

Harmonic Analysis on Real Reductive Groups. II

Wave-Packets in the Schwartz Space

Harish-Chandra (Princeton)

To Jean-Pierre Serre

§ 1. Introduction

The theory of the constant term, which has been developed in [1(e)] will now be applied to construct wave-packets in the Schwartz space of a reductive group G . Keeping to the notation of [1(e)], let A be the split component of a θ -stable Cartan subgroup of G . Fix a psgp $P_1 = MAN_1$ with the split component A and let τ be a unitary double representation of K on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space V . Then $L = {}^o\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$ also has finite dimension [1(e), Theorem 27.3]. Put $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{a}^*$ and consider the Eisenstein integral

$$\phi_v = E(P_1 : \psi : v) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F})$$

for a given $\psi \in L$. We compute the constant term ϕ_{v, P_2} of ϕ_v along a psgp $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ (Theorem 18.1). The expression for ϕ_{v, P_2} involves certain endomorphisms $c_{P_2|P_1}(s : v)$ ($s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a})$) of L . We shall see later that these c -functions can be extended to meromorphic functions of v on the whole complex space \mathfrak{F}_c .

Let \mathfrak{F}' be the set of all regular elements in \mathfrak{F} . Fix $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{F}')$ and put

$$\phi_\alpha = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) \phi_v dv$$

where dv is the Euclidean measure on \mathfrak{F} . Then $\phi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$ (Theorem 13.1). Now fix $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ and $m \in MA$ and consider the distribution

$$\alpha \rightarrow \phi_\alpha^{(P_2)}(m)$$

on \mathfrak{F}' . It turns out that this distribution is actually a function which can be written quite simply in terms of the c -functions (Theorem 19.2).

Theorems 13.1, 13.2 and 18.1 contain the main results of this paper. They may be regarded as generalizations of the corresponding results on spherical functions obtained in [1(a, b)]. In fact here we have combined the methods of [1(a, b)] with those of [1(d)] and our success depends in an essential way on the systematic use of the weak inequality.

As far as possible, we shall keep to the notation of [1(e)] and therefore any undefined symbols should be given the same meaning as in [1(e)].

Most of the work presented here was done some years ago and I have given lectures on it on various occasions.

§ 2. Recapitulation of Some Algebraic Results

Let $(P, A) \succ (P_0, A_0)$ be two p -pairs in G such that (P_0, A_0) is minimal. Then $P = MAN$, $P_0 = M_0 A_0 N_0$. Extend \mathfrak{a}_0 to a Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_0 of \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{h}_0 is θ -stable and $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0 \cap \mathfrak{p}$. Put $W_0 = W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_0)$ and let W_1 be the subgroup of those elements of W_0 which leave \mathfrak{a} pointwise fixed. Put $S = \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{h}_{0,c}) = S(\mathfrak{h}_{0,c})$ and let J and J_1 denote the algebras of invariants of W_0 and W_1 respectively in S . Let s_1, s_2, \dots, s_q ($q = [W_0 : W_1]$) be a complete system of representatives for $W_1 \backslash W_0$ so that

$$W_0 = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq q} W_1 s_i.$$

Select homogeneous elements $u_1 = 1, u_2, \dots, u_q$ in J_1 such that [1(a), Lemma 8]

$$J_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} J u_i.$$

Fix a system of positive roots for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_0)$ and put

$$\varpi_0 = \varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_0}, \quad \varpi_1 = \varpi_{\mathfrak{m}_1/\mathfrak{h}_0}, \quad \varpi_{01} = \varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{m}_1},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a}$. Then $\varpi_0 = \varpi_{01} \varpi_1$. Define $u^j \in C(J_1)$ by

$$\text{tr}_{J_1/J}(u_i u^j) = \delta_i^j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq q)$$

and put $\tau^j = \varpi_{01} u^j$. Then [1(a), Lemma 12] $\tau^j \in J_1$.

Every element of S may be regarded as a polynomial function on $\mathfrak{h}_{0,c}^*$. For $p \in J_1$ and $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{0,c}^*$, define

$$f_\Lambda = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} \tau^i(\Lambda) u_i, \\ v^j(p; \Lambda) = \text{tr}_{J_1/J} \{ (p - p(\Lambda)) f_\Lambda u^j \} \quad (1 \leq j \leq q).$$

Then it is clear that $v^j(p; \Lambda) \in J$ and, for p fixed, $\Lambda \mapsto v^j(p; \Lambda)$ is a polynomial mapping of $\mathfrak{h}_{0,c}^*$ into J . Let S_Λ denote the set of all $p \in S$ such that $p(\Lambda) = 0$. Put $J_\Lambda = J \cap S_\Lambda$. Then it is obvious that $J_{s\Lambda} = J_\Lambda$ ($s \in W_0$).

Identify \mathfrak{h}_0 with its dual by means of the bilinear form B . We call an element $u \in J_1$ harmonic if $\partial(p)u = 0$ for all $p \in J \cap S_0$ in the notation of [1(c), § 3]. Then it is easy to conclude from [1(c), Lemma 4] that u_1, \dots, u_q may be so chosen as to span the space U of all harmonic elements in J_1 . Moreover $J_1 = U + J_1 J_\Lambda$ where the sum is direct [1(a), p. 256]. The following lemma enables us to diagonalize the action of J_1 on $J_1/J_1 J_\Lambda \simeq U$.

Lemma 1. Fix $p \in J_1$, $A \in \mathfrak{h}_{0c}^*$ and put $A_i = s_i A$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$). Then

- 1) $v^j(p: A_i) \in J_A$,
- 2) $(p - p(A_i))f_{A_i} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} v^j(p: A_i)u_j$,
- 3) $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq q} \varepsilon(s_k)\varpi_1(A_k)f_{A_k} = \varpi_0(A)$

for $1 \leq i \leq q$. Here $\varepsilon(s) = \pm 1$ is defined as usual by $\varpi_0^s = \varepsilon(s)\varpi_0$ ($s \in W_0$).

We know from [1(a), Lemma 15] that

$$(p - p(A))f_A \in SJ_A \cap J_1 = J_A J_1.$$

Hence the first two statements are obvious. Both sides of 3) being polynomial in A , it is sufficient to consider the case when $\varpi_0(A) \neq 0$. Then the rational function u^i is defined at A_k and

$$\sum_k \varepsilon(s_k)\varpi_1(A_k)f_{A_k} = \varpi_0(A) \sum_{i,k} u^i(A_k)u_i.$$

But since

$$\sum_k (u^i)^{s_k^{-1}} = \text{tr}_{J_1/J} u^i = \delta_1^i,$$

we conclude that

$$\sum_{i,k} u^i(A_k)u_i = 1$$

and this proves 3).

§ 3. Further Algebraic Results

Let \mathfrak{h} be a θ -stable Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_I + \mathfrak{h}_R$ as usual [1(e), § 8]. If $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}_I)_c^*$, $\nu \in (\mathfrak{h}_R)_c^*$, we extend them to linear functions on \mathfrak{h}_c by defining $\lambda = 0$ on \mathfrak{h}_R and $\nu = 0$ on \mathfrak{h}_I . In this way \mathfrak{h}_c^* becomes the direct sum of $(\mathfrak{h}_I)_c^*$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_R)_c^*$.

An element $\lambda \in (\mathfrak{h}_I)_c^*$ is called singular if $\lambda(H_\alpha) = 0$ for some imaginary root α of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Otherwise we call it regular. Put $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{h}_R^*$ and

$$\varpi = \varpi_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}} = \prod_{\alpha > 0} H_\alpha$$

where α runs over all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ (under some fixed order). Fix a regular element $\lambda \in (-1)^{1/2} \mathfrak{h}_I^*$ and let $\mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$ denote the set of all $\nu \in \mathfrak{F}_c$ such that

$$\varpi(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu) \neq 0.$$

Put $\mathfrak{F}'(\lambda) = \mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$. Then $\mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$ is an open and dense subset of \mathfrak{F} .

Now we use the notation of § 2. Fix $k_0 \in K$ such that $\mathfrak{h}_R^{k_0} \subset \mathfrak{a}_0$. Let \mathfrak{z} denote the centralizer of $\mathfrak{h}_R^{k_0}$ in \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{h}^{k_0} and \mathfrak{h}_0 are two Cartan subalgebras of \mathfrak{z} . Hence we can choose $y_0 \in G_c$ such that y_0 centralizes $\mathfrak{h}_R^{k_0}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_c^y = \mathfrak{h}_{0c}$ where $y = y_0 \text{Ad}(k_0)$. Put $A_\nu = (\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu)^y$ for $\nu \in \mathfrak{F}_c$. (Here we have identified \mathfrak{h}_c with its dual by

means of the restriction of the bilinear form B on \mathfrak{h}_c .) Then if $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$, it is clear that $\varpi_0(A_v) \neq 0$ and therefore the rational functions u^i are defined at A_v .

Fix an element $A \in \mathfrak{h}_{0,c}^*$ and let $W_0(A)$ be the subgroup of all $s \in W_0$ which leave A fixed. Let p_0 be the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_0)$ and $p_0(A)$ the set of those $\alpha \in p_0$ for which $A(H_\alpha) \neq 0$. Put

$$\varpi_{0,A} = \prod_{\alpha \in p_0(A)} H_\alpha.$$

Let $J(A)$ be the algebra of all invariants of $W_0(A)$ in S .

Lemma 1. *Let v be an element in $C(S)$ such that $\text{tr}_{S/J(A)}(uv) \in J$ for all $u \in S$. Then $\varpi_{0,A} \text{tr}_{S/J(A)}(v) \in S$.*

Put $v' = \text{tr}_{S/J(A)} v$. Then if $u \in J(A)$, it is clear that

$$\text{tr}_{J(A)/J}(v'u) = \text{tr}_{S/J}(vu) \in J.$$

Hence we conclude from [1(a), Lemma 12] that $\varpi_{0,A} v' \in S$.

Now put

$$U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} C u_i$$

and $\varpi_{s,\lambda} = \varpi_{0,A}$ where $A = s\lambda^y$ ($s \in W_0$). Define a rational mapping e_s ($s \in W_0$) of \mathfrak{F}'_c into U by

$$e_s(v) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} u^j(sA_v) u_j \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)).$$

Since $u^j \in C(J_1)$, it is clear that $e_{ts} = e_s$ ($t \in W_1$).

Put $W_0(s, \lambda) = W_0(s\lambda^y)$.

Lemma 2. *Fix $s \in W_0$. Then the mapping*

$$v \mapsto \varpi_{s,\lambda}(sA_v) \sum_{t \in W_0(s,\lambda)} e_{ts}(v)$$

is a polynomial mapping of \mathfrak{F}'_c into U .

Let $u \in S$ and put $u' = \text{tr}_{S/J_1} u$. Then $u' \in J_1$ and it is obvious that

$$\text{tr}_{S/J}(u^j u) = \text{tr}_{J_1/J}(u^j u') \in J \quad (1 \leq j \leq q).$$

Hence we conclude from Lemma 1 that

$$\varpi_{0,A} \text{tr}_{S/J(A)} u^j \in S$$

where $A = s\lambda^y$. Since $C(J(A))$ is the fixed field of $W_0(A) = W_0(s, \lambda)$ in $C(S)$, it follows that

$$\text{tr}_{S/J(A)} u^j = \sum_{t \in W_0(s,\lambda)} (u^j)^t.$$

Hence the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} v &\mapsto \varpi_{s, \lambda}(s A_v) \sum_{t \in W_0(s, \lambda)} \sum_j u^j(t s A_v) u_j \\ &= \varpi_{s, \lambda}(s A_v) \sum_{t \in W_0(s, \lambda)} e_{ts}(v) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

extends to a polynomial mapping of \mathfrak{F}'_c into U .

Let $p(\lambda)$ be the set of all positive roots α of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ such that $\lambda(H_\alpha) \neq 0$. Put

$$\varpi_\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in p(\lambda)} H_\alpha.$$

Lemma 3. Fix $s \in W_0$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then

$$|\varpi_{s, \lambda}(s A_v)| \geq |\varpi_{s, \lambda}(s \lambda^y)| = |\varpi_\lambda(\lambda)| > 0.$$

This is obvious from the definitions.

Now put $e_i = e_{s_i}$ and

$${}_i e = [W_1 \cap W_0(s_i, \lambda)]^{-1} \sum_{t \in W_0(s_i, \lambda)} e_{ts}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq q).$$

Let Q denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, q\}$. It is clear that ${}_i e = {}_j e$ if $s_i \lambda^y = s_j \lambda^y$ ($i, j \in Q$). Choose a maximal subset ${}^o Q$ of Q such that $s_i \lambda^y \neq s_j \lambda^y$ for $i \neq j$ in ${}^o Q$.

Lemma 4. Fix $i \in Q$. Then ${}_i e$ is a rational mapping of \mathfrak{F}'_c into U which is everywhere defined on \mathfrak{F} . Moreover the mapping

$$v \mapsto \varpi_{s_i, \lambda}(s_i A_v) {}_i e(v) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda))$$

extends to a polynomial mapping from \mathfrak{F}'_c into U . Finally

$$\sum_{i \in {}^o Q} {}_i e = 1.$$

The first two statements follow from Lemmas 2 and 3. Moreover since $\text{tr}_{J_1/J} u^j = \delta_1^j$, it is clear that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} e_i = 1.$$

The third statement is an immediate consequence of this fact.

Put

$$v_{ij}(p: v) = \text{tr}_{J_1/J} \{p - p(s_i A_v) u^j e_i(v)\} \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda), 1 \leq i, j \leq q)$$

for $p \in J_1$. Then $v_{ij}(p: v) \in J$.

Lemma 5. Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$ and $p \in J_1$. Then

- 1) $v_{ij}(p: v) \in J_{A_v}$,
- 2) $(p - p(s_i A_v)) e_i(v) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq q} v_{ik}(p: v) u_k$,
- 3) $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq q} e_k(v) = 1$,

for $1 \leq i, j \leq q$.

This follows immediately from Lemma 2.1.

We know from [1 (a), p. 256] that $J_1 = U + J_1 J_\mu$ for $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}_{0,c}^*$, the sum being direct. Hence for any $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$, we can define a representation Γ_v of J_1 on U as follows. For $p \in J_1$, $\Gamma_v(p)$ is the linear transformation on U given by

$$\Gamma_v(p)u \equiv pu \pmod{J_1 J_{A_v}} \quad (u \in U).$$

Corollary 1. Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$. Then

$$\Gamma_v(p)e_i(v) = p(s_i A_v)e_i(v), \quad \Gamma_v(e_i(v))e_j(v) = \delta_{ij}e_j(v)$$

for $p \in J_1$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq q$. Moreover

$$U = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} \mathbf{C}e_i(v).$$

This follows from Lemma 5 if we note that [1 (a), p. 259]

$$e_i(v : s_j A_v) = \sum_k u^k(s_i A_v)u_k(s_j A_v) = \delta_{ij}.$$

Corollary 2. $\Gamma_v(p e_i(v)) = p(s_i A_v)\Gamma_v(e_i(v))$ and

$$\Gamma_v(e_i(v)e_j(v)) = \delta_{ij}\Gamma(e_j(v))$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq q$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$.

This is obvious from Corollary 1 above.

Corollary 3. For any $p \in J_1$, $v \mapsto \Gamma_v(p)$ is a polynomial mapping of \mathfrak{F}_c into $\text{End } U$.

Put $p_i^j = \text{tr}_{J_1/J}(p u_i u^j) \in J$. It would be enough to verify that

$$\Gamma_v(p)u_i = \sum_j p_i^j(A_v)u_j \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}_c).$$

By Corollary 1 above, the left side is a rational function of v . Hence it would be sufficient to prove this for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$. Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_v(p)u_i &= \Gamma_v(p u_i)1 = \sum_k \Gamma_v(p u_i)e_k(v) \\ &= \sum_k p(s_k A_v)u_i(s_k A_v)e_k(v) \quad \text{from Corollary 1,} \\ &= \sum_{k,j} p(s_k A_v)u_i(s_k A_v)u^j(s_k A_v)u_j. \end{aligned}$$

But [1 (a), p. 258]

$$\sum_k (p u_i u^j)^{s_k^{-1}} = \text{tr}_{J_1/J}(p u_i u^j) = p_i^j$$

and therefore the required statement is obvious.

Corollary 4. Let $p \in J_1$. Then

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \leq q} \{\Gamma_v(p) - p(s_i A_v)\} = 0 \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}_c).$$

If $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$, then $e_i(v)$ ($1 \leq i \leq v$) is a base for U and so our statement is obvious from Corollary 1. The rest follows from Corollary 3.

Corollary 5. *Fix $i \in Q$. Then*

$$\prod_{t \in W_0(s_i, \lambda)} (\Gamma_v(p) - p(t s_i A_v)) \Gamma_v(e(v)) = 0$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}$.

This is proved in the same way by taking Lemma 4 into account.

§ 4. Application to Differential Operators

We keep to the notation of §§ 2, 3. Put $\gamma_0 = \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}_0}$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma_{\mathfrak{m}_1/\mathfrak{b}_0}$ (see [1 (e), § 11]) where $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{a}$ as in § 2. Also define $\mathfrak{M}_1 = \mathfrak{M}\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_1 = \mathfrak{Z}_M \mathfrak{A}$. (As usual \mathfrak{Z}_M is the center of \mathfrak{M} .) Finally put

$$\eta_i(v) = \gamma_1^{-1}(f_{s_i A_v}) \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$$

$$z_{ij}(\zeta : v) = \gamma_0^{-1}(v^j(\gamma_1(\zeta) : s_i A_v)) \in \mathfrak{Z} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq q)$$

for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$ in the notation of Lemma 2.1. (Here $A_v = (\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v)^v$ as in § 3.) Then for fixed i, j and ζ , $v \mapsto \eta_i(v)$ and $v \mapsto z_{ij}(\zeta : v)$ are polynomial mappings of \mathfrak{F}_c into \mathfrak{Z}_1 and \mathfrak{Z} respectively.

