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#### Abstract

In heterotic string theory compactified on $T^{6}$, the T-duality orbits of dyons of charge ( $Q, P$ ) are characterized by $O(6,22 ; \mathbb{R})$ invariants $Q^{2}, P^{2}$ and $Q \cdot P$ together with a set of invariants of the discrete T-duality group $O(6,22 ; \mathbb{Z})$. We study the action of S -duality group on the discrete T-duality invariants and study its consequence for the dyon degeneracy formula. In particular we find that for dyons with torsion $r$, the degeneracy formula, expressed as a function of $Q^{2}, P^{2}$ and $Q \cdot P$, is required to be manifestly invariant under only a subgroup of the Sduality group. This subgroup is isomorphic to $\Gamma^{0}(r)$. Our analysis also shows that for a given torsion $r$, all other discrete T-duality invariants are characterized by the elements of the coset $S L(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma^{0}(r)$.


Dyons in heterotic string theory on $T^{6}$ are characterized by a pair of charge vectors $(Q, P)$ each taking value on the Narain lattice $\Lambda[1,2]$. Given two pairs of charge vectors, an interesting question is: under what condition can they be related via a T-duality transformation? This question was answered in [3] where a complete set of T-duality invariants classifying a pair of charge vectors $(Q, P)$ were constructed. These include the invariants of the continuous T-duality group $O(6,22 ; \mathbb{R})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{2}, \quad P^{2}, \quad Q \cdot P \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with a set of invariants of the discrete T-duality group $O(6,22 ; \mathbb{Z})$. These are defined as follows. We shall assume that the dyon is primitive so that $(Q, P)$ cannot be written as an integer multiple of $\left(Q_{0}, P_{0}\right)$ with $Q_{0}, P_{0} \in \Lambda$, but we shall not assume that $Q$ and $P$ themselves are primitive. Now consider the intersection of the two dimensional vector space spanned by $(Q, P)$ with the Narain lattice $\Lambda$. The result is a two dimensional lattice $\Lambda_{0}$. Let $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ be a pair of basis elements whose integer linear combinations generate this lattice. We can always choose $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ such that in this basis

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q=r_{1} e_{1}, \quad P=r_{2}\left(u_{1} e_{1}+r_{3} e_{2}\right), \quad r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=1, \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(u_{1}, r_{3}\right)=1, \quad 1 \leq u_{1} \leq r_{3} . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

It was found in [3] that besides $Q^{2}, P^{2}$ and $Q \cdot P$, the integers $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ and $u_{1}$ are T-duality invariants. Furthermore it was found that this is the complete set of T-duality invariants. Thus a pair of charge vectors $(Q, P)$ can be transformed into another pair $\left(Q^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ via a T-duality transformation if and only if all the invariants agree for these two pairs.

