# **ON QUASI-REDUCTIVE GROUP SCHEMES**

GOPAL PRASAD AND JIU-KANG YU, WITH AN APPENDIX BY BRIAN CONRAD

imilar papers at core.ac.uk

#### **Abstract**

This paper was motivated by a question of Vilonen, and the main results have been used by Mirković and Vilonen to give a geometric interpretation of the dual group (as a Chevalley group over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) of a reductive group. We define a quasi-reductive group over a discrete valuation ring R to be an affine flat group scheme over R such that (i) the fibers are of finite type and of the same dimension; (ii) the generic fiber is smooth and connected, and (iii) the identity component of the reduced special fiber is a reductive group. We show that such a group scheme is of finite type over  $R$ , the generic fiber is a reductive group, the special fiber is connected, and the group scheme is smooth over  $R$  in most cases, for example when the residue characteristic is not 2, or when the generic fiber and reduced special fiber are of the same type as reductive groups. We also obtain results about group schemes over a Dedekind scheme or a Noetherian scheme. We show that in residue characteristic 2 there are non-smooth quasi-reductive group schemes with generic fiber  $SO_{2n+1}$ and they can be classified when R is strictly Henselian.

#### **1. Introduction**

In [\[SGA3\]](#page-41-0), the following remarkable theorem about tori is proved:

<span id="page-0-0"></span>**1.1. Theorem** ([\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. X, Théorème 8.8]). Let  $\mathcal T$  be a commutative flat group scheme, separated of finite type over a Noetherian scheme S, with connected affine fibers. Let  $s \in S$ ,  $\overline{s}$  a geometric point over s, and suppose

- the reduced subscheme  $(\mathcal{T}_{\bar{s}})_{\text{red}}$  of the geometric fiber  $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{s}}$  is a torus; and
- there exists a generization t of s (i.e. the closure of  $\{t\}$  contains s) such that  $\mathfrak{T}_t$  is smooth over  $\kappa(t)$ , the residue field of t.

Then there exists an open neighborhood U of s such that  $T|U$  is a torus over U.

Received January 14, 2005 and, in revised form, June 16, 2005. The first author was partially supported by NSF-grant DMS-0100429. The second author was partially supported by NSF-grant DMS-0100678, a Sloan fellowship, and the IHES. The third author was partially supported by NSF-grant DMS-0093542 and a Sloan fellowship.

The aim of this paper is to prove an analogous result where "torus" is replaced by "reductive group". As usual, the key point is to treat the case where the base scheme  $S$  is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring (henceforth to be called a DVR for brevity)  $R$ . In this case, our result answers a question of Kari Vilonen related to [\[MV\]](#page-41-1) (private communication, May 2003). It turns out that a direct analogue of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) fails to hold in some cases if the residue field of R is of characteristic 2.

To state our results, let R be a DVR,  $\pi$  a uniformizer of R and  $\kappa$  the residue field. Let  $K = \text{Frac } R$ . We will call a group scheme G over R quasireductive (this is unrelated to the notion of quasi-reductive algebraic groups over a non-perfect field introduced in [\[BT2,](#page-41-2) 1.1.12]) if

- (1)  $\mathcal{G}$  is affine and flat over  $R$ ,
- (2) the generic fiber  $\mathcal{G}_K := \mathcal{G} \otimes_R K$  is connected and smooth over K,
- (3) the reduced geometric special fiber  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\kappa}})_{\text{red}}$  is of finite type over  $\bar{\kappa}$ and its identity component  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\kappa}})_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$  is a reductive algebraic group of dimension = dim  $\mathcal{G}_K$ .

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**1.2. Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be a quasi-reductive group scheme over R. Then

- (a)  $\mathcal{G}$  is of finite type over  $R$ ;
- (b) the generic fiber  $G := \mathcal{G}_K$  is reductive;
- (c) the special fiber  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  is connected.

In addition,  $\mathcal G$  is a reductive group over R if at least one of the following holds:

- (i) char  $\kappa \neq 2$ ;
- (ii) the type of  $G \otimes \overline{K}$  is the same as that of  $(\mathcal{G}_{\overline{K}})_{red}^{\circ}$ ;
- (iii) no normal algebraic subgroup of  $G \otimes \overline{K}$  is isomorphic to  $SO_{2n+1}$  for  $n \geqslant 1$ .

We recall [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XIX, 2.7] that a *reductive group over*  $S$  is a smooth affine group scheme over  $S$  such that all the geometric fibers are connected reductive algebraic groups. By the type of a connected split reductive algebraic group over a field, we mean the isomorphism class of its associated root datum  $(X, \Phi, X^{\vee}, \Phi^{\vee})$  [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XXII, 2.6]. If R is strictly Henselian, the isomorphism class of a reductive group over  $R$  is determined by its type (i.e. the type of either  $\mathcal{G}_K$  or  $\mathcal{G}_\kappa$ , which are the same [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XXII, Proposition 2.8, Exp. XXIII, Corollaire 5.2]).

We remark that over the base  $Spec R$ , even in the case of tori, Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) is slightly stronger than Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) in that we do not assume that G is of finite type over R (and we only impose conditions on  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\kappa}})_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$ ). This generality is also required by Vilonen's question. Notice that if  $\mathcal G$  is of finite type over  $R$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  has the same dimension as  $\mathcal{G}_{K}$  by [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) IV, Lemme 14.3.10]. In §[7,](#page-16-0) we will provide examples which show that without the condition dim  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa} = \dim \mathcal{G}_{K}$ 

imposed in the definition of quasi-reductive group schemes, the preceding theorem is false.

In addition, we have the following:

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**1.3. Corollary.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be a reductive group over R and let G be its generic fiber. Assume either

- char  $\kappa \neq 2$ ; or
- no normal algebraic subgroup of  $G \otimes \overline{K}$  is isomorphic to  $SO_{2n+1}$  for  $n \geqslant 1$ .

Let  $\phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$  be a morphism of affine group schemes of finite type over R such that  $\phi_K : \mathcal{G}_K \to \mathcal{H}_K$  is a closed immersion. Then  $\phi$  is a closed immersion.

We remark that [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XVI, Proposition 1.5] implies the following statement: Let  $\phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{H}$  be a morphism of affine group schemes of finite type over R such that G is reductive, and both  $\phi_K : \mathcal{G}_K \to \mathcal{H}_K$  and  $\phi_{\kappa} : \mathcal{G}_{\kappa} \to \mathcal{H}_{\kappa}$ are closed immersions, then  $\phi$  is a closed immersion. The above corollary shows that the hypothesis on  $\phi_{\kappa}$  can be eliminated provided a restriction on  $K$  or  $G$  is imposed (see [7.1\)](#page-16-1). This result, together with the existence of Bruhat–Tits schemes corresponding to parahoric subgroups, has the following remarkable consequence:

**1.4. Corollary.** Assume that R is strictly Henselian and  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed. Let  $\phi : G \hookrightarrow G'$  be an inclusion of connected reductive algebraic groups over K, P a hyperspecial parahoric subgroup of  $G(K)$ , P' an arbitrary parahoric subgroup of  $G'(K)$  such that  $\phi(P) \subset P'$ . Assume that either the characteristic of  $\kappa$  is not 2, or no normal algebraic subgroup of  $G \otimes \overline{K}$  is isomorphic to  $SO_{2n+1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then any function  $f \in K[G]$  such that  $f(P) \subset R$  is the restriction of a function  $f' \in K[G']$  such that  $f'(P') \subset R$ .

An analogue of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) over a general Noetherian base scheme is given in §[6.](#page-14-0) There, we will also prove the following:

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**1.5. Theorem.** Let S be a Dedekind scheme and  $\mathcal G$  an affine flat group scheme over S such that all the fibers are connected reductive algebraic groups of the same dimension. Then G is a reductive group over S.

These results have been used by Mirković and Vilonen to give a geometric interpretation of the dual group [\[MV\]](#page-41-1). We note here that their group scheme arises from geometry via a Tannakian formalism and they do not know a priori if it is of finite type; however, the results of this paper show that their group scheme is actually a reductive group.

We will now summarize the content of this paper. Sections [2–](#page-3-0)[5](#page-11-0) are devoted to the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) and Corollary [1.3.](#page-2-0) In section [6,](#page-14-0) using Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-0) we prove results about group schemes over Dedekind schemes and group schemes of finite type over more general Noetherian schemes. In section [7](#page-16-0) we

give various examples to show that without the condition dim  $\mathcal{G}_K = \dim \mathcal{G}_{\bar{\kappa}}$ imposed in the definition of quasi-reductive group schemes, Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) would be false. The notions of good quasi-reductive models and schemes are introduced in section [8.](#page-19-0) In section [9](#page-24-0) we determine all good quasi-reductive group schemes and conclude from this that any quasi-reductive group scheme is of finite type which is assertion (a) of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) At the beginning of this section, we also give examples of good quasi-reductive models of  $SO_{2n+1}$  which are not smooth. In section [10](#page-28-0) we study general quasi-reductive models of  $SO_{2n+1}$ . In [10.8](#page-33-0) (ii), using a quadratic form provided to us by Parimala, we give an example of a quasi-reductive model of  $SO<sub>3</sub>$  which is not good.

A result of Michel Raynaud (Proposition [3.4\)](#page-6-0) plays a crucial role in this paper. Brian Conrad kindly provided us a proof of it based on an argument of Faltings. With his permission, we have reproduced this proof in the appendix at the end of this paper for the convenience of the reader.

A preliminary version of this paper containing the first six sections was circulated as an IHES-preprint in July, 2003. The paper, essentially in its present form, was posted on Math arXiv (math.RT/0405381) on May 20, 2004.

#### **2. Unipotent isogenies**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \geqslant 0$ . Let  $G, G'$  be connected reductive algebraic k-groups, and  $\phi : G \to G'$  an isogeny. We say that  $\phi$  is unipotent if the only subgroup scheme of ker( $\phi$ ) of multiplicative type is the trivial subgroup, or, equivalently,  $\phi|_T : T \to \phi(T)$  is an isomorphism for a maximal torus  $T$ .

**2.1. Example.** Assume  $p = 2$ . For  $n \ge 1$ , let  $G = SO(V, q) \simeq SO_{2n+1}$ , where  $(V, q)$  is a quadratic space over k of dimension  $2n + 1$  and defect 1 [\[J,](#page-41-4) 6.3]. Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the associated symmetric bilinear form. Then  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is also alternating and  $V^{\perp}$  is 1-dimensional. Let  $G' = Sp(V/V^{\perp}) \simeq Sp_{2n}$ . Then the natural morphism  $\phi_n : G \to G'$  is a unipotent isogeny. The kernel of  $\phi_n$  is a finite unipotent group scheme of rank  $2^{2n}$ .

<span id="page-3-1"></span>We will now classify the unipotent isogenies. Let  $\phi : G \to G'$  be a unipotent isogeny. Then  $\phi$  induces an isomorphism from the connected center  $\mathfrak{Z}(G)^\circ$  to  $\mathfrak{Z}(G')^{\circ}$ , and a unipotent isogeny from the derived group  $\mathfrak{D}(G)$  to  $\mathfrak{D}(G')$ . Let  $G_i, i \in I$ , be the connected normal almost simple algebraic subgroups of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , and  $G_i' = \phi(G_i)$ , then  $G_i', i \in I$ , are the connected normal almost simple algebraic subgroups of  $G'$ , and  $\phi|_{G_i}: G_i \to G'_i$  is a unipotent isogeny for each  $i \in I$ . Thus it is enough to classify unipotent isogenies between almost simple algebraic groups.

**2.2. Lemma.** Let  $\phi: G \to G'$  be a unipotent isogeny between connected almost simple algebraic k-groups, such that  $\phi$  is not an isomorphism. Then  $p = 2$  and there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that the morphism  $G \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} G'$  is isomorphic to the morphism  $SO_{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\phi_n} Sp_{2n}$  in the preceding example. That is, there exist isomorphisms  $f: G \longrightarrow SO_{2n+1}, f': G' \longrightarrow Sp_{2n}$  such that  $f' \circ \phi =$  $\phi_n \circ f$ .

*Proof.* Clearly we must have  $p > 0$ . Let T be a maximal torus of G and  $(X, \Phi, X^{\vee}, \Phi^{\vee})$  be the root datum of  $(G, T)$ , i.e.  $X = X^*(T)$ , etc. Then we can identify X with  $X^*(T')$ , where  $T' = \phi(T)$ . Let  $(X, \Phi', X^{\vee}, \Phi')$  be the root datum of  $(G', T')$ . Then there is a p-morphism  $\phi_*$  from  $(X, \Phi, X^\vee, \Phi^\vee)$ to  $(X, \Phi', X^{\vee}, \Phi'^{\vee})$  induced by  $\phi$  [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XXI, 6.8].

If  $\phi$  is not a special isogeny [\[BoT,](#page-41-5) 3.3], then  $p^{-1}\Phi' \subset X$ . By looking at the classification, we see that this only happens when  $p = 2$  and  $G \simeq SO_3$ . In this case  $G \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} G'$  is isomorphic to  $SO_3 \stackrel{\phi_1}{\longrightarrow} Sp_2$ .

If  $\phi$  is a special isogeny, then by the classification of special isogenies [\[BoT,](#page-41-5) 3.3], either  $p = 3$  and G is of type  $G_2$ , or  $p = 2$  and G is an adjoint group of type  $F_4$  or  $B_n, n \ge 2$ . In the case of type  $B_n, G \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} G'$  is isomorphic to  $SO_{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\phi_n} Sp_{2n}$ .

We claim that  $G$  cannot be simply connected, hence we can rule out the cases of type  $G_2$  or  $F_4$ . Indeed, there exists  $a \in \Phi$  such that  $\ker(\phi|_{U_a})$  is nontrivial, where  $U_a$  is the corresponding root subgroup. Let H be the algebraic subgroup of G generated by  $U_a$  and  $U_{-a}$ . Then  $\phi$  induces a unipotent isogeny from H to  $\phi(H)$ . If G is simply connected, H is isomorphic to  $SL_2$ . But every unipotent isogeny from  $SL_2$  is an isomorphism. A contradiction.  $\Box$ 

Note that the root systems of  $Sp_{2n}$  and  $SO_{2n+1}$  are different for  $n \geq 3$ . When  $n = 1$  or 2, the root systems of  $Sp_{2n}$  and  $SO_{2n+1}$  are the same, but  $Sp_{2n}$  is simply connected, whereas  $SO_{2n+1}$  is of adjoint type with non-trivial fundamental group. Therefore,  $Sp_{2n}$  and  $SO_{2n+1}$  are always of different type (in the sense discussed after Theorem [1.2\)](#page-1-0).

**2.3. Corollary.** If  $\phi : G \to G'$  is a unipotent isogeny which is not an isomorphism, then the type of  $G$  is different from that of  $G'$ .

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**2.4. Corollary.** Let  $\phi: G \to G'$  be a unipotent isogeny and S be a maximal torus of G. Let  $S' = \phi(S)$ . If a is an element of  $\Phi(G, S)$ , the set of roots of G with respect to S, then either a or 2a is in  $\Phi(G',S')$  under the identification  $X^*(S) = X^*(S')$ .

## **3. Models**

We recall that R is a DVR with residue field  $\kappa$ . Let  $R^{\text{sh}}$  be a strict Henselization of R. The residue field  $\kappa^{sh}$  of  $R^{sh}$  is then a separable closure of κ.

Let  $G$  be a connected linear algebraic  $K$ -group. By a model of  $G$ , we mean an affine flat R-group scheme G of finite type over R such that  $\mathcal{G}_K :=$  $\mathcal{G} \otimes_R K \simeq G$ . We have imposed the condition that G is affine for clarity. According to the following result of Michel Raynaud [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XVII, Appendice III, Proposition 2.1(iii)], this is equivalent to  $\mathcal G$  being separated. Since no published proof of this result is available, for the reader's convenience we give below a proof which was kindly outlined to us by Raynaud.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**3.1. Proposition.** Let G be a flat group scheme of finite type over R such that its generic fiber  $\mathcal{G}_K$  is affine. Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is affine if and only if it is separated over R.

Proof. The "only if" part is obvious. To prove the "if" part, we may replace G by  $\mathcal{G} \otimes_R R'$  for any faithfully flat local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) IV, Proposition 2.7.1]. Therefore, in view of the separatedness hypoth-esis, we may apply Corollary [A.4](#page-35-0) to reduce to the case where  $R$  is strictly Henselian, and the normalization  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}$  of  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}$  is finite over  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}$ , smooth over R, and an R-group scheme. By a theorem of Chevalley [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) II, Proposition 6.7.1], it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}$  is affine. Therefore, we may and do assume that  $\mathcal G$  is smooth over  $R$ .

Let  $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}})$ . We remark that it is not clear a priori that A is of finite type over R. However,  $\mathcal{G}' := \operatorname{Spec} A$  has a natural structure of group scheme over R and the canonical morphism  $u : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$  is a morphism of R-group schemes. Obviously,  $u_K$  is an isomorphism. For  $f \in A$ , there is a canonical morphism of schemes  $u_f : \mathcal{G}_f \to \mathcal{G}'_f$ . By the separatedness of  $\mathcal{G}_f$ , it follows from [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) page 161, Lemma 6] that there exists  $f \in A$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_f \cap \mathcal{G}_\kappa \neq \emptyset$  and  $u_f$  is an isomorphism. Since the translates of  $\mathcal{G}_f$  by elements of  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  (which maps onto  $\mathcal{G}(\kappa)$ ), together with  $\mathcal{G}_K$ , form an open cover of  $\mathcal{G},$  $u$  is an open immersion. It therefore remains only to prove the topological assertion that u is surjective.

By [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) I, Proposition 6.6.3],  $\mathcal G$  is quasi-affine over  $\operatorname{Spec} R$  in the sense of [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) II, Définition 5.1.1]. It follows that  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  is quasi-affine over Spec  $\kappa$ by [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) II, Proposition 5.1.10 (iii)], and hence affine by [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp.  $VI_B$ , Proposition 11.11]. By [\[BLR\]](#page-41-6), the paragraph before Lemma 6 on page 161, the map  $A_{\kappa} \to \Gamma(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}})$  is injective. This is a morphism of Hopf algebras over  $\kappa$ , so it is a faithfully flat morphism of rings [\[Wa,](#page-42-0) Theorem 14.1]. The associated morphism on spectra  $u_{\kappa}$  :  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa} \to \mathcal{G}'_{\kappa}$  is therefore surjective, as desired.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**3.2. Smoothening.** For a model  $\mathcal G$  of  $G$ , there exists a canonical smoothening morphism  $\phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 7.1, Theorem 5], which is characterized by the following properties:

- (i)  $\mathcal{G}$  is a model of G, and is smooth over R;<br>  $\hat{G}(\mathbf{Bsh}) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{Bsh})$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  induces a bijection  $\mathcal{G}(R^{\text{sh}}) \simeq \mathcal{G}(R^{\text{sh}})$ .

In fact, (i) can be replaced by

(i')  $\mathcal G$  is an affine smooth scheme over R with generic fiber G.

Indeed, (i'), (ii), and [\[BT2,](#page-41-2) 1.7] show that the group law on the generic fiber of  $\mathcal G$  extends to  $\mathcal G$ .