Put $\gamma = \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\mu = \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{m}_1}$ so that $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 \circ \mu$ [1 (e), § 11].

Lemma 1. *Define $w_j = \gamma_1^{-1}(u_j) \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$. Then*

$$1) \gamma(z_{ij}(\zeta : v) : \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v) = 0,$$

$$2) \zeta \eta_i(v) - \gamma_i(\zeta : s_i A_v) \eta_i(v) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \mu(z_{ij}(\zeta : v)) w_j$$

for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq q$.

This follows from 1) and 2) of Lemma 2.1.

Put $d(m) = d_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$ [1 (e), § 21] for $m \in M_1 = MA$ and define $v' = d^{-1} v \circ d$ ($v \in \mathfrak{M}_1$) as usual [1 (d), § 45]. Let

$$g_i(\zeta : v) = - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \{z_{ij}(\zeta : v) - \mu(z_{ij}(\zeta : v))\} w'_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq q)$$

for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$.

Corollary. $g_i(\zeta : v) \in \theta(\mathfrak{n}) \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{n}$ and

$$\zeta' \eta_i(v') - \gamma_1(\zeta : s_i A_v) \eta_i(v') = \sum_j z_{ij}(\zeta : v) w'_j + g_i(\zeta : v) \quad (1 \leq i \leq q)$$

for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$. Moreover for i and ζ fixed, $v \mapsto g_i(\zeta : v)$ is a polynomial mapping of \mathfrak{F}_c into $\theta(\mathfrak{n}) \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{n}$.

This is obvious from the above lemma if we recall [1 (d), p. 110] that

$$z - \mu(z) \in \theta(\mathfrak{n}) \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{n} \quad (z \in \mathfrak{Z}).$$

§ 5. The Basic Differential Equations

Let V be a complete, locally convex, Hausdorff space and τ a differentiable double representation of K on V [1 (e), § 19]. Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$ and let ϕ be an element in $C^\infty(G, \tau)$ [1 (e), § 19] such that

$$z\phi = \gamma(z: \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v)\phi \quad (z \in \mathfrak{Z}).$$

Put

$$\phi_i(m) = d_P(m)\phi(m; \eta_i(v)) \quad (m \in M_1).$$

Lemma 1. *Let $m \in M_1$. Then*

$$\varpi_0(A_v)d_P(m)\phi(m) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} \varepsilon(s_i)\varpi_1(s_i A_v)\phi_i(m)$$

and

$$\phi_i(m; \zeta) = \gamma_1(\zeta: s_i A_v)\phi_i(m) + d_P(m)\phi(m; g_i(\zeta: v)) \quad (1 \leq i \leq q)$$

for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$.

This follows from the corollary of Lemma 4.1.

Let α be a root of (P_0, A_0) . Fix $X \in \mathfrak{n}_0$ such that $[H, X] = \alpha(H)X$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}_0$.

Lemma 2. *Let $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $h \in A_0$. Then*

$$\phi(g_1; h; \theta(X)g_2) = e^{-\alpha(\log h)}\phi(g_1 \theta(X); h; g_2)$$

and

$$\phi(g_1 X; h; g_2) = e^{-\alpha(\log h)}\phi(g_1; h; Xg_2).$$

This is obvious.

Define

$$\psi_{i, \zeta}(m) = d_P(m)\phi(m; g_i(\zeta: v)) \quad (1 \leq i \leq q, m \in M_1)$$

for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$. It is clear that $\psi_{i, \zeta}$ depends linearly on ζ . Since $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{Z}_1$, the following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.

Lemma 3.

$$\phi_i(m \exp TH)e^{-Ts_i A_v(H)} = \phi_i(m) + \int_0^T \psi_{i, H}(m \exp tH)e^{-ts_i A_v(H)} dt \quad (1 \leq i \leq q)$$

for $m \in M_1$, $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $T \in \mathbf{R}$.

§ 6. Asymptotic Behavior of Eigenfunctions

For $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, let $\mathcal{A}(G, \tau, \lambda, v) = \mathcal{A}(\lambda, v) = \mathcal{A}(v)$ denote the space of all $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(G, \tau)$ [1 (e), § 21] such that

$$z\phi = \gamma(z: \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v)\phi \quad (z \in \mathfrak{Z}).$$

Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(v)$ and let us use the notation of § 5. Our object is to study the asymptotic behavior of ϕ_i . Put $M_1^+ = K_1 \cdot Cl(A_0^+) \cdot K_1$ as in [1 (e), § 22] where $K_1 =$

$K_M = K \cap M$. The following lemma is proved in the same way as [1 (e), Lemma 22.1].

Lemma 1. Fix $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$, $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Then we can choose numbers $c, r \geq 0$ such that

$$|\psi_{i,\zeta}(v_1; m \exp H; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq c \Xi_M(m) |(m, H)|^r e^{-\beta_P(H)}$$

for $m \in M_1^+$ and $H \in Cl \mathfrak{a}^+$.

Here the notation is the same as in [1 (e), Lemma 22.3].

Let λ_i ($i \in Q$) denote the restriction of $s_i \lambda^y$ on \mathfrak{a} . We decompose Q into three disjoint sets Q^+ , Q^0 and Q^- as follows. An element $i \in Q$ lies in Q^+ if $\lambda_i(H) > 0$ for some $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, $i \in Q^0$ if $\lambda_i = 0$ and $i \in Q^-$ if $\lambda_i(H) < 0$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Define

$$\phi_{i\infty}(m) = \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_i(m \exp TH) e^{-T s_i A_v(H)} \quad (m \in M_1)$$

for $i \in Q^0$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. One proves as in [1 (e), § 22] that this limit exists and is independent of the choice of H . Moreover $\phi_{i\infty} \in \mathcal{A}(M_1, \tau_M)$. Define $\phi_{i\infty} = 0$ for $i \in Q^+ \cup Q^-$.

Choose a number δ ($0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$) such that

$$\lambda_i(H) \leq -\delta \beta_P(H)$$

for all $i \in Q^-$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. We have seen in [1 (e), § 22] that this is possible.

Lemma 2. Let $i \in Q$. Then $\phi_{i\infty} = 0$ unless $i \in Q^0$. Moreover $\phi_{i\infty} \in \mathcal{A}(M_1, \tau_M)$ and

$$\zeta \phi_{i\infty} = \gamma_1(\zeta; s_i A_v) \phi_{i\infty} \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1).$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_i(v_1; m \exp TH; v_2) - \phi_{i\infty}(v_1; m \exp TH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ & \leq e^{-T \delta \beta_P(H)} \left\{ |\phi_i(v_1; m; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \int_0^{\infty} |\psi_{i,H}(v_1; m \exp tH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} e^{t \beta_P(H)/2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$, $m \in M_1$, $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, $T \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. (In case $P = G$, the right side should be replaced by zero.)

This is proved in the same way as [1 (e), Theorem 22.1].

Lemma 3. Fix i ($1 \leq i \leq q$) and suppose $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$. Then $\phi_{i\infty} = 0$ unless $s_i^{-1} \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{h}_R^{\mathfrak{k}_0}$.

Suppose $\phi_{i\infty} \neq 0$. Clearly \mathfrak{h}_0 is a θ -stable Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{M}_1 . Hence by [1 (e), Lemma 29.3] we can choose $s \in W(\mathfrak{m}_1/\mathfrak{h}_0)$ such that

$$s_i(\lambda - (-1)^{1/2} v)^y = s \theta s_i(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v)^y.$$

Choose $x \in G_c$ such that $x y^{-1} = s_i$ on \mathfrak{h}_0 . Then

$$(\lambda - (-1)^{1/2} v)^x = s \theta(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v)^x$$

and $x \cdot \mathfrak{h}_c = \mathfrak{h}_{0c}$ since $y \cdot \mathfrak{h}_c = \mathfrak{h}_{0c}$ (see § 3). Fix $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Then we conclude from [1 (e), Lemma 33.1] that

$$\mathfrak{a} \subset x \cdot \mathfrak{h}_R = s_i \mathfrak{h}_R^y = s_i \mathfrak{h}_R^{k_0}.$$

This proves the lemma.

§ 7. The Functions $\phi_{P,s}$

Let $P = MAN$ be a psgp of G . Given $k \in K$, let s denote the restriction of $\text{Ad}(k)$ on \mathfrak{a} . Then s determines the coset kK_M completely. Hence if H is any subgroup of G which is normalized by K_M , we can define $H^s = H^k = kHk^{-1}$. In particular $P^s = M^s A^s N^s$. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(MA, \tau_M)$, we define $\phi^k = \phi^s \in \mathcal{A}((MA)^s, \tau_{M^s})$ by

$$\phi^s(m^k) = \tau(k)\phi(m)\tau(k^{-1}) \quad (m \in MA).$$

It is easy to see that ϕ^s depends only on s . Similarly we define

$$\zeta^s = \zeta^k = \text{Ad}(k)\zeta \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_M \mathfrak{A}), \quad a^s = a^k \quad (a \in A).$$

If \mathfrak{h} is a Cartan subalgebra on \mathfrak{g} , sometimes it will be convenient to write $\gamma_{G/\mathfrak{h}}$ instead of $\gamma_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}$.

Let $P' = M' A' N'$ be another psgp of G . Then we have [1 (e), § 5] the finite set $\mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a}'|\mathfrak{a})$ of linear injections of \mathfrak{a} into \mathfrak{a}' . For every $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a}'|\mathfrak{a})$ we can choose $k \in K$ such that $\text{Ad}(k) = s$ on \mathfrak{a} [1 (e), § 5]. Put $\mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a}|\mathfrak{a})$. Then $\mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a group of linear transformations in \mathfrak{a} .

Fix λ as in § 6.

Theorem 1. *Suppose $\nu \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(G, \tau, \lambda, \nu)$ in the notation of § 6. Put $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R|\mathfrak{a})$. Then there exist unique functions $\phi_{P,s} \in \mathcal{A}(M_1, \tau_M)$ ($s \in \mathfrak{w}$) with the following two properties.*

- 1) $\phi_P(m) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}} \phi_{P,s}(m) \quad (m \in M_1)$,
- 2) $\zeta \phi_{P,s} = \gamma_{M^s/\mathfrak{h}}(\zeta^s: \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu) \phi_{P,s} \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1, s \in \mathfrak{w})$.

Here ϕ_P is the constant term of ϕ along P [1 (e), § 21].

Corollary. $\phi_{P,s}(ma) = \phi_{P,s}(m) e^{(-1)^{1/2} \nu(\log a^s)} \quad (m \in M_1, a \in A, s \in \mathfrak{w})$.

Since $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{Z}_1$, the corollary is obvious from the second statement of the theorem. First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Given $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R|\mathfrak{a})$, there exists a unique index i ($1 \leq i \leq q$) such that $sH = \text{Ad}(k_0^{-1}) s_i^{-1} H$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.*

Choose a representative $k \in K$ for s . (This means that $\text{Ad}(k) = s$ on \mathfrak{a} .) Then

$$(\mathfrak{a})^{k_0 k} \subset \mathfrak{h}_R^{k_0} \subset \mathfrak{a}_0.$$

Hence we can choose $t \in W_0 = W(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}_0)$ such that $\text{Ad}(k_0 k) = t^{-1}$ on \mathfrak{a} . Clearly the coset $W_1 t$ is uniquely determined by this condition. Hence there exists a unique i such that $W_1 t = W_1 s_i$. This s_i satisfies our condition.

Lemma 2. *Let s and i be related as in Lemma 1. Then*

$$\gamma_1(\zeta: s_i A_v) = \gamma_{M_1^s/b}(\zeta^s: \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu) \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1).$$

Choose $y_i \in G_c$ such that $y_i = s_i$ on \mathfrak{h}_0 and define k as in the proof of Lemma 1. Then it is clear that

$$m_1 = y_i \text{Ad}(k_0 k) \in M_{1c}$$

where M_{1c} is the centralizer of \mathfrak{a} in G_c . Now $s_i A_v = (\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu)^{y_i y}$ and

$$y_i y = m_1 \text{Ad}(k_0 k)^{-1} y.$$

Moreover $\text{Ad}(k_0^{-1}) y$ centralizes \mathfrak{h}_R (see § 3) and $\mathfrak{h}_R \supset s \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}^k$. Hence

$$m_2 = \text{Ad}(k_0 k)^{-1} y \text{Ad}(k) \in M_{1c}.$$

Put

$$m = m_1 m_2 = y_i y \text{Ad}(k) \in M_{1c}$$

so that $y_i y = m \text{Ad}(k^{-1})$. Since (§ 3)

$$(y_i y)^{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{0c} = y^{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{0c} = \mathfrak{h}_c$$

it follows that $m^{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{0c} = \mathfrak{h}_c^{k^{-1}}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(\zeta: s_i A_v) &= \gamma_{M_1/b_0}(\zeta: (\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu)^{y_i y}) \\ &= \gamma_{M_1/b^{k^{-1}}}(\zeta: (\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu)^{k^{-1}}) = \gamma_{M_1^s/b}(\zeta^s: \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu). \end{aligned}$$

We now come to the proof of Theorem 1. Since $\varpi_0(A_v) \neq 0$, it is clear that $s A_v \neq t A_v$ for $s \neq t$ in W_0 . Hence $s_i A_v$ and $s_j A_v$ cannot be conjugate under W_1 unless $i = j$. Put

$$\chi_s(\zeta) = \gamma_{M_1^s/b}(\zeta^s: \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu) \quad (s \in \mathfrak{w}, \zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1).$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2 that $\chi_s \neq \chi_t$ if $s \neq t$ in \mathfrak{w} . The uniqueness of $\phi_{P,s}$ is now obvious. On the other hand if s and i are related by Lemma 1 and we set

$$\phi_{P,s} = \varpi_{01}(s_i A_v)^{-1} \phi_{i\infty},$$

it follows from Lemmas 5.1 and 6.2 that all the conditions of Theorem 1 are fulfilled and this completes the proof.

We state the above result as a lemma for later reference.

Lemma 3. *Suppose s and i are related as in Lemma 1. Then*

$$\phi_{P,s} = \varpi_{01}(s_i A_v)^{-1} \phi_{i\infty}.$$

Let $(P', A') \prec (P, A)$ be another p -pair in G and put $*P = P' \cap (MA)$. Then $(*P, A')$ is a p -pair in M_1 . For any $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})$, let $\mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a}')$ be the set of all $t \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a}')$ such that $t = s$ on \mathfrak{a} . (We note that $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}'$.)

Fix $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})$ and choose a representative $k \in K$ for s . Put

$$\psi = (\phi_{P,s})^s \in \mathcal{A}((MA)^s, \tau_{M^s}).$$

Then

$$\zeta \psi = \gamma_{M_1^s/\mathfrak{h}}(\zeta: \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu) \psi \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1^s).$$

and $*P^k = (*P)^k$ is a psgp of M_1^s with split component $(A')^k$.

Lemma 4. For any $t \in \mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha')$,

$$(\phi_{P',i})^t = (\psi_{*P^k, t \circ k^{-1}})^{t \circ k^{-1}}.$$

Here $t \circ k^{-1}$ denotes the mapping $H \mapsto t(\text{Ad}(k^{-1})H)$ ($H \in (\alpha')^k$) of α'^k into \mathfrak{h}_R . We know [1(e), Lemma 21.1] that

$$(\phi_{P,s})_{*P} = (\psi_{*P^k})^{k^{-1}}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{w}_0(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha'^k)$ denote the set of all $t' \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha'^k)$ such that $t' = \text{Ad}(m^k)$ on α'^k for some $m \in M_1$. Then it is easy to verify that $t \mapsto t \circ k^{-1}$ is a bijection of $\mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha')$ on $\mathfrak{w}_0(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha'^k)$. Therefore by applying Theorem 1 to (M_1^s, ψ) in place of (G, ϕ) , we conclude that

$$\psi_{*P^k} = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha')} \psi_{*P^k, t \circ k^{-1}}.$$

Now put $M'_1 = M' A'$, $\mathfrak{Z}'_1 = \mathfrak{Z}_{M'} \mathfrak{A}'$,

$$\chi_t(\eta) = \gamma_{(M'_1)^s/\mathfrak{h}}(\eta^t: \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} \nu) \quad (\eta \in \mathfrak{Z}'_1)$$

and

$$\Psi(t) = (\psi_{*P^k, t \circ k^{-1}})^{k^{-1}}$$

for $t \in \mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha')$. Then

$$\eta \Psi(t) = \chi_t(\eta) \Psi(t) \quad (\eta \in \mathfrak{Z}'_1).$$

On the other hand $\phi_{P'} = (\phi_P)_{*P}$ [1(e), Lemma 21.1]. Hence

$$\phi_{P'} = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha)} (\phi_{P,s})_{*P}.$$

For every $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha)$, choose a representative k_s in K and define

$$\Psi(s, t) = (\psi_{*P^k, t \circ k^{-1}})^{k^{-1}} \quad (t \in \mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha')),$$

with $\psi = (\phi_{P,s})^s$ and $k = k_s$. Then, by the above result,

$$(\phi_{P,s})_{*P} = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha')} \Psi(s, t)$$

and

$$\eta \Psi(s, t) = \chi_t(\eta) \Psi(s, t) \quad (\eta \in \mathfrak{Z}'_1)$$

for $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha)$ and $t \in \mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha')$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{P'} &= \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha)} (\phi_{P,s})_{*P} \\ &= \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha)} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \alpha')} \Psi(s, t). \end{aligned}$$

It is now obvious from Theorem 1 that

$$\phi_{P',t} = \Psi(s, t)$$

for $t \in \mathfrak{w}_s(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a}')$ and the statement of the lemma follows immediately.

