozyme loci in the pea. J. Hered. 78, 153–159.
- Weeden N. F., Ellis T. H. N., Timmerman-Vaughan G. M., Swiecicki W. K., Rozov S. M. and Berdnikov V. A. 1998 A consensus linkage map of *Pisum sativum*. *Pisum Genet.* **30**, 1–3.

Received 23 October 2008; accepted 30 March 2009 Published on the Web: 6 July 2009