We often regard  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  as a subgroup of  $G(K)$  via the canonical embedding  $\mathcal{G}(R) \hookrightarrow G(K)$ . For example, (ii) is then simply  $\mathcal{G}(R^{\text{sh}}) = \mathcal{G}(R^{\text{sh}})$ .

We refer to [\[BLR\]](#page-41-6) for further properties of the smoothening. For example, [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 7.1, Theorem 5, and 3.6, Proposition 4] imply that the formation of group smoothening is compatible with the base change  $Spec R' \rightarrow Spec R$  if  $R \subset R'$  is a local extension of DVRs of ramification index 1 and the residue field extension of  $R'/R$  is separable, such as  $R' = R^{\text{sh}}$  (cf. [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 7.2, Theorem 1]).

<span id="page-6-2"></span>**3.3. Normalization.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be a model of  $G$ . The normalization  $\widetilde{\mathcal G}$  of  $\mathcal G$ is also an affine flat scheme over R with generic fiber G such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(R^{\text{sh}})$  =  $\mathcal{G}(R^{\text{sh}})$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_K = G$  is an algebraic group, it is geometrically reduced and by Theorem [A.6](#page-36-0) of the appendix,  $\widetilde{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a finite morphism.

By the universal property of normalization, the smoothening morphism  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  factors through  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  uniquely. By [3.2,](#page-6-1) the morphism  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  is an isomorphism of schemes if and only if G is smooth over R. If  $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}$ and  $\kappa$  is perfect, the homomorphism  $\mathcal{G}(R^{\text{sh}}) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa^{\text{sh}})$  is surjective. Indeed,  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(R^{\text{sh}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{G}}(\kappa^{\text{sh}})$  is always surjective  $(R^{\text{sh}})$  being Henselian and  $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$  smooth), and so is  $\tilde{G}(\kappa^{sh}) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa^{sh})$  (a part of the going-up theorem).

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  is a finite morphism if and only if  $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}$ . Observe that if  $\mathcal{G}' \to \mathcal{G}$  is a finite morphism of models of G extending the identity morphism on the generic fibers, then  $\mathcal{G}'(R) = \mathcal{G}(R)$ . This shows that the condition  $G \simeq G$ , or equivalently that G is smooth over R, is stable under the base change Spec  $R' \to \text{Spec } R$ , if  $R \subset R'$  is a local extension of DVRs, and in this case the formation of smoothening is stable under such a base change.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**3.4. Proposition.** Assume that R is Henselian. Then there exists a local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs such that the normalization  $\widetilde{G}'$  of  $\mathcal{G}' := \mathcal{G} \otimes_R R'$  is smooth and the field of fractions  $K'$  of  $R'$  is a finite extension of  $K$ .

This result is due to Michel Raynaud and appeared in [\[An,](#page-41-7) Appendice II, Corollary 3]. Brian Conrad pointed out to us its similarity with Faltings' result ([\[dJ,](#page-41-8) Lemma 2.13]), and showed that Raynaud's result can be deduced by modifying Faltings' argument. For the reader's convenience, we include a complete discussion, which was provided to us by Brian Conrad, in the appendix. In particular, the above result is proved there as Corollary [A.4](#page-35-0) (also see Remark [A.1\)](#page-34-0).

<span id="page-7-1"></span>**3.5. The neutral component.** We recall from  $[\text{SGA3}, \text{Exp. VI}_B, \text{no. 3}]$ that if G is a *smooth* group scheme over R, the union of  $\mathcal{G}_{K}^{\circ}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}^{\circ}$  is an open subgroup scheme of  $\mathcal{G}$ , which is denoted by  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ}$  and is called the *neutral* component of G.

**Lemma.** If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a smooth affine group scheme over R, so is  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ}$ .

*Proof.* We need only to show that  $\mathcal{G}^\circ$  is affine, which is [\[BT2,](#page-41-2) Corollaire 2.2.5 (iii)]. Since  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ}$  is an open subscheme of the affine scheme  $\mathcal{G}$ , it is separated. Therefore, the result also follows from Proposition [3.1.](#page-5-0)

Alternatively, one can use the following more elementary claim, together with the fact (see [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 2.1]) that the *dilatation* of an affine scheme is affine: If  $\mathcal G$  is a smooth group scheme over R with connected generic fiber, then  $\mathcal G^\circ$  is the dilatation of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\kappa}^{\circ}$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

To see this, we observe that the above-mentioned dilatation  $\mathcal{G}'$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ}$  are both flat over R, and are subfunctors of  $\mathcal G$  as functors on the category of flat R-schemes. It is enough to show that they are identical subfunctors. Indeed, the subfunctor  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ}$  is described in [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. VI<sub>B</sub>, 3.1], and the subfunctor  $\mathcal{G}'$  is described in [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 2.1] (cf. [7.2](#page-16-2) below), and they are identical.  $\Box$ 

**3.6. Chevalley schemes.** For simplicity, we now assume that  $R = R^{\text{sh}}$ . Then a reductive group  $\mathcal G$  over R is necessarily split [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XXII, Proposition 2.1], and its isomorphism class is uniquely determined by its type (see the discussion after Theorem [1.2\)](#page-1-0). We will say that  $\mathcal G$  is a *Chevalley scheme* of that type or the Chevalley model of its generic fiber.

The Chevalley model  $\mathfrak T$  of a split torus T over K is unique, and is also called the Néron-Raynaud model. It is a torus over  $R$  in the sense of [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. IX, 1.3], and is characterized by (i)  $\mathcal T$  is smooth over R, and (ii)  $\mathfrak{T}(R)$  is the maximal bounded subgroup of  $T(K)$ .

We refer to  $[T, 3.1;$  $[T, 3.1;$  see also 1.9, 1.10 for the definition of a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$ . We recall the following result of Bruhat– Tits theory [\[BT2,](#page-41-2) Proposition 4.6.31]. This is the only result of this theory which we will use here. The first assertion is due to Iwahori and Matsumoto.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**3.7. Lemma.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be a Chevalley scheme. Then  $\mathcal G(R)$  is a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(K)$ . Conversely, if R is strictly Henselian with

algebraically closed residue field and G is a smooth model of a connected reductive algebraic K-group  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$ , then G splits over K and G is a Chevalley scheme.

It is well known that this can be used to give an alternative definition of a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$ , where G is a connected reductive K-group and K is Henselian. By  $[T, 2.3.1]$  $[T, 2.3.1]$ , a maximal bounded subgroup U always fixes a point x on the building of  $(G, K)$ . Bruhat-Tits theory [\[BT2\]](#page-41-2) associates to x a smooth model  $\mathcal{G}_x$  of G, characterized by the property that  $\mathcal{G}_x(R^{\text{sh}})$  is the stabilizer of x in  $G(K^{\text{sh}})$ . Then U is hyperspecial if and only if  $\mathcal{G}_x$  is a reductive group over R.

#### **4. First steps of the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0)**

<span id="page-8-0"></span>In this section, we assume that R is strictly Henselian and  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed.

**4.1. Lemma.** Let  $\mathcal T$  be a model of a K-split torus  $T$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal T(R)$  is the maximal bounded subgroup of  $T(K)$ . Then  $\mathfrak T$  is smooth over R, and hence it is the Néron-Raynaud model of  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$  be the smoothening morphism. Then  $\mathcal{T}(R) (= \mathcal{T}(R))$ is the maximal bounded subgroup of  $T(K)$ , and hence  $\mathcal T$  is the Néron-Raynaud model of T. We want to show that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

By [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. IX, Théorème 6.8], ker  $\phi$  is a group of multiplicative type. Since the generic fiber of ker  $\phi$  is trivial, ker  $\phi$  is trivial. Therefore,  $\phi$  is a monomorphism, hence a closed immersion by [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. IX, Corollaire 2.5]. The ideal (sheaf) I of this closed immersion has generic fiber 0, since  $\phi_K$  is an isomorphism, and so  $\mathfrak{I} = 0$ . Thus  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

We can replace the last paragraph by a more direct argument as follows. Clearly,  $\mathcal{T}$  acts on  $\mathcal{T}$  by multiplication via  $\phi$ , and hence it acts on the affine ring  $A[\mathcal{T}]$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ . By [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. I, 4.7.3],  $A[\mathcal{T}] \subset A[\mathcal{T}]$  decomposes into a direct sum of weight modules. Since  $A[\mathfrak{T}]$  is the group algebra  $R[X] = \bigoplus_{x \in X} R.e_x$ ,  $X = X^*(T)$ , there are non-zero elements  $a_x \in R$ ,  $x \in X$ , such that  $A[T] =$  $\bigoplus_{x\in X} R.a_x e_x$ . Now the comultiplication map of the Hopf algebra  $A[$ [ $]$ ] sends  $e_x$  to  $e_x \otimes e_x$ , and hence that of  $A[\mathfrak{I}]$  sends  $a_x e_x$  to  $a_x e_x \otimes e_x$ . The latter is in  $A[\mathfrak{T}]\otimes A[\mathfrak{T}]$  if and only if  $a_x^2$  divides  $a_x$  in  $R$ , i.e.  $a_x \in R^\times$ . Since this holds for all  $x \in X$ ,  $A[\mathcal{T}] = A[\mathcal{T}]$  and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

**Remark.** The proposition shows that if  $\mathcal T$  is a non-smooth model of a split torus T, then  $T(R)$  is not maximal. There are many such models. For example, for the 1-dimensional split torus  $T = \text{Spec } K[x, x^{-1}]$  over a 2-adic field R, the model  $\mathcal{T} = \text{Spec } R[x, x^{-1}, (x + x^{-1})/2, (x - x^{-1})/2]$  is non-smooth.

In general, if  $\kappa$  is of characteristic  $p > 0$ , the model

$$
\mathcal{T} = \operatorname{Spec} R[x, x^{-1}, (x-1)^p/\pi, (x^{-1} - 1)^p/\pi]
$$

<span id="page-9-1"></span>is non-smooth.

**4.2. Lemma.** Let G be a model of a connected reductive algebraic K-group G. If  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$ , then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a quasi-reductive R-group scheme, and the reduction map  $\mathfrak{S}(R) \to \mathfrak{S}_{\kappa}^{\circ}(\kappa)$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  be the smoothening of  $\mathcal{G}$ . By Lemma [3.7,](#page-7-0)  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Chevalley scheme. Let  $\mathcal T$  be a maximal R-torus of  $\mathcal G$ . Then the schematic classes  $\mathcal T$ ,  $\mathcal T$ closure  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $T := \mathcal{T}_K$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{T}$  by Lemma [4.1,](#page-8-0) because  $\mathcal{T}(R) = \mathcal{T}(K) \circ \mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{T}(K) \circ \mathcal{G}(R)$  $T(K) \cap \mathcal{G}(R) = T(K) \cap \mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{T}(R).$ 

Let H be the kernel of the homomorphism  $\phi_{\kappa}: \mathcal{G}_{\kappa} \to (\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})^{\circ}_{red}$ . If  $H^{\circ}_{red}$ a reductive group over  $\kappa$ , is of positive dimension, it contains a torus S of positive dimension. We may and do assume that  $S$  is contained in the maximal torus  $\mathcal{T}_{\kappa}$  of  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$ . Then we arrive at a contradiction since  $\phi|_{\widehat{\mathcal{T}}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$  is an isomorphism. Thus H is zero-dimensional and  $\phi_{\kappa}$  is onto  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})^{\circ}_{red}$ , which implies that  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})^{\circ}_{red}$  is a reductive group. Therefore,  $\mathcal{G}$  is a quasi-reductive group scheme. The final statement follows from the surjectivity of  $\mathcal{G}(R) \to \widehat{\mathcal{G}}(R)$  $\mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  and that of  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa} \to (\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\mathbf{r}}^{\circ}$ red.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Consequences of surjectivity of**  $\mathcal{G}(R) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$ . In the rest of this section,  $\mathcal G$  is a quasi-reductive model of a connected linear algebraic  $K$ -group  $G$ ,  $\phi$ :  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  is the smoothening morphism of [3.2,](#page-6-1) and  $\mathcal{G}$  is the normalization of G.

**4.3. Proposition.** Assume that  $\mathcal{G}(R) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  is surjective. Then

- (i)  $G$  is a  $K$ -split reductive group;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$ ;
- (iii) the smoothening  $\mathcal G$  of  $\mathcal G$  is a Chevalley scheme;
- (iv) there exists an R-torus  $\mathfrak T$  in  $\mathfrak G$  such that  $\mathfrak T_K$  is a maximal K-split torus of G;
- (v) the morphism  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa} \to (\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$  is a unipotent isogeny;
- (vi) G is almost simple if and only if  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$  is almost simple.

*Proof.* Since the composition  $\mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{G}(R) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  is surjective,  $\mathcal{G}(\kappa) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  is surjective, and so is  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})^{\circ} \to (\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})^{\circ}_{\text{red}}$ . Since  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})^{\circ}$  and  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})^{\circ}_{\text{red}}$ have the same dimension, it follows that  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})^{\circ}$  is a reductive group, for otherwise, its unipotent radical would be a (connected normal) unipotent subgroup of positive dimension lying in the kernel of the homomorphism, and then the dimension of the image would be strictly smaller. By [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XIX, Théorème 2.5], G is a reductive group. The lemma in [3.5](#page-7-1) implies that  $(g)^\circ$  is

a reductive group scheme, hence a Chevalley scheme. In particular, G is split over  $K$ . This proves (i).

Now we have  $(\mathcal{G})^{\circ}(R) \subset \mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{G}(R)$  and  $(\mathcal{G})^{\circ}(R)$  is a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$  by Lemma [3.7.](#page-7-0) So we must have  $(\mathcal{G})^{\circ}(R) = \hat{G}(R)$ .  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . According to [\[BT2,](#page-41-2) 1.7.3c], a smooth model of G is completely determined by its set of integral points. Therefore,  $(\mathcal{G})^{\circ} = \mathcal{G}$  and assertions (ii) and (iii) hold.

Since G- is a Chevalley scheme, there exists an R-torus T- in G- such that<br>  $\hat{\sigma}$  is a maximal K-mlit terms of G- Let T- hade asked wording larger in G- $T := \mathcal{T}_K$  is a maximal K-split torus of G. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the schematic closure in  $\mathcal{G}$ <br> $\mathcal{F}(F) = \mathcal{F}(F) = \mathcal{G}(F) \circ \mathcal{F}(F) = \mathcal{G}(F) \circ \mathcal{F}(F) = \mathcal{F}(F) \circ \mathcal{F}(F) = \mathcal{F}(F)$ of T. Then  $\mathfrak{T}(R) = \mathfrak{S}(R) \cap \mathfrak{T}(K) = \mathfrak{S}(R) \cap \mathfrak{T}(K) = \mathfrak{T}(R)$ . By Lemma [4.1,](#page-8-0) the morphism  $\phi|_{\hat{\mathcal{T}}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $\mathcal{T}$  is an R-torus of  $\mathcal{G}$ . This proves (iv).

The morphism between the special fibers induced by  $\phi|_{\hat{\mathcal{T}}}$  is also an isomor-<br>isomorphism which implies  $(x)$ phism which implies (v).

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Finally, we prove (vi): G is almost simple  $\iff$   $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  is almost simple  $\iff$  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})^{\circ}_{\text{red}}$  is almost simple.

### **4.4. Proposition.**

- (i) The generic fiber G is a reductive group and  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  is connected. Moreover, if  $H$  is a connected normal  $K$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then the schematic closure H of H in G is quasi-reductive and  $(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  is a connected normal algebraic subgroup of  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\mathrm{red}}$ .
- (ii) Assume that G is K-split. Then the correspondence  $H \mapsto (\mathfrak{H}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  is an inclusion preserving bijection from  $N(G)$ , the set of connected normal algebraic subgroups of G, onto  $\mathcal{N}((\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}})$ , where for a connected normal algebraic subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,  $H$  denotes its schematic closure in G.

*Proof.* (i) Let H be a connected normal K-subgroup of G and  $H$  be its schematic closure in G. By Proposition [3.4,](#page-6-0) there exists a local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs such that the normalization  $\tilde{G}'$  of  $\mathcal{G}' := \mathcal{G} \otimes_R R'$  and the normalization  $\mathcal{H}'$  of  $\mathcal{H}' := \mathcal{H} \otimes_R R'$  are smooth. Then  $\mathcal{G}(R') \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  and  $\mathcal{H}'(R') \to \mathcal{H}'(R')$  $\mathfrak{H}(R') \to \mathfrak{H}(\kappa)$  are surjective (cf. [3.3\)](#page-6-2). We conclude from Proposition [4.3](#page-9-0) that if K' is the field of fractions of  $R'$ ,  $\mathcal{G}'_{K'} = G \otimes_K K'$ , and hence G, and so also its connected normal algebraic subgroup  $H$ , are reductive, and the smoothening  $\mathcal{G}' \ (\simeq \mathcal{G}')$  is a Chevalley scheme over R'. In particular,  $\mathcal{G}'_{\kappa}$  is connected. As  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa} = \mathcal{G}'_{\kappa}$  and  $\mathcal{G}'(\kappa) \to \mathcal{G}'(\kappa)$  is surjective, it follows that  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  is also connected.

As  $\mathfrak{H}(R')$  is clearly a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}(R'), \mathfrak{H}(\kappa)$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(\kappa)$ , and hence  $(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa})^{\circ}_{red}$  is a normal subgroup of the connected reductive group  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  since  $\mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  is Zariski-dense in  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{H}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$  is reductive and  $\mathcal H$  is quasi-reductive. This fact now implies that  $\mathcal H_{\kappa}$  is actually connected.

(ii) We now assume that  $G$  is  $K$ -split. Then any connected normal algebraic subgroup of G is a reductive group defined over K, hence  $H \mapsto H \otimes_K K'$  is a bijection from  $\mathcal{N}(G)$  to  $\mathcal{N}(G \otimes_K K')$  for any field extension  $K'/K$ . Therefore, to prove (ii) we are free to replace  $R$  by a totally ramified local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs. Thus we may and do assume that  $\mathcal G$  is a Chevalley scheme,<br>thanks to Democitional 2.4 and 4.2(iii). Now it is also from the polation thanks to Propositions [3.4](#page-6-0) and [4.3\(](#page-9-0)iii). Now it is clear from the relation between the root datum of G, of  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$ , and of  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$ , with respect to suitable<br>maximal sulit to it that  $H_{\kappa}$ . (i.e. is an including maximum bijection from maximal split tori, that  $H \mapsto (\mathcal{H}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  is an inclusion preserving bijection from  $\mathcal{N}(G)$  to  $\mathcal{N}((\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\mathrm{red}})$ . -

<span id="page-11-1"></span>**4.5. Proposition.** Assume that at least one of the three conditions (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) holds. Then  $G$  is smooth over  $R$ , and is a Chevalley scheme.

Proof. It is clear that the hypotheses and the conclusion of the proposition are unchanged if we replace R by R' for any local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs. Thanks to Proposition [3.4,](#page-6-0) by changing  $R$ , we may assume that the normalization  $\widetilde{G}$  of  $\widetilde{G}$  is smooth.

By Proposition [4.3](#page-9-0) (v), the isogeny  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa} \to (\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  is a unipotent isogeny. Therefore, it is an isomorphism by our discussion of unipotent isogenies (§[2\)](#page-3-0). Thus,  $\phi_{\kappa}$  is a monomorphism, hence a closed immersion.