We define the space ${}^o\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$ as in [1(e), § 19].

Lemma 5. Fix $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})$ and let f denote the restriction of $\phi_{P,s}$ on M . Then if $\text{prk } P = \dim \mathfrak{h}_R$, $f \in {}^o\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$.

Let $*P = *M *A *N$ be a psgp of M with $\text{prk } *P \geq 1$. Then by [1(e), Lemma 25.1], it is enough to verify that $f_{*P} = 0$. Let $P' = M' A' N'$ be the psgp of G corresponding to $*P$ [1(e), Lemma 6.1] so that $(P', A') \prec (P, A)$. Then

$$\text{prk } P' = \text{prk } *P + \text{prk } P > \dim \mathfrak{h}_R$$

and therefore $\mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a}')$ is empty. Fix a representative $k \in K$ for s and put $\psi = (\phi_{P,s})^s$, $Q = (P' \cap M_1)^k$. Then Q is a psgp of $M_1 = MA$ and it follows from the proof of Lemma 4 that $\psi_Q = 0$. Since [1(e), Lemma 21.1]

$$f_{*P} = (\psi_Q)^{k^{-1}}$$

on $*M *A$, we conclude that $f_{*P} = 0$.

§ 8. Functions of Type II(λ)

Now, instead of keeping \bar{v} fixed, we shall allow it to vary in \mathfrak{F} . Note that \mathfrak{h}_R , being a subspace of \mathfrak{g} , has a Euclidean norm. Hence, by duality, the same holds for \mathfrak{F} . Put

$$|(v, x)| = (1 + |v|)(1 + \sigma(x))$$

for $(v, x) \in \mathfrak{F} \times G$. Let $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{F}_c)$ denote the algebra of polynomial differential operators on \mathfrak{F} (or \mathfrak{F}_c) [1(c), § 3]. Put $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathfrak{G}^{(2)}$ [1(e), § 15]. Let ϕ be a C^∞ function from $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ to V . For $D \in \mathfrak{G}$, $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ and $r \geq 0$, put

$$s_{D,r}(\phi) = \sup_{\mathfrak{F} \times G} |D\phi|_s \Xi^{-1} |(v, x)|^{-r}$$

in the notation of [1(e), § 15]. If F is a finite subset of \mathfrak{G} , we set

$$s_{F,r}(\phi) = \sum_{D \in F} s_{D,r}(\phi).$$

A function $\phi: \mathfrak{F} \times G \rightarrow V$ will be said to be of type II(λ) if the following conditions hold.

- 1) ϕ is of class C^∞ .
- 2) For any $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, the function $\phi_v = \phi(v)$ is a τ -spherical function on G and

$$z\phi_v = \gamma_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}(z: \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v) \phi_v \quad (z \in \mathfrak{Z}).$$

- 3) For any $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, we can choose a number $r \geq 0$ such that $s_{D,r}(\phi) < \infty$.

Fix a function ϕ of type $II(\lambda)$ and let us use the notation of § 5. Then ϕ_i and $\psi_{i,\zeta}$ ($\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$) are now functions on $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1$. Put

$$|(v, x, X)| = (1 + |v|)(1 + \sigma(x))(1 + \|X\|)$$

for $(v, x, X) \in \mathfrak{F} \times G \times \mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 1. Fix $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$, $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$, $p \in S(\mathfrak{F}_c)$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Then we can choose $c, r \geq 0$ such that

$$|\psi_{i,\zeta}(v; \partial(p): v_1; m \exp H; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq c \Xi_M(m) |(v, m, H)|^r e^{-\beta_P(H)}$$

for $m \in M_1^+$, $H \in C\mathfrak{a}^+$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $1 \leq i \leq q$.

The proof is the same as for Lemma 6.1.

It follows without difficulty from the above estimates that $\phi_{i\infty}$, regarded as functions on $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1$, are of class C^∞ . In fact we have the following analogue of Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 2. 1) $\phi_{i\infty}(v; m; \zeta) = \gamma_1(\zeta: s_i A_v) \phi_{i\infty}(v; m)$ ($\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$).

Given $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$, $p \in S(\mathfrak{F}_c)$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, we can choose $c, r \geq 0$ such that

$$2) |\phi_{i\infty}(v; \partial(p): v_1; m; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq c \Xi_M(m) |(v, m)|^r.$$

Finally

$$3) |\phi_i(v; v_1; m \exp TH; v_2) - \phi_{i\infty}(v; v_1; m \exp TH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}}$$

$$\leq e^{-T\delta\beta_P(H)} \left\{ |\phi_i(v; v_1; m; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \int_0^\infty |\psi_{i,H}(v; v_1; m \exp tH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} e^{t\beta_P(H)/2} dt \right\}$$

for $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, $T \geq 0$.

Here $i \in Q$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, $m \in M_1$ and the right side in 3) is to be replaced by zero in case $P = G$.

We have only to comment on the proof of 2). Put

$$\phi_i^o(v; m; H) = \phi_i(v; m \exp H) e^{-s_i A_v(H)},$$

$$\psi_{i,\zeta}^o(v; m; H) = \psi_{i,\zeta}(v; m \exp H) e^{-s_i A_v(H)} \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1),$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, $m \in M_1$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then

$$\phi_i^o(v; m; TH) = \phi_i(v; m) + \int_0^T \psi_{i,H}^o(v; m; tH) dt \quad (T \in \mathbf{R})$$

from Lemma 5.3. Now if $i \in Q^o$, it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{i\infty}(v; \partial(p): v_1; m; v_2) &= \phi_i(v; \partial(p): v_1; m; v_2) \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \psi_{i,H}^o(v; \partial(p): v_1; m; v_2; tH) dt \end{aligned}$$

for $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $p \in S(\mathfrak{F}_c)$. Now fix p . Then it is obvious that

$$\partial(p) \circ e^{-s_i A_v(H)} = e^{-s_i A_v(H)} \partial(p_H) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a})$$

where $H \mapsto p_H$ is a polynomial mapping of \mathfrak{a} in $S(\mathfrak{F}_c)$. Hence 2) is an easy consequence of Lemma 1 and standard arguments [1(d), p. 69]. (We recall that by Lemma 6.2 $\phi_{i\infty} = 0$ unless $i \in Q^\circ$.)

Put $\phi_P(v) = (\phi_v)_P$ ($v \in \mathfrak{F}$) and $\phi_{P,s}(v) = (\phi_v)_{P,s}$ for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$ and $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R|\mathfrak{a})$.

Lemma 3. *Suppose $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$. Then*

$$\phi_P(v) = \sum_{i \in Q^\circ} \varpi_{01}(s_i A_v)^{-1} \phi_{i\infty}(v) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R|\mathfrak{a})} \phi_{P,s}(v).$$

This is obvious from the results of § 7.

§ 9. Functions of Type $II'(\lambda)$

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all psgps of G . We keep to the notation of § 8.

Let ϕ be a function from $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ to V . We say that ϕ is of type $II'(\lambda)$, if it is of type $II(\lambda)$ and the following additional condition holds. Given $P = MAN$ in \mathcal{P} and $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R|\mathfrak{a})$, the function $(\phi_{P,s})^s$ on $\mathfrak{F}'(\lambda) \times (MA)^s$ extends (uniquely) to a function of type $II(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{F} \times (MA)^s$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose ϕ is of type $II'(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$. Then for any $P = MAN$ in \mathcal{P} and $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R|\mathfrak{a})$, $(\phi_{P,s})^s$ is of type $II'(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{F} \times (MA)^s$.*

This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7.4.

Theorem 1. *Suppose ϕ is a function of type $II(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$. Define*

$$\psi(v : x) = \varpi(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v) \phi(v : x) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}, x \in G).$$

Then ψ is of type $II'(\lambda)$.

This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 7.3 and 8.2.

§ 10. Continuity of ϕ_P

Fix a function ϕ of type $II(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ and a psgp $P = MAN$ of G . We intend to show that ϕ_P is a continuous function on $\mathfrak{F} \times MA$. So we may assume that $P \neq G$.

We use the notation of § 3. Let U^* be the space dual to U and (u_1^*, \dots, u_q^*) the base for U^* dual to (u_1, \dots, u_q) . For any $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, we have defined in § 3 a representation Γ_v of J_1 on U . The corresponding (right-)representation Γ_v^* on U^* is given by

$$\langle u^* \Gamma_v^*(p), u \rangle = \langle u^*, \Gamma_v(p) u \rangle \quad (p \in J_1, u \in U, u^* \in U^*).$$

Define γ_1 as in § 4 and put $\eta_i = \gamma_1^{-1}(u_i) \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$).

We regard U^* as a Hilbert space with (u_1^*, \dots, u_q^*) as an orthonormal base. Put $V = V \otimes U^*$. Then by letting K act trivially on U^* , we get a double representation τ of K on V . Put

$$\Gamma_v(\zeta) = 1 \otimes \Gamma_v^*(\gamma_1(\zeta)) \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1).$$

Then Γ_v is a right-representation of \mathfrak{Z}_1 on V which commutes with τ .

We now proceed in the same way as in [1(e), § 22]. If $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ and

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} v_i \otimes u_i^* \quad (v_i \in V),$$

we put

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{v}) = |\mathbf{v}|_{\mathbf{s}} = \left(\sum_i |v_i|_{\mathbf{s}}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\|T\|$ denote the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of a linear transformation T on U^* . (We write T on the right.) Then it is easy to verify that

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{v} \cdot (1 \otimes T)) \leq \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{v}) \|T\| \quad (\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V), \mathbf{v} \in V).$$

Now define a C^∞ function Φ from $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1$ to V by

$$\Phi(v; m) = d(m) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} \phi(v; m; \eta_i) \otimes u_i^* \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}, m \in M_1).$$

Here $M_1 = MA$, $d(m) = d_p(m)$ ($m \in M_1$) and $v' = d^{-1} v \circ d$ for $v \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ as in § 4. Fix $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and consider $\Phi(v; m; \zeta)$. Put $p = \gamma_1(\zeta) \in J_1$. Then

$$p u_i = \Gamma_v(p) u_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} v_{ij}(p; v) u_j \quad (1 \leq i \leq q)$$

where

$$v_{ij}(p; v) = \text{tr}_{J_1/J} \{ u^j(p u_i - \Gamma_v(p) u_i) \} \in J_{A_v}$$

from the definition of $\Gamma_v(p)$. Define γ_0 and μ as in § 4 and put

$$z_{ij}(\zeta; v) = \gamma_0^{-1}(v_{ij}(p; v)) \in \mathfrak{Z}.$$

Then it is clear that

$$\gamma(z_{ij}(\zeta; v): \lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v) = 0$$

and [1(d), p. 110]

$$g_{ij}(\zeta; v) = z_{ij}(\zeta; v) - \mu(z_{ij}(\zeta; v))' \in \theta(\mathfrak{n}) \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{n}.$$

Put

$$g_i(\zeta; v) = - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} g_{ij}(\zeta; v) \eta_j'.$$

Then $g_i(\zeta; v)$ is linear in ζ and for fixed i and ζ , $v \mapsto g_i(\zeta; v)$ is a polynomial mapping of \mathfrak{F} into $\theta(\mathfrak{n}) \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{n}$ by Corollary 3 of Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 1. Fix $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and put

$$\Psi_\zeta(v; m) = d(m) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} \phi(v; m; g_i(\zeta; v)) \otimes u_i^* \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}, m \in M_1).$$

Then

$$\Phi(v; m; \zeta) = \Phi(v; m) \Gamma_v(\zeta) + \Psi_\zeta(v; m)$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $m \in M_1$.

Let $p = \gamma_1(\zeta)$. Then

$$\sum_i u_i \otimes u_i^* \Gamma_v^*(p) = \sum_i \Gamma_v(p) u_i \otimes u_i^* = \sum_i p u_i \otimes u_i^* - \sum_{i,j} v_{ij}(p:v) u_j \otimes u_i^*$$

in $J_1 \otimes U^*$. Therefore since $\gamma_1(\mu(z_{ij}(\zeta:v))) = v_{ij}(p:v)$ and $z_{ij}(\zeta:v) \phi(v) = 0$, we conclude that

$$\Phi(v:m) \Gamma_v(\zeta) = d(m) \sum_i \phi(v:m; \zeta' \eta'_i) \otimes u_i^* - d(m) \sum_i \phi(v:m; g_i(\zeta:v)) \otimes u_i^*$$

and this implies our assertion.

Lemma 2. *Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then*

$$\Phi(v:m \exp TH) e^{-T\Gamma_v(H)} = \Phi(v:m) + \int_0^T \Psi_H(v:m \exp tH) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H)} dt$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, $m \in M_1$ and $T \in \mathbf{R}$.

Since $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{J}_1$, this is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.

Put

$$E_i(v) = \Gamma_v^*(i e(v)) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}, i \in Q)$$

in the notation of Lemma 3.4. Then it is clear that E_i is a C^∞ function from \mathfrak{F} to $\text{End } U^*$ and

$$\sum_{i \in {}^o Q} E_i(v) = 1.$$

Moreover it is easy to verify from Corollary 2 of Lemma 3.5 that

$$E_i(v) E_j(v) = \delta_{ij} E_j(v) \quad (i, j \in {}^o Q).$$

Put $\mathbf{E}_i(v) = 1 \otimes E_i(v)$. Since J_1 is an abelian algebra, it is obvious that $\mathbf{E}_i(v)$ commutes with $\Gamma_v(\zeta)$ ($\zeta \in \mathfrak{J}_1$) and the operations of K on \mathbf{V} . Put

$$\Phi_i(v) = \Phi(v) \mathbf{E}_i(v) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}).$$

Then the following result is immediate.

Lemma 3. *Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then*

$$\Phi_i(v:m \exp TH) e^{-T\Gamma_v(H)} = \Phi_i(v:m) + \int_0^T \Psi_H(v:m \exp tH) \mathbf{E}_i(v) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H)} dt$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, $m \in M_1$, $T \in \mathbf{R}$ and $i \in Q$.

Let λ_i ($i \in Q$) denote the restriction of $s_i \lambda^y$ on \mathfrak{a} as in § 6.

Lemma 4. *Put*

$$\Gamma_i(v:H) = E_i(v) e^{\Gamma_v^*(H) - \lambda_i(H)}$$

for $i \in Q$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then we can choose $c_0, r_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\Gamma_i(v:H)\| \leq c_0(1 + \|H\|)^{r_0}(1 + |v|)^{r_0} \quad (i \in Q, H \in \mathfrak{a}, v \in \mathfrak{F}).$$

Put

$$F_i(v:H) = E_i(v)(\Gamma_v^*(H) - \lambda_i(H))$$

and fix $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. We claim that all eigenvalues of $F_i(v; H)$ are purely imaginary. Since $F_i(v; H)$ is a continuous function of $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, it would be enough to verify this for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$. But this follows from Corollary 1 of Lemma 3.5 since

$$\Gamma_v(H) e_j(v) = s_j A_v(H) e_j(v) \quad (1 \leq j \leq q).$$

Now

$$\Gamma_i(v; H) = E_i(v) e^{F_i(v; H)}.$$

Since

$$v \mapsto \varpi_{s_i, \lambda}(s_i A_v) E_i(v)$$

is a polynomial mapping (Lemma 3.4) and

$$|\varpi_{s_i, \lambda}(s_i A_v)| \geq |\varpi_\lambda(\lambda)| > 0$$

(Lemma 3.3), the required result follows from [1(a), Lemma 60].

Lemma 5. Fix $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$, $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Then we can choose $c, r \geq 0$ such that

$$|\Psi_\zeta(v; v_1; m \exp H; v_2)|_s \leq c \Xi_M(m) |(v, m, H)|^r e^{-\beta_P(H)}$$

for $m \in M_1^+$, $H \in Cl_{\mathfrak{a}^+}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}$.

We recall that $v \mapsto g_j(\zeta; v)$ ($1 \leq j \leq q$) are polynomial mappings of \mathfrak{F} into $\theta(\mathfrak{n}) \otimes \mathfrak{n}$. Therefore our assertion follows without difficulty from Lemma 5.2.

Define Q^+ , Q^o and Q^- as in §6. Then (see §6) we can choose δ ($0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$) such that

$$\lambda_i(H) \leq -\delta \beta_P(H)$$

for all $i \in Q^-$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$.

Fix $i \in Q^o$, $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$, $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Then it follows from Lemmas 4 and 5 that the integral

$$\int_0^\infty |\Psi_H(v; v_1; m \exp t H; v_2)|_s \|F_i(v; -tH)\| dt$$

converges uniformly as v and m vary within compact subsets of \mathfrak{F} and M_1 respectively. Put

$$\Phi_{i\infty}(v; m) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi_i(v; m \exp t H) e^{-tF_v(H)} \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}, m \in M_1).$$

Then, from Lemma 3, this limit exists and we prove as in [1(e), §22] that it is independent of $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Moreover $\Phi_{i\infty}$ is a continuous function from $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1$ to V which is differentiable in $m \in M_1$. In fact

$$\Phi_{i\infty}(v; v_1; m; v_2) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi_i(v; v_1; m \exp t H; v_2) e^{-tF_v(H)}$$

for $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$.