Our first goal is to study some aspects of the action of the S-duality transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \rightarrow Q^{\prime}=a Q+b P, \quad P \rightarrow P^{\prime}=c Q+d P, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad a d-b c=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the invariants $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ and $u_{1}$. Substituting (21) into (3), and expressing the resulting $\left(Q^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ as $\left(r_{1}^{\prime} e_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}^{\prime} e_{1}^{\prime}+r_{3}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for some primitive basis $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\Lambda_{0}$ we can determine $\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}, r_{3}^{\prime}, u_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Since the resuting expressions are somewhat complicated and not very illuminating we shall not describe them here. Instead we shall focus on some salient features of the transformation laws of $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1}\right)$. We first note that the torsion $r(Q, P)$ associated with a pair of charges $(Q, P)$, defined as $[4,5]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(Q, P)=Q_{1} P_{2}-Q_{2} P_{1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Q_{i}, P_{i}$ being the components of $Q$ and $P$ along $e_{i}$, is invariant under the S-duality transformation (3). Furthermore, for the charge vectors $(Q, P)$ given in (2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(Q, P)=r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now show that one can always find an S-duality transformation that brings the Tduality invariants $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1}\right)$ to $\left(r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}, 1,1,1\right)$ together with an appropriate transformation on $Q^{2}, P^{2}$ and $Q \cdot P$ induced by (3). For this we note that under the S-duality transformation (3), $(Q, P)$ given in (2) transforms to
$Q^{\prime}=\left\{a r_{1}+b r_{2}\left(u_{1}+k r_{3}\right)\right\} e_{1}+b r_{2} r_{3}\left(e_{2}-k e_{1}\right), \quad P^{\prime}=\left\{c r_{1}+d r_{2}\left(u_{1}+k r_{3}\right)\right\} e_{1}+d r_{2} r_{3}\left(e_{2}-k e_{1}\right)$,
where $k$ is an arbitrary integer. We shall choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\prod_{i} p_{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ represent the collection of primes which are factors of $r_{1}$ but not of $u_{1}$. Now we know from (2) that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)=1$. On the other hand it follows from a result derived in appendix E of [6] that for the choice of $k$ given in (7) we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(r_{1}, u_{1}+k r_{3}\right)=1$. Thus if we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=r_{1}, \quad a=-r_{2}\left(u_{1}+k r_{3}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ and hence we can always find $c, d$ satisfying $a d-b c=1$. For this particular choice of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}=r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}\left(e_{2}-k e_{1}\right), \quad P^{\prime}=-e_{1}+d r_{2} r_{3}\left(e_{2}-k e_{1}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}^{\prime}=\left(e_{2}-k e_{1}\right), \quad e_{2}^{\prime}=-e_{1}+\left(d r_{2} r_{3}-1\right)\left(e_{2}-k e_{1}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the matrix relating $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ to $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ has unit determinant, $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a primitive basis of the lattice $\Lambda_{0}$. In this basis $\left(Q^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}=r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} e_{1}^{\prime}, \quad P^{\prime}=e_{1}^{\prime}+e_{2}^{\prime} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this with (22) we see that for the new charge vector $\left(Q^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}^{\prime}=r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}, \quad r_{2}^{\prime}=1, \quad r_{3}^{\prime}=1, \quad u_{1}^{\prime}=1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves the desired result.
Next we shall study the subgroup of S-duality transformations which takes a configuration with $\left(r_{1}=r, r_{2}=1, r_{3}=1, u_{1}=1\right)$ to another configuration with $\left(r_{1}=r, r_{2}=1, r_{3}=1, u_{1}=\right.$ 1). The initial configuration has

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=r e_{1}, \quad P=e_{1}+e_{2} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

An S-duality transformation (3) takes this to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}=\operatorname{are}_{1}+b\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right), \quad P^{\prime}=\operatorname{cr} e_{1}+d\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order that $Q^{\prime}$ is $r$ times a primitive vector, we must demand

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=0 \bmod r . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $b$ as $b_{0} r$ with $b_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}=r e_{1}^{\prime}, \quad P^{\prime}=e_{1}^{\prime}+e_{2}^{\prime}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}^{\prime}=\left(a+b_{0}\right) e_{1}+b_{0} e_{2}, \quad e_{2}^{\prime}=\left(c r+d-a-b_{0}\right) e_{1}+\left(d-b_{0}\right) e_{2} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the determinant of the matrix relating $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ to $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a+b_{0}\right)\left(d-b_{0}\right)-b_{0}\left(c r+d-a-b_{0}\right)=a d-b c=1, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

we conclude that $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a primitive basis of $\Lambda_{0}$. Comparison with (2) now shows that $\left(Q^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ has $r_{1}^{\prime}=r, r_{2}^{\prime}=r_{3}^{\prime}=u_{1}^{\prime}=1$ as required. Thus the only condition on the $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ for preserving the $\left(r_{1}=r, r_{2}=1, r_{3}=1, u_{1}=1\right)$ condition is that it must have $b=0 \bmod r$, i.e. it must be an element of $\Gamma^{0}(r)$.

Using this we can now determine the subgroup of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that takes a pair of charge vectors $(Q, P)$ with invariants $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1}\right)$ to another pair of charge vectors with the same invariants. For this we note that any $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation matrix $g_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ with $a, b$ given in (8) takes the set $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1}\right)$ to the set $\left(r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}, 1,1,1\right)$. Since the latter set is preserved by the $\Gamma^{0}(r)$ subgroup of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$, the original set must be preserved by the subgroup $g_{0}^{-1} \Gamma^{0}(r) g_{0}$. This is isomorphic to the group $\Gamma^{0}(r)$.

To see an example of this consider the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=r_{2}=1, \quad r_{3}=2, \quad u_{1}=1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformation $g_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ takes a configuration given in (19) to a configuration with $r_{1}=2, r_{2}=r_{3}=u_{1}=1$. Thus the $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ transformations which take a configuration with $\left(r_{1}=1, r_{2}=1, r_{3}=2, u_{1}=1\right)$ to a configuration with the same discrete invariants will be of the form:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime}  \tag{20}\\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 2 b_{0} \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a-c & a-c-d+2 b_{0} \\
c & c+d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since the condition $a d-2 b_{0} c=1$ requires $a$ and $d$ to be odd, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\prime}+b^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}+1, \quad c^{\prime}+d^{\prime} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}+1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely given any $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\ c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$ satisfying (21), it can be written as $g_{0}$ conjugate of the $\Gamma^{0}(2)$ matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}a^{\prime}+c^{\prime} & -a^{\prime}-c^{\prime}+b^{\prime}+d^{\prime} \\ c^{\prime} & -c^{\prime}+d^{\prime}\end{array}\right)$. Thus (21) characterizes the subgroup of S-duality group which preserves the condition (19).