Let A and A-be the affine rings of G and G- respectively, and let  $\phi^* : A \to A$ be the injective morphism between affine rings. Then  $C := \text{coker}(\phi^*)$  is a torsion R-module as  $\phi_K^*: A_K \to A_K$  is an isomorphism. Since  $\phi_\kappa$  is a closed immersion,  $C \otimes \kappa = 0$  and hence C is a divisible R-module.

But  $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  is a finite morphism (see [3.3\)](#page-6-2). That is, A is a finite Amodule. If  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  generate C as an A-module, and  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  is such that  $\pi^{N}x_{i}=0$  for all i, then  $\pi^{N}C=0$ . This, together with the fact that C is a divisible R-module, implies that  $C = 0$  and  $A = A$ . The proposition is  $\Box$  proved.

## **5. Proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0)** (a)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>It is clear that the hypotheses and the conclusion of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) are unchanged if we replace R by R' for any local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs. So we may and do assume that  $R = R^{\text{sh}}$  and  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed. We now observe that a large part of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) has already been proved. Indeed, if  $\mathcal G$  is a quasi-reductive group scheme of finite type over  $R$ , assertions (b) and

(c) of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) follow from Proposition [4.4](#page-10-0) (i), and the last assertion of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) is Proposition [4.5.](#page-11-1)

To complete the proof of Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-0) it remains only to prove assertion (a), i.e., a quasi-reductive group scheme over  $R$  is always of finite type over R. In this section, we will prove this with the additional assumption that at least one of the three conditions (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) holds. The general case is similar but we need to replace the classification of reductive group schemes with that of good quasi-reductive group schemes (see section [8](#page-19-0) for the definition and the result), and this is given in [9.7.](#page-28-1)

**5.1. Lemma.** Let  $X$  be a flat affine scheme over R with affine ring A and generic fiber X. We assume that X is an irreducible variety (i.e. an irreducible geometrically reduced K-scheme of finite type) and either

- (i)  $\mathfrak{X}(R)$  is Zariski-dense in the generic fiber X; or
- (ii) there is an ideal I of  $A_{\kappa} := A/\pi A$  such that  $A_{\kappa}/I$  is an integral domain, and

$$
\operatorname{tr.}\deg_{\kappa}(A_{\kappa}/I)\geqslant \dim X.
$$

Then A contains no non-zero R-divisible elements.

*Proof.* Let  $J$  be the set of  $R$ -divisible elements in  $A$ . Then  $J$  is an ideal of A, and of K[X], the affine ring of X. Assume that  $J \neq \{0\}$ . Then we cannot have (i) since  $\mathfrak{X}(R)$  is contained in the closed subset defined by J.

Now assume (ii). Notice  $\dim(K[X]/J) < \dim K[X] = \dim X$  and  $(A/J)_\kappa \simeq$  $A_{\kappa}$ . Therefore, we can find  $d = \dim X$  elements  $\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_d$  in  $(A/J)_{\kappa}/I$  that are algebraically independent over  $\kappa$ . Lift these elements to  $x_1, \ldots, x_d \in A/J$ . It is easy to see that  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$  are algebraically independent over K, this contradicts the fact  $\dim(K[X]/J) < d$ .

**5.2. Lemma.** Retain the hypothesis of the preceding lemma. Assume furthermore that  $R$  is complete. Then  $A$  is a free  $R$ -module.

Proof. This follows from the preceding lemma, and the following assertion:

Let V be a vector space of at most countable dimension over K and L an R-submodule of V such that L contains no non-zero R-divisible elements. Then L is a free R-module.

We have been told by Jean-Pierre Serre and Marie-France Vigneras that this assertion is known and it appears in an exercise in Bourbaki's Algebra, Chap. VII. For the convenience of the reader we give a proof here. We first note that the completeness of R implies that  $\text{Hom}_R(K, K) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(K, K/R)$ and  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{1}(K, R) = 0.$ 

We may and do assume that  $L \otimes_R K = V$ . Let  $d = \dim_K V \leq \aleph_0$ . Let  $\{v_i\}_{0\leq i\leq d}$  be a basis of V over K, and put  $V_n = K\langle v_0,\ldots,v_{n-1}\rangle$ ,  $L_n = L\cap V_n$ . Then  $L_1$  is obviously free over R. Let  $u_0$  be a generator of  $L_1$  over R. We will construct  $\{u_i\}_{0\leqslant i < d}$  inductively so that  $\{u_0,\ldots,u_{n-1}\}$  is a basis of  $L_n$  over R, as follows.

Assume that we have constructed  $u_0, \ldots, u_{n-1}$ , and  $n + 1 \leq d$ . Then  $L_{n+1}/L_n$  is a non-zero R-submodule of  $V_{n+1}/V_n \simeq K$ , and is isomorphic to either R or K. In the latter case, we have  $L_{n+1} \simeq L_n \oplus K$  since  $\text{Ext}^1_R(K, L_n)$  $= 0$ , contradicting the hypothesis on L. Therefore, we have a (split) short exact sequence of free R-modules  $0 \to L_n \to L_{n+1} \to L_{n+1}/L_n \to 0$ , from which we construct  $u_n$  easily.

It is then clear that  $\{u_i : 0 \leq i < d\}$  is a basis of L and hence L is free over  $R$ .

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**5.3.** We now prove assertion (a) of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) with the additional assumption that at least one of the three conditions  $(i)$ ,  $(ii)$ ,  $(iii)$  of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) holds. We may and do assume that  $R$  is complete with algebraically closed residue field. Then by the preceding two lemmas, the affine ring  $A$  of  $G$  is a free R-module. Let B be a basis of A over R and let S be a finite subset of B such that  $K[S] = K[G]$  and the image of S in  $(A_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  generates  $(A_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  as a  $\kappa$ -algebra.

For any finite subset I of B such that  $I \supset S$ , by the argument in [\[Wa,](#page-42-0) 3.3], there is a Hopf subalgebra  $A_I$  of A which is a finitely generated R-algebra containing I. Let  $\mathcal{G}^I = \operatorname{Spec} A_I$  be the affine group scheme with affine ring  $A_I$ . Then as  $S \subset I$ , the reduced special fiber  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}^I)_{\text{red}}$  of  $\mathcal{G}^I$  contains  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  as a closed subgroup; in particular,  $\dim(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}} \geq \dim(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$ . By [\[M2,](#page-41-9) 15.3],  $\dim(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}^I)_{\text{red}} \leq \dim G = \dim(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  and hence,  $\dim(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}^I)_{\text{red}} =$  $\dim(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$ . This implies that  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}^I)_{\text{red}}^{\circ} = (\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$ . Therefore, each  $\mathcal{G}^I$  is a quasi-reductive group scheme of finite type over  $R$ . Now we assume that at least one of the three additional conditions of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) holds. Then it follows from Proposition [4.5](#page-11-1) that  $\mathcal{G}^I = \operatorname{Spec} A_I$  is a Chevalley scheme.

For any finite sets I, J such that  $S \subset I, J \subset B$ , we can find a Hopf subalgebra  $A'$  of A which contains both  $A_I$  and  $A_J$ , and which is a finitely generated R-algebra. Again,  $\mathcal{G}' := \operatorname{Spec} A'$  is a Chevalley scheme and the morphism of Chevalley schemes  $\mathcal{G}' \to \mathcal{G}^I$  is an isomorphism by Lemma [3.7.](#page-7-0) Thus  $\mathcal{G}^I = \mathcal{G}^J$ and  $A_I = A_J$ . Since A is the union of  $A_I$  for varying I, the theorem is  $\Box$  proved.

**5.4. Proof of Corollary [1.3.](#page-2-0)** Again, we may and do assume that R is strictly Henselian and  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed. Let  $\mathcal{G}^*$  be the schematic closure of  $\phi(\mathcal{G}_K)$  in H. Then  $\mathcal{G}^*$  is a model of  $G := \mathcal{G}_K$  and  $\phi$  factors as  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}.$ 

By Proposition [3.4,](#page-6-0) we can find a local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs such that  $\mathcal{G}^*(R') \to \mathcal{G}^*(\kappa)$  is surjective. Let K' be the field of fractions of R'. Since  $\mathcal{G}(R')$  is a maximal bounded subgroup of  $G(K')$  (Lemma [3.7\)](#page-7-0) and

 $\mathcal{G}(R') \subset \mathcal{G}^*(R') \subset G(K')$ , we have  $\mathcal{G}(R') = \mathcal{G}^*(R')$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\kappa) \to \mathcal{G}^*(\kappa)$  is surjective. It follows that  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}^*)_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$  is a reductive group. Now Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) and [\[BT2,](#page-41-2) 1.7] imply that  $\mathcal{G} \otimes_R R' \to \mathcal{G}^* \otimes_R R'$  is an isomorphism, and hence,  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^*$  is also an isomorphism.

After looking at an earlier version of this paper, James Milne pointed out to us the paper [\[V\]](#page-42-2) where an incorrect version of Corollary [1.3](#page-2-0) is given as Proposition 3.1.2.1c. Vasiu became aware of the error in his proposition from this paper. He has recently circulated a paper which claims to give a different proof of the corrected result.

#### **6. General Noetherian base schemes**

<span id="page-14-0"></span>We will now give the analogues of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) over more general base schemes. Proposition [6.1](#page-14-1) gives a fiberwise result. Theorem [6.2](#page-15-0) is a local result and is the reductive analogue of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) for group schemes of finite type. This is then globalized into Theorem [6.3.](#page-15-1) Finally, we give the proof of Theorem [1.5.](#page-2-1)

For the sake of convenience, we introduce the following condition: Let G be a group scheme over a base scheme S. Given  $s, t \in S$  such that  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{s}})_{red}^{\circ}$  and  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{t}})_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$  are reductive algebraic groups for some (hence any) geometric point  $\bar{s}$ (resp.  $\bar{t}$ ) over s (resp. t), we say that  $(\mathcal{G}, s, t)$  satisfies condition  $(*)$  if at least one of the following holds:

- The characteristic of  $\kappa(s)$  is not 2.
- The type of  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{t}})_{red}^{\circ}$  is the same as that of  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{s}})_{red}^{\circ}$ .
- No normal algebraic subgroup of  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{t}})_{red}^{\circ}$  is isomorphic to  $SO_{2n+1}$  for  $n \geqslant 1.$

<span id="page-14-1"></span>**6.1. Proposition.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be an affine flat group scheme over a Noetherian scheme S. Let  $s \in S$ ,  $\overline{s}$  a geometric point over s, and suppose

- $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{s}})_{\text{red}}$  is of finite type and  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{s}})_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$  is a reductive group; and
- there exists a generization  $t$  of  $s$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is connected, smooth over  $\kappa(t)$  of dimension equal to that of  $(\mathfrak{G}_{\bar{s}})_{\text{red}}^{\circ}$ .

Then  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is a reductive group over  $\kappa(t)$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_s$  is connected. If, in addition,  $(\mathcal{G}, s, t)$  satisfies condition  $(*),$  then  $\mathcal{G}_s$  is connected and smooth over  $\kappa(s)$ .

*Proof.* There is nothing to prove if  $s = t$ . So assume  $s \neq t$ . By [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) II, Proposition 7.1.9], we can find a DVR R, and a morphism  $\text{Spec } R \to S$ sending the generic point of Spec R to t, and the special point of Spec R to s. Now Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) can be applied to  $\mathcal{G} \times_S \text{Spec } R$  and the proposition follows  $\Box$  immediately.

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**6.2. Theorem.** Let G be an affine flat group scheme of finite type over a Noetherian scheme S, with connected fibers of the same dimension. Let  $s \in S$ ,  $\bar{s}$  a geometric point over s, and suppose

- $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{s}})_{\text{red}}$  is a reductive group; and
- there exists a generization t of s such that  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is smooth over  $\kappa(t)$ .

Then  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is a reductive group over  $\kappa(t)$ . If, in addition, we assume that  $(\mathcal{G}, s, t)$ satisfies condition  $(*)$ , then there exists an open neighborhood U of s such that  $\mathcal{G}|U$  is a reductive group over U.

*Proof.* That  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is a reductive group over  $\kappa(t)$  is immediate from the preceding proposition, which also implies that  $\mathcal{G}_s$  is smooth over  $\kappa(s)$ . The S-smooth locus in  $\mathcal G$  is a union of fibers since  $\mathcal G$  is a flat and finite-type  $S$ -group, and this smooth locus has open image in  $S$  again since  $\mathcal G$  is flat and of finite type over S, so  $\mathcal G$  is smooth over an open neighborhood U' of s (cf. [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. X, Lemme 3.5]). Hence  $\mathcal G$  is a reductive group over a smaller neighborhood U of s by [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XIX, Théorème 2.5].  $\square$ 

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**6.3. Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be an affine flat group scheme over an irreducible Noetherian scheme S of positive dimension, with fibers of finite type and the same dimension. Let  $\xi$  be the generic point of S and assume that the generic fiber  $\mathcal{G}_{\xi}$  is smooth and connected. For any geometric point  $\bar{s}$  over a nongeneric point  $s \in S$ , assume that  $(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{s}})_{red}^{\circ}$  is a reductive group. Then  $\mathcal{G}_{\xi}$  is reductive and  $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{s}}$  is connected for all  $s \in S$ .

If, in addition, we assume that  $(\mathcal{G}, s, \xi)$  satisfies condition  $(*)$  for all nongeneric  $s \in S$ , then each geometric fiber of  $\mathcal G$  over S is a connected reductive algebraic group. Furthermore, if  $\mathcal G$  is of finite type over  $S$ , then  $\mathcal G$  is a reductive group over S.

Proof. The first two assertions follow immediately from Proposition [6.1.](#page-14-1) The last assertion is clear from Theorem [6.2.](#page-15-0)  $\Box$ 

**6.4. Proof of Theorem [1.5.](#page-2-1)** It suffices to show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is of finite type over S, or equivalently, to show that for each closed point  $s \in S$ , there is an open neighborhood U of s such that  $\mathcal{G}|U$  is of finite type over U.

Let  $C = 0_s$ . By Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-0)  $\mathcal{G} \times_S \text{Spec } C$  is of finite type over  $\text{Spec } C$ , and hence it is a reductive group over Spec C. We can "spread out"  $\mathcal{G} \times_S \text{Spec } C$ to an affine group scheme of finite type  $\mathcal{G}'$  over an open neighborhood U' of s such that there is a morphism of group schemes  $\mathcal{G}|U' \to \mathcal{G}'$  inducing an isomorphism  $\mathcal{G}' \times_S \text{Spec } C \simeq \mathcal{G} \times_S \text{Spec } C$ . (Concretely, we may assume that  $S = \text{Spec } A$  is affine, and  $\mathcal{G} = \text{Spec } B$ , where B is a Hopf A-algebra. Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  be elements generating  $B \otimes_A C$  over C; then there exists an  $f \in A$ , f a unit in C, such that  $B' := A_f[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \subset B \otimes_A C$  is a Hopf subalgebra. We then take  $U' = \operatorname{Spec} A_f$ ,  $\mathcal{G}' = \operatorname{Spec} B'.$  We may assume that  $\mathcal{G}'$  is smooth over  $U'$  by shrinking  $U'$ .

By  $|SGA3, Exp. XIX, Théorème 2.5|$ , there is an open neighborhood U of s such that  $\mathcal{G}'|U$  is a reductive group. We now claim that the morphism  $\mathcal{G}|U \to \mathcal{G}'|U$  is an isomorphism, hence  $\mathcal{G}|U$  is of finite type over U.

It suffices to show that for each closed point t of U,  $\mathcal{G} \times_S \text{Spec } R$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{G}' \times_U \text{Spec } R$ , where  $R = \mathcal{O}_t$ , or equivalently,  $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{R}} := \mathcal{G} \times_S \text{Spec } R$ is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{G}'_{\tilde{R}} := \mathcal{G}' \times_U \operatorname{Spec} R$ , where R is a strict Henselization of R. But since  $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{R})$  is a (hyperspecial) maximal bounded subgroup (Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0)) and Lemma [3.7\)](#page-7-0), we must have  $\mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{G}'(R)$ . As both  $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{R}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}'_{\tilde{R}}$  are affine smooth over R, we have  $\mathcal{G}_{\tilde{R}} = \mathcal{G}'_{\tilde{R}}$  by [\[BT2,](#page-41-2) 1.7]. The theorem is proved.  $\Box$ 

#### **7. Examples**

<span id="page-16-1"></span><span id="page-16-0"></span>**7.1.** The example in [9.1](#page-24-1) and [9.2](#page-24-2) shows that the hypotheses of Corol-lary [1.3](#page-2-0) are necessary. For  $c \neq 0$ , the morphism  $\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathcal{H}$  is not a closed immersion, although it is so at the generic fibers.

We will now give examples to show that if from the definition of quasireductive group schemes we drop the condition that  $\dim(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\kappa}})_{\text{red}} = \dim \mathcal{G}_K$ , then Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) is false. These examples are constructed using variations of dilatations ([\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 3.2]).

Throughout this section, R is a DVR and  $\pi$  is a uniformizer of R.

<span id="page-16-2"></span>**7.2. Higher dilatations.** Let  $X$  be a flat scheme of finite type over  $R$ , and  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a flat closed subscheme over R.

We define a sequence of flat schemes  $\Gamma_n = \Gamma_n(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Z})$  over R, together with closed immersions  $i_n : \mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_n$  as follows. Let  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{X}$ , and  $i_0 : \mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_0$ be the inclusion. After  $\Gamma_n$  and  $i_n$  have been defined, we let  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  be the dilatation of  $i_n(\mathcal{Z}_{\kappa})$  on  $\Gamma_n$ . The dilatation of  $\mathcal{Z}_{\kappa}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , which is nothing but  $\mathcal Z$  itself, then admits a natural closed immersion into  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  by [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 3.2, Proposition 2(c)], which we denote by  $i_{n+1}$ .

The generic fiber of  $\Gamma_n$  is the same as that of X. Moreover,  $\Gamma_n$  is flat over R by construction, hence is determined by its associated functor of points on the category of flat  $R$ -schemes. In fact,

## **7.3. Proposition.**

(i) For any flat R-scheme  $\mathcal{Y}, \Gamma_n(\mathcal{Y})$ , the set of  $\mathcal{Y}$ -valued points in  $\Gamma_n$ , is

$$
\left\{\phi: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X} \mid \begin{matrix} \phi \otimes_R (R/\pi^n R) \text{ factors through} \\ \mathcal{Z} \otimes_R (R/\pi^n R) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X} \otimes_R (R/\pi^n R) \end{matrix} \right\}.
$$

- (ii) By (i), each  $\Gamma_n$  is a subfunctor of X, and we have  $\Gamma_{n+1} \subset \Gamma_n$  for all n. Let  $\Gamma_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n \geqslant 0} \Gamma_n$ . Then the functor  $\Gamma_{\infty}$  is also represented by a flat scheme over R.
- (iii) The special fiber of  $\Gamma_{\infty}$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{Z}_{\kappa}$ .

Proof. The statements are local, and can be checked by assuming that  $\mathfrak{X} = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is affine. Then  $\mathfrak{X} = \operatorname{Spec}(B)$ , where  $B = A/I$  for some ideal I of A.