Define $\Phi_{i\infty} = 0$ for $i \in Q^+ \cup Q^-$.

Lemma 6. Fix $i \in Q$. Then

$$\Phi_{i\infty}(v; m; \zeta) = \Phi_{i\infty}(v; m) \Gamma_v(\zeta) \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Phi_i(v; v_1; m \exp TH; v_2) - \Phi_{i\infty}(v; v_1; m \exp TH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ & \leq e^{-\delta T \beta_P(H)} \left\{ |\Phi(v; v_1; m; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \|\Gamma_i(v; TH)\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^{\infty} |\Psi_H(v; v_1; m \exp tH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \|\Gamma_i(v; (T-t)H)\| e^{t\beta_P(H)/2} dt \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$, $m \in M_1$, $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ and $T \geq 0$.

This is proved in the same way as [1(e), Theorem 22.1].

Put ${}^{\circ}Q^{\circ} = {}^{\circ}Q \cap Q^{\circ}$. Since

$$\sum_{i \in {}^{\circ}Q} E_i(v) = 1,$$

we get the following corollary.

Corollary.

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Phi(v; v_1; m \exp TH; v_2) - \sum_{i \in {}^{\circ}Q^{\circ}} \Phi_{i\infty}(v; v_1; m \exp TH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ & \leq e^{-\delta T \beta_P(H)} \sum_{i \in {}^{\circ}Q^{\circ}} \left\{ |\Phi(v; v_1; m; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \|\Gamma_i(v; TH)\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^{\infty} |\Psi_H(v; v_1; m \exp tH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \|\Gamma_i(v; (T-t)H)\| e^{t\beta_P(H)/2} dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define functions ψ_i ($i \in Q$) from $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1$ to V by the formula

$$\sum_{i \in {}^{\circ}Q^{\circ}} \Phi_{i\infty} = \sum_{i \in Q} \psi_i \otimes u_i^*.$$

Since $u_1 = 1$, it is clear from the above results and the definition of ϕ_P [1(e), Theorem 21.1] that $\psi_1 = \phi_P$. The following result is now obvious from Lemma 6.

Lemma 7. Fix $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$. Then the function $(v, m) \mapsto \phi_P(v; v_1; m; v_2)$ is continuous on $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1$. Moreover for each $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, we can choose $c, r \geq 0$ such that

$$|\phi_P(v; v_1; m; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq c \Xi_M(m) |(v, m)|^r$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $m \in M_1$.

Corollary. Suppose ϕ is of type $II'(\lambda)$. Then

$$\phi_P = \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}} | \mathfrak{a})} \phi_{P, \mathfrak{s}}$$

on $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1$.

By Lemma 8.3 the equality holds on $\mathfrak{F}'(\lambda) \times M_1$. But since both sides are continuous, it must hold on $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1$.

We recall that $P \neq G$. Fix a compact subset Ω of \mathfrak{a}^+ and choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\beta_P(H) \geq 2\varepsilon_0$ for all $H \in \Omega$. Put $\varepsilon = \delta\varepsilon_0$. Then the following result is an easy consequence of the corollary of Lemma 6.

Lemma 8. *Given $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, we can choose $c, r \geq 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & |d_P(m \exp TH) \phi(v: v'_1; m \exp TH; v'_2) - \phi_P(v: v_1; m \exp TH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ & \leq c e^{-\varepsilon T} \Xi_M(m) |(v, m)|^r \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}$, $m \in M_1^+$, $H \in \Omega$ and $T \geq 0$.

§ 11. A Criterion for a Function to be of Type $II'(\lambda)$

We assume in this section that τ is a unitary [1(e), § 20]. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}_R)$ denote the set of all psgps $P = MAN$ of G such that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_R$. Clearly M is independent of $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}_R)$.

Let ϕ be a function on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ of type $II(\lambda)$. Put $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_R$ and fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$, $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$ ($P = MAN$). Then the function $m \mapsto \phi_{P,s}(v: m)$ ($m \in M$) lies in ${}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$ (Lemma 7.5). We observe that ${}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$, being a closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$ [1(e), § 18], is a locally convex space.

Lemma 1. *Let ϕ be a function on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ of type $II(\lambda)$ and $P' = M' A' N'$ a psgp of G . Then $\phi_{P'}(v) \sim 0$ ($v \in \mathfrak{F}$) unless \mathfrak{a}' is a conjugate to \mathfrak{a} under K .*

Fix $\mathfrak{a}' \in A'$, $f \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M', \tau_{M'})$ and assume that \mathfrak{a}' is not conjugate to \mathfrak{a} under K . Then it follows from [1(e), Theorem 29.1] that

$$\int_{M'} (f(m'), \phi_{P'}(v: m' \mathfrak{a}')) dm' = 0$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$. On the other hand, it is obvious from Lemma 10.7 that the left side is a continuous function of $v \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence $\phi_{P'}(v) \sim 0$ for all $v \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Corollary. *Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}$ and suppose $\phi_P(v) = 0$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$. Then $\phi(v) = 0$.*

This is an immediate consequence of [1(e), Lemma 25.2] and the above result.

Theorem 1. *Let ϕ be as above and S a collection of continuous seminorms on ${}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$. We assume that $f \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$ and $\mathfrak{s}(f) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{s} \in S$, implies that $f = 0$. Then, in order that ϕ be of type $II'(\lambda)$, it is necessary and sufficient that the following condition holds. For $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a})$, let $f_{P,s}(v)$ denote the restriction of $\phi_{P,s}(v)$ on M ($v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$). Then $\mathfrak{s}(f_{P,s}(v))$ should remain locally bounded on \mathfrak{F} for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$, $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in S$.*

For example we can take S to consist of the single element \mathfrak{s} given by

$$\mathfrak{s}(f) = \|f\|_M \quad (f \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)),$$

where

$$\|f\|_M^2 = \int_M |f(m)|^2 dm.$$

We first need a simple result.

Lemma 2. *Let $H_0 \neq 0$ be a point in \mathfrak{a} and ϕ a function of type $II(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ such that $\phi(v) = 0$ whenever $v(H_0) = 0$ ($v \in \mathfrak{F}$). Then the function*

$$\psi(v: x) = v(H_0)^{-1} \phi(v: x) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}, x \in G)$$

is also of type $II(\lambda)$.

This follows from Lemma 22.1.

Now we come to the proof of Theorem 1. If ϕ is of type $II'(\lambda)$, then for fixed $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a})$, $f_{P,s}$ defines a C^∞ mapping from \mathfrak{F} to ${}^o\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$ (see Lemma 12.1 below). Hence our condition is certainly necessary. So it remains to verify that it is sufficient.

Put

$$\psi(v: x) = \varpi(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v) \phi(v: x) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}, x \in G).$$

Then by Theorem 9.1, ψ is of type $II'(\lambda)$. Let p be the set of all positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $p(\lambda)$ the subset of those $\alpha \in p$ for which $\lambda(H_\alpha) \neq 0$ and $p'(\lambda)$ the complement of $p(\lambda)$ in p . Put

$$\varpi_\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in p(\lambda)} H_\alpha, \quad \varpi'_\lambda = \prod_{\alpha \in p'(\lambda)} H_\alpha.$$

Then $\varpi = \varpi_\lambda \cdot \varpi'_\lambda$ and

$$|\varpi_\lambda(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v)| \geq |\varpi_\lambda(\lambda)| > 0 \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}).$$

Hence it follows without difficulty that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(v: x) &= \varpi_\lambda(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v)^{-1} \psi(v: x) \\ &= \varpi'_\lambda(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v) \phi(v: x) \end{aligned}$$

is a function of type $II'(\lambda)$. Since λ is a regular element in $(-1)^{1/2} \mathfrak{h}_I^*$ (see § 3), it is clear that we can choose elements $H_i \neq 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) in \mathfrak{a} and a complex number $c \neq 0$ such that

$$\varpi'_\lambda(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v) = c \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} v(H_i) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}).$$

Hence it is enough to prove the following result.

Lemma 3. *Put*

$$Q(v) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} v(H_i) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F})$$

where $H_i \neq 0$ are elements in \mathfrak{a} . Suppose ϕ satisfies the condition of Theorem 1 and

$$\psi(v: x) = Q(v) \phi(v: x) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}, x \in G)$$

is a function of type $II'(\lambda)$. Then ϕ is also of type $II'(\lambda)$.

By induction we are reduced to the case $r = 1$. Fix a psgp $P' = M' A' N'$ and $t \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a}|_{\mathfrak{a}'})$. Then

$$\psi_{P',t}(v) = v(H_1) \phi_{P',t}(v) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)).$$

We have to verify that $(\phi_{P',t})'$ is of type $II(\lambda)$. Since ψ is of type $II'(\lambda)$, we know from Lemma 9.1 that $(\psi_{P',t})'$ is also of type $II'(\lambda)$. Hence in view of Lemma 2, it would be enough to verify that $\psi_{P',t}(v) = 0$ whenever $v(H_1) = 0$.

Now fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a})$. Then

$$\psi_{P,s}(v) = v(H_1)\phi_{P,s}(v) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)).$$

Let $g(v)$ denote the restriction of $\psi_{P,s}(v)$ on M . Then we conclude from Lemma 12.1 below that $v \mapsto g(v)$ is a continuous mapping from \mathfrak{F} into ${}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$.

Fix a point $v_0 \in \mathfrak{F}$ such that $v_0(H_1) = 0$. Let v be a variable point in $\mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$ which tends to v_0 . Then if $s \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$s(g(v_0)) = \lim_v s(g(v)) = \lim_v |v(H_1)| s(f_{P,s}(v)) = 0$$

by our assumption on ϕ . Hence $g(v_0) = 0$ and this implies (Corollary of Theorem 7.1) that $\psi_{P,s}(v_0) = 0$. But then we conclude from Lemma 7.4 and the corollary of Lemma 1 that $\psi_{P',t}(v_0) = 0$.

This proves Lemma 3 and therefore also Theorem 1.

§ 12. An Auxiliary Result

Let $G = MA$ be the Langlands decomposition of G and assume $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_R$. Let ϕ be a function of type $II(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ and ψ its restriction on $\mathfrak{F} \times M$. Then we know from Lemma 7.5 that $\psi(v) \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$ for $v \in \mathfrak{F}$. (We note that $\mathfrak{F}'(\lambda) = \mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{1\}$ in this case.)

Lemma 1. $v \mapsto \psi(v)$ is a C^∞ mapping of \mathfrak{F} into ${}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$.

This is an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Suppose $\mathfrak{h}_R = \{0\}$. Fix $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $r_0 \geq 0$. Then we can choose a finite subset F of $\mathfrak{G}^{(2)}$ with the following property. Given $r \geq 0$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, we can choose a number $c > 0$ such that

$$|\phi(g_1; x; g_2)|_s \mathcal{E}(x)^{-1} (1 + \sigma(x))^{r_0} \leq c s_{F,r}(\phi) \quad (x \in G)$$

for all functions ϕ on G of type $II(\lambda)$.

Let $P = MAN$ be a psgp of G ($P \neq G$). Since $\mathfrak{h}_R = \{0\}$, $\mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R|\mathfrak{a}) = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{F}'(\lambda) = \mathfrak{F} = \{0\}$. Therefore $\phi_P = 0$ by Lemma 8.3. Moreover

$$z\phi = \gamma(z:\lambda)\phi \quad (z \in \mathfrak{Z}).$$

Therefore Lemma 23.4 of [1(e)] is applicable. Fix a minimal p -pair (P_0, A_0) in G . Then $G = K \cdot CIA_0^+ \cdot K$ and there are only a finite number of p -pairs $(P, A) \succ (P_0, A_0)$. Our assertion is an easy consequence of these facts.

§ 13. Statement of the Two Main Theorems

We keep to the notation of § 8. For $D \in \mathfrak{G}$, $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ and $r \geq 0$, define

$${}^o\mathfrak{s}_{D,r}(f) = \sup_{\mathfrak{F} \times G} |Df|_{\mathfrak{s}} \Xi^{-1} (1 + \sigma)^{-r} \quad (f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{F} \times G, V)).$$

Similarly if F is any finite subset of \mathfrak{G} , we write

$${}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(f) = \sum_{D \in F} {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{D,r}(f).$$

A function $\phi: \mathfrak{F} \times G \rightarrow V$ will be said to be of type $I(\lambda)$ if:

- 1) ϕ is of type $II(\lambda)$.
- 2) For any $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, we can choose $r \geq 0$ such that ${}^o\mathfrak{s}_{D,r}(\phi) < \infty$.

Moreover we say that ϕ is of type $I'(\lambda)$ if it is both of type $I(\lambda)$ and type $II'(\lambda)$.

Let $\mathcal{E}(I'(\lambda))$ denote the space of all functions of type $I'(\lambda)$ and dv the Euclidean measure on \mathfrak{F} .

Theorem 1. For $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(I'(\lambda))$, define

$$j_\phi(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \phi(v:x) dv \quad (x \in G).$$

Then $j_\phi \in \mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$. Fix $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $r_0 \geq 0$. Then we can choose a finite subset F of \mathfrak{G} with the following property. Given $r \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, there exists a number $c > 0$ such that

$$|j_\phi(g_1;x; g_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq c {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi(x) (1 + \sigma(x))^{-r_0} \quad (x \in G)$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{E}(I'(\lambda))$.

Define the Schwartz space $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F})$ as usual.

Corollary. Fix a function ϕ on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ of type $II'(\lambda)$ and define

$$\phi_\alpha(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) \phi(v:x) dv \quad (x \in G)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F})$. Then $\alpha \mapsto \phi_\alpha$ is a continuous mapping of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F})$ into $\mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$ and

$$\phi_\alpha(g_1;x; g_2) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) \phi(v:g_1;x; g_2) dv \quad (x \in G)$$

for $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F})$.

This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.

Fix ϕ as in the above corollary. Then if $P = MAN$ is a psgp of G and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F})$, it follows from Lemma 9.1 and the corollary of Lemma 10.7 that the function

$$\phi_{P,\alpha}(m) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) \phi_P(v:m) dv \quad (m \in MA)$$

lies in $\mathcal{C}(MA, \tau_M)$. Extend it to a function on G by setting

$$\phi_{P,\alpha}(kmn) = \tau(k) \phi_{P,\alpha}(m) \quad (k \in K, m \in MA, n \in N).$$

Put $\bar{P} = \theta(P)$, $\bar{N} = \theta(N)$, $\rho = \rho_P$, $H(x) = H_P(x)$ ($x \in G$) and define $\phi_\alpha^{(P)}$ as in [1(e), Lemma 16.1].

Theorem 2. *Let $d\bar{n}$ denote the Haar measure on \bar{N} . Then*

$$\phi_\alpha^{(P)}(m) = d_P(m) \int_{\bar{N}} \phi_\alpha(\bar{n}m) d\bar{n} = \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \phi_{P,\alpha}(\bar{n}m) d\bar{n}$$

for $m \in MA$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F})$.

This is a generalization of [1(b), Theorem 4, p. 610]. (It is part of the assertion of the theorem that the above integrals are well defined.)

In view of the corollary of Lemma 10.7, the following result is obvious.

Corollary. $\phi_\alpha^{(P)} = 0$ unless $\mathfrak{a}^k \subset \mathfrak{h}_R$ for some $k \in K$.

The above two theorems contain the main results of this paper. The significance of Theorem 2 may be explained as follows. Extend d_P and $\phi_P(v)$ to functions on G as in [1(e), § 24]. Then Theorem 2 asserts that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{N}} d_P(\bar{n})^{-1} d\bar{n} \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) d_P(\bar{n}m) \phi(v; \bar{n}m) dv \\ &= \int_{\bar{N}} d_P(\bar{n})^{-1} d\bar{n} \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) \phi_P(v; \bar{n}m) dv \quad (m \in MA) \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F})$. This shows that the integral on the left remains unchanged when we replace $d_P \phi(v)$ by its asymptotic value $\phi_P(v)$ [1(e), Lemma 24.1].

§ 14. Some Preparation

Put $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{F}_c)$, $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}(I'(\lambda))$ and let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(I(\lambda))$ denote the space of all functions on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ of type $I(\lambda)$. It is obviously enough to prove the statement of Theorem 13.1 for $s \in \mathcal{S}^o(V)$ [1(e), § 22].

Let \mathbf{R}_+ denote the set of all real numbers $r \geq 0$. In order to avoid tedious repetitions, we agree to the following conventions. The variables r, s and v shall range freely over \mathbf{R}_+ , $\mathcal{S}^o(V)$ and \mathfrak{F} respectively unless explicitly mentioned otherwise. Let Y be any set and f, g two functions from $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathcal{S}^o(V) \times \mathfrak{F} \times Y$ to $\mathbf{R}_+ \cup \{\infty\}$. Then we write

$$f(r, s, v, y) < g(r, s, v, y) \quad (y \in Y),$$

if for any given r and s we can choose a real number $c(r, s) > 0$ such that

$$f(r, s, v, y) \leq c(r, s)g(r, s, v, y)$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $y \in Y$. Finally the letter F will always stand for a finite set. Thus $F \subset Y$ means that F is a finite subset of Y .