The results derived so far make it clear that for a given torsion $r$ the discrete T-duality invariants are in one to one correspondence with the elements of the coset $S L(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \Gamma^{0}(r)$. The representative element for a given set of invariants $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1}\right)$ is the element $g_{0}^{-1} \in S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that takes a configuration with $\left(r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}, 1,1,1\right)$ to a configuration with discrete invariants $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1}\right)$. Multiplying $g_{0}^{-1}$ by a $\Gamma^{0}(r)$ element from the right does not change the final values $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1}\right)$ of the discrete invariants since a $\Gamma^{0}(r)$ transformation does not change the discrete T-duality invariants of the initial configuration.

We shall now examine the consequences of these results for the formula expressing the degeneracy $d(Q, P)$ - or more precisely an appropriate index measuring the number of bosonic supermultiplets minus the number of fermionic supermultiplets for a given set of charges ${ }^{1}$ of quarter BPS dyons as a function of $(Q, P)$. We note first of all that besides depending on $(Q, P)$, the degeneracy can also depend on the asymptotic values of the moduli fields, collectively denoted as $\phi$. We expect the dependence on $\phi$ to be mild, in the sense that the degeneracy formula should be $\phi$ independent within a given domain bounded by walls of

[^0]marginal stability. It follows from the analysis of $[7,8]$ that the decays relevant for the walls of marginal stability are of the form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q, P) \rightarrow(\alpha Q+\beta P, \gamma Q+\delta P)+((1-\alpha) Q-\beta P,-\gamma Q+(1-\delta) P), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are not necessarily integers, but must be such that $\alpha Q+\beta P$ and $\gamma Q+\delta P$ belong to the Narain lattice $\Lambda$. If we denote by $m(Q, P ; \phi)$ the BPS mass of a dyon of charge $(Q, P)$ then the wall of marginal stability associated with the set $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is given by the solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(Q, P ; \phi)=m(\alpha Q+\beta P, \gamma Q+\delta P ; \phi)+m((1-\alpha) Q-\beta P,-\gamma Q+(1-\delta) P ; \phi) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For appropriate choice of $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ this describes a codimension one subspace of the moduli space labelled by $\phi$. Since the BPS mass formula is invariant under a T-duality transformation $Q \rightarrow \Omega Q, P \rightarrow \Omega P, \phi \rightarrow \phi_{\Omega}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left(\Omega Q, \Omega P ; \phi_{\Omega}\right)=m(Q, P ; \phi) \quad \Omega \in O(6,22 ; \mathbb{Z}) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

eq.(23) may be written as
$m\left(\Omega Q, \Omega P ; \phi_{\Omega}\right)=m\left(\alpha \Omega Q+\beta \Omega P, \gamma \Omega Q+\delta \Omega P ; \phi_{\Omega}\right)+m\left((1-\alpha) \Omega Q-\beta \Omega P,-\gamma \Omega Q+(1-\delta) \Omega P ; \phi_{\Omega}\right)$.

This is identical to eq.(23) with $(Q, P, \phi)$ replaced by $\left(\Omega Q, \Omega P, \phi_{\Omega}\right)$. This shows that under a T-duality transformation on charges and moduli, the wall of marginal stability associated with the set $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ gets mapped to the wall of marginal stability associated with the same $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. Thus if we consider a domain bounded by the walls of marginal stability associated with the sets $\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ - collectively denoted by a set of discrete variables $\vec{c}$ - then under a simultaneous T-duality transformation on the charges and the moduli this domain gets mapped to a domain labelled by the same vector $\vec{c}$. The precise shape of the domain of course changes since the locations of the walls in the moduli space depends not only on $\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ but also on the charges $(Q, P)$ which transform to $(\Omega Q, \Omega P)$.