By the definition of dilatations ([\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 3.2]),  $\Gamma_1$  is affine with affine ring

$$
A_1 = A[\pi^{-1}I] = A + \sum_{k \geqslant 1} \pi^{-k}I^k \subset A \otimes_R K.
$$

From this, one verifies inductively that  $\Gamma_n$  is affine with affine ring

$$
A_n = A[\pi^{-n}I] = A + \sum_{k \geq 1} \pi^{-kn}I^k \subset A \otimes_R K.
$$

Now it is easy to verify that (i) describes the functor of points with values in flat  $R$ -algebras.

It is easy to check that  $\Gamma_{\infty}$  is represented by Spec  $A_{\infty}$ , where

$$
A_{\infty} = \bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} A_n = A + I \otimes_R K,
$$

which proves (ii). As Z is flat,  $A \cap (I \otimes_R K) = I$ , which implies that  $(A_{\infty})_{\kappa} \simeq B_{\kappa}$ , hence (iii).  $B_{\kappa}$ , hence (iii).

**7.4. Group schemes.** Now assume that  $X$  is a group scheme over  $R$ , flat of finite type, and  $\mathfrak L$  is a flat closed subgroup scheme. Then each  $\Gamma_n$  is naturally a group scheme over  $R$  as well. Indeed, this follows from [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 3.2, Proposition 2(d)]. One can also use the observation that the subfunctor  $\Gamma_n$  of X is a subgroup functor. When  $\mathfrak X$  is the trivial subgroup,  $\Gamma_n$  is the scheme-theoretic principal congruence subgroup of level  $n$ . In general, we can think of  $\Gamma_n$  as a scheme-theoretic congruence subgroup.

Similarly,  $\Gamma_{\infty}$  is naturally a group scheme over R.

**7.5. Examples.** Let  $H$  be any flat group scheme of finite type over  $R$ , and  $\mathcal E$  be the trivial closed subgroup scheme. Then  $\mathcal G := \Gamma_\infty(\mathcal H, \mathcal E)$  has the same generic fiber as  $H$ , but its special fiber is the trivial group. In particular, the special fiber is reductive.

We can also take  $\mathcal H$  to be a Chevalley scheme over  $R$ , and  $\mathcal H'$  a proper Levi subgroup. Then again we see that the special fiber of  $\mathcal{G} := \Gamma_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$  is reductive. These examples show that without the condition that  $\dim(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\kappa}})_{\text{red}} =$  $\dim \mathcal{G}_K$  in the definition of quasi-reductive group schemes, Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) is false.

**7.6. A variant.** Let  $H$  be a flat group scheme of finite type over  $R$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $\mathcal H$  is affine and  $\kappa$  is a finite field with q elements.

Let  $F: \mathcal{H}_{\kappa} \to \mathcal{H}_{\kappa}$  be the Frobenius  $\kappa$ -morphism, and  $Z = \text{ker}(F)$ . We let  $\Lambda_1(\mathcal{H})$  be the dilatation of Z on H. In the following, we use  $\Gamma_1(\mathcal{H})$  to denote  $\Gamma_1(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{E})$ , where  $\mathcal E$  is the trivial subgroup scheme, so that  $\Gamma_1(\mathcal{H})(R)$  is the first principal congruence subgroup of  $\mathcal{H}(R)$ .

**Claim 1.** We have  $\Gamma_1(\mathcal{H})(R) \subset \Gamma_1(\Lambda_1(\mathcal{H}))(R)$ .

*Proof.* We recall that an element h of  $\mathcal{H}(R)$  lies in  $\Gamma_1(\mathcal{H})(R)$  if and only if for any  $f \in A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $f(e) \in \pi R$ , we have  $f(h) \in \pi R$ , where e is the identity element of  $\mathcal{H}(R)$ .

Notice also that Z is defined by the ideal generated by  $\pi$  and  $\{g^q : g \in A,$  $g(e) \in \pi R$ . Therefore, the affine ring of  $\Lambda_1(\mathcal{H})$  is

$$
A_1 := A[g^q/\pi : g \in A, g(e) \in \pi R].
$$

Now let  $h \in \Gamma_1(\mathcal{H})(R)$ . We will prove that h lies in  $\Gamma_1(\Lambda_1(\mathcal{H}))(R)$  by showing that for all  $f \in A_1$ ,  $f(h) \in R$  (this will imply that h lies in  $\Lambda_1(\mathcal{H})(R)$ ), and if, moreover,  $f(e) \in \pi R$ , then  $f(h) \in \pi R$ , which will imply that h in fact belongs to the subgroup  $\Gamma_1(\Lambda_1(\mathcal{H}))(R)$  of  $\Lambda_1(\mathcal{H})(R)$ . So let  $f \in A_1$ . We can write  $f = F(g_1^q/\pi, \ldots, g_n^q/\pi)$ , where  $g_i \in A$  are such that  $g_i(e) \in \pi R$  and F is a polynomial over A in n variables. Since  $g_i(e) \in \pi R$ ,  $g_i(h) \in \pi R$  and hence  $g_i(h)^q/\pi \in \pi R$ , and so  $f(h) \in R$ . If, moreover,  $f(e) \in \pi R$ , then the constant term  $c_0 \in A$ ) of F satisfies the condition  $c_0(e) \in \pi R$ . Therefore,  $c_0(h) \in \pi R$ , which implies at once that  $f(h) \in \pi R$ .

Now let  $\Lambda_0 := \mathcal{H}$ , and define  $\Lambda_{n+1} := \Lambda_1(\Lambda_n)$  inductively. Then the affine rings  $A_n$  of the schemes  $\Lambda_n$  form an increasing sequence of Hopf algebras (inside the affine ring of  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_R K$ ). Their union  $A_{\infty}$  is again a Hopf algebra, whose spectrum is a group scheme  $\Lambda_{\infty}$  over R. By Claim 1,  $\Gamma_1(\mathcal{H})(R) \subset$  $\Lambda_{\infty}(R)$ .

**Claim 2.** The reduced special fiber of  $\Lambda_{\infty}$  is the trivial group.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in A_{\infty}/\pi A_{\infty}$ . It suffices to show that if  $f(e) = 0$ , then f is nilpotent.

Lift f to  $\tilde{f} \in A_{\infty}$ . Then  $\tilde{f} \in A_n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $\tilde{f}(e) \in \pi R$ ,  $\tilde{f}^q/\pi \in A_{n+1}$ . Thus  $\tilde{f}^q \in \pi A_{\infty}$  and hence  $f^q = 0$ .

If we apply this to  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{G}_a/R$ , then  $\Lambda_\infty = \operatorname{Spec} A_\infty$ , where  $A_\infty =$  $R[X_0, X_1, \ldots] \subset K[X]$ , with  $X_0 = X$ ,  $X_{n+1} = X_n^q/\pi$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{G} := \Lambda_{\infty}$ has reductive reduced special fiber (trivial group),  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathcal{G} \otimes_R K$ , but the generic fiber is not reductive.

We can apply this to a Chevalley scheme  $H$  of positive dimension, and put  $\mathcal{G} = \Lambda_{\infty}$ . Then we see again that without the condition  $\dim(\mathcal{G}_{\bar{\kappa}})_{\text{red}} = \dim \mathcal{G}_K$ , in the definition of quasi-reductive group schemes, Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) is false even under the additional hypothesis that  $\mathcal{G}_K$  is reductive and  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is Zariskidense.

**7.7. The special fiber of a dilatation.** Let G be a *smooth* group scheme over R, H a smooth subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}'$  the dilatation of H on G. According to [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 3.2, Propositions 2 and 3],  $\mathcal{G}'$  is a smooth group scheme over R. The following result, which is not used in this paper, describes the structure of the special fiber of  $\mathcal{G}'$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $\kappa$  is perfect.

**Proposition.** With the above notation, there is a natural surjective morphism  $\mathcal{G}'_{\kappa} \to H$  whose kernel is a vector group of dimension  $\dim \mathcal{G}_{\kappa} - \dim H$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}^1$  be the dilatation of the trivial subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  on  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then there are natural morphisms  $\mathcal{G}^1 \to \mathcal{G}' \to \mathcal{G}$ , inducing the inclusions  $\mathcal{G}^1(R^{\text{sh}}) \to$  $\mathcal{G}'(R^{\text{sh}}) \to \mathcal{G}(R^{\text{sh}}).$ 

Since  $\mathcal{G}'$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are smooth over R, the reduction maps  $\mathcal{G}'(R^{\text{sh}}) \to \mathcal{G}'(\kappa^{\text{sh}})$ and  $\mathcal{G}(R^{\text{sh}}) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa^{\text{sh}})$  are surjective. It follows that the image of  $\mathcal{G}'_{\kappa} \to \mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  is exactly H. Similarly, one sees that the image of Lie  $\mathcal{G}'_{\kappa} \to \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$  is precisely Lie H. It follows that  $V := \ker(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}' \to \mathcal{G}_{\kappa})$  is a smooth algebraic group over  $\kappa$ , of dimension dim  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa} - \dim H$ .

Let  $x \in V(\kappa^{\text{sh}}) \subset \mathcal{G}'(\kappa^{\text{sh}})$ . Choose  $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{G}'(R^{\text{sh}})$  such that the image of  $\tilde{x}$ under  $\mathcal{G}'(R^{\text{sh}}) \to \mathcal{G}'(\kappa^{\text{sh}})$  is x. Then  $\tilde{x} \in \mathcal{G}^1(R^{\text{sh}})$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{G}'_{\kappa}(\kappa^{\text{sh}})$ maps onto  $V(\kappa^{\text{sh}})$ . On the other hand,  $\mathcal{G}^1_{\kappa}$  is functorially isomorphic to the vector group over  $\kappa$  underlying the vector space Lie  $\mathcal{G} \otimes_R (\pi R/\pi^2 R)$ . By [\[Sp,](#page-42-3) Theorem 3.4.7] and [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XVII, Lemme 4.1.5], V is also a vector group.  $\Box$ 

#### **8. Good quasi-reductive group schemes**

<span id="page-19-0"></span>In the remaining three sections  $(8, 9, 10)$  $(8, 9, 10)$  $(8, 9, 10)$ , we assume that R is a strictly Henselian DVR with algebraically closed residue field  $\kappa$ , K is its field of fractions and  $\pi$  is a uniformizer. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic Kgroup.

<span id="page-19-1"></span>**8.1. Lemma.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a quasi-reductive model of  $G$  over  $R$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$ respectively be the smoothening and the normalization of G. Consider the following conditions:

- (1)  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  is an isomorphism.
- (2)  $\mathcal{G}(R) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  is surjective.
- (3)  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup of  $G(K)$ .
- (4) G is K-split and there is an R-torus  $\mathfrak T$  in  $\mathfrak S$  such that  $\mathfrak T_K$  is a maximal K-split torus of G.

Then  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma [4.2,](#page-9-1) and Propositions [4.3](#page-9-0) and [4.4.](#page-10-0)  $\Box$ 

**8.2. Definition.** A quasi-reductive model G of G will be called good if the equivalent conditions  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  of the above lemma hold. If G admits a good quasi-reductive model, then it splits over K.

We will say that a quasi-reductive  $R$ -group scheme of finite type is good if it is a good quasi-reductive model of its generic fiber.

We will see later (Proposition [8.10\)](#page-23-0) that the three conditions  $(1)$ – $(3)$  are, in fact, equivalent. Notice that by Proposition [3.4,](#page-6-0) for any quasi-reductive model G of G over R, there is a local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs such that  $\mathcal{G}' := \mathcal{G} \otimes_R R'$  is good.

8.3. The ∆-invariant. For an R-group scheme G of finite type with connected reductive K-split generic fiber G, let  $\Xi := \Xi(G)$  be the set of normal algebraic subgroups of G which are isomorphic to  $SO_{2n+1}$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . For  $H \in \Xi$ , define

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(H) := \frac{1}{2n} \operatorname{length}_R(\operatorname{Lie} \mathcal{H}/\mathrm{Lie} \,\widehat{\mathcal{H}}),
$$

where  $\mathcal H$  is the schematic closure of H in G,  $\mathcal H$  is the smoothening of  $\mathcal H$ , and n is such that  $H \simeq SO_{2n+1}$ . If G is good, then since  $\mathcal{H}(R) = \mathcal{G}(R) \cap H(K)$  is a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup of  $H(K)$ ,  $H$  is also good.

<span id="page-20-0"></span>If  $G \simeq SO_{2n+1}$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , we will call  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(G)$  simply the  $\Delta$ -invariant of G.

**8.4. Theorem.** Let G be a good quasi-reductive R-group scheme. The function  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}$  takes values in  $I := \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq 2m \leq \text{ord}_K(2)\}.$ 

<span id="page-20-1"></span>The proof will be given in [8.9.](#page-23-1) We will prove the following theorem in the next section.

**8.5. Theorem.** Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be good quasi-reductive  $R$ -group schemes. Then  $\mathcal G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal G'$  if and only if there is an isomorphism from  $\mathcal G_K$ to  $\mathcal{G}'_K$  inducing a bijection  $\xi : \Xi(\mathcal{G}_K) \to \Xi(\mathcal{G}'_K)$  such that  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}} = \Delta_{\mathcal{G}'} \circ \xi$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal G$  is a reductive group over R if and only if  $\Delta_{\mathcal G}(H)=0$  for all  $H \in \Xi(\mathcal{G}_K)$ . For a given K-split reductive group G, the set of isomorphism classes of good quasi-reductive R-group schemes with generic fiber  $\approx G$  is in bijection with

$$
I^{\Xi} := the \ set \ of \ all \ functions \ \Xi \to I,
$$

where  $\Xi = \Xi(G)$ .

**Good tori and the big-cell decomposition.** Let G be a model of a connected reductive  $K$ -group  $G$ . We assume that  $G$  is  $K$ -split for simplicity. We define a good torus of G to be a closed subgroup  $S \hookrightarrow G$  such that S is a (split) R-torus and  $\mathcal{S}_K$  is a maximal K-split torus of G. To give such a torus

is to give a maximal  $K$ -split torus  $S$  of  $G$ , and to check that the schematic closure S of S in G is the Neron-Raynaud model of S. Such a torus may or may not exist in a model  $\mathcal{G}$ ; see 10.7 and 10.8(ii). We now assume that  $\mathcal{G}$ contains a good torus S. Let S be the generic fiber of this torus.

Let  $\Phi = \Phi(G, S)$  be the root system of G with respect to S. For  $a \in \Phi$ , let  $U_a$  be the corresponding root subgroup and  $\mathcal{U}_a$  the schematic closure of  $U_a$ in G.

<span id="page-21-2"></span>**8.6. Theorem.** Assume that S is a good torus of G. With the above notation, for any system of positive roots  $\Phi^+$  of  $\Phi$ , the multiplication morphism

$$
\left(\prod_{a\in\Phi^+}\mathcal{U}_a\right)\times\mathcal{S}\times\left(\prod_{a\in\Phi^-}\mathcal{U}_a\right)\to\mathcal{G}
$$

is an open immersion, here  $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$ , and the two products can be taken in any order.

This follows from  $[BT2, 1.4.5]$  $[BT2, 1.4.5]$  and  $[BT2, Théor\`eme 2.2.3]$ . This result is the big-cell decomposition of models with a good torus. We remark that no smoothness assumption on G is needed here, and the result can be formulated for models of linear algebraic groups that are not reductive. For similar results for certain models without a good torus, see [\[Yu\]](#page-42-4).

<span id="page-21-0"></span>**8.7.** Let G be a good quasi-reductive model of G. By Lemma [8.1,](#page-19-1) there exists a good torus S of G. By Lemma [4.1,](#page-8-0) S can be regarded as a good torus of the smoothening G of G as well. Let  $S = S_K$ ,  $\Phi = \Phi(G, S)$ . For  $a \in \Phi(G, S)$ , let  $U_a$  be the corresponding root subgroup. As G splits over K (Lemma [8.1\)](#page-19-1),  $U_a$  is K-isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_a$  (resp.  $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_a$ ) be the schematic closure of  $U_a$ in G (resp. G). Since G is a Chevalley scheme,  $\mathcal{U}_a$  is smooth over R.

Since  $\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_a \simeq \mathbb{G}_a/R$ , the affine ring  $R[\widehat{\mathcal{U}}_a]$  is a polynomial ring  $R[x]$ , we may and do assume that  $R[x] = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} Rx^i$  is the weight decomposition of the action of S on  $R[\hat{U}_a]$ , where the weight of  $Rx^i$  is ia [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. I, 4.7.3].

<span id="page-21-1"></span>The affine ring  $R[\mathcal{U}_a]$  is a subring of  $R[\mathcal{U}_a]$  and it has a similar weight decomposition  $R[\mathcal{U}_a] = \bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} R[\mathcal{U}_a] \cap Rx^i$ .

**8.8. Proposition.** Let  $R[\mathfrak{U}_a] \cap Rx^i = R\pi^{n_i}x^i$ . Then the following assertions hold.

- (i) There exists  $i \geqslant 1$  such that  $n_i = 0$ .
- (ii) The weights of  $\text{Lie}(\mathfrak{U}_a \otimes_R \kappa)$  for the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\kappa}$  are of the form ia with  $i \geqslant 1$ .
- (iii) Let  $j = \min\{i \geq 1 : n_i = 0\}$ . The weight of the 1-dimensional Lie algebra Lie( $\mathfrak{U}_a \otimes_R \kappa$ )<sub>red</sub>, for the action of  $\mathfrak{S}_{\kappa}$ , is ja.
- (iv) If a is the weight of  $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{U}_a \otimes_R \kappa)_{\text{red}}$ , then  $\mathcal{U}_a \simeq \mathcal{U}_a$  is smooth.
- (v) If 2a is the weight of Lie( $\mathfrak{U}_a \otimes_R \kappa$ )<sub>red</sub>, then there exists an integer c such that  $2 \leqslant 2c \leqslant \text{ord}_K(2)$  and  $R[\mathfrak{U}_a] = R[\pi^c x, x^2]$ . In this case,  $\mathfrak{U}_a$ is isomorphic to the normalization  $\mathfrak{U}_a$  of  $\mathfrak{U}_a$ , and

$$
length_R(\text{Lie}\, \mathcal{U}_a/\text{Lie}\, \widehat{\mathcal{U}}_a) = c.
$$

*Proof.* Put  $y_i = \pi^{n_i} x^i$ . We may assume that  $R[\mathcal{U}_a]$  is generated by  $y_i$  for  $i=1,\ldots,m$ .

(i) Assume the contrary, then  $n_i \geq 1$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ . Let  $R' = R[\pi^{1/m}]$ ,  $K' = \text{Frac } R'.$  Then the R-algebra homomorphism  $R[\mathfrak{U}_a] \to R', x \mapsto \pi^{-1/m}$ (i.e.  $y_i \mapsto \pi^{n_i - (i/m)}$ ) is well defined. This gives a point of  $\mathcal{U}_a(R')$  which is not in  $\mathcal{U}_a(R')$ . However, this contradicts the following observation.

For any totally ramified local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs, it is clear from condition (2) of Lemma [8.1](#page-19-1) that  $\mathfrak{G} \otimes_R R'$  is good. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{G}(R')$  is a hyperspecial maximal bounded subgroup. Since  $\mathcal{G}(R') \subset \mathcal{G}(R')$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Chevalley group, we must have  $G(R') = G(R')$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{U}_a(R') = \hat{G}(R') \circ H_1(K') = \hat{G}(R') \circ H_2(K') = \hat{G}(R') \circ H_3(K') = \hat{G}(R')$  $\mathcal{G}(R') \cap U_a(K') = \mathcal{G}(R') \cap U_a(K') = \mathcal{U}_a(R')$ , where  $K' = \text{Frac } R'.$ 

(ii) By definition, an element of Lie $(\mathcal{U}_a \otimes_R \kappa)$  is a homomorphism  $R[\mathfrak{U}_a] \otimes_R \kappa \to \kappa[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ , sending each  $y_i \otimes 1$  to an element of  $\kappa \cdot \epsilon$ . Now (ii) is obvious from this description.