We now use the notation of § 5 and fix numbers $c_0, d_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$d_P(m) \Xi(m) \leq c_0 \Xi_M(m) (1 + \sigma(m))^{d_0} \quad (m \in M_1^+).$$

Lemma 1. Fix $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$, $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $D \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then we can choose $F \in \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$|\psi_{i,\zeta}(v; D: v_1; m; v_2)|_s \leq {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi_M(m) |(m, H)|^{d_0+r} e^{-\beta_P(H)}$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$, $m \in M_1^+$, $H \in \text{Cl } \mathfrak{a}^+$ and $1 \leq i \leq q$.

Here $\psi_{i,\zeta}$ is the function defined in § 5 corresponding to ϕ . This lemma is proved in the same way as [1(e), Lemma 22.3].

Lemma 2. Given $D \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$, we can choose $F \in \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$|\phi_{i\infty}(v; D: v_1; m; v_2)|_s < {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi_M(m) (1 + \sigma(m))^{d_0+r} \quad (i \in Q^o)$$

for $m \in M_1$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$.

We use the notation of the proof of Lemma 8.2. Fix $H \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{i\infty}(v; D: v_1; m; v_2) \\ = \phi_i(v; D: v_1; m; v_2) + \int_0^\infty \psi_{i,H^o}(v; D: v_1; m; v_2: tH) dt \end{aligned}$$

and our assertion follows without difficulty.

Now suppose $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$. Then for any $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})$, $\phi_{P,s}$ extends to a C^∞ function on $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1$.

Lemma 3. Given $D \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$, we can choose $F \in \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$|\phi_{P,s}(v; D: v_1; m; v_2)|_s < {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi_M(m) (1 + \sigma(m))^{d_0+r}$$

for $m \in M_1$, $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$ and $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})$.

In view of Lemmas 3.3 and 7.3, this is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2 and 22.2.

Corollary. If $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$, then for any $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})$, $(\phi_{P,s})^s$ is a function of type $I'(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1^s$.

This follows from Lemmas 3 and 9.1.

Now let us use the notation of Lemma 10.5.

Lemma 4. Fix $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$, $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $r_1 \geq 0$. Then we can choose $F \in \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$|\Psi_\zeta(v: v_1; m \exp H; v_2)|_s (1 + |v|)^{r_1} \leq {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi_M(m) |(m, H)|^{d_0+r} e^{-\beta_P(H)}$$

for $m \in M_1^+$, $H \in \text{Cl } \mathfrak{a}^+$, $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$.

As before this follows from Lemma 5.2.

Now assume that $P \neq G$. Fix a compact set Ω in \mathfrak{a}^+ and choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\beta_P(H) \geq 2\varepsilon_0$ for all $H \in \Omega$. Select δ ($0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$) as in § 10 and put $\varepsilon = \delta\varepsilon_0$.

Lemma 5. Given $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $r_1 \geq 0$, we can choose $F \in \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |d_P(m \exp TH) \phi(v: v_1; m \exp TH; v_2) - \phi_P(v: v_1; m \exp TH; v_2)|_s (1 + |v|)^{r_1} \\ < {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi_M(m) (1 + \sigma(m))^{d_0+r} e^{-\varepsilon T} \end{aligned}$$

for $m \in M_1^+$, $H \in \Omega$, $T \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$.

This is proved in the same way as Lemma 10.8.

Now fix $r_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{F}} (1 + |v|)^{-r_1} dv < \infty.$$

If $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$, we know (Corollary of Lemma 10.7) that

$$\phi_P = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})} \phi_{P,s}.$$

Put $j(\phi : x) = j_\phi(x)$ ($x \in G$) and

$$j(\phi_{P,s} : m) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \phi_{P,s}(v : m) dv \quad (m \in M_1)$$

for $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$.

Corollary.

$$|d_p(m \exp TH) j(\phi : v'_1 ; m \exp TH ; v'_2) - \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})} j(\phi_{P,s} : v_1 ; m \exp TH ; v_2)|_s \\ < {}^o s_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi_M(m) (1 + \sigma(m))^{d_0 + r} e^{-\varepsilon T}$$

for $m \in M_1^+$, $H \in \Omega$, $T \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$.

This follows immediately from Lemma 5.

§ 15. Proof of Theorem 13.1

We now come to the proof of Theorem 13.1. It is clearly enough to prove the second part of the theorem.

We proceed by induction on $\dim G$. First assume that $\text{prk } G > 0$ and let $G = MA$ be the Langlands decomposition of G . Then $\mathfrak{h}_R = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{h}_R + \mathfrak{a}$ where the sum is direct. Let \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 be the subspace consisting of all $v \in \mathfrak{F}$ which vanish identically on $\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{h}_R$ and \mathfrak{a} respectively. Then $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}_1 + \mathfrak{F}_2$ where the sum is direct. We note that $\mathfrak{D}_i = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{F}_{i,c}) \subset \mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{F}_c)$ [1 (c), p. 540]. Let dv_i denote the Euclidean measure on \mathfrak{F}_i , so normalized that $dv = dv_1 dv_2$ ($v = v_1 + v_2$, $v_i \in \mathfrak{F}_i$, $i = 1, 2$). Since $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{Z}$, it follows from our assumptions that

$$\phi(v_1 + v_2 : ma) = \phi(v_1 + v_2 : m) e^{(-1)^{1/2} v_1(\log a)} \quad (m \in M, a \in A)$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$ and $v_i \in \mathfrak{F}_i$. Fix $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{U}$. Then

$$j_\phi(v_1 : ma ; v_2 : u) = \int \phi(v_1 + v_2 : v_1 ; m ; v_2) u((-1)^{1/2} v_1) e^{(-1)^{1/2} v_1(\log a)} dv_1 dv_2.$$

(We regard u as a polynomial function on $\mathfrak{F}_{1,c}$ in the right side.) Now fix $r_0 \geq 0$. Then we can choose $p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{F}_{1,c})$ such that

$$p((-1)^{1/2} H) \geq (1 + \|H\|)^{r_0} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}).$$

Also we can select a polynomial function p_1 on \mathfrak{F}_1 such that $p_1 \geq 1$ on \mathfrak{F}_1 and

$$\int_{\mathfrak{F}_1} p_1^{-1} dv_1 < \infty.$$

Hence it is obvious that there exists an element $D_1 \in \mathfrak{D}_1$ such that

$$|j_\phi(v_1; ma; v_2 u)|_{\mathfrak{s}} (1 + \sigma(a))^{r_0} \leq \sup_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{F}_2} \left| \int \phi(v_1 + v_2; D_1; v_1; m; v_2) dv_2 \right|_{\mathfrak{s}}$$

for $m \in M$, $a \in A$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$.

On the other hand $\dim M < \dim G$ and so the induction hypothesis is applicable to M . Let \mathcal{E}'_M be the space of all functions ψ on $\mathfrak{F}_2 \times M$ of type $I'(\lambda)$. Then we can choose a finite subset F_2 of $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{D}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{M}^{(2)}$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathfrak{F}_2} \psi(v_2; v_1; m; v_2) dv_2 \right|_{\mathfrak{s}} < {}^o s_{F_2, r}(\psi) \Xi(m) (1 + \sigma(m))^{-r_0}$$

for $m \in M$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{E}'_M$.

We regard \mathfrak{M} as a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathfrak{G}^{(2)}$. Let F denote the subset of \mathfrak{G} consisting of all elements of the form $D_2 D_1$ ($D_2 \in F_2$). Fix $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$, $v_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ and put

$$\psi(v_2; m) = \phi(v_1 + v_2; D_1; m) \quad (v_2 \in \mathfrak{F}_2, m \in M).$$

Then $\psi \in \mathcal{E}'_M$ and so we conclude from the above result that

$$|j_\phi(v_1; ma; v_2 u)|_{\mathfrak{s}} < {}^o s_{F, r}(\phi) \Xi(m) (1 + \sigma(m))^{-r_0} (1 + \sigma(a))^{-r_0}$$

for $m \in M$, $a \in A$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$. This obviously implies Theorem 13.1 in this case.

So now suppose $\text{prk } G = 0$. The case $G = K$ being trivial, we may assume that G is not compact. Fix a minimal p -pair (P_0, A_0) in G and let S^+ be the set of all $H \in Cl \mathfrak{a}_0^+$ with $\|H\| = 1$. Fix $H_0 \in S^+$ and let F_0 be the set of all simple roots of (P_0, A_0) which vanish at H_0 . Put $(P, A) = (P_0, A_0)_{F_0}$. Then $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Fix a compact neighborhood Ω_0 of H_0 in S^+ such that

$$\alpha(H) \geq \alpha(H_0)/2 \quad (H \in \Omega_0)$$

for every root α of (P_0, A_0) . Put $\varepsilon_0 = \beta_P(H_0)/4$ and $\varepsilon = \delta \varepsilon_0$ where δ is defined as in § 10. Since

$$\exp tH = m_t \exp(tH_0/2) \quad (H \in \Omega_0, t \geq 0),$$

where $m_t = \exp t(H - \frac{1}{2}H_0) \in Cl A_0^+ \subset M_1^+$, we get the following result from the corollary of Lemma 14.5.

Lemma 1. *Given $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$, we can choose $F \subset \mathfrak{G}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |d_P(\exp tH) j(\phi; v_1; \exp tH; v_2) - \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})} j(\phi_{P, s}; v_1; \exp tH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ < {}^o s_{F, r}(\phi) \Xi_M(\exp tH) (1+t)^{d_0+r} e^{-\varepsilon t} \end{aligned}$$

for $H \in \Omega_0$, $t \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$.

On the other hand since $\text{prk } G = 0$ and $H_0 \neq 0$, it is clear that $\dim M_1 < \dim G$. Moreover for $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$, $(\phi_{P, s})^s$ is a function of type $I'(\lambda)$ on $\mathfrak{F} \times M_1^s$ (Corollary of Lemma 14.3). Hence if we take into account Lemma 14.3 and apply the induction hypothesis to M_1^s , we get the following result immediately.

Lemma 2. Fix $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $r_0 \geq 0$. Then we can choose $F \subset \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$|j(\phi_{P,s}; v_1; m; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} < {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi_M(m) (1 + \sigma(m))^{-r_0}$$

for $s \in \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{h}_R | \mathfrak{a})$, $m \in M_1$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$.

Combining this with Lemma 1 and standard inequalities relating Ξ and Ξ_M , we get the following result.

Lemma 3. Given $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $r_0 \geq 0$, we can choose $F \subset \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$|j(\phi; v_1; \exp tH; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} < {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi(\exp tH) (1+t)^{-r_0}$$

for $H \in \Omega_0$, $t \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$.

On the other hand the following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 4. Fix $D \in \mathfrak{D}$, $g_i \in \mathfrak{G}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) such that $g_1 \in \mathfrak{G} \cap \mathfrak{n}$ and $g_4 \in \theta(\mathfrak{n}) \cap \mathfrak{G}$. Then we can choose $F \subset \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1,3} |\phi(v; D; g_i; \exp tH; g_{i+1})|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi(\exp tH) (1+t)^r e^{-2\epsilon_0 t}$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$, $H \in \Omega_0$ and $t \geq 0$.

Now fix a polynomial function p on \mathfrak{F} such that $p \geq 1$ on \mathfrak{F} and

$$\int_{\mathfrak{F}} p^{-1} dv < \infty.$$

Then taking $D = p$ in the above lemma, we get the following corollary.

Corollary. Let g_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) be as above. Then we can choose $F \subset \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1,3} |j(\phi; g_i; \exp tH; g_{i+1})|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi(\exp tH) (1+t)^r e^{-2\epsilon_0 t}$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}$, $H \in \Omega_0$ and $t \geq 0$.

Now fix $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$. Since $G = K \cdot CIA_0^+ \cdot K, S^+$ is compact and

$$j_{\phi}(g_1; k_1^{-1} a k_2; g_2) = \tau(k_1^{-1}) j_{\phi}(g_1^{k_1}; a; g_2^{k_2}) \tau(k_2)$$

($k_1, k_2 \in K, a \in A_0, \phi \in \mathcal{E}'$), in order to prove Theorem 13.1, it would be enough to verify the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Fix $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $H_0 \in S^+$. Then we can choose a neighborhood Ω_0 of H_0 in S^+ satisfying the following condition. Given $r_0 \geq 0$, there exists $F \subset \mathfrak{G}$ such that

$$|j(\phi; h_1; \exp tH; g_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} < {}^o\mathfrak{s}_{F,r}(\phi) \Xi(\exp tH) (1+t)^{-r_0}$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{E}'$, $H \in \Omega_0$ and $t \geq 0$.

Since

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{M}_1 \quad \mathfrak{N} = \theta(\mathfrak{N}) \mathfrak{M}_1 \quad \mathfrak{R}$$

and τ is differentiable, we may without loss of generality assume that $g_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_1 \mathfrak{N}$ and $g_2 \in \theta(\mathfrak{N}) \mathfrak{M}_1$. Then we can choose $v_i \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that

$$g_1 - v_1 \in \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{n}, \quad g_2 - v_2 \in \theta(\mathfrak{n}) \mathfrak{G}.$$

Our assertion now follows immediately from Lemma 3 and the corollary of Lemma 4.

This completes the proof of Theorem 13.1.

§ 16. Proof of Theorem 13.2

We shall now begin preparation for the proof of Theorem 13.2. Fix a function ϕ on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ of type $II'(\lambda)$. We use the notation of § 10 and assume, as we may, that $P \neq G$. We also agree to the convention that the variables v , \bar{n} and m shall range freely over \mathfrak{F} , \bar{N} and M_1 respectively unless explicitly stated otherwise. Put

$$\Phi(v: \bar{n}: m) = d_p(m) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} \phi(v: \bar{n}m; \eta'_i) \otimes u_i^*$$

and consider the obvious pairing [1(e), § 21] of $V \otimes U^*$, U into V given by

$$\langle v \otimes u^*, u \rangle = \langle u^*, u \rangle v \quad (v \in V, u^* \in U^*, u \in U).$$

For any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U) = \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}) \otimes U$, define

$$\Phi(\mathbf{b}: \bar{n}: m) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \langle \Phi(v: \bar{n}: m), \mathbf{b}(v) \rangle dv$$

and put

$$F_{\mathbf{b}}(m) = F(\mathbf{b}: m) = \int_{\bar{N}} \Phi(\mathbf{b}: \bar{n}: m) d\bar{n}.$$

It follows from the corollary of Theorem 13.1 and [1(e), § 16] that this integral is well defined and in fact we have the following result.

Lemma 1. $\mathbf{b} \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a continuous mapping of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ into $\mathcal{C}(M_1, \tau_M)$.

For $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$, define $g_i(\zeta: v)$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$) as in § 10 and put

$$\Psi_{\zeta}(v: \bar{n}: m) = d_p(m) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} \phi(v: \bar{n}m; g_i(\zeta: v)) \otimes u_i^*.$$

Lemma 2. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$. Then

$$\Phi(v: \bar{n}: m; \zeta) = \Phi(v: \bar{n}: m) \Gamma_v(\zeta) + \Psi_{\zeta}(v: \bar{n}: m).$$

This is proved in the same way as Lemma 10.1.

Now put

$$\Psi_{\zeta}(\mathbf{b}: \bar{n}: m) = \int \langle \Psi_{\zeta}(v: \bar{n}: m), \mathbf{b}(v) \rangle dv$$

for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$.

Lemma 3. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$. Then

$$\int_{\bar{N}} \Psi_{\zeta}(\mathbf{b}: \bar{n}: m) d\bar{n} = 0.$$

We know (see §10) that $v \mapsto g_i(\zeta: v)$ is a polynomial mapping of \mathfrak{F} into $\bar{n}\mathfrak{G}$. Therefore (Corollary of Theorem 13.1) the above integral is defined and it would be enough to verify the following result.

Lemma 4. Fix $X \in \bar{n}$, $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $b \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F})$. Then

$$\int_{\bar{N}} d\bar{n} \int_{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}} b(v) \phi(v: \bar{n}m; Xg) dv = 0.$$

Put

$$\psi(x) = \int_{\bar{\mathfrak{F}}} b(v) \phi(v: x; g) dv \quad (x \in G).$$

Then $\psi \in \mathcal{C}(G, V)$ (Corollary of Theorem 13.1). Let

$$f(x) = \int_{\bar{N}} \psi(\bar{n}x) d\bar{n} \quad (x \in G).$$

Then [1(e), §16] $f \in C^\infty(G, V)$ and

$$f(x; X) = \int_{\bar{N}} \psi(\bar{n}x; X) d\bar{n}.$$

Therefore since $f(\bar{n}x) = f(x)$ and $X^m \in \bar{n}$, we conclude that

$$f(m; X) = f(X^m; m) = 0.$$

This proves the lemma.

For any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$, let $\Gamma(\zeta)\mathbf{b}$ denote the function $v \mapsto \Gamma_v(\gamma_1(\zeta))\mathbf{b}(v)$ from \mathfrak{F} to U in the notation of §10. It is clear from Corollary 3 of Lemma 3.5 that for a fixed ζ , $\mathbf{b} \mapsto \Gamma(\zeta)\mathbf{b}$ is a continuous endomorphism of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$.

Lemma 5. Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ and $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$. Then

$$F(\mathbf{b}; m; \zeta) = F(\Gamma(\zeta)\mathbf{b}; m).$$

This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2 and 3.

