CURVATURE TENSORS' AND THEIR RELATIVISTICS SIGNIFICANCE

By

G. P. POKHARIYAL and R. S. MISHRA

(Received September 28, 1970)

Summary

In this paper we have defined the Curvature tensor and elaborated its various physical and geometric properties.

1. Introduction

In an *n*-dimensional space V_n , the tensors

(1.1)
$$C(X, Y, Z, T) = R(X, Y, Z, T) - \frac{R}{n(n-1)} [g(X, T)g(Y, Z) - g(Y, T)g(X, Z)],$$

(1.2)
$$L(X, Y, Z, T) = R(X, Y, Z, T) - \frac{1}{n-2} [g(Y, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(X, T) - g(X, Z)]$$

$$\operatorname{Ric}(Y, T) + g(X, T) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z) - g(Y, T) \operatorname{Ric}(X, Z)]$$

and

(1.3)
$$V(X, Y, Z, T) = R(X, Y, Z, T) - \frac{1}{n-2} g(X, T) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z) - g(Y, T)$$

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X, Z) + g(Y, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(X, T) - g(X, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, T)] + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)}$$

$$[g(X, T)g(Y, Z) - g(Y, T)g(X, Z)],$$

are called concircular curvature tensor, conharmonic curvature tensor and conformal curvature tensor respectively. These satisfy the symmetric and skew symmetric as well as the cyclic property possessed by curvature tensor R(X, Y, Z, T).

The projective curvature tensor is given by:

(1.4)
$$W(X, Y, Z, T) = R(X, Y, Z, T) + \frac{1}{n-1} [g(X, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, T) - g(X, T) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z)].$$

We shall now define a tensor and obtain its properties.

2. **Definition** (2.1): We define a tensor

(2.1)
$$W_2(X, Y, Z, T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R(X, Y, Z, T) + \frac{1}{n-1} [g(X, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, T) - g(Y, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(X, T)].$$

From equations (1.1) to (2.1), it is clear that for an empty gravitational field characterized by Ric(X, Y)=0, these five fourth rank tensors are identical.

In the space V_n , from (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), we have

(2.2)
$$V(X, Y, Z, T) = L(X, Y, Z, T) + \frac{n}{n-2} [R(X, Y, Z, T) - C(X, Y, Z, T)],$$

which in V_4 reduces to

(2.3)
$$V(X, Y, Z, T) = L(X, Y, Z, T) + 2R(X, Y, Z, T) - 2C(X, Y, Z, T)$$
.

Now we notice that tensor $W_2(X, Y, Z, T)$ is skew symmetric in X and Y and it also satisfies

$$(2.4) W_2(X, Y, Z, T) + W_2(Y, Z, X, T) + W_2(Z, X, Y, T) = 0.$$

Breaking $W_2(X, Y, Z, T)$ ito two parts viz:

$$E(X, Y, Z, T) = 1/2 [W_2(X, Y, Z, T) - W_2(X, Y, T, Z)]$$

and

$$F(X, Y, Z, T) = 1/2 [W_2(X, Y, Z, T) + W_2(X, Y, T, Z)]$$

which are respectively skew-symmetric and symmetric in Z, T. From (2.1) it follows that

(2.5)
$$E(X, Y, Z, T) = R(X, Y, Z, T) + \frac{2}{2(n-1)} [g(X, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, T) \\ -g(Y, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(X, T) - g(X, T) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z) + g(Y, T) \operatorname{Ric}(X, Z)]$$

and

(2.6)
$$F(X, Y, Z, T) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} [g(X, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, T) - g(Y, Z) \operatorname{Ric}(X, T) + g(X, T) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z) - g(Y, T) \operatorname{Ric}(X, Z)].$$

From (2.5) we see that E(X, Y, Z, T) also possesses all the symmetric and skew symmetric properties of R(X, Y, Z, T) as well as the cyclic property:

(2.7)
$$E(X, Y, Z, T) + E(Y, Z, X, T) + E(Z, X, Y, T) = 0$$
.