(iii) By (i), if  $n_i > 0$ , then  $y_i \otimes \kappa$  is nilpotent in  $R[\mathcal{U}_a] \otimes \kappa$ . Therefore,  $(R[\mathcal{U}_a] \otimes \kappa)_{\text{red}}$  is spanned by the  $y_i \otimes 1$  for all i such that  $n_i = 0$ . An element of Lie $((\mathcal{U}_a \otimes_R \kappa)_{\text{red}})$  is a homomorphism  $(R[\mathcal{U}_a] \otimes_R \kappa)_{\text{red}} \to \kappa[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ , which maps  $y_i \otimes 1$  onto an element of  $\kappa \cdot \epsilon$ . (iii) is obvious from this.

(iv) By (iii),  $x \in R[\mathcal{U}_a]$  and hence  $R[\mathcal{U}_a] = R[x] = R[\mathcal{U}_a]$ .

(v) We must have  $n_1 > 0$ , and by (iii) we also have  $n_2 = 0$ . It follows that  $n_i = 0$  for all i even, and  $n_i \leq n_1$  for all i odd.

Recall that the affine ring of  $(\mathcal{U}_a)_K$  is  $K[x]$ , and it is a Hopf algebra with comultiplication  $\mu: x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ . To ease the notation, we will write  $x \otimes 1 = u$ ,  $1 \otimes x = v$ . We now examine the condition that  $R[\mathcal{U}_a] \subset K[x]$ is closed under comultiplication, i.e.  $\mu(\pi^{n_i}x^i) \subset R[\mathfrak{U}_a] \otimes_R R[\mathfrak{U}_a]$  for all i. Clearly,

$$
\mu(\pi^{n_i}x^i) = \sum_{j=0}^i \pi^{n_i} {i \choose j} u^j v^{i-j} \in R[\mathfrak{U}_a] \otimes R[\mathfrak{U}_a]
$$

if and only if

$$
n_j + n_{i-j} \leqslant n_i + \text{ord}_K\binom{i}{j},
$$

for all j. Taking  $i = 2$  and  $j = 1$ , we get  $2n_1 \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$ . Taking odd i and  $j = 1$ , we get  $n_1 \leq n_i$ .

Thus the only possible affine ring for  $R[\mathcal{U}_a]$  is  $R[\pi^c x, x^2]$  with  $2 \leq 2c \leq$  $\text{ord}_K(2)$ . The assertion on the length of the quotient of the two Lie algebras is easy to check. -

<span id="page-23-1"></span>**8.9. The proof of Theorem [8.4.](#page-20-0)** Let  $H \in \Xi(\mathcal{G}_K)$  be such that  $H \simeq$  $SO_{2n+1}$ , and let  $H$  be the schematic closure of H in G. For simplicity, we now assume that  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}$  so that we can use the notation set up in [8.7.](#page-21-0)

By the big-cell decomposition of G, Lie  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  is the direct sum of Lie  $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$  and Lie( $\mathcal{U}_a \otimes \kappa$ ) for  $a \in \Phi(G, S)$ . It follows that Lie( $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$ )<sub>red</sub> is the direct sum of Lie  $\mathcal{S}_{\kappa}$  and Lie( $\mathcal{U}_{a} \otimes \kappa$ )<sub>red</sub>. By Proposition [8.8](#page-21-1) (iii), Proposition [4.3](#page-9-0) (v) and Corollary [2.4,](#page-4-0) the weight of Lie( $\mathcal{U}_a \otimes \kappa$ )<sub>red</sub> is either a or 2a, and hence Proposition [8.8](#page-21-1) (iv) or (v) can be applied. Notice that we can combine (iv) and (v) to say that  $R[\mathcal{U}_a]$  is of the form  $R[\pi^c x, x^2]$  for an integer c such that  $0 \leqslant 2c \leqslant \text{ord}_K(2).$ 

If  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}$  is smooth over R, it is clear that  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(H) = 0$ . Now assume that  $\mathcal{G}$ is not smooth over R. According to [3.3](#page-6-2) and Proposition [4.3](#page-9-0) (v),  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa} \to (\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$ is a unipotent isogeny. Proposition [8.8](#page-21-1) (iv) and Lemma [2.2](#page-3-1) show that  $\mathcal{U}_a$  is smooth if a is a long root in  $\Phi(G, S)$ . On the other hand, since the normalizer of  $\mathcal{S}(R)$  in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  permutes the short roots of  $\Phi(G, S)$  transitively, the above integer c is the same for all the  $2n$  short roots a.

Again by the big-cell decomposition of  $\mathcal{G}$ , Lie  $\mathcal{G}$  is the direct sum of Lie  $\mathcal{S}$ and Lie  $\mathcal{U}_a$  for all  $a \in \Phi(G, S)$ . We also have a similar decomposition of Lie  $\mathcal{G}$ . This gives us immediately

 $\mathrm{length}_R(\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathcal{G}/\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathcal{G})=2nc.$ 

<span id="page-23-0"></span>Thus the invariant  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(H)$  is simply the integer c, and  $0 \leq 2c \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$ .  $\Box$ 

**8.10. Proposition.** If G is a good quasi-reductive model of a reductive group G, then  $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}$ .

Proof. We retain the notation in [8.7.](#page-21-0) By Proposition [8.8](#page-21-1) (iv) and (v),  $\mathcal{U}_a \to \mathcal{U}_a$  is a finite morphism for all  $a \in \Phi$ . Let  $\Omega$  (resp.  $\Omega$ ) be the big-cell of  $\mathcal{G}$  (resp. of  $\mathcal{G}$ ) associated to the good torus S. By the big-cell decomposition for G and G,  $\Omega \to \Omega$  is a finite morphism. Moreover, since  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa} \to (\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  is an isogeny (Proposition [4.3](#page-9-0) (v)),  $\Omega$  is simply the inverse image of  $\Omega$  under the morphism  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{G}(R) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  is surjective, the translates  $q\Omega$ ,  $q \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ , together with  $\mathcal{G}_K$ , form an open cover of G. The smoothening morphism  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  is finite over each member of this cover. Hence,  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$  is a finite morphism and so  $\mathcal{G}$ is also the normalization  $\mathcal G$  of  $\mathcal G$ .

#### **9. The existence and uniqueness**

<span id="page-24-1"></span><span id="page-24-0"></span>**9.1. Some quadratic lattices.** We now describe some quadratic lattices relevant to (good) quasi-reductive models of  $SO_{2n+1}, n \geq 1$ . See Lemma [9.2,](#page-24-2) Theorems [9.3](#page-25-0) and [10.5](#page-31-0) for their applications.

Let  $V = K^{2n+1}$  with standard basis  $\{e_{-n}, \ldots, e_{-1}, e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ , and let  $q$  be the quadratic form on  $V$  defined by

$$
q\left(\sum_{i=-n}^{n} a_i \cdot e_i\right) = -a_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i a_{-i}.
$$

Let  $G = SO(q)$ ,  $L_0 = \sum_{i=-n}^{n} R \cdot e_i$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_0 = SO(L_0, q)$  be the schematic closure of G in  $GL(L_0)$ . We call a quadratic lattice over R a Chevalley lattice if it is isomorphic to  $(L_0, u \cdot q)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ ,  $u \in R^{\times}$ . It is well known that if  $(L', q')$  is a quadratic lattice over R of odd rank, then  $SO(L', q')$  is a Chevalley scheme if and only if  $(L', q')$  is a Chevalley lattice (the "if" part is essentially [\[B,](#page-41-10) VIII.13.2]; the "only if" part follows from the uniqueness of invariant elements in the symmetric square of the standard representation).

For an integer c such that  $0 \leq 2c \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$ , let  $L_c = R\pi^{-c} \cdot e_0 + L_0$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_c = \mathrm{GL}(L_c)$ . We say that a quadratic lattice  $(L', q')$  over R is good if  $(L', q')$ is isomorphic to  $(L_c, u\pi^{2c} \cdot q)$  for some integer c such that  $0 \leq 2c \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$ , and  $u \in R^{\times}$ . We say that  $(L', q')$  is potentially good if  $(L', q') \otimes_R R'$  is a good lattice over R' for some local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs.

<span id="page-24-2"></span>**9.2. Lemma.** Let c be an integer with  $0 \leq 2c \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$ . Then the schematic closure  $\Im$  of  $G = SO(q)$  in  $\mathcal{H}_c = GL(L_c)$  is a good quasi-reductive model of G whose  $\Delta$ -invariant equals c. When  $c = 0$ ,  $\Im$  is a Chevalley model.

The case of  $c = 0$  is well known so we assume that  $1 < 2c \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$ . Therefore,  $\kappa$  is of characteristic 2 and ord $_K(2) \geq 2$ .

**Claim.** The special fiber of G is non-reduced, and  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Sp}_{2n}/\kappa$ . The  $\Delta$ -invariant of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is c.

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathcal{G}_0 = SO(L_0, q)$  is a Chevalley model of G. The action of  $\mathcal{G}_0(R)$  on  $L_0/2L_0$  leaves the image of  $R \cdot e_0$  (in  $L_0/2L_0$ ) invariant. Therefore,  $\mathcal{G}_0(R) \subset \mathrm{GL}(L_c)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{G}_0(R) = \mathcal{G}(R)$  and we have a natural morphism  $\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathcal{G}$ . By Lemma [4.2,](#page-9-1)  $\mathcal{G}$  is a (good) quasi-reductive group scheme.

We will first calculate the  $\Delta$ -invariant of G when  $n = 1$  for clarity. In this case,  $\mathcal{H}_c(R)$  consists of matrices (relative to the basis  $\{e_{-1}, e_0, e_1\}$ ) of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & \pi^c x_2 & x_3 \ \pi^{-c} y_1 & y_2 & \pi^{-c} y_3 \ z_1 & \pi^{-c} z_2 & z_3 \end{pmatrix},
$$

with  $x_i, y_i, z_i \in R$ .

Let T be the standard maximal K-split torus of G, so that  $T(A)$  consists of diagonal matrices of the form

$$
\lambda(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad t \in A^{\times},
$$

for any commutative K-algebra A. Let  $\mathcal T$  be the schematic closure of T in  $\mathcal G$ , or equivalently, in  $\mathcal{H}_c$ . It is easy to see that T is simply the Néron-Raynaud model of T. In particular, it is smooth.

Let  $U_a$  be the root subgroup of G corresponding to the root  $a : \lambda(t) \mapsto t$ , so that  $U_a(A)$  consists of matrices of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2u & u^2 \\ 0 & 1 & u \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \in A,
$$

for any commutative K-algebra A. Let  $\mathcal{U}_a$  be the schematic closure of  $U_a$  in G or  $\mathcal{H}_c$ . Then the affine ring  $R[\mathcal{U}_a]$  of  $\mathcal{U}_a$  is a subring of  $K[U_a] = K[x]$ , and it is generated by  $\pi^c x, x^2$ , and  $(2/\pi^c)x$ . As  $c \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ord}_K(2)$ ,  $2/\pi^c \in \pi^c R$ , and hence,

$$
R[\mathfrak{U}_a] = R[\pi^c x, x^2] \subset K[x].
$$

Let  $v = \pi^c x, w = x^2$ . Then  $R[\mathfrak{U}_a] = R[v,w]/(v^2 - \pi^{2c}w)$ . Thus we see that the special fiber of  $\mathcal{U}_a$  is non-reduced, isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a \times \alpha_2$ . It is easy to see that  $((\mathcal{U}_a)_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  is in the root subgroup of  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  relative to  $\mathcal{T}_{\kappa}$ , for the root 2a.

By [8.9,](#page-23-1) the  $\Delta$ -invariant of G can be calculated by looking at  $\mathcal{U}_a$ ; it is equal to  $c > 0$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal G$  is not a Chevalley scheme. So the reduced special fiber  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$ , being the homomorphic image of  $(\mathcal{G}_{0})_{\kappa} \simeq SO_3$  under a non-trivial unipotent isogeny, must be isomorphic to  $SL_2 = Sp_2$ .

In the general case, let W be the subspace spanned by  $e_{-1}, e_0, e_1$ , and  $G' = SO(q|_W)$ . Then the schematic closure  $\mathcal{G}'$  of  $G'$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}_0$ ) is the same as that in  $GL(L_c)$  (resp.  $GL(L_0)$ ), or in  $GL(W \cap L_c)$  (resp.  $GL(W \cap L_0)$ ), and is what we have studied in the preceding paragraphs. Since the  $\Delta$ -invariant can be calculated by looking at a  $\mathcal{U}_a$  contained in  $\mathcal{G}'$  (cf. [8.9\)](#page-23-1), from the SO<sub>3</sub>calculation, we see that the  $\Delta$ -invariant of G is  $c > 0$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  is non-reduced,  $(\mathcal{G}_0)_{\kappa} \to (\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  is a non-trivial unipotent isogeny, and  $(\mathcal{G}_{\kappa})_{\text{red}}$  $\simeq$  Sp<sub>2n</sub>.

<span id="page-25-0"></span>**9.3. Theorem.** Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group defined and split over K. Let  $\Xi$  be the set of normal algebraic subgroups of G which are isomorphic to  $SO_{2n+1}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $\Delta : \Xi \to \mathbb{Z}$  be a function such that  $0 \leqslant 2\Delta(H) \leqslant \text{ord}_K(2)$  for all  $H \in \Xi$ . Then there is a good quasi-reductive model G of G over R such that  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}$  is the given  $\Delta$ .

Proof. Let Θ be the set of all connected normal almost simple algebraic subgroups of the derived group of G. For  $H \in \Theta$ , let  $Z_H$  be the schematic center of H. For  $H \in \Xi$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_H$  be a good model of H whose  $\Delta$ -invariant is  $\Delta(H)$ . Such a model exists by the preceding lemma.

For  $H \in \Theta \setminus \Xi$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_H$  be the Chevalley model of H. Finally, let S be the connected center of G and let S be the Néron-Raynaud model of S. Let F be the kernel of the isogeny

$$
G' := S \times \prod_{H \in \Theta} H \to G.
$$

Let M be any one of F, S,  $Z_H$  for  $H \in \Theta$ . Then M is a group of multiplicative type  $[SGA3, Exp. IX]$  $[SGA3, Exp. IX]$  over K corresponding to a Galois module  $\text{Hom}_{\bar{K}}(M, GL_1)$  which is unramified [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. X, Théorème 7.1]. Such a group has a canonical extension to an R-group scheme of multiplicative type.

The canonical extension  $\mathcal{Z}_H$  of  $Z_H$  is a natural subgroup scheme of  $\mathcal{H}_H$ and the canonical extension  $\mathcal F$  of  $F$  is naturally embedded in

$$
S \times \prod_{H \in \Theta} \mathcal{Z}_H.
$$

Thus  $\mathfrak F$  is a closed subgroup scheme of

$$
\mathcal{G}':=\mathcal{S}\times\prod_{H\in\Theta}\mathcal{H}_H.
$$

Let  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}'/\mathcal{F}$  (in the sense of quotients of *fppf* sheaves [\[R,](#page-42-5) Théorème 1 (iv)]). It is easy to see that  $\mathcal G$  is a good model of G and it is a quasi-reductive R-group scheme with  $\Delta_{\rm G} = \Delta$ .

<span id="page-26-0"></span>**9.4. Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal G$  and  $\mathcal G'$  be good quasi-reductive  $R$ -group schemes. Then  $\mathcal G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal G'$  if and only if there is an isomorphism from  $\mathcal G_K$ to  $\mathcal{G}'_K$  inducing a bijection  $\xi : \Xi(\mathcal{G}_K) \to \Xi(\mathcal{G}'_K)$  such that  $\Delta_g = \Delta_{\mathcal{G}'} \circ \xi$ . In particular,  $\mathcal G$  is reductive if and only if  $\Delta_{\mathcal G}(H)=0$  for all  $H\in \Xi({\mathcal G}_K)$ .

Proof. The "only if" part is clear. We will prove the other implication.

The given condition implies that  $\mathcal G$  and  $\mathcal G'$  are Chevalley schemes of the same type, hence there is an isomorphism  $f : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$  which induces  $\xi$ . Let  $\lambda$ be the corresponding isomorphism from the function field of  $G'$  to that of  $G$ .

Let S be a good torus of G and  $S' = f(S)$  the corresponding good torus of  $\mathcal{G}'$ . We will identify  $\Phi = \Phi(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathcal{S}_K)$  with  $\Phi(\mathcal{G}'_K, \mathcal{S}'_K)$  via f. For  $a \in \Phi$ , we denote by  $U_a$  (resp.  $U'_a$ ) the corresponding root subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}_K$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}'_K$ ), and by  $\mathcal{U}_a$  (resp.  $\mathcal{U}'_a$ ) the schematic closure of  $U_a$  (resp.  $U'_a$ ) in  $\mathcal{G}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}'$ ). If a is not a short root in  $\Phi(H, \mathcal{S}_K \cap H)$  for some  $H \in \Xi(\mathcal{G}_K)$ , then we have  $\mathcal{U}_a = \mathcal{U}_a \simeq \mathcal{U}'_a = \mathcal{U}'_a$ , where the isomorphism is induced by f.

Suppose that a is a short root of  $\Phi(H, \mathcal{S}_K \cap H)$  for an  $H \in \Xi(\mathcal{G}_K)$ . Then the affine ring of  $\mathfrak{U}_a$  can be constructed from (i) the affine ring of  $\mathfrak{U}_a$ , (ii) the

action of S on the affine ring of  $\mathcal{U}_a$ , and (iii) the function  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}$ . The same is true for  $\mathcal{U}'_a$ . Since  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(H) = \Delta_{\mathcal{G}'}(f(H))$ , f again induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{U}_a \simeq \mathcal{U}'_a.$ 

By the big-cell decomposition (Theorem [8.6\)](#page-21-2),  $\prod_{a \in \Phi^+} \mathcal{U}_a \times \mathcal{S} \times \prod_{a \in \Phi^-} \mathcal{U}_a$  is isomorphic to an open subscheme  $\Omega$  of  $\mathcal G$ . There is a similar open subscheme  $\Omega'$  of  $\mathcal{G}'$ , and there is an isomorphism  $\Omega \to \Omega'$ , which induces the isomorphism λ from the function field of Ω' to the function field of  $\Omega$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}(R) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$ is surjective, the collection  $\{G_K\} \cup \{g\Omega : g \in \mathcal{G}(R)\}\$ is an open cover of  $\mathcal{G},$ and we have isomorphisms  $\mathcal{G}_K \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}'_K$ ,  $g\Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} f(g)\Omega'$ , all compatible with  $\lambda$ . These isomorphisms patch together to give an isomorphism  $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ . This proves the "if" part.

The last statement follows immediately since for the Chevalley model G,  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}}(H) = 0$  for all  $H \in \Xi(\mathcal{G}_K)$ .