Define oQ and ${}_i e (i \in {}^oQ)$ as in Lemma 3.4 and put

$${}_i \mathbf{b}(v) = \Gamma_v({}_i e(v)) \mathbf{b}(v)$$

for $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$. Then it is clear from Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and Corollary 3 of Lemma 3.5 that $\mathbf{b} \mapsto {}_i \mathbf{b}$ is a continuous endomorphism of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ and

$$\mathbf{b} = \sum_{i \in {}^oQ} {}_i \mathbf{b}.$$

Put ${}^oQ^o = {}^oQ \cap Q^o$ as in §10 and define

$$e^o(v) = \sum_{i \in {}^oQ^o} {}_i e(v),$$

$$\mathbf{b}^o = \sum_{i \in {}^oQ^o} {}_i \mathbf{b} \quad (\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)).$$

Lemma 6. Let $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ and $i \in {}^oQ$. Then $F({}_i \mathbf{b}; m) = 0$ unless $i \in {}^oQ^o$. Hence $F(\mathbf{b}; m) = F(\mathbf{b}^o; m)$.

Put

$$F(\mathbf{b}; m; \mu) = \int_{\mathfrak{a}} F(\mathbf{b}; m \exp H) e^{(-1)^{j/2} \mu(H)} dH$$

for $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. (Here dH denotes the Euclidean measure on \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{a}^* the dual of \mathfrak{a} .) It follows from Lemma 1 that for (m, μ) fixed,

$$\mathbf{b} \rightarrow F(\mathbf{b}; m; \mu)$$

is a continuous mapping of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ into V . Moreover we conclude from Lemma 5 that

$$F(\Gamma(H)\mathbf{b}; m; \mu) = (-1)^{1/2} \mu(H) F(\mathbf{b}; m; \mu)$$

for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Now fix $m_0 \in M_1$, $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, $i \in {}^oQ$ and put

$$T(\mathbf{b}) = F(\mathbf{b}; m_0; \mu) \quad (\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)).$$

Since $\dim U < \infty$, T may be regarded as a tempered distribution on \mathfrak{F} with values in $V \otimes U^*$ (i.e. a continuous linear mapping of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F})$ into $V \otimes U^*$).

Lemma 7. Fix $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ and put

$$\mathbf{b}'(v) = \prod_{t \in \mathcal{W}_0(s_i, \lambda)} \{(-1)^{1/2} \mu(H) - t s_i A_v(H)\} \cdot \mathbf{b}(v)$$

in the notation of §3. Then $T(\mathbf{b}') = 0$.

It follows from what we have seen above that

$$T(\Gamma(H)\mathbf{b}) = (-1)^{1/2} \mu(H) T(\mathbf{b}) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)).$$

Hence our assertion is an immediate consequence of Corollary 5 of Lemma 3.5.

Now suppose $T \neq 0$. Then if $v_0 \in \text{Supp } T$ ($v_0 \in \mathfrak{F}$), it follows from Lemma 7 that

$$\prod_{t \in \mathcal{W}_0(s_i, \lambda)} \{(-1)^{1/2} \mu(H) - t s_i A_{v_0}(H)\} = 0$$

for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Since $\Re t s_i A_{v_0}(H) = s_i \lambda^y(H)$, this implies that $i \in {}^oQ^o$. Therefore if $i \notin {}^oQ^o$, we conclude that $F(\mathbf{b}; m; \mu) = 0$ for all $m \in M_1$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. The statement of Lemma 6 now follows immediately by Fourier transform.

Now introduce the structure of a Hilbert space on U so that (u_1, \dots, u_q) becomes an orthonormal base. Moreover for any $E \in \text{End } U$, let $\|E\|$ denote the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of E .

Lemma 8. Put

$$E(H; v) = e^{r \nu(H)} \Gamma_v(e^o(v)) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}).$$

Then for a given $D \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{F}_c)$, we can choose $c, r \geq 0$ such that

$$\|E(H; v; D)\| \leq c(1 + |v|)^r (1 + \|H\|)^r$$

for all $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Set

$$p(v) = \prod_{i \in {}^oQ^o} \varpi_{s_i, \lambda}(s_i A_v)$$

in the notation of §3. Then p is a polynomial function on \mathfrak{F} and by Lemma 3.3,

$$|p(v)| \geq |\varpi_\lambda(\lambda)|^{q_0} > 0$$

where q_0 is the number of elements in ${}^oQ^o$. Put $E^o(v) = \Gamma_v(e^o(v))$. Then for a fixed $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, $v \mapsto \Gamma_v(H)$ and $v \mapsto p(v) E^o(v)$ are polynomial mappings of \mathfrak{F} into $\text{End } U$ (see § 3). Moreover

$$E(H; v) = e^{\Gamma_v(H) E^o(v)} \cdot E^o(v)$$

and all eigenvalues of $\Gamma_v(H) E^o(v)$ are pure imaginary (Corollaries 2 and 5 of Lemma 3.5). Hence our assertion follows without difficulty from [1(a), Lemma 60].

Now fix $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ and for any $t \in \mathbf{R}$, put

$$\mathfrak{a}_t(v) = E(-tH_0; v) \mathfrak{a}(v).$$

Then it follows from Lemma 8 that $t \mapsto \mathfrak{a}_t$ is a C^∞ function from \mathbf{R} to $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$.

Lemma 9. Fix $m \in M_1$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Then

$$F(\mathfrak{a}; m; \mu) = F(\mathfrak{a}^o; m; \mu) = e^{(-1)^{1/2} t \mu(H_0)} F(\mathfrak{a}_t; m; \mu)$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Put

$$T(\mathfrak{b}) = F(\mathfrak{b}; m; \mu) \quad (\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)).$$

Then, as we have seen above, T is a continuous linear mapping of $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ into V and

$$T(\Gamma(H) \mathfrak{b}) = (-1)^{1/2} \mu(H) T(\mathfrak{b}) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}).$$

Now let

$$f(t) = T(\mathfrak{a}_t) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

It follows from the definition of \mathfrak{a}_t that

$$d\mathfrak{a}_t/dt = -\Gamma(H_0) \mathfrak{a}_t$$

and therefore

$$df/dt = -(-1)^{1/2} \mu(H_0) f.$$

This implies that

$$f(t) = e^{(-1)^{1/2} t \mu(H_0)} f(0),$$

which is equivalent to the required result, if we take Lemma 6 into account.

Now assume that $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^+$. Then it is clear from Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} d\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_t; \bar{n}; m \exp tH_0)/dt \\ = -\Phi(\Gamma(H_0) \mathfrak{a}_t; \bar{n}; m \exp tH_0) + \Phi(\mathfrak{a}_t; \bar{n}; m \exp tH_0; H_0) \\ = \Psi_{H_0}(\mathfrak{a}_t; \bar{n}; m \exp tH_0) \quad (t \in \mathbf{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Put $\Psi = \Psi_{H_0}$ and for any $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$ and $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\bar{N})$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\mathfrak{b}; \alpha; \bar{n}_0; m) &= \int_{\bar{N}} \alpha(\bar{n}) \Phi(\mathfrak{b}; \bar{n}_0 \bar{n}; m) d\bar{n}, \\ \Psi(\mathfrak{b}; \alpha; \bar{n}_0; m) &= \int_{\bar{N}} \alpha(\bar{n}) \Psi(\mathfrak{b}; \bar{n}_0 \bar{n}; m) d\bar{n} \end{aligned}$$

for $\bar{n}_0 \in \bar{N}$. Then the following result is obvious.

Lemma 10. $d\Phi(\mathbf{a}_t: \alpha: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0)/dt = \Psi(\mathbf{a}_t: \alpha: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0)$ for $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\bar{N})$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let us now put

$$\phi_b(\bar{n}: x) = \phi_b(\bar{n}x) \quad (x \in G)$$

for $b \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{G})$, and define

$$\phi_b(\alpha: \bar{n}_0: x) = \int_{\bar{N}} \alpha(\bar{n}) \phi_b(\bar{n}_0 \bar{n}: x) d\bar{n} \quad (\bar{n}_0 \in \bar{N}, x \in G)$$

for $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\bar{N})$. Then if $X \in \bar{n}$ and $g \in \mathfrak{G}$, it is clear that

$$\phi_b(\bar{n}m; Xg) = \phi_b(\bar{n}; X^m m; g).$$

Since $X^m \in \bar{n}$, it follows that

$$\phi_b(\alpha: \bar{n}: m; Xg) = -\phi_b(X^m \alpha: \bar{n}: m; g).$$

Put $\beta_P(H_0) = 2\varepsilon$ so that $\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 11. Fix $m_0 \in M_1$, $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\bar{N})$, $X \in \bar{n}$, $g \in \mathfrak{G}$, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ and $r_0 \geq 0$. Then we can choose a continuous seminorm \mathbf{t} on $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{G})$ such that

$$|\phi_b(\alpha: \bar{n}: m_t; Xg)|_{\mathbf{s}} \leq \mathbf{t}(b) e^{-2\varepsilon t} \int_{\omega} \Xi_{r_0}(\bar{n} \bar{n}_0 m_t) d\bar{n}_0$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $b \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{G})$. Here $m_t = m_0 \exp tH_0$,

$$\Xi_{r_0}(x) = \Xi(x)(1 + \sigma(x))^{-r_0} \quad (x \in G)$$

and $\omega = \text{Supp } \alpha$.

This follows from the corollary of Theorem 13.1 and the above remarks.

Corollary. We can choose $c \geq 0$ such that

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{a}_t: \alpha: \bar{n}: m_t)|_{\mathbf{s}} \leq c e^{-\varepsilon t} d_P(m_t) \int_{\omega} \Xi_{r_0}(\bar{n} \bar{n}_0 m_t) d\bar{n}_0$$

for $t \geq 0$.

This follows from the corollary of Theorem 13.1 and the above remarks.

Now fix $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\bar{N})$. Then it follows from the above corollary and [1(e), § 10] that

$$\int_0^{\infty} |\Psi(\mathbf{a}_t: \alpha: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0)|_{\mathbf{s}} dt < \infty$$

for $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Put

$$\Phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{a}: \alpha: \bar{n}: m) = \Phi(\mathbf{a}^o: \alpha: \bar{n}: m) + \int_0^{\infty} \Psi(\mathbf{a}_t: \alpha: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) dt.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 10 that

$$\Phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{a}: \alpha: \bar{n}: m) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(\mathbf{a}_t: \alpha: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0).$$

Lemma 12. Fix $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\bar{N})$ such that $\int_{\bar{N}} \alpha(\bar{n}) d\bar{n} = 1$. Then

$$F(\mathbf{a}: m) = \int_{\bar{N}} \Phi_{\infty}(\mathbf{a}: \alpha: \bar{n}: m) d\bar{n}.$$

It follows from [1 (e), §10] and the corollary of Lemma 11 that

$$\int_N d\bar{n} \int_0^\infty |\Psi(\mathbf{a}_t; \alpha: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0)|_s dt < \infty$$

for $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Therefore we conclude from the corollary of Theorem 13.1 that

$$\int_N |\Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: \alpha: \bar{n}: m)|_s d\bar{n} < \infty.$$

On the other hand it is clear from Lemma 3 that

$$\int_N \Psi(\mathbf{a}_t; \alpha: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) d\bar{n} = 0.$$

Therefore by Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_N \Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: \alpha: \bar{n}: m) d\bar{n} &= \int_N \Phi(\mathbf{a}^\circ: \alpha: \bar{n}: m) d\bar{n} \\ &= F(\mathbf{a}^\circ: m) = F(\mathbf{a}: m) \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 6.

Now put

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\circ(v: \bar{n}: m) &= \Phi(v: \bar{n}: m) E^{\circ*}(v), \\ \Psi^\circ(v: \bar{n}: m) &= \Psi(v: \bar{n}: m) E^{\circ*}(v) \end{aligned}$$

where $E^{\circ*}(v) = 1 \otimes \Gamma_v^*(e^\circ(v))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\mathbf{a}_t; \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) &= \int_{\mathfrak{g}} \langle \Phi(v: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0), \mathbf{a}_t(v) \rangle dv \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{g}} \langle \Phi^\circ(v: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)}, \mathbf{a}(v) \rangle dv. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 13. Fix $x \in G$, $X \in \bar{n}$, $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Then we can choose $c, r \geq 0$ such that

$$|\phi(v: x \exp tH_0; Xg)|_s \leq c(1+|v|)^r e^{-2\alpha t} \Xi(x \exp tH_0)(1+t)^r$$

for $t \geq 0$.

This follows immediately from the fact that

$$\phi(v: x_t; Xg) = \phi(v: \text{Ad}(x_t)X; x_t; g)$$

where $x_t = x \exp tH_0$.

Corollary. Fix $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$, $m \in M_1$ and $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Then we can choose $c, r \geq 0$ such that

$$|\Psi^\circ(v: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)}|_s \leq c e^{-\alpha t} (1+|v|)^r$$

for $t \geq 0$.

This is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 13 and 8.

On the other hand it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\circ(v: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)} \\ = \Phi^\circ(v: \bar{n}: m) + \int_0^t \Psi^\circ(v: \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we conclude from the above corollary that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left| \Psi^o(v; \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)} \right|_{\mathfrak{s}} dt < \infty$$

for $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Therefore if we put

$$\Phi_{\infty}(v; \bar{n}: m) = \Phi^o(v; \bar{n}: m) + \int_0^{\infty} \Psi^o(v; \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)} dt,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Phi_{\infty}(v; \bar{n}: m) - \Phi^o(v; \bar{n}: m \exp TH_0) e^{-T\Gamma_v(H_0)} \right|_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ & \leq \int_T^{\infty} \left| \Psi^o(v; \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)} \right|_{\mathfrak{s}} dt \end{aligned}$$

for $T \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Hence we get the following result from the corollary of Lemma 13.

Lemma 14. Fix $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$, $m \in M$ and put

$$\Phi_{\infty}(v; \bar{n}: m) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi^o(v; \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)}.$$

Then for any $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, we can choose $c, r \geq 0$ such that

$$\left| \Phi_{\infty}(v; \bar{n}: m) - \Phi^o(v; \bar{n}: m \exp TH_0) e^{-T\Gamma_v(H_0)} \right|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq c e^{-\varepsilon T} (1 + |v|)^r$$

for $T \geq 0$.

Now define

$$\Phi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{a}; \bar{n}: m) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(\mathfrak{a}_t; \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0).$$

It is clear that this limit exists and in fact

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\infty}(\mathfrak{a}; \bar{n}: m) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \langle \Phi^o(v; \bar{n}: m \exp tH_0) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)}, \mathfrak{a}(v) \rangle dv \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \langle \Phi_{\infty}(v; \bar{n}: m), \mathfrak{a}(v) \rangle dv. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let us put

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\infty}(v; m) &= \Phi_{\infty}(v; 1: m) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(v; m \exp tH_0) E^{o*}(v) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Extend this to a function on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ by setting

$$\Phi_{\infty}(v; kmn) = \tau(k) \Phi_{\infty}(v; m) \quad (k \in K, m \in M_1, n \in N).$$

Lemma 15. $\Phi_{\infty}(v; \bar{n}: m) = e^{-\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \Phi_{\infty}(v; \bar{n}m)$.

It is obvious from Lemma 14 that for fixed \bar{n} and m , $\Phi(v; \bar{n}: m)$ is a continuous function of v . Therefore, in view of its definition, the same holds for $\Phi_{\infty}(v; \bar{n}m)$. Hence it would be enough to verify the above relation for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$.

Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$ and let $e_i^*(v)$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$) be the base of U^* dual to $e_i(v)$ ($1 \leq i \leq q$).

Then

$$\sum_i u_i \otimes u_i^* = \sum_i e_i(v) \otimes e_i^*(v).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i u_i \otimes u_i^* \Gamma_v^*(e^o(v)) e^{-t\Gamma_v^*(H_0)} &= \sum_i e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)} \Gamma_v(e^o(v)) e_i(v) \otimes e_i^*(v) \\ &= \sum_{i \in Q^o} e^{-ts_i \Lambda_v(H_0)} e_i(v) \otimes e_i^*(v) \end{aligned}$$

from Corollary 1 of Lemma 3.5. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^o(v; \bar{n}; m_t) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)} \\ = d_P(m_t) \sum_{i \in Q^o} \varpi_{01}(s_i \Lambda_v)^{-1} e^{-ts_i \Lambda_v(H_0)} \phi(v; \bar{n} m_t; \eta_i(v)) \otimes e_i^*(v), \end{aligned}$$

where $m_t = m \exp tH_0$ and ϖ_{01} , $\eta_i(v)$ have the same meaning as in §2 and §4 respectively.