We now use the fact that the dyon degeneracy formula must be invariant under a simultaneous T-duality transformation on the charges and the moduli, and also the fact that the dependence of $d(Q, P ; \phi)$ on the moduli $\phi$ comes only through the domain in which $\phi$ lies, 1.e. the vector $\vec{c}$. Since $\vec{c}$ remains unchanged under a T-duality transformation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(Q, P ; \vec{c})=d(\Omega Q, \Omega P ; \vec{c}), \quad \Omega \in O(6,22 ; \mathbb{Z}) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $d(Q, P ; \vec{c})$ must depend only on $(Q, P)$ via the T-duality invariants:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(Q, P ; \vec{c})=f\left(Q^{2}, P^{2}, Q \cdot P, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1} ; \vec{c}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $f$.
Let us now study the effect of S-duality transformation on this formula. Typically an Sduality transformation will act on the charges and hence on all the T-duality invariants and also on the vector $\vec{c}$ labelling the domain bounded by the walls of marginal stability $[5,9,10]$. Indeed, as is clear from the condition (23), under an S-duality transformation of the form (3), the wall associated with the parameters $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{array}\right)$ gets mapped to the wall associated with

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha^{\prime} & \beta^{\prime}  \tag{28}\\
\gamma^{\prime} & \delta^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & \beta \\
\gamma & \delta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)^{-1}
$$

Thus S-duality invariance of the degeneracy formula now gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(Q^{2}, P^{2}, Q \cdot P, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1} ; \vec{c}\right)=f\left(Q^{\prime 2}, P^{2}, Q^{\prime} \cdot P^{\prime}, r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}, r_{3}^{\prime}, u_{1}^{\prime} ; \vec{c}^{\prime}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{c}^{\prime}$ stands for the collection of the sets $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, \beta_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}, \delta_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ computed according to (28). We now use the result that there exists a special class of S-duality transformations under which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}, r_{3}^{\prime}, u_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left(r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}, 1,1,1\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this S-duality transformation we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(Q^{2}, P^{2}, Q \cdot P, r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, u_{1} ; \vec{c}\right)=f\left(Q^{\prime 2}, P^{\prime 2}, Q^{\prime} \cdot P^{\prime}, r_{1} r_{2} r_{3}, 1,1,1 ; \vec{c}^{\prime}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the complete information about the spectrum of quarter BPS dyons is contained in the set of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(Q^{2}, P^{2}, Q \cdot P, r ; \vec{c}\right) \equiv f\left(Q^{2}, P^{2}, Q \cdot P, r, 1,1,1 ; \vec{c}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall focus our attention on this function during the rest of our analysis. Using the fact that $\Gamma^{0}(r)$ transformations leave the set $\left(r_{1}=r, r_{2}=1, r_{3}=1, u_{1}=1\right)$ fixed, we see that
$g\left(Q^{2}, P^{2}, Q \cdot P, r ; \vec{c}\right)=g\left(Q^{\prime 2}, P^{2}, Q^{\prime} \cdot P^{\prime}, r ; \vec{c}^{\prime}\right) \quad$ for $\binom{Q^{\prime}}{P^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)\binom{Q}{P}, \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma^{0}(r)$.
In other words, the function $g\left(Q^{2}, P^{2}, Q \cdot P, r ; \vec{c}\right)$ is expected to have manifest invariance under the $\Gamma^{0}(r)$ subgroup of S-duality transformations.

So far our discussion has been independent of any specific formula for the function $g\left(Q^{2}, P^{2}, Q\right.$. $P, r ; \vec{c})$. For $r=1$ dyons an explicit formula for the function $g$ has been found in a wide class of $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric theories [5,9-25]. In all the known examples the function $g$ is obtained as a contour integral of the inverse of an appropriate modular form of a subgroup of $S p(2, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular for heterotic string theory on $T^{6}$ the modular form is the well known Igusa cusp form of weight 10 of the full $S p(2, \mathbb{Z})$ group, with the S-duality group $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ embedded in $S p(2, \mathbb{Z})$ in a specific manner. Furthermore the dependence on the domain labelled by $\vec{c}$ is encoded fully in the choice of the integration contour and not in the integrand. If a similar formula exists for $g\left(Q^{2}, P^{2}, Q \cdot P, r ; \vec{c}\right)$ for $r>1$, then our analysis would suggest that the integrand should involve a modular form of a subgroup of $S p(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that contains $\Gamma^{0}(r)$ in the same way that the full $S p(2, \mathbb{Z})$ contains $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$. It remains to be seen if this constraint together with other physical constraints reviewed in [25] can fix the form of the integrand.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Up}$ to a normalization this is equal to the helicity trace $B_{6}=\operatorname{Tr}(-1)^{2 h} h^{6}$ over all states carrying charge quantum numbers $(Q, P)$. Here $h$ denotes the helicity of the state.