<span id="page-27-0"></span>We observe that this completes the proof of Theorem [8.5,](#page-20-1) which combines Theorems [9.3](#page-25-0) and [9.4.](#page-26-0)

**9.5. Theorem.** Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group defined and split over K and  $\widehat{G}$  the Chevalley model over R of G. For any  $\Delta : \Xi(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that  $0 \leq 2\Delta(H) \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$  for all  $H \in \Xi(G)$ , there is a unique quasireductive model  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$  of G with  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}(R) = \mathcal{G}(R)$  and  $\Delta_{\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}} = \Delta$ . The model  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$  is good and its smoothening is isomorphic to its normalization, which in turn is just the Chevalley model  $\widehat{G}$ . Given  $\Delta' : \Xi(G) \to \mathbb{Z}$ , satisfying the same condition as  $\Delta$ , there is a morphism  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta'} \to \mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$  extending the identity morphism on the generic fibers if and only if  $\Delta'(H) \leq \Delta(H)$  for all  $H \in \Xi(G)$ .

Proof. The existence is clear from Theorem [9.3.](#page-25-0) For the uniqueness, we argue as in the preceding uniqueness theorem: The big cells of  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$  can be constructed uniquely from the big cells of G and the function  $\Delta$ . The uniqueness theorem also shows that the normalization of  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$  is smooth since this is true for the good model provided by Theorem [9.3.](#page-25-0)

To prove the last statement, we first set up some notation. As  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$  is a good quasi-reductive model of  $G$ , it contains a torus  $S'$  whose generic fiber  $S$ is a maximal K-split torus of G. The schematic closure of S in  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$  is then a good torus S of the latter. Form  $\Phi = \Phi(G, S)$  and  $\{U_a\}_{a \in \Phi}$  with respect to S. Let  $\mathcal{U}_a$  (resp.  $\mathcal{U}'_a$ ) be the schematic closure of  $U_a$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$  (resp. in  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta'}$ ).

Now assume that there is a morphism  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta'} \to \mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$ . This morphism induces a morphism  $\mathcal{U}'_a \to \mathcal{U}_a$ . This together with [8.9](#page-23-1) shows that  $\Delta'(H) \leq \Delta(H)$  for all  $H \in \Xi(G)$ . Conversely, if  $\Delta'(H) \leq \Delta(H)$  for all  $H \in \Xi(G)$ , then there is a (unique) morphism  $\mathcal{U}'_a \to \mathcal{U}_a$  extending the identity morphism on the generic fiber, for each  $a \in \Phi$ . It follows that there is a (unique) morphism from the big cell of  $\mathcal{G}'$  associated to the good torus  $\mathcal{S}'$  to that of  $\mathcal{G}$ , extending the identity morphism on the generic fiber. As in the proof of Theorem [9.4,](#page-26-0) this morphism

and its translates by elements of  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , together with the identity morphism on the generic fiber, patch to a morphism  $\mathcal{G}_{\Delta'} \to \mathcal{G}_{\Delta}$ . The theorem is proved  $\Box$  completely.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-28-2"></span>**9.6. Corollary.** Let  $\mathcal G$  and  $\mathcal G'$  be quasi-reductive models of  $G$  such that there is a morphism  $\mathcal{G}' \to \mathcal{G}$  extending the identity morphism on the generic fiber. Then,

- (i)  $\mathcal{G}' \to \mathcal{G}$  is a finite morphism, and hence  $\mathcal{G}'(R) = \mathcal{G}(R)$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal G$  is good if and only if  $\mathcal G'$  is good;
- (iii) Fix  $\mathcal{G}$ , there are only finitely many quasi-reductive models  $\mathcal{G}'$  with a morphism  $\mathcal{G}' \to \mathcal{G}$  as above.

*Proof.* (i) It suffices to check the first assertion (of (i)) after a base change  $R \subset R'$ . Therefore, we may assume that both  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}'$  are good (notice that if G is good, then so is  $\mathcal{G} \otimes_R R'$  for any totally ramified local extension  $R \subset R'$ of DVRs). Then as  $\mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{G}'(R)$  is hyperspecial, the smoothening  $\mathcal{G}'$  of  $\mathcal{G}'$ is also the smoothening of G. Since the composition  $G' \to G' \to G$ , being the smoothening morphism of G, is a finite morphism (note that according to Theorem [9.5](#page-27-0) the smoothening of  $\mathcal G$  is isomorphic to its normalization), so is the morphism  $\mathcal{G}' \to \mathcal{G}$ .

(ii) is clear from (i) and characterization (3) in Lemma [8.1.](#page-19-1)

(iii) Again, it suffices to verify this after a faithfully flat base change  $R \subset R'$ . Then we may and do assume that  $\mathcal G$  is good. The statement then follows from (ii) and the preceding theorem.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-28-1"></span>**9.7. Completion of the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0)** (a)**.** We proceed as in [5.3](#page-13-0) to choose a finite subset S of a basis B of  $A := R[\mathcal{G}]$ , and for  $I \supset S$ , consider  $A_I$  and  $\mathcal{G}^I$ , etc. Again,  $\mathcal{G}^I$  is a quasi-reductive model of  $G := \mathcal{G}_K$  for each  $I \supset S$ . Now fix a finite subset I of B containing S. By Corollary [9.6,](#page-28-2) there are only finitely many R-subalgebras of  $A \otimes_R K$  which contain  $A_I$  and correspond to models of G that are quasi-reductive group schemes of finite type over R. For any finite subset J of B such that  $A_J \supset A_J$ , the algebra  $A_J$ is one of these. Therefore, the union of  $A_J$  for all such J, which is simply  $A$ , is actually a union of finitely many  $A<sub>J</sub>$ s, and A is hence of finite type over R.

#### **10. General quasi-reductive models of**  $SO_{2n+1}$

<span id="page-28-0"></span>**The Lie algebra.** We retain the notations and hypothesis from [9.1.](#page-24-1) In particular, the quadratic form  $q$  is as in there. Let  $\mathcal G$  be as in Lemma 9.2. We recall that Lie G can be identified with a Lie subalgebra of  $End(V)$ , and Lie G and Lie  $\mathcal{G}_0$  are lattices in Lie G.

Let  $B(-, -)$  be the bilinear form associated to q; that is,  $B(v, w) =$  $q(v + w) - q(v) - q(w)$ . Let  $\wedge_K^2 V$  be the exterior square of V. There is a natural map

 $\iota : \wedge^2_K V \to \text{End}(V), \qquad \iota(a \wedge b) : v \mapsto B(a, v)b - B(b, v)a.$ 

<span id="page-29-1"></span>**10.1. Lemma.** The map  $\iota$  is a K-vector space isomorphism from  $\wedge^2_K V$ onto Lie G. Moreover, the image of  $\wedge_R^2 L_0$  is Lie  $\mathcal{G}_0$ , and the image of  $\wedge_R^2 L_0$ is Lie G.

*Proof.* The first statement is well known if 2 is invertible in  $K$ , and can be verified for an arbitrary non-degenerate quadratic form  $q$ . However, for the particular q we are working with, the statement is true even if  $K$  is replaced with  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, a basis of the Chevalley algebra Lie G is given on pages 192– 193 of [\[B\]](#page-41-10), and one can verify easily that  $\iota(e_{-i} \wedge e_i) = H_i$ ,  $\iota(e_i \wedge e_0) = X_{\varepsilon_i}$ ,  $\iota(e_0 \wedge e_{-i}) = X_{-\varepsilon_i}, \, \iota(e_j \wedge e_i) = X_{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j},$  and so on, where  $\Phi = \{\pm \varepsilon_i, \pm \varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j\}$ is the root system of G and  $\{X_a\}_{a \in \Phi}$  is the Chevalley basis given in [\[B\]](#page-41-10). This also shows that the image of  $\wedge_R^2 L_0$  is Lie  $\mathcal{G}_0$ .

By Proposition [8.8](#page-21-1) and Theorem [8.6,](#page-21-2) Lie G is spanned over R by  $H_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\pi^{-c}X_a$  for short roots  $a \in \Phi$ , and  $X_a$  for long roots  $a \in \Phi$ . From this, we see that  $\iota$  carries  $\wedge^2_R L_c$  onto Lie 9.

<span id="page-29-2"></span>**10.2. Lemma.** Let  $H$  be a group scheme locally of finite type over a Noetherian ring R. Let  $R \to R'$  be a flat morphism from R to a Noetherian ring R'. Then the canonical morphism (Lie H)  $\otimes_R R' \to \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{H} \otimes_R R')$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* By [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. II, Proposition 3.3 and page 54], Lie  $H$  is  $\text{Hom}_{R}(e^{*}(\Omega^{1}_{\mathcal{H}/R}), R)$ , where e is the identity section. The lemma follows from this description, the compatibility of the formation of  $\Omega^1_{\mathcal{H}/R}$  and base change ([\[BLR,](#page-41-6) 2.1, Proposition 3]), and [\[M2,](#page-41-9) Theorem 7.11].  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-29-0"></span>**10.3. Lemma.** Let V be a vector space of dimension m over K. Let  $d < m$ be a positive integer prime to m. Let  $R \subset R'$  be a local extension of DVRs and put  $K' = \text{Frac } R'$ . Let L' be an R'-lattice in  $V' := V \otimes_K K'$ ,  $M' = \wedge^d_{R'} L'$ . Suppose that there is an R-lattice M in  $\wedge_K^d V$  such that  $M \otimes_R R' = M'$ . Then there exist an R-lattice L in V, an element  $a \in (K')^{\times}$  such that  $L \otimes_R R' = aL'$ , and  $d \cdot \text{ord}_K(a) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\text{ord}_K$  is the valuation on K' normalized so that  $\mathrm{ord}_K(K^{\times})=\mathbb{Z}.$ 

*Proof.* We will abbreviate the assumption on  $M'$  to " $M'$  descends to a lattice in  $\wedge_K^d V$ ", and so forth. Let  $L_0$  be a lattice in V such that  $L'_0 := L_0 \otimes_R R'$ is contained in L'. Write  $L'/L'_0 \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^m R'/r_iR'$  and put  $M'_0 = \wedge^d_{R'}L'_0$ . It

follows that  $M'/M'_{0}$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of  $R'/(r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_d})R'$ ,  $i_1$  <  $\cdots < i_d$ . By assumption, this implies  $\text{ord}_K(r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_d}) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $i_1 < \cdots < i_d$ . It follows that we have  $\text{ord}_K(r_i)-\text{ord}_K(r_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $i, j$ , and  $d \cdot \text{ord}_K(r_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all *i*. We set  $a = r_1^{-1}$  and claim that  $L'_1 = aL'$  descends to a lattice in V. Notice that  $\wedge^d_{R'} L'_1 = a^d M'$  also descends to a lattice in  $\wedge^d_K V$ . Therefore, we may and do assume that  $L' = L'_1$ . The only consequence of  $L' = L'_1$  that will concern us is that  $D' := \wedge^m_{R'}(L')$  descends to a lattice D in  $\wedge^m_K V$ .

We apply Grothendieck's theory of flat descent [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) Chapter 6]. Put  $R'' = R' \otimes_R R', K'' = K' \otimes_K K'.$  By assumption, there is a canonical descent datum  $\varphi_V : V' \otimes_{K',i_2} K'' \to V' \otimes_{K',i_1} K'',$  where  $i_1, i_2$  are the two natural embeddings of  $K'$  into  $K''$ . There are also canonical descent data  $\varphi_M: M' \otimes_{R',i_2} R'' \to M' \otimes_{R',i_1} R''$  and  $\varphi_D: D' \otimes_{R',i_2} R'' \to D' \otimes_{R',i_1} R''.$ These are compatible in the sense that  $\varphi_V$  and  $\varphi_M$  induce the same isomorphism  $\wedge^d_{K'}V' \otimes_{K',i_2} K'' \to \wedge^d_{K'}V' \otimes_{K',i_1} K'',$  and a similar condition holds for  $\varphi_V$  and  $\varphi_D$ . Our second claim is that  $\varphi_V$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\varphi_!: L' \otimes_{R',i_2} R'' \to L' \otimes_{R',i_1} R''.$  It then follows that  $\varphi_!$  satisfies the cocycle condition, and is a descent datum defining a lattice  $L$  which proves the first claim.

By using an R'-basis of L', we can regard the datum  $\varphi_V$  as an element g of  $\operatorname{GL}_m(K'')$ , and the compatibility condition with  $\varphi_M$  is that its image in  $GL_{m'}(K'')$  lies in  $GL_{m'}(R'')$ , where  $m' = \binom{m}{d}$  and the morphism  $GL_m \to$  $GL_{m'}$  is the dth exterior power representation. Similarly, the compatibility with  $\varphi_D$  shows that image of g under det :  $GL_m \to GL_1$  lies in  $GL_1(R'')$ . The second claim is then that q lies in  $GL_m(R'')$ , which is now obvious since  $GL_m \to GL_{m'} \times GL_1$  is a closed immersion of group schemes over  $R''$  (or even over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , by Corollary [1.3\)](#page-2-0) since d is prime to m.

The above lemma suffices for the application in this paper. It admits the following generalization, sent to us by Brian Conrad, incorporating simplifications suggested by Ofer Gabber.

**10.4. Theorem.** Let  $R \to R'$  be a faithfully flat morphism of local domains, inducing the extension  $K \to K'$  on fraction fields. Assume that the morphism  $Br(R) \rightarrow Br(K)$  between Brauer groups is an injection. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $K$  and  $d$  a positive integer with  $d < m := \dim V$ . Let L' be an R'-lattice in  $V' := V \otimes_K K'$  such that the lattice  $\wedge^d_{R'} L'$  has a  $K'^{\times}$ -multiple that descends to an R-lattice in  $\wedge^d_K V$ . Then  $L'$  admits a  ${K'}^{\times}$ -multiple that descends to an R-lattice in V.

**Remarks.** (i) The morphism  $Br(R) \to Br(K)$  is known to be injective if R is a regular local ring [\[Mi,](#page-41-11) Corollary IV.2.6], or if R is strictly Henselian [\[Mi,](#page-41-11) Corollary IV.1.7]. (ii) By an R-lattice in V we mean a free R-submodule of V of rank  $=\dim V$ .

Proof. We argue as in the proof of the first claim in the proof of Lemma [10.3,](#page-29-0) using the fact that  $PGL_m \to PGL_{m'}$  is a closed immersion of group schemes over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , to conclude that  $\varphi_V$  gives rise to a Čech 1-cocycle w for  $R'/R$  with values in  $\mathrm{PGL}_m$ , becoming a 1-coboundary as a 1-cocycle for  $K'/K$ (here we are working with non-abelian  $H<sup>1</sup>$  defined via Čech cocycles for the étale topology; see [\[Mi,](#page-41-11) III.4]). By the assumption on Brauer groups,  $w$ represents the trivial class (i.e. w is a coboundary) in  $H^1(\text{Spec } R, \text{PGL}_m)$ , and also in  $H^1(\operatorname{Spec} R'/\operatorname{Spec} R, \operatorname{PGL}_m)$ , since  $H^1(\operatorname{Spec} R'/\operatorname{Spec} R, \operatorname{PGL}_m) \to$  $H^1(\text{Spec } R, \text{PGL}_m)$  is injective (e.g. by the torsor interpretation in the proof of [\[Mi,](#page-41-11) Proposition III.4.6]).

We now consider the short exact sequence  $1 \to GL_1 \to GL_m \to PGL_m \to 1$ and the associated exact sequence of pointed sets:

$$
H^1(\operatorname{Spec} R, \operatorname{GL}_1) \to H^1(\operatorname{Spec} R, \operatorname{GL}_m) \to H^1(\operatorname{Spec} R, \operatorname{PGL}_m).
$$

We choose a point in  $\mathrm{PGL}_m(R')$  to express w as a 1-coboundary and use its lift to  $\operatorname{GL}_m(R')$  (a lift exists since  $R'$  is local [\[Mi,](#page-41-11) Proposition III.4.9]) to change the basis of L' to get reduced to the case  $w = 1$ . But then  $\varphi_V$  is a 1-cocycle for  $K'/K$  with values in the central torus  $GL_1 \subset GL_m$ . By Grothendieck's fpqc version of Hilbert's Theorem 90 [\[Mi,](#page-41-11) Proposition III.4.9], this 1-cocycle is a 1-coboundary. Upon choosing an element  $c'$  in  $GL_1(K')$  that expresses the coboundary property of  $\varphi_V$ , we see that the  $\mathrm{GL}_m\text{-valued}$  1-cocycle for  $K'/K$ arising from a suitable basis of  $(1/c')L'$  is identically equal to 1, so  $(1/c')L'$ descends to an  $R$ -lattice in  $V$ .

<span id="page-31-0"></span>**10.5. Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be a quasi-reductive model of  $G = SO(q)$ . Then there exists a unique  $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$  and a unique R-lattice L in V so that:

- (i)  $\mathcal G$  is the schematic closure of  $G$  in  $GL(L)$ ;
- (ii)  $\iota : \wedge^2_K V \simeq \text{Lie } G$  induces an isomorphism  $\wedge^2_R L \simeq \pi^\alpha \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}$ .
- (iii)  $\alpha$  is the smallest integer such that there is a Chevalley lattice  $\mathcal L$  with  $\pi^{\alpha} \mathcal{L} \subset L$ .

Let

$$
c = c(\mathcal{G}) := \mathrm{length}_R \big( \mathrm{det}(\pi^{-\alpha} L) / \mathrm{det}(\mathcal{L}) \big) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot \dim V \in \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Then  $(L, \pi^{2c-\alpha} \cdot q)$  is potentially good. Moreover,  $\mathcal G$  is good if and only if  $\alpha = 0$  and  $(L, \pi^{2c} \cdot q)$  is good, in which case the  $\Delta$ -invariant of G is c, and so  $2c \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$ . Conversely, if  $(L', q')$  is a good (resp. potentially good) quadratic lattice, then the schematic closure of  $SO(L', q') \otimes_R K$  in  $GL(L')$  is a good quasi-reductive model over R (resp. a quasi-reductive model over R).

*Proof.* First assume that  $G$  is good. In view of the uniqueness assertion of Theorem [9.4,](#page-26-0) we may and do assume that  $\mathcal G$  is the model constructed in Lemma [9.2](#page-24-2) using the lattice  $L_c$ . Then by Lemma [10.1,](#page-29-1) for  $L := L_c$ ,

*ι* induces an isomorphism  $\wedge_R^2 L \simeq \pi^\alpha$  Lie G with  $\alpha = 0$ . By definition,  $c = \text{length}_R(L/L_0)$  and  $(L, \pi^{2c} \cdot q)$  is good. According to Lemma [9.2,](#page-24-2) the  $\Delta$ -invariant of G equals c. We will now prove the uniqueness of  $(\alpha, L)$ . Indeed, it is easy to show that the only lattices stable under  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  are of the form  $\pi^a(R\pi^{-b} \cdot e_0 + L_0)$ , with  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq b \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$ . Among these, only the one with  $a = 0, b = c$ , i.e.  $L = L_c$ , satisfies (ii) (with  $\alpha = 0$ ). The same argument also implies the following:  $L^{\dagger} := \pi L$  is the only lattice in V satisfying (i<sup>†</sup>) G is the schematic closure of G in  $GL(L^{\dagger})$ , and (ii<sup>†</sup>)  $\iota$  induces an isomorphism  $\wedge^2_R L^{\dagger} \simeq \pi^2 \operatorname{Lie} \mathfrak{G}.$ 

Now we drop the assumption that  $G$  is good. By Proposition [3.4,](#page-6-0) there is a local extension  $R \subset R'$  of DVRs such that  $\mathcal{G}' := \mathcal{G} \otimes_R R'$  is good. Let  $K' = \text{Frac } R'$ . Then by the case we have already treated, there is a unique lattice L' in  $V' := V \otimes_K K'$  such that  $\mathcal{G}'$  is the schematic closure of  $G \otimes_K K'$ in GL(*L'*), and  $\iota$  induces an isomorphism  $M' = \wedge^2_{R'} L' \simeq \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}'$ .