Now fix $i \in Q^o$. Then (see §6)

$$\phi_{i\infty}(v; m) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d_P(m_t) \phi(v; m_t; \eta_i(v)) e^{-ts_i \Lambda_v(H_0)}$$

and

$$\phi_{i\infty}(v; m \exp H) = \phi_{i\infty}(v; m) e^{s_i \Lambda(H)} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a})$$

from Lemma 6.2. Therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \{d_P(m_t) \phi(v; m_t; \eta_i(v)) - \phi_{i\infty}(v; m_t)\} = 0$$

and we conclude from [1 (e), Lemmas 21.3 and 24.1] that

$$\phi_P(v; m; \eta_i(v)) = \phi_{i\infty}(v; m).$$

Extend $\phi_{i\infty}(v)$ to a function on G by setting

$$\phi_{i\infty}(v; kmn) = \tau(k) \phi_{i\infty}(v; m) \quad (k \in K, m \in M_1, n \in N).$$

Then we conclude from [1 (e), Lemma 24.1] that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \{d_P(x_t) \phi(v; x_t; \eta_i(v)) - \phi_{i\infty}(v; x_t)\} = 0.$$

Here x is a fixed element in G and $x_t = x \exp tH_0$. But this implies that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d_P(x_t) \phi(v; x_t; \eta_i(v)) e^{-ts_i \Lambda_v(H_0)} = \phi_{i\infty}(v; x)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\infty(v; \bar{n}; m) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi^o(v; \bar{n}; m_t) e^{-t\Gamma_v(H_0)} \\ &= e^{-\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \sum_{i \in Q^o} \varpi_{01}(s_i \Lambda_v)^{-1} \phi_{i\infty}(v; \bar{n} m) \otimes e_i^*(v). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion of the lemma is now obvious from the definition of $\Phi_\infty(v; m)$.

Lemma 16. $e_j^*(v) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq q} u_i(s_j \Lambda_v) u_i^*$ ($1 \leq j \leq q$) for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda)$.

By Corollary 1 of Lemma 3.5

$$\begin{aligned} u_i = \Gamma_v(u_i) 1 &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \Gamma_v(u_i) e_j(v) \\ &= \sum_j u_i(s_j \Lambda_v) e_j(v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_i u_i \otimes u_i^* = \sum_{i,j} u_i(s_j A_v) e_j(v) \otimes u_i^*.$$

But since

$$\sum_i u_i \otimes u_i^* = \sum_j e_j(v) \otimes e_j^*(v),$$

our assertion is now obvious.

Corollary. *Let $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$. Then*

$$\Phi_\infty(v: m) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{Q}^\circ} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} \varpi_{01}(s_i A_v)^{-1} \phi_{i\infty}(v: m) \otimes u_j(s_i A_v) u_j^*.$$

This follows immediately from Lemma 16 and what we have seen above.

Now put

$$\Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: m) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \langle \Phi_\infty(v: m), \mathbf{a}(v) \rangle dv.$$

Then it follows from Lemmas 7.3, 8.2 and the corollary of Theorem 13.1 that $\Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathcal{C}(M_1, \tau_M)$. Hence we conclude from [1(e), Lemma 32.1] that

$$\int_N e^{-\rho(H(\bar{n}))} |\Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: \bar{n}m)|_s d\bar{n} < \infty \quad (s \in \mathcal{S}(V)),$$

provided $\Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a})$ is extended to a function on G in the usual way so that

$$\Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: kmn) = \tau(k) \Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: m) \quad (k \in K, m \in M_1, n \in N).$$

Now put, as before,

$$\Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: \bar{n}: m) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \langle \Phi_\infty(v: \bar{n}: m), \mathbf{a}(v) \rangle dv.$$

Then it follows from Lemma 15 that

$$\Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: \bar{n}: m) = e^{-\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: \bar{n}m)$$

and therefore from Lemma 12 that

$$F(\mathbf{a}: m) = \int_N e^{-\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: \bar{n}m) d\bar{n}.$$

Substituting the definition of $F(\mathbf{a})$ we obtain the following result.

Lemma 17. *Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{F}, U)$. Then*

$$\int_N \Phi(\mathbf{a}: \bar{n}: m) d\bar{n} = \int_N e^{-\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \Phi_\infty(\mathbf{a}: \bar{n}m) d\bar{n}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 13.2 we take $\mathbf{a}(v) = \alpha(v) u_1$. Then we claim that

$$\langle \Phi_\infty(v: m), \mathbf{a}(v) \rangle = \alpha(v) \phi_P(v: m).$$

Since both sides are continuous in v , it is sufficient to verify this for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'(\lambda)$. But $u_1 = 1$ and so this is an immediate consequence of Lemma 7.3 and the corollary of Lemma 16. The statement of Theorem 13.2 is now obvious from Lemma 17.

§ 17. Application to Eisenstein Integrals

Let U be an open subset of \mathfrak{F}_c . A function $f: U \times G \rightarrow V$ will be said to be of type $H \times C^\infty$ if 1) it is of class C^∞ on $U \times G$ and 2) for all $x \in G$ the function $v \rightarrow f(v: x)$ from U to V is holomorphic.

Fix a psgp $P_1 = MAN_1$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}_R)$. Then for any $\psi \in C^\infty(M, \tau_M)$, we consider the Eisenstein integral $E(P_1: \psi)$ [1 (e), §9]. Clearly it is a function of type $H \times C^\infty$ on $\mathfrak{F}_c \times G$.

Put

$$s_\delta(\psi) = \sup_M |\delta\psi|_s \Xi_M^{-1}$$

for $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, $\delta \in \mathfrak{M}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{M} \otimes \mathfrak{M}$ and $\psi \in C^\infty(M, V)$. Moreover let

$$s_F(\psi) = \sum_{\delta \in F} s_\delta(\psi)$$

for any finite subset F of $\mathfrak{M}^{(2)}$. If $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$, define v_R and v_I in \mathfrak{F} by $v = v_R + (-1)^{1/2} v_I$. Then it is easy to see that we can choose $c_0 \geq 0$ such that

$$|\Re(-1)^{1/2} v(H_{P_1}(x))| \leq c_0 |v_I| \sigma(x) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}_c, x \in G).$$

Extend the norm on \mathfrak{F}_c by setting

$$|v|^2 = |v_R|^2 + |v_I|^2$$

and put

$$|(v, x)| = (1 + |v|)(1 + \sigma(x)) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}_c, x \in G).$$

Lemma 1. Fix $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $D \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{F}_c)$. Then we can choose $r \geq 0$ and a finite subset F of $\mathfrak{M}^{(2)}$ with the following property. For any $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, there exists a number $c > 0$ such that

$$|E(P_1: \psi: v; D: g_1; x; g_2)|_s \leq c s_F(\psi) \Xi(x) |(v, x)|^r \exp \{c_0 |v_I| \sigma(x)\}$$

for all $\psi \in C^\infty(M, \tau_M)$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$ and $x \in G$.

It is enough to consider the case $D = 1$. The general result would follow from this if we fix x , consider the complex polycylinder with center v and radius $(1 + \sigma(x))^{-1}$ and apply the Cauchy integral formula.

We drop the subscript and write $P = P_1$, $N = N_1$. Put

$$\psi_v(x) = \psi(x) \exp \{((-1)^{1/2} v - \rho)(H(x))\} \quad (x \in G)$$

in the usual notation [1 (e), §19] where $\rho = \rho_P$ and $H(x) = H_P(x)$. Then it is obvious that

$$|E(P: \psi: v: g_1; x; g_2)|_s \leq \int_K |\psi_v(g_1; xk; g_2^k)|_s dk$$

for $s \in \mathcal{S}^o(V)$ [1 (e), §22]. But if $x = kman$ ($k \in K, m \in M, a \in A, n \in N$), it is clear that

$$\psi_v(g_1; kman; g_2) = \tau(k) \psi_v(g_1^{k^{-1}}; man; g_2).$$

Moreover

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{G}n + \mathfrak{R}\mathfrak{M}_1 = \mathfrak{G}n + \mathfrak{M}_1\mathfrak{R}.$$

Therefore for given $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{G}$, we can choose $r \geq 0$ and $u_i, v_i \in \mathfrak{M}$ ($1 \leq i \leq p$) such that

$$|\psi_v(g_1; kman; g_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} |\psi(u_i; m; v_i)|_{\mathfrak{s}} (1 + |v|)^r e^{-(v_r + \rho)(\log a)}$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$, $s \in \mathcal{S}^o(V)$ and $(k, m, a, n) \in K \times M \times A \times N$. The required result now follows immediately from [1(e), Corollary of Lemma 30.1].

Let $\psi \neq 0$ be an eigenfunction of \mathfrak{Z}_M in $\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M)$. Then [1(e), Theorem 18.3] there exists a regular element $\lambda \in (-1)^{1/2} \mathfrak{h}_I^*$ such that

$$\zeta \psi = \gamma_{m/\mathfrak{h}_I}(\zeta: \lambda) \psi \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_M).$$

Put $\phi = E(P_1: \psi)$. Then it is obvious from Lemma 1 and [1(e), Lemma 19.1] that ϕ defines a function of type $II(\lambda)$ (see §8) on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$.

Let $P = MAN$ be another psgrp in $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{h}_R)$. We shall now investigate the behavior of

$$d_P(a) \phi(v: ma) \quad (m \in M, a \in A)$$

as $a \xrightarrow{P} \infty$. The case $P = G$ being trivial, we assume that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_R$ does not lie in the center of \mathfrak{g} .

We now use the notation of §5 and put

$$|(v, x, H)| = |(v, x)| (1 + \|H\|)$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$, $x \in G$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Note that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_R \subset \mathfrak{a}_0$ and therefore we may assume that $k_0 = 1$ (see §3). Then y centralizes \mathfrak{a} and therefore $A_y = \lambda^y + (-1)^{1/2} v$.

Lemma 2. Fix $\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{M}$. Then we can choose $r \geq 0$ and for each $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ a number $c(s) \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\psi_{i, \zeta}(v: v_1; m \exp H; v_2)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \\ & \leq c(s) E_M(m) |(v, m, H)|^r e^{-\beta_P(H)} \exp \{c_0 |v_I| (\sigma(m) + \|H\|)\} \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$, $m \in M_1^+$, $H \in Cl \mathfrak{a}^+$ and $1 \leq i \leq q$.

This is proved in the same way as Lemma 6.1.

Define $\lambda_i (i \in Q)$ and Q^o as in §6. Fix two positive numbers ε, δ and an element $H_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^+$ with $\|H_0\| = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$ denote the set of all $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$ with $|v_I| < \delta$. By choosing ε, δ sufficiently small, we can assume that:

- 1) $\beta_P(H_0) \geq 4\varepsilon$,
- 2) $|\lambda_i(H_0)| \geq 3\varepsilon$ if $\lambda_i(H_0) \neq 0$,
- 3) $|s_i v_I(H_0)| + c_0 |v_I| \leq \varepsilon$

for $i \in Q$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$. Put

$$\psi_i^o(v: m: t) = \psi_{i, H_0}(v: m \exp tH_0) e^{-ts_i A_v(H_0)}.$$

Fix $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ and $v \in \mathfrak{M}_1$. Then it follows from Lemma 2 that if $\lambda_i(H_0) \geq 0$, the integral

$$\int_0^\infty |\psi_i^o(v: m; v: t)|_{\mathfrak{s}} dt$$

converges uniformly as (v, m) varies within a compact subset of $\mathfrak{F}_c(\delta) \times M_1$. Hence by Lemma 5.3, we can define

$$\phi_{i_\infty}(v; m) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \phi_i(v; m \exp tH_0) e^{-ts_i A_v(H_0)}$$

for $(v, m) \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta) \times M_1$. Then ϕ_{i_∞} is a function of type $H \times C^\infty$.

Lemma 3. Fix i such that $\lambda_i(H_0) \geq 0$. Then

$$\phi_{i_\infty}(v; m; \zeta) = \gamma_1(\zeta; s_i A_v) \phi_{i_\infty}(v; m) \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_1)$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$ and $m \in M_1$. Moreover $\phi_{i_\infty} = 0$ unless $i \in Q^\circ$ and $s_i^{-1} \alpha = \alpha$.

If $\lambda_i(H_0) > 0$, it is clear that

$$\Re s_i A_v(H_0) - c_0 |v_I| > 0$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$ and therefore $\phi_{i_\infty} = 0$ from Lemma 1. So now assume that $\lambda_i(H_0) = 0$. Then it follows easily from Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 that our statement is true if $v \in \mathfrak{F}$. The rest is obvious by holomorphy.

Corollary. $\phi_{i_\infty}(v; m \exp H) = \phi_{i_\infty}(v; m) e^{s_i A_v(H)}$ for $m \in M_1$, $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$.

This is obvious from Lemma 3.

Define $\phi_{i_\infty} = 0$ if $\lambda_i(H_0) < 0$.

Lemma 4. Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$, $m \in M_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |\phi_i(v; m \exp TH_0) - \phi_{i_\infty}(v; m \exp TH_0)|_s \\ & \leq e^{-2\varepsilon T} \left\{ |\phi_i(v; m)|_s + \int_0^\infty |\psi_{i, H_0}(v; m \exp tH_0)|_s e^{2\varepsilon t} dt \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for $s \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, $T \geq 0$ and $i \in Q$.

Put $m_t = m \exp tH_0$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) and first suppose $\lambda_i(H_0) \geq 0$. Then

$$\phi_{i_\infty}(v; m_T) = \phi_i(v; m_T) + \int_T^\infty \psi_{i, H_0}(v; m_t) e^{-(t-T)s_i A_v(H_0)} dt$$

from Lemma 5.3. Moreover

$$\Re s_i A_v(H_0) = \lambda_i(H_0) - s_i v_I(H_0) \geq -\varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$|\phi_{i_\infty}(v; m_T) - \phi_i(v; m_T)|_s \leq \int_T^\infty |\psi_{i, H_0}(v; m_t)| e^{\varepsilon(t-T)} dt$$

and this implies the required inequality.

Now suppose $\lambda_i(H_0) < 0$. Then $\phi_{i_\infty} = 0$ and

$$\phi_i(v; m_T) = \phi_i(v; m) e^{T s_i A_v(H_0)} + \int_0^T \psi_{i, H_0}(v; m_t) e^{(T-t)s_i A_v(H_0)} dt$$

from Lemma 5.3. But

$$\Re s_i A_v(H_0) = \lambda_i(H_0) - s_i v_I(H_0) \leq -2\varepsilon$$

and therefore

$$|\phi_i(v: m \exp tH_0)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq e^{-2\epsilon t} \left\{ |\phi_i(v: m)|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \int_0^{\infty} |\psi_{i, H_0}(v: m \exp tH_0)|_{\mathfrak{s}} e^{2\epsilon t} dt \right\}.$$

This proves the lemma.

Let $\mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta, \lambda)$ denote the set of all $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$ where $\varpi(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v) \neq 0$, so that (see § 3)

$$\mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta, \lambda) = \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta) \cap \mathfrak{F}'_c(\lambda).$$

For any $s \in \mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a})$, there exists a unique index $i \in Q$ such that $s = s_i^{-1}$ on \mathfrak{a} (Lemma 7.1). Define

$$\phi_{P, s}(v: m) = \varpi_{01}(s_i A_v)^{-1} \phi_{i\infty}(v: m)$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta, \lambda)$, $m \in M_1$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} s_i A_v(H) &= A_v(sH) = \lambda^y(sH) + (-1)^{1/2} v(sH) \\ &= (-1)^{1/2} v(sH) \quad (H \in \mathfrak{a}) \end{aligned}$$

since y centralizes \mathfrak{a} and $\lambda = 0$ on $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_R$. This shows that $i \in Q^o$. Therefore the following result is obvious from Lemmas 2 and 4, Corollary of Lemma 3 and Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5. *Let $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta, \lambda)$, $m \in M_1$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\epsilon t} |d_P(m_t) \phi(v: m_t) - \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}} \phi_{P, s}(v: m) e^{(-1)^{1/2} t v(sH_0)}|_{\mathfrak{s}} = 0$$

where $m_t = m \exp tH_0$.

Corollary. *Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta, \lambda)$ and $s_0 \in \mathfrak{w}$ and suppose $v_I(s_0 H_0) < v_I(sH_0)$ for every $s \neq s_0$ in \mathfrak{w} . Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} d_P(m_t) \phi(v: m_t) e^{-(-1)^{1/2} t v(s_0 H_0)} = \phi_{P, s_0}(v: m)$$

for $m \in M_1$.

Since $|v_I(s_0 H_0)| \leq \epsilon$, this follows from Lemma 5 if we observe that

$$\Re(-1)^{1/2} \{v(sH_0) - v(s_0 H_0)\} = v_I(s_0 H_0) - v_I(sH_0) < 0$$

for $s \neq s_0$.

§ 18. The c -Functions

Now assume that $\dim \tau < \infty$ and τ is unitary. Put

$$L = {}^o\mathcal{C}(M, \tau_M).$$

Then by [1(e), Theorem 27.9], $\dim L < \infty$. Let $|\cdot|$ denote the norm in the finite-dimensional Hilbert space V . Put

$$\|\psi\|^2 = \int_M |\psi(m)|^2 dm$$

for $\psi \in L$. This defines the structure of a Hilbert space on L .

Let $\mathcal{E}_2(M)$ be the discrete series of M (i.e. the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible, square-integrable representations of M). For $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$, put

$$L(\omega) = L \cap (\mathfrak{H}_\omega \otimes V)$$

where \mathfrak{H}_ω is the smallest closed subspace of $L_2(M)$ containing all the matrix coefficients of ω . Then

$$L = \sum_{\omega} L(\omega)$$

where the sum is orthogonal.

We keep to the notation of §17 and put $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h}_R$ and $\mathfrak{w} = \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a})$. Fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ and define

$$\pi(v) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} \langle \alpha_i, v \rangle^{m_i} \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}_c)$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are all the distinct roots of (P, A) and m_i the multiplicity of α_i . As usual $\langle \alpha_i, v \rangle = \alpha_i(H_v)$. Let \mathfrak{F}'_c be the set of all $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$ where $\pi(v) \neq 0$. Clearly \mathfrak{F}'_c is independent of the choice of P in $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$. Put $\mathfrak{F}' = \mathfrak{F}' \cap \mathfrak{F}'_c$ and $\mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta) = \mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta) \cap \mathfrak{F}'_c$ for $\delta > 0$.