From equations (1.3) and (2.5), we get

(2.8)
$$E(X, Y, Z, T) = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} [nR(X, Y, Z, T) + (n-2)V(X, Y, Z, T) - \frac{R}{n-1} \{g(X, T)g(X, Z) - g(Y, T)g(X, Z)\}].$$

Which for electromagnetic field (or more generally in the case of space with vanishing scalar curvature) in V_4 becomes

$$(2.9) 3E(X, Y, Z, T) = 2R(X, Y, Z, T) + V(X, Y, Z, T),$$

also from equation (1.2) and (2.5), for V_4 , we have

$$(2.10) 3E(X, Y, Z, T) = 2R(X, Y, Z, T) + L(X, Y, Z, T).$$

Thus equation (2.9) is the consequence of (2.10) for a space of vanishing scalar curvature.

We notice that E(X, Y, Z, T) is identically equal to the skew symmetric part P(X, Y, Z, T) [2] of the projective curvature tensor where as its symmetric part Q(X, Y, Z, T) is different from F(X, Y, Z, T).

On contracting W_{2hijk} , we get

$$(2.11) W_{2ij} = \frac{n}{n-1} \left(R_{ij} - \frac{R}{n} g_{ij} \right),$$

which vanishes in an Einstein space.

The scalar invariant

$$(2.12) W_2 = g^{ij} W_{2ij} = 0,$$

identically. Now considering the scalar invariant of second degree in W_{2ij} , viz:

$$(2.13) (W_2)_{11} W_{2ij} W_2^{ij} = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^2 \left(R_2 - \frac{R^2}{n}\right),$$

where $R_2 \equiv R_{ij}R^{ij}$.

From (2.11), we have

$$(2.14) W_{2ij}R^{ij} = \frac{n}{n-1} \left(R_2 - \frac{R^2}{n} \right).$$

Hence

(2.15)
$$W_{2ij}W_{2}^{ij} = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)W_{2ij}R^{ij}.$$

From (2.5) we notice that contracted E_{ij} vanishes identically for Einstein space. This enables us to extend the Pirani formalism of gravitational waves to the Einstein space with the help of E_{hijk} .

For an Einstein space E_{hijk} , W_{2hijk} , W_{hijk} and V_{hijk} are identically equal.

We can show that the vanishing of the symmetric part F_{hijk} is the necessary

and sufficient condition for a space to be an Einstein space.

The vector

$$Q_i = \frac{g_{ij}\epsilon^{jklm}R_k^pR_{pl;m}}{\sqrt{-g}R_{ab}R^{ab}},$$

is called the complexion vector of a non null electromagnetic field with no matter by *Misner and Wheeler* [3] and its vanishing implies that field is purely electrical. A semi-colon stands for covariant differentiation.

It is seen that we can't get a purely electrical field with the help of W_{2hijk} .

Rainich [4] has shown that the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the non-null electrovariance are

$$(2.17)$$
 $R=0$

(2.18)
$$R_{j}^{i}R_{k}^{j}=(1/4)\delta_{k}^{i}R_{ab}R^{ab}$$
,

$$(2.19) Q_{i,j} = Q_{j,i}.$$

In an electromagnetic field

$$(2.20) W_{2ij} = (4/3)R_{ij}.$$

We can substitute W_{2ij} in place of R_{ij} in (2.16) and (2.18) such that the Rainich conditions so obtained are similar to those obtained with the help of W_{hijk} .

From the above discussion we conclude that except the vanishing of complexion vector and property of being identical in two spaces which are in geodesic correspondence, the tensor W_{2hijk} possesses the properties almost similar to W_{hijk} . Thus we can very well use W_{2hijk} in various physical and geometrical spheres in place of the projective curvature tensor.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mishra, R. S. (1969): H-Projective curvature tensor in Kahler manifold (under publication) Proc. Nat. Inst. of Sci., New Delhi.
- [2] K. P. Singh, L. Radhakrishna and R. Sharan: Electromagnetic Fields and cylindrical symmetry: Annals of Phys. Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 46-68 (1965).
- [3] C. W. Misner and J. A. Wheeler: Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 2, 525 (1957).
- [4] G. Y. Rainich: Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 27, 106 (1952)
- [5] L. P. Eisenhart: Riemannian Geometry, Princeton University Press (1926).
- [6] J. L. Synge: Relativity, The general theory, North Holland Amsterdam (1960).

Department of Mathematics, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi -5 (INDIA)