Let  $M \subset \wedge^2_K V$  be the inverse image of Lie G under  $\iota$ . Since  $\iota$  obviously commutes with base change, by Lemma [10.2,](#page-29-2) we have  $\iota(M \otimes_R R') = \text{Lie } \mathcal{G}'$ and hence  $M \otimes_R R' = M'$ . According to Lemma [10.3,](#page-29-0) there exist  $\alpha \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $a \in R'$ , an R-lattice L in V such that  $\text{ord}_K(a) = \alpha/2$  and  $L \otimes_R R' \simeq aL'$ .

We claim that this  $L$  satisfies (i) and (ii). Indeed, it suffices to check both properties after the base change  $R \subset R'$ , and these hold by construction. To prove the uniqueness of  $(\alpha, L)$ , assume that  $(\tilde{\alpha}, L)$  also satisfies (i) and (ii). Let  $\tilde{a} \in R'$  be such that  $\text{ord}_K(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{\alpha}/2$  (we may and do assume that ord<sub>K</sub>(K<sup>'</sup>)  $\supset \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ ). Then  $\tilde{a}^{-1}(\tilde{L}\otimes_R R') = L'$  by the uniqueness of L'. It follows that  $\text{ord}_K(\tilde{a}/a) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha}$ . The uniqueness of L now follows from the uniqueness of L' when  $\alpha = 0$  or the uniqueness assertion above for  $L^{\dagger}$ when  $\alpha = 1$ . Moreover,  $(L, \pi^{2c-\alpha} \cdot q)$  is potentially good since  $(aL', a^{-2}\pi^{2c} \cdot q)$ is good. Finally, the statement G is good  $\Leftrightarrow \alpha = 0$  and  $(L, \pi^{2c} \cdot q)$  is good is also clear.

The converse statement follows from Lemma [9.2.](#page-24-2)  $\Box$ 

**10.6. Corollary.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be a quasi-reductive model of  $\text{SO}_{2n+1}$  over R. Let  $R \subset R'$  be a local extension of DVRs such that  $\mathcal{G}' := \mathcal{G} \otimes_R R'$  is good. Then the c-invariants  $c(S)$  and  $c(S')$  are related by  $c(S') = e \cdot c(S)$ , where e is the ramification index of R'/R. In particular, the  $\Delta$ -invariant of  $\mathcal{G}'$  is an integral multiple of e/2.

Proof. The first statement about the c-invariant is clear from its construction. The second statement follows from the first one since  $c(\mathcal{G}) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-32-0"></span>**10.7. Corollary.** Assume that the c-invariant of G is an integer and G admits a good torus, then G is good.

*Proof.* Let T be a good torus of G over R. Then we can define  $\mathcal{U}_a$  etc. as in [8.7.](#page-21-0) Assume that the weight a submodule of  $R[\mathfrak{U}_a]$  is  $Ry$ .

Let  $R \subset R'$  be a local extension of DVRs such that  $\mathcal{G}' := \mathcal{G} \otimes_R R'$  is good. By Proposition [8.8,](#page-21-1)  $R'[\mathfrak{U}_a] = R'[\pi'^{c(\mathfrak{H}')}z, z^2]$ , where  $\pi'$  is a uniformizer of R', and  $z \in R'^{\times} \pi'^{-c(g')}$ . By the assumption  $c(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can consider  $x := \pi^{-c(g)}y \in R'^{\times}z$ . Then  $R'[\mathfrak{U}_a] = R'[\pi^{c(g)}x, x^2]$ , which implies that  $R[\mathcal{U}_a] = R[\pi^{c(g)}x, x^2]$ . From this it is clear that the normalization of  $\mathcal{U}_a$  over R is smooth, and  $\mathcal{U}_a(R) \to \mathcal{U}_a(\kappa)$  is surjective.

It then follows from the decomposition of the big-cell associated to the good torus T that the image of  $\mathcal{G}(R) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  contains a big-cell of  $\mathcal{G}(\kappa)$ , and hence  $\mathcal{G}(R) \to \mathcal{G}(\kappa)$  is surjective. Therefore,  $\mathcal{G}$  is good.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-33-0"></span>**10.8. Examples.** (i) As before, let  $\pi$  be a uniformizer of R and b an odd integer such that  $1 \leq b \leq \text{ord}_K(2)$ . Let q be the quadratic form  $x^2 + \pi^b yz$ on a rank-3 lattice L. Then  $(L, q)$  is potentially good. The corresponding model  $\Im$  has *c*-invariant  $\frac{1}{2}b \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ , therefore,  $\Im$  is not good. Observe that G does admit a good torus and it becomes good over a suitable quadratic extension of R.

Notice that we can take  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$  (or its maximal unramified extension) and  $b = 1$  to get an example of a non-smooth quasi-reductive model of  $SO_3$ , while the construction in [9.1](#page-24-1) doesn't provide any example of a non-smooth quasi-reductive model of  $SO_{2n+1}$  over such a DVR.

One may wonder if  $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$  is the only obstruction to being good. The following example shows that this is not the case.

(ii) Let q be the quadratic form  $-x^2 - \pi y^2 + \pi^2 yz$  in three variables x, y, z. Assume that  $\pi^2$  | 2. Then q is potentially good, but not good. Therefore, this gives us a quasi-reductive model  $\mathcal G$  of  $\mathcal SO_3$  which is not good. The c-invariant of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is 1.

To see this, let  $R' = R[\pi']$  with  ${\pi'}^2 = \pi$ . Then  $q \otimes_R R'$  is  $-x'^2 + \pi^2 y' z'$ , where  $x' = x + \pi' y$ ,  $y' = y$ ,  $z' = z + (2/\pi'^3)x$ . Therefore, q is potentially good. On the other hand, if q is R-equivalent to  $u(-x^2 + \pi^2yz)$  for some  $u \in R^{\times}$ , then q mod  $\pi^2$  is a multiple of the square of a linear form, which is not the case.

Notice that by Corollary [10.7,](#page-32-0) G doesn't have a good torus, even though both  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{K}$  contain 1-dimensional tori. We remark that by [\[SGA3,](#page-41-0) Exp. XV, Proposition 1.6, if  $\mathcal G$  is a flat group scheme of finite type over a complete DVR  $R$ , and either  $\mathcal G$  is smooth over  $R$  or  $\mathcal G$  is commutative, then any torus in  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$  lifts to an R-torus in  $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$ .

It is an interesting question to classify all potentially good quadratic lattices. By Theorem [10.5,](#page-31-0) this is equivalent to classifying quasi-reductive models of  $SO_{2n+1}$ .

## **A. Appendix: Base change and normalization by Brian Conrad**

Let  $X$  be a finite-type flat scheme over a Dedekind domain  $R$  with fraction field K; we write S to denote  $Spec(R)$ . Clearly the structure sheaf of  $\mathfrak{X}_{red}$  is torsion-free over R, and so  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{red}}$  is also flat over R. Given a finite extension  $K'/K$ , we shall let  $R'$  denote the integral closure of R in  $K'$ ; this is Dedekind, is semi-local when  $R$  is, and induces finite extensions on residue fields.

<span id="page-34-0"></span>**A.1. Remark.** If  $R$  is a Henselian DVR, then  $R'$  is automatically a Henselian DVR as well; in particular,  $R'$  is again local.

Quite generally, if  $R \to R'$  is any extension of Dedekind domains, inducing an extension  $K \to K'$  on fraction fields, we write X' to denote  $\mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R'$ and  $\mathcal{X}'_{\text{red}}$  to denote  $(\mathcal{X}')_{\text{red}}$  (and not  $(\mathcal{X}_{\text{red}})'$ ). The following was proved by Raynaud [\[An,](#page-41-7) App. II, Cor. 3], and later by Faltings [\[dJ,](#page-41-8) Lemma 2.13], and our aim will be to describe its proof and its relevance to this paper.

<span id="page-34-1"></span>**A.2. Theorem** (Raynaud–Faltings). *There exists a finite extension*  $K'/K$ such that  $\mathcal{X}'_{\text{red}}$  has geometrically reduced generic fiber and its normalization  $\mathcal{X}'$ is  $X'$ -finite with geometrically normal generic fiber and geometrically reduced special fibers (over R ).

**A.3. Remark.** An algebraic scheme Z over a field k is *geometrically re*duced (resp. geometrically normal) over k if  $Z \otimes_k k'$  is reduced (resp. normal) for any finite inseparable extension  $k'/k$ , in which case the same is true for any extension field  $k'/k$ . We will also use the notion of *geometric integrality* over k; see [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) IV<sub>2</sub>, §4.5, 6.7.6ff.] for a detailed discussion.

It follows from Serre's homological criteria " $(R_0) + (S_1)$ " for reducedness and " $(R_1) + (S_2)$ " for normality (of Noetherian rings) [\[M2,](#page-41-9) pp. 183ff.] that a finite-type flat scheme over a Noetherian normal domain is normal if its generic fiber is normal and its other fibers are reduced. Thus, for  $K'$  as in Theorem [A.2](#page-34-1) and any further flat extension  $R' \to R''$  to another Noetherian normal domain R'', the base change  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}' \otimes_{R'} R''$  is normal, and so it is the normalization of the reduced scheme  $\mathcal{X}'_{red} \otimes_{R'} R''$ . In particular, the normalization of  $(\mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R'')_{\text{red}} = \mathfrak{X}'_{\text{red}} \otimes_{R'} R''$  is finite over  $\mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R''$  in a uniform sense as we vary  $R''/R'$ .

The argument of Raynaud uses rigid-geometry and flattening techniques, whereas the argument of Faltings uses the Stable Reduction Theorem for curves. Strictly speaking, Faltings' proof assumes that  $R$  is (local and) excellent [\[M1,](#page-41-12) Ch. 13], primarily to ensure finiteness of various normalization maps. We shall reduce the general case to the case of complete local  $R$  with algebraically closed residue field, and we then use our reduction steps to describe Faltings' method in a way that avoids some technicalities. We first record the following.

<span id="page-35-0"></span>**A.4. Corollary.** Let  $\mathcal G$  be a flat finite-type separated group scheme over a Dedekind domain R with fraction field K. There exists a finite extension  $K'/K$  such that:

- $\mathcal{G}'_{\text{red}}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}'$  with smooth generic fiber;
- the normalization  $G'_{red} \rightarrow G'_{red}$  is finite and is a group-smoothening in the sense of [\[BLR,](#page-41-6) §7.1];
- these properties are satisfied for the extension  $R \hookrightarrow R''$  induced by any injective extension of scalars  $R' \hookrightarrow R''$  with  $R''$  a Dedekind domain.

*Proof.* The geometric generic fiber  $\mathcal{G}_{\overline{K}}$  has smooth underlying reduced scheme, as it is a group over an algebraically closed field, so by replacing K with a large finite extension we may assume the  $S$ -flat  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}$  has smooth generic fiber. It follows that  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}} \times_S \mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}$  is reduced, and hence coincides with  $(\mathcal{G} \times_S \mathcal{G})_{\text{red}}$ , so  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Thus, we may rename  $\mathcal{G}_{\text{red}}$  as  $\mathcal{G}$  and we may assume  $\mathcal{G}_K$  is smooth, and by Theorem [A.2](#page-34-1) we may suppose that the normalization  $\mathcal G$  is  $\mathcal G$ -finite with geometrically reduced fibers over  $S$ , and its formation commutes with Dedekind extension on R.

We conclude that  $\tilde{G} \times_S \tilde{G}$  is S-flat with smooth generic fiber and reduced special fibers, so it is normal (by Serre's criterion). The S-separatedness of G and the normality (and S-flatness) of  $\tilde{G} \times_S \tilde{G}$  allow us to use the universal property of normalization to construct a group law on  $\tilde{G}$  compatible with the one on its generic fiber  $\mathcal{G}_K$ ; note that finiteness of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  over  $\mathcal{G}$  provides a bijection  $\mathcal{G}(S) = \mathcal{G}(S)$ , so the identity lifts. Since the fibers of the S-flat group  $\mathcal{G}$  are geometrically reduced,  $\hat{S}$  is smooth. Thus, by the argument in [3.3,](#page-6-2)  $\hat{S}$  is the group-smoothening group-smoothening. -

<span id="page-35-1"></span>**A.5. Lemma.** There exists a finite extension  $K'/K$  and a non-empty open subscheme  $U' \subseteq S' = \text{Spec}(R')$  such that  $(\mathfrak{X}_{K'})_{\text{red}}$  is geometrically reduced and the normalization map  $\mathfrak{X}_{U'} \to (\mathfrak{X}_{U'})_{\text{red}}$  is finite with connected components of  $\mathfrak{X}_{U'}$  having geometrically normal and geometrically integral  $U'$ -fibers.

This lemma reduces Theorem [A.2](#page-34-1) to the case of local  $R$ , since there are only finitely many points in  $S'-U'$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}})_{\text{red}}$  is generically smooth, and the nilradical is locally generated by finitely many elements, by chasing  $\overline{K}$ -coefficients we may find a finite extension  $K'/K$  such that  $(\mathfrak{X}'_{red}) \otimes_{K'} K$  is reduced. That is, upon renaming K' as K and renaming  $\mathfrak{X}_{red}$  as X, we may suppose  $\mathfrak{X}_K$  is geometrically reduced. Further coefficient-chasing allows us to descend the finite normalization  $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}} \to \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{K}}$  to a finite (necessarily birational) map  $\mathcal{Y}_K \to \mathfrak{X}_K$ ,

at least after extending K a little; since  $\mathcal{Y}_K$  is geometrically normal over K, it is normal and so it is the normalization of  $\mathfrak{X}_K$ . Thus, we may assume that the normalization  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_K$  of  $\mathfrak{X}_K$  is geometrically normal, and moreover (by extending K a little more) that the connected components of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_K$  are geometrically integral.

The finite normalization map  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_K \to \mathfrak{X}_K$  over the generic fiber of X may be extended to a finite birational map  $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}|_U$  with  $U \subseteq S$  a dense open, and by shrinking  $U$  we may suppose that  $\mathcal{Y}$  is  $U$ -flat. Since the connected components of  $\mathcal{Y}_K = \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_K$  are irreducible, by shrinking U we may suppose that the connected components  $\mathcal{Y}_i$  of  $\mathcal{Y}_i$  are irreducible. Each  $\mathcal{Y}_{i,K}$  is an irreducible component of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_K$ , and so is geometrically normal and geometrically integral. By [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) IV<sub>3</sub>, 9.7.8, 9.9.5], there exists a dense open  $U_i \subseteq U$  such that each fiber  $\mathcal{Y}_{i,u}$  is geometrically normal and geometrically integral for all  $u \in U_i$ . Renaming  $\cap U_i$  as S, Y has geometrically normal fibers over S; thus, Y is normal, and so the finite birational map  $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$  is the normalization.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-36-0"></span>We may avoid all difficulties presented by the possible failure of normalizations to be finite, via:

# **A.6. Theorem.** If  $X_K$  is geometrically reduced, then  $\widetilde{X} \to \widetilde{X}$  is finite.

*Proof.* For any faithfully flat Dedekind extension  $R \to R'$  with associated fraction field extension  $K \to K'$ ,  $\mathcal{X}'$  is R'-flat with reduced generic fiber  $\mathfrak{X}_K \otimes_K K'$ , so  $\mathfrak{X}'$  is reduced. Thus,  $\mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R'$  is reduced and is an intermediate cover between X' and its normalization. Since X is X-finite if and only if  $\mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R'$ is X'-finite (as  $R \to R'$  is faithfully flat), and the Noetherian property of  $\mathcal{X}'$ ensures that finiteness of its normalization forces finiteness for all intermediate covers, we conclude that it suffices to prove the finiteness of normalization after base change to  $R'$ . Thus, Lemma [A.5](#page-35-1) allows us to reduce to the semilocal case, and then we may certainly reduce to the local case. We may then suppose the base is complete, and hence Japanese, so  $[EGA, IV_2, 7.6.5]$  $[EGA, IV_2, 7.6.5]$ ensures finiteness of normalizations for finite-type reduced R-schemes.  $\Box$ 

By Lemma [A.5](#page-35-1) and Theorem [A.6,](#page-36-0) we may assume that S is local,  $\mathfrak X$  is normal, and  $\mathfrak{X}_K$  is geometrically normal and geometrically integral over K. For any extension  $R \to R'$  of Dedekind domains, the base change  $\mathcal{X}'$  is reduced and its generic fiber  $\mathcal{X}'_{K'}$  is geometrically normal, so the normalization  $\mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{X}'$ is finite (by Theorem [A.6\)](#page-36-0). Our problem is to find a finite extension  $R'$  such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}'$  has geometrically reduced special fibers; keep in mind that  $R'$  is usually just semi-local, and not local.

<span id="page-36-1"></span>**A.7. Remark.** It suffices to prove generic smoothness of special fibers of  $\mathcal{X}'$ . Indeed, Serre's homological criteria for reducedness and normality ensure that the  $R'$ -flat normal  $\mathcal{X}'$  must have geometrically-reduced special

fibers when it has generically-smooth special fibers. This fact allows us to ignore a nowhere-dense closed subset in the special fibers.

**A.8. Definition.** Let  $R \to R_0$  be a faithfully flat local map between local Dedekind domains, with  $K_0/K$  the corresponding extension on fraction fields and  $\kappa_0/\kappa$  the extension on residue fields. The extension  $R \to R_0$  is pseudounramified if:

- The maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_{R_0}$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{m}_R$ , and  $\kappa_0/\kappa$  is separable algebraic.
- For every finite extension  $K_0'/K_0$ , there exists a finite extension  $K'/K_0$ such that  $K_0'/K_0$  is contained in a K-compositum L of  $K_0$  and  $K'$ , and the integral closure of  $R_0$  in L is a quotient of  $R' \otimes_R R_0$ .

<span id="page-37-0"></span>**A.8.1. Example.** If R is local and  $R<sup>h</sup>$  is its Henselization, then  $R \to R<sup>h</sup>$ is pseudo-unramified. Indeed, the algebraicity of the fraction-field extension  $K \to K^{\text{h}}$  ensures that any finite extension of  $K^{\text{h}}$  is contained in a K-compositum of  $K^h$  and a finite extension  $K'/K$ , and the compatibility on integer rings follows from the more precise statement that  $R' \otimes_R R^{\text{h}}$  is the Henselization of the semi-local integral extension  $R'/R$ . This behavior of Henselization with respect to integral ring extensions is a special case of [\[EGA,](#page-41-3) IV<sub>4</sub>, 18.6.8].