Theorem 1. Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}'$ and $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$. Then there exist unique elements $c_{P_2|P_1}(s; v) \in \text{End } L$ ($s \in \mathfrak{w}$) such that

$$E_{P_2}(P_1: \psi: v: ma) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}} (c_{P_2|P_1}(s; v) \psi)(m) e^{(-1)^{1/2} s v(\log a)}$$

for $\psi \in L$, $m \in M$ and $a \in A$. Moreover we can choose $\delta > 0$ such that for every $s \in \mathfrak{w}$, $\pi(v) c_{P_2|P_1}(s; v)$ extends to a holomorphic function of v on $\mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta)$.

Fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}'$. Then $s v \neq v$ for $s \neq 1$ in \mathfrak{w} (Lemma 22.3). Hence the uniqueness is obvious. So now we have to prove existence. Fix $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ such that $L(\omega) \neq \{0\}$. It is enough to define $c_{P_2|P_1}(s; v)$ on $L(\omega)$. By [1(e), Theorem 18.3] there exists a regular element $\lambda \in (-1)^{1/2} \mathfrak{h}_R^*$ such that

$$\zeta \psi = \gamma_{\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{h}_R}(\zeta: \lambda) \psi \quad (\zeta \in \mathfrak{Z}_M)$$

for all $\psi \in L(\omega)$. Now fix $\psi \in L(\omega)$ and put $\phi = E(P_1: \psi: v)$. It is easy to verify that $\mathfrak{F}'(\lambda) \subset \mathfrak{F}'$ and therefore by Theorem 7.1

$$\phi_{P_2} = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}} \phi_{P_2, s}.$$

Moreover by Lemma 7.5 the functions

$$m \mapsto \phi_{P_2, s}(m) \quad (m \in M)$$

are in L . Now define

$$c_{P_2|P_1}(s^{-1}: v) \psi = \phi_{P_2, s} \quad (s \in \mathfrak{w}).$$

Then the first statement of the theorem follows from Theorem 7.1 and its corollary.

For any linear function μ on V and $m \in M$, put

$$\mu_m(\psi) = \mu(\psi(m)) \quad (\psi \in L).$$

Then μ_m is a linear function on L . For a given $\psi \in L$, the condition $\mu_m(\psi) = 0$ for all μ and m , implies that $\psi = 0$. Hence we can choose a base (A_1, \dots, A_n) for the space dual to L , consisting of linear functions of the form μ_m . Let (ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n) be the dual base for L . For each i , choose $m_i \in M$ and a linear function μ_i on V such that $A_i(\psi) = \mu_i(\psi(m_i))$ for $\psi \in L$. Then

$$\psi = \sum_i A_i(\psi) \psi_i = \sum_i \mu_i(\psi(m_i)) \psi_i \quad (\psi \in L).$$

Now fix ω and $\psi \in L(\omega)$ as above and put

$$\psi_s(v) = \varpi(\lambda + (-1)^{1/2} v) \phi_{P_2, s}(v)$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$ in the notation of § 17 where

$$\phi(v) = E(P_1: \psi: v).$$

Then for a fixed $s \in \mathfrak{w}$, the function

$$(v, m) \mapsto \psi_s(v: m)$$

on $\mathfrak{F}_c(\delta) \times M$ is of class $H \times C^\infty$. Moreover $\psi_s(v) \in L$ for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'$. Hence

$$\psi_s(v: m) = \sum_i \mu_i(\psi_s(v: m_i)) \psi_i(m) \quad (m \in M)$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}'$. Therefore by holomorphy this relation holds for all $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$. This shows that $\psi_s(v) \in L$ and $v \rightarrow \psi_s(v)$ is a holomorphic mapping from $\mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$ to L . The second statement of Theorem 1 is now obvious.

We observe that \mathfrak{w} operates on L . For if $s \in \mathfrak{w}$ and $\psi \in L$, then $s\psi = \psi^s$ (see § 7) is also in L . Clearly the sets $\mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$ and \mathfrak{F}' are also stable under \mathfrak{w} .

Lemma 1. *Let $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s, t \in \mathfrak{w}$. Then*

$$s c_{P_2|P_1}(t: v) = c_{P_2^s|P_1}(st: v)$$

$$c_{P_2|P_1}(t: v) s^{-1} = c_{P_2|P_1^s}(t s^{-1}: sv)$$

for $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(\delta)$.

It is enough to prove this for v in \mathfrak{F}' . Fix $\psi \in L$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}'$ and put $\phi = E(P_1: \psi: v)$. Then it follows from [1(e), Lemma 21.1] that

$$(\phi_{P_2})^s = \phi_{P_2^s}$$

and the first assertion is an immediate consequence of this fact.

Similarly the second statement is an easy consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *Fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $s \in \mathfrak{w}$. Then*

$$E(P: \psi: v) = E(P^s: s\psi: sv)$$

for $\psi \in L$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$.

For $f, g \in C^\infty(G, \tau)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in C^\infty(M, \tau_M)$, put

$$(f, g)_G = \int_G (f(x), g(x)) dx,$$

$$(\alpha, \beta)_M = \int_M (\alpha(m), \beta(m)) dm,$$

provided the integrals are absolutely convergent. Moreover for $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$, define $f_v^{(P)} \in C_c^\infty(M, \tau_M)$ ($v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$) by

$$f_v^{(P)}(m) = \int_A f^{(P)}(ma) e^{-(1)^{1/2} v(\log a)} da \quad (m \in M)$$

in the notation of [1 (e), §16]. Then it is clear that

$$(E(P: \psi: v), f)_G = (\psi, f_v^{(P)})_M$$

for $\psi \in L$ and $v \in \mathfrak{F}$. Similarly

$$(E(P^s: s\psi: sv), f)_G = (s\psi, f_{sv}^{(P^s)})_M.$$

However it is easy to verify that

$$f_{sv}^{(P^s)} = s(f_v^{(P)})$$

and therefore

$$(E(P: \psi: v), f)_G = (E(P^s: s\psi: sv), f)_G$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$. The statement of Lemma 2 is now obvious.

Lemma 1 shows that it is sufficient to investigate the functions $c_{P_2|P_1}(1: v)$ for $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Lemma 3. Fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$, $\psi \in L$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}$ and let $P' = M' A' N'$ be a psgp of G . Then

$$E_{P'}(P: \psi: v) \sim 0$$

unless A' is conjugate to A under K .

We may assume, without loss of generality, that $\psi \in L(\omega)$ for some $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$. Then our assertion follows from Lemmas 11.1. and 17.1.

§ 19. Some Integral Formulas

Fix $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ and let $\mathfrak{F}_c(P)$ denote the set of all $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c$ such that $\langle \alpha, v_I \rangle > 0$ for every root α of (P, A) . Put $\rho = \rho_P$ and $H(x) = H_P(x)$ ($x \in G$). Every $x \in G$ can be written uniquely in the form $x = kman$ where $k \in K$, $m \in M \cap \exp \mathfrak{p}$, $a \in A$, $n \in N$. Put $k = \kappa(x)$ and $m = \mu(x)$. As usual let $\bar{P} = \theta(P)$ and $\bar{N} = \theta(N)$.

Theorem 1. $c_{\bar{P}|P}(1: v)$ and $c_{P|\bar{P}}(1: -v)$ extend to holomorphic functions of v on $\mathfrak{F}_c(P)$ and they are given by the following integrals.

$$(c_{\bar{P}|P}(1: v) \psi)(m) = \int_{\bar{N}} \tau(\kappa(\bar{n})) \psi(\mu(\bar{n})m) e^{((-1)^{1/2} v - \rho)(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n},$$

$$(c_{P|\bar{P}}(1: -v) \psi)(m) = \int_{\bar{N}} \psi(m\mu(\bar{n})^{-1}) \tau(\kappa(\bar{n}))^{-1} e^{((-1)^{1/2} v - \rho)(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n}.$$

Here $\psi \in L$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(P)$, $m \in M$ and the Haar measure $d\bar{n}$ on \bar{N} is so normalized that

$$\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} = 1.$$

We need some preparation. Observe that $G = KP$ and $\bar{N}P$ is an open dense subset of G whose complement is of Haar measure zero. Let $d_l p$ and $d_r p$ denote

the left- and right-invariant Haar measures respectively on P so that $d_r p = d_l p^{-1}$. Then $d_r p = \delta(p) d_l p$ where δ is a homomorphism of P into \mathbf{R}_+^\times . We can normalize the Haar measures dx and $d\bar{n}$ on G and \bar{N} respectively in such a way that

$$\int_G f(x) dx = \int_{\bar{N} \times P} f(\bar{n}p) d\bar{n} d_r p = \int_{K \times P} f(kp) dk d_r p$$

for $f \in C_c(G)$. Put

$$\bar{f}(\bar{x}) = \int_P f(xp) d_l p \quad (x \in G)$$

where $x \mapsto \bar{x}$ is the natural projection of G on $\bar{G} = G/P$. Note that

$$\bar{G} = \bar{K} = K/K \cap P = K/K_M$$

and put $\bar{f}(k) = \bar{f}(\bar{k})$ ($k \in K$). Then

$$\int_K \bar{f}(k) dk = \int f(kp) dk d_l p = \int f(kp) \delta(p)^{-1} dk d_r p.$$

Since $K \cap P$ lies in the kernel of δ , we can extend δ on G by defining $\delta(kp) = \delta(p)$ ($k \in K, p \in P$). Then $\delta(y p) = \delta(y) \delta(p)$ for $y \in G, p \in P$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_K \bar{f}(k) dk &= \int f(x) \delta(x)^{-1} dx = \int f(\bar{n}p) \delta(\bar{n}p)^{-1} d\bar{n} d_r p \\ &= \int f(\bar{n}p) \delta(\bar{n})^{-1} d\bar{n} d_l p. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $\bar{N} \cap P = \{1\}$ and so we may identify \bar{N} with its image under the projection of G on \bar{G} . Then the above relation becomes

$$\int_K \bar{f}(k) dk = \int_{\bar{N}} \bar{f}(\bar{n}) \delta(\bar{n})^{-1} d\bar{n}.$$

But since $f \mapsto \bar{f}$ is a surjective mapping of $C_c(G)$ on $C(G/P)$, we have obtained the following result.

Lemma 1. *We can normalize the Haar measure $d\bar{n}$ in such a way that*

$$\int_K \phi(k) dk = \int_{\bar{N}} \phi(\bar{n}) \delta(\bar{n})^{-1} d\bar{n}$$

for all $\phi \in C(G/P) = C(K/K_M)$.

It is easy to verify that

$$\delta(x) = e^{2\rho(H(x))} \quad (x \in G).$$

Hence taking $\phi = 1$ in the above lemma we get the following result.

Corollary. *Under the above normalization of $d\bar{n}$ we have*

$$\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} = 1.$$

Now we come to the proof of Theorem 1. It follows from [1(e), Corollary of Lemma 32.2] that the two integrals converge uniformly when v varies in a compact subset of $\mathfrak{F}_c(P)$. Therefore (see the proof of Theorem 18.1), it would be enough to verify the two equations for $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(P) \cap \mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta)$. We prove only the first since the proof of the second is quite similar.

Fix $\psi \in L$, $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(P) \cap \mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta)$ and put

$$\phi = E(P; \psi; v).$$

Then

$$\phi(x) = \int \psi(x\kappa(\bar{n})) \tau(\kappa(\bar{n}))^{-1} \exp \{((-1)^{1/2} v - \rho)(H(x\kappa(\bar{n}))) - 2\rho(H(\bar{n}))\} d\bar{n}$$

for $x \in G$, from Lemma 1. Now

$$\bar{n} = \kappa(\bar{n}) \mu(\bar{n}) \exp H(\bar{n}) \cdot n$$

where $n \in N$. Hence if $m \in M_1 = MA$,

$$\psi(m^{-1} \kappa(\bar{n})) = \psi(m^{-1} \bar{n} \mu(\bar{n})^{-1}),$$

$$H(m^{-1} \kappa(\bar{n})) = H(m^{-1} \bar{n}) - H(\bar{n}).$$

Take $x = m^{-1}$, replace \bar{n} by \bar{n}^m inside the integral and observe that

$$d\bar{n}^m = e^{-2\rho(H(m))} d\bar{n}.$$

Then we obtain

$$e^{v_+ \cdot (H(m))} \phi(m^{-1}) = \int_N \psi(\bar{n} m^{-1} \mu(\bar{n}^m)^{-1}) \tau(\kappa(\bar{n}^m))^{-1} e^{v_- \cdot (H(\bar{n})) - v_+ \cdot (H(\bar{n}^m))} d\bar{n}$$

where $v_- = (-1)^{1/2} v - \rho$ and $v_+ = (-1)^{1/2} v + \rho$. On the other hand, we can choose $c > 0$ such that

$$|\psi(m)| \leq c \Xi_M(m)$$

for all $m \in M_1$. Now let $m = m_0^{-1} a$ where $m_0 \in M$ and $a \in A$. Keep m_0 fixed and let $a \xrightarrow{P} \infty$. Then

$$H(\bar{n}^m) = H(m_0^{-1} \bar{n}^a) = H(m_0^{-1} \kappa(\bar{n}^a)) + H(\bar{n}^a).$$

Hence $H(\bar{n}^m) - H(\bar{n}^a)$ remains bounded. Moreover

$$|\psi(\bar{n} m^{-1} \mu(\bar{n}^m)^{-1})| = |\psi(\mu(\bar{n}) m_0 \mu(m_0^{-1} \bar{n}^a m_0)^{-1})|.$$

Now

$$\bar{n}^a \in \kappa(\bar{n}^a) \mu(\bar{n}^a) AN.$$

Hence

$$m_0^{-1} \bar{n}^a m_0 \in m_0^{-1} \kappa(\bar{n}^a) m_0 \cdot \mu(\bar{n}^a)^{m_0^{-1}} \cdot AN$$

and therefore

$$\mu(m_0^{-1} \bar{n}^a m_0) \in K_M \cdot \mu(m_0^{-1} \kappa(\bar{n}^a) m_0) \mu(\bar{n}^a)^{m_0^{-1}}.$$

This shows that

$$m_0 \mu(m_0^{-1} \bar{n}^a m_0) m_0^{-1} \in C \mu(\bar{n}^a)$$

where C is a compact subset of M . Hence

$$\mu(\bar{n}) m_0 \mu(m_0^{-1} \bar{n}^a m_0)^{-1} \in \mu(\bar{n}) \mu(\bar{n}^a)^{-1} C^{-1} m_0.$$

Therefore we can choose $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$|\psi(\bar{n} m^{-1} \mu(\bar{n}^m)^{-1})| \leq c_1 \Xi_M(\mu(\bar{n}) \mu(\bar{n}^a)^{-1})$$

for all $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$ and $a \in A$. By Lemma 20.1, we can take the limit inside the integral and conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a \xrightarrow{P} \infty} e^{v+(\log a)} \phi(m_0 a^{-1}) &= \int_{\bar{N}} \psi(\bar{n} m_0) e^{v-(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} \\ &= \int_{\bar{N}} \tau(\kappa(\bar{n})) \psi(\mu(\bar{n}) m_0) e^{v-(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n}. \end{aligned}$$

The required result now follows from the corollary of Lemma 17.5.

We shall now derive some consequences of Theorem 1.

Lemma 2. Fix $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$. Then $L(\omega)$ is stable under $c_{\bar{P}|P}(1: v)$ and $c_{P|P}(1: v)$.

Since $\mathcal{E}_\omega(M)$ is stable under both left and right translations of M , this is obvious from Theorem 1.

The following result was pointed out to me by Langlands.

Lemma 3. $\det c_{P|P}(1: v)$ is not identically zero.

Put

$$c(t) = \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-t\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} \quad (t \geq 2)$$

and

$$\alpha_t(\bar{n}) = c(t)^{-1} e^{-t\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \quad (\bar{n} \in \bar{N}).$$

The proof is based on the following simple fact.

Lemma 4. Let f be a continuous function on \bar{N} which is integrable with respect to $d\bar{n}$. Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\bar{N}} \alpha_t f d\bar{n} = f(1).$$

We shall prove this in §21.

Now fix $v \in \mathfrak{F}_c(P)$ and put

$$v_t = v + (-1)^{1/2} t \rho, \quad C(t) = c(t)^{-1} c_{P|P}(1: -v_t) \quad (t \geq 2).$$

Then $v_t \in \mathfrak{F}_c(P)$ and $C(t) \in \text{End } L$. Fix $\psi \in L$. Then it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$(C(t)\psi)(m) = \int \psi(m \mu(\bar{n})^{-1}) \tau(\kappa(\bar{n}))^{-1} e^{((-1)^{1/2} v - \rho)(H(\bar{n}))} \alpha_t(\bar{n}) d\bar{n}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} C(t)\psi = \psi$$

from Lemma 3. This proves that $C(t) \rightarrow 1$ and therefore $\det C(t) \rightarrow 1$. Hence $\det C(t) \neq 0$ for t sufficiently large.

Combining Theorem 1 with Theorem 13.2, we can now obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. Fix $\psi \in L$, $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{F}')$, $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{a})$ and put

$$\phi_\alpha(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) E_{P_1}(\psi: v: x) dv \quad (x \in G).$$

Then $\phi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$ and

$$\phi_\alpha^{(P_2)}(ma) = \gamma(P_2) \int_{\mathfrak{F