<span id="page-37-2"></span>**A.8.2. Example.** If R is local and Henselian, with perfect residue field when K has positive characteristic, then the map  $R \to R$  to the completion is pseudo-unramified. To see this, let  $K'/K$  be a finite extension of the fraction field K of R. We may reduce to the cases when this extension is either  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is extensively as a set of the set of th separable or purely inseparable. The separable case may be settled by using Krasner's lemma to construct a finite separable  $K'/K$  such that  $K' \otimes_K K \simeq$ K', and then  $R' \otimes_R R$  is the completion of  $R'$  (due to R-finiteness of  $R'$  when  $K'$  $K'/K$  is separable).

It remains to treat the purely inseparable case in positive characteristic  $p$ . We have  $\hat{R} \simeq \kappa[y]$ , so  $\hat{K} \simeq \kappa(y)$  has a unique inseparable  $p^n$ -extension, namely  $\widehat{K}^{1/p^n} = \kappa(\frac{y^{1/p^n}}{n}) = \widehat{K}(y^{1/p^n})$ . This has valuation ring  $\widehat{R}[T]$ /  $(T^{p^n}-y)$ , and we may choose y to be any uniformizer of  $\widehat{R}$ . Using a uniformizer  $y \in R$ , we may take  $K' = K(y^{1/p^n})$  with integral closure  $R' = R[T]/(T^{p^n} - y)$ .

The preceding examples allow for further reduction steps in the proof of Theorem [A.2,](#page-34-1) due to the following.

<span id="page-37-1"></span>**A.9. Lemma.** If  $R \to R_0$  is pseudo-unramified, it suffices to consider  $\mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R_0$  over  $R_0$ .

*Proof.* If  $K_0'/K_0$  is a finite extension as in Theorem [A.2](#page-34-1) for  $\mathfrak{X}_0 = \mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R_0$ over  $R_0$ , then by slightly increasing  $K_0$  we may suppose (by pseudounramifiedness) that  $K'_0$  is a K-compositum of  $K_0$  and a finite extension

 $K'/K$  such that  $R'_0$  is a quotient of  $R' \otimes_R R_0$ . Note that all residue fields at maximal ideals of  $R'_0$  are separable algebraic over the corresponding residue fields on  $R'$ . Pseudo-unramifiedness has done its work, so now replace  $K$  and  $K_0$  with  $K'$  and  $K'_0$ , and R and  $R_0$  with compatible localizations of  $R'$  and  $R'_0$ , and replace X with the (finite) normalization of  $\mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R'$ . This reduces us to the case where  $\mathfrak X$  is normal with geometrically normal and geometrically integral generic fiber, and the  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -finite normalization  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  has geometrically reduced special fibers.

Since  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}_0 = \mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R_0$  is a finite surjection, each generic point of the special fiber  $(\mathfrak{X}_0)_{s_0}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  is hit by a generic point of the special fiber of  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ . Since the special fiber of  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  is merely the base change of the special fiber  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ by the extension of residue fields, each generic point  $\xi_s$  of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  is hit by a generic point  $\xi'_{s_0}$  of  $(\mathfrak{X}_0)_{s_0}$  under the canonical map  $\mathfrak{X}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  are finite unions of normal integral schemes, the induced map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},\xi_s} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0,\xi_s'}$ between local rings is a local extension of DVRs, and hence is faithfully flat. Passing to the quotient by the maximal ideal of  $R$  also kills the maximal ideal of  $R_0$ , so we get a faithfully flat map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_s,\xi_s} \to \mathcal{O}_{(\mathfrak{X}_0)_{s_0},\xi'_{s_0}}$ ; the target of this map is a field that is linearly disjoint over  $\kappa$  with respect to any finite inseparable extension  $\kappa'$  of  $\kappa$ , since  $(\mathfrak{X}_0)_{s_0}$  is  $\kappa_0$ -smooth near all of its generic points and  $\kappa_0$  is separable algebraic over  $\kappa$ . It follows that the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_{s},\xi_{s}}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}_{s}$  at the generic point  $\xi_{s}$  is also a field that is linearly disjoint from all such  $\kappa'$  over  $\kappa$ . This says that  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  is smooth near  $\xi_s$ , and since  $\xi_s$  was an arbitrary choice of generic point on  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ , we conclude that  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  is generically  $\Box$  smooth.

By Example [A.8.1](#page-37-0) and Lemma [A.9,](#page-37-1) we may assume the local base  $R$  is Henselian. Let  $R_0/R$  be a local integral extension with  $R_0$  Henselian and inducing an algebraic closure  $\overline{\kappa}/\kappa$  on residue fields. Assuming Theorem [A.2](#page-34-1) for  $\mathfrak{X}_0 = \mathfrak{X} \otimes_R R_0$  over  $R_0$ , let us deduce it over R. There is a finite extension  $K_0'/K_0$  such that the normalization  $\mathcal{X}_0'$  of  $\mathcal{X}_0' = \mathcal{X} \otimes_R R_0'$  has geometrically reduced special fiber. Since  $K'_0/K_0$  is finite and  $K_0/K$  is algebraic,  $K'_0$  may be expressed as a K-compositum of  $K_0$  and a finite extension K' of K. By renaming R' as R, we may assume that the normalization  $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  has geometrically reduced fibers. By expressing  $R_0$  as a directed union of integral closures R' of R in finite extensions  $K'/K$ , we see via finiteness of  $\mathfrak{X}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}_0$ that there exists such an R' and a finite birational map  $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X} \otimes_R R'$ that descends  $\widetilde{X}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{Y} \otimes_{R'} R_0 \simeq \widetilde{X}_0$  is normal, so  $\mathcal{Y}$  becomes normal after a faithfully flat base change  $(R' \rightarrow R_0)$ , it follows that *y* is normal. Thus,  $\mathcal{Y}$  is the normalization of  $\mathcal{X}'$ . Renaming  $R'$  as R allows us to therefore assume that  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes_R R_0$  is the normalization  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_0$  of  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ . Since we have already noted that  $\mathfrak{X}_0$  has geometrically reduced special fiber, and the special fiber of  $\widetilde{X}_0 = \widetilde{X} \otimes_R R_0$  is  $\widetilde{X}_s \otimes_{\kappa} \kappa_0$ , it follows that  $\widetilde{X}$  has geometrically reduced special fiber, as desired.

We may now assume the Henselian  $R$  has algebraically closed residue field, so by Example [A.8.2](#page-37-2) and Lemma [A.9](#page-37-1) we may assume  $R$  is complete. More generally, to settle any particular case  $\mathfrak{X} \to \text{Spec}(R)$  over a general local  $R$ , it is enough to consider the situation after passing to connected components of the normalization of the base-change of  $\mathfrak X$  by a local extension  $R \to R'$ , where  $R'$  is a suitable complete DVR with algebraically closed residue field. These reduction steps allow us to use Faltings' proof of [\[dJ,](#page-41-8) Lemma 2.13] to prove Theorem [A.2.](#page-34-1) Let us now show how his argument is applied.

**A.10. Proof of Theorem [A.2.](#page-34-1)** As we have explained already, to settle any particular case we may (after suitable finite extension on  $K$  and normalization) restrict attention to the case when  $R$  is local and complete with algebraically closed residue field and  $\mathfrak X$  is normal with geometrically normal and geometrically integral generic fiber. In particular,  $R$  is excellent. The R-flatness and the irreducibility of the generic fiber ensure that both fibers of  $X$  have the same pure dimension, say  $d$ , and the application of our reduction steps (if R was originally more general or  $\mathfrak X$  was not normal) preserves the hypothesis of the generic fiber having a specified pure dimension d. Thus, we may induct on  $d$ , the case  $d = 0$  being trivial.

Suppose  $d = 1$ . Working locally on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we may assume  $\mathfrak{X}$  is affine and hence quasi-projective, and so by normalizing the projective closure after a suitable finite extension on K (that may possibly be inseparable even if  $\mathfrak{X}_K$ is K-smooth), we may assume X is proper with  $\mathfrak{X}_K$  geometrically normal and geometrically integral. Thus, the curve  $\mathfrak{X}_K$  is K-smooth. By the Stable Reduction Theorem for curves of genus  $\geq 2$  [\[DM\]](#page-41-13) (or see [\[AW\]](#page-41-14) for the case of an algebraically closed residue field), after a further finite separable extension on K there exists a proper regular R-curve C with generic fiber  $\mathfrak{X}_K$  and generically smooth special fiber  $\mathcal{C}_s$ ; the same holds for genus  $\leq 1$  by direct arguments.

Since R is excellent, C is an excellent surface. Since  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed, resolution of singularities for excellent surfaces [\[Ar\]](#page-41-15) and the factorization theorem for proper regular  $R$ -curves [\[Ch,](#page-41-16) Thm. 2.1] ensure that for any two proper normal  $R$ -curves  $\mathcal Y$  and  $\mathcal Y'$  with the same generic fiber, each generic point on the special fiber  $\mathcal{Y}_s$  has an open neighborhood in  $\mathcal{Y}_s$  that is isomorphic to either an open subscheme in  $\mathcal{Y}'_s$  or an open subscheme in  $\mathbf{P}^1_{\kappa}$ ; here it is crucial that  $\kappa$  is algebraically closed. Consequently,  $\mathcal{Y}_s$  is generically smooth if and only if  $\mathcal{Y}'_s$  is generically smooth. Applying this with  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}' = \mathcal{C}$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{X}_s$  is generically smooth.

For  $d > 1$ , we may work locally on X near each generic point of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ , and so we may assume  $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Spec}(A)$  is affine with  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  irreducible. We may also (as above) suppose  $\mathfrak X$  is normal and R is complete with algebraically closed residue field. Pick a lift  $t \in A$  of a  $\kappa$ -transcendental element in the function field of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ ; this defines a dominant  $S$ -map  $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \to \mathbf{A}^1_S$  that must be flat over the generic point  $\eta_s$  of  $\mathbf{A}_s^1$ , since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_s^1, \eta_s}$  is a DVR. Thus, shrinking X around the generic point of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  allows us to assume  $\pi$  is flat. The localization  $\pi_{(\eta_s)} : \mathfrak{X} \times_{\mathbf{A}_S^1} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_S^1, \eta_s}) \to \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_S^1, \eta_s})$  is flat with integral generic fiber of dimension  $d-1$ , so  $\pi_{(\eta_s)}$  has pure relative dimension  $d-1$ .

Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1_S, \eta_s}$  is a DVR, the induction hypothesis applied to  $\pi_{(\eta_s)}$  provides a finite extension L of the fraction field of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1_S, \eta_s}$ , say with N denoting the finite normalization of  $Spec(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1_S, \eta_s})$  in L, such that the flat map  $(\mathfrak{X} \times_{\mathbf{A}^1_S} N)_{red} \to$  $N$  over the semi-local Dedekind  $N$  has geometrically reduced generic fiber and has normalization  $(\mathfrak{X} \times_{\mathbf{A}^1_S} N)$ <sup>∼</sup> such that  $\pi_N^{\sim} : (\mathfrak{X} \times_{\mathbf{A}^1_S} N)$ <sup>∼</sup> → N has geometrically normal generic fiber and geometrically reduced special fibers.

Let  $\mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{A}_S^1$  be the finite normalization of  $\mathbf{A}_S^1$  in L. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a flat normal R-curve, we may use the case  $d = 1$  to make a finite extension on K so that  $\mathfrak{C}_K$  is geometrically normal and  $\mathfrak{C}_s$  is geometrically reduced. Finiteness of C over  $\mathbf{A}_{S}^{1}$  ensures that any open subscheme in  $\mathcal{C}$  containing the generic points of  $N_s$  (i.e., the fiber of C over  $\eta_s$ ) contains the preimage of an open subscheme in  $\mathbf{A}_S^1$  around  $\eta_s$ . Thus, to replace  $\mathcal C$  with a sufficiently small open subscheme around N, it suffices to replace  $A_S^1$  with a small open subscheme U around  $\eta_s$  (and then we replace C and X with  $\mathcal{C}_U$  and  $\mathcal{X}_U$ ; recall that we only need to work generically on  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ ; see Remark [A.7\)](#page-36-1). Since  $(\mathfrak{X} \times_{\mathbf{A}^1_S} \mathcal{C})^{\sim} \to \mathcal{C}$  localized at N is the flat map  $\pi_N^{\sim}$  with geometrically reduced fibers, we may find an open subscheme U around  $\eta_s$  so that  $(\mathfrak{X}_U \times_U \mathfrak{C}_U)^\sim \to \mathfrak{C}_U$  is flat with geometrically reduced fibers. Thus, we get a flat map  $(\mathfrak{X}_U \times_U \mathfrak{C}_U)_{s}^{\sim} \to (\mathfrak{C}_U)_{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_s$  with geometrically reduced fibers. Thus, geometric reducedness of  $\mathcal{C}_s$  implies the same for  $(\mathfrak{X}_U \times_U \mathfrak{C}_U)_{s}^{\sim}$ .

The finite map  $\mathcal{C}_U \to U$  localizes at  $\eta_s$  to become the finite map  $N \to$  $Spec(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1_S, \eta_s})$  that is flat, so by shrinking U more around  $\eta_s$  we may suppose that  $\mathcal{C}_U \to U$  is flat. Thus,  $\mathcal{X}_U \times_U \mathcal{C}_U$  is flat over the normal integral  $\mathcal{X}_U$ , and so its normalization  $(\mathfrak{X}_U \times_U \mathfrak{C}_U)$ <sup>∼</sup> (which is finite over  $\mathfrak{X}_U \times_U \mathfrak{C}_U$ , since the base  $S$  is Japanese) is a finite union of integral finite type  $S$ -flat schemes. Since the map  $(\mathfrak{X}_U \times_U \mathfrak{C}_U) \sim \mathfrak{X}_U$  is dominant and finite, hence surjective, and both the source and target have integral connected components, this map must be flat over an open  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_U$  containing the generic point  $\xi_s$  of  $\mathcal{X}_s$  (since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},\xi_s}$  is a DVR). Thus,  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  has a dense open subscheme admitting a flat cover by the geometrically reduced scheme  $(\mathfrak{X}_U \times_U \mathfrak{C}_U)_{s}^{\sim}$ , and so  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  is generically geometrically reduced. Thus,  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  is generically smooth.  $\Box$ 

## **Acknowledgment**

We thank Kari Vilonen for his question and Ching-Li Chai, Ofer Gabber, Philippe Gille, James Milne, Patrick Polo, Dipendra Prasad, Michel Raynaud, Jean-Pierre Serre, Marie-France Vigneras, and Shou-Wu Zhang for useful conversations, correspondence and comments. We thank Raman Parimala for the quadratic form used in [10.8](#page-33-0) (ii). We are grateful to Brian Conrad for carefully reading several versions of this paper, for his numerous corrections and helpful remarks, and for providing us a proof of Proposition [3.4.](#page-6-0)

### **References**

- <span id="page-41-7"></span>[An] S. Anantharaman: Schémas en groupes, espaces homogènes et espaces algébriques sur une base de dimension 1, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mem. **33**, 5–79 (1973). M[R0335524 \(49:305\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0335524)
- <span id="page-41-15"></span>[Ar] M. Artin: "Lipman's proof of resolution of singularities for surfaces" in Arithmetic geometry (Cornell/Silverman, ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York (1986). M[R0861980](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0861980)
- <span id="page-41-14"></span>[AW] M. Artin and G. Winters: *Degenerate fibers and stable reduction of curves*, Topology **10**, 373–383 (1971). M[R0476756 \(57:16313\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0476756)
- <span id="page-41-6"></span>[BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert and M. Raynaud: Néron models, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **21**, Springer Verlag (1990). M[R1045822 \(91i:14034\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1045822)
- <span id="page-41-5"></span>[BoT] A. Borel and J. Tits: Homomorphismes "abstraits" de groupes algébriques simples, Ann. of Math. (2) **97**, 499–571 (1973). M[R0316587 \(47:5134\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0316587)
- <span id="page-41-10"></span>[B] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbre de Lie: Chapitres 7 and 8*, Hermann, Paris (1975). M[R0453824 \(56:12077\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0453824)
- <span id="page-41-2"></span>[BT2] F. Bruhat and J. Tits: Groupes réductifs sur un corps local, Chapitre II, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **60**, 197–376 (1984). M[R0756316 \(86c:20042\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0756316)
- <span id="page-41-16"></span>[Ch] T. Chinburg: "Minimal models for curves over Dedekind rings" in Arithmetic geometry (Cornell/Silverman, ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York (1986). M[R0861982](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0861982)
- <span id="page-41-13"></span>[DM] P. Deligne and D. Mumford: The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus, Publ. Math. IHES, **36**, 75–110 (1969). M[R0262240 \(41:6850\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0262240)
- <span id="page-41-3"></span>[EGA] A. Grothendieck: Eléments de géométrie algébrique, Publ. Math. IHES, 4, 8, 11, **17, 20, 24, 28, 32**.
- <span id="page-41-0"></span>[SGA3] A. Grothendieck et al.: SGA 3: Sch´emas en Groupes I, II, III, Lecture Notes in Math. **151**, **152**, **153**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg (1970). http://modular. fas.harvard.edu/sga M[R0274458 \(43:223a\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0274458)
- <span id="page-41-4"></span>[J] N. Jacobson: Basic Algebra I, W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco (1974). M[R0356989 \(50:9457\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0356989)
- <span id="page-41-8"></span>[dJ] A. J. de Jong: Smoothness, semi-stability, and alterations, Publ. Math. IHES, **83**, 51–93 (1996). M[R1423020 \(98e:14011\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1423020)
- <span id="page-41-12"></span>[M1] H. Matsumura: Commutative algebra (2nd ed.), Benjamin (1980). M[R0266911](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0266911) [\(42:1813\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0266911)
- <span id="page-41-9"></span>[M2] H. Matsumura: Commutative ring theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986). M[R0879273 \(88h:13001\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0879273)
- <span id="page-41-11"></span>[Mi] J. Milne: Étale cohomology, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1980). M[R0559531](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0559531) [\(81j:14002\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0559531)
- <span id="page-41-1"></span>[MV] I. Mirković and K. Vilonen: Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings, preprint (2004).
- <span id="page-42-5"></span>[R] M. Raynaud: Passage au quotient par une relation d'´equivalence plate, Proceedings of a Conference on Local Fields, 78–85, Springer-Verlag (1967).
- <span id="page-42-3"></span>[Sp] T.A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd Edition, Progress in Math. 9, Birkhäuser, Boston (1998). M[R1642713 \(99h:20075\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1642713)
- <span id="page-42-1"></span>[T] J. Tits: Reductive groups over local fields, Automorphic forms, representations, and L-functions (A. Borel and W. Casselman, Eds.), v.1., 29–69 (1977). M[R0546588](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0546588) [\(80h:20064\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0546588)
- <span id="page-42-2"></span>[V] A. Vasiu: Integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of preabelian type, Asian J. Math. **3**, 401–517 (1999). M[R1796512 \(2002b:11087\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=1796512)
- <span id="page-42-0"></span>[Wa] W.C. Waterhouse: Introduction to affine group schemes, Grad. Text in Math. **66**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin (1979). M[R0547117 \(82e:14003\)](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0547117)
- <span id="page-42-4"></span>[Yu] J.-K. Yu: Smooth models associated to concave functions in Bruhat-Tits theory, preprint (2003).

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

E-mail address: gprasad@umich.edu

Current address: School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University,West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 E-mail address: jyu@math.purdue.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

E-mail address: bdconrad@umich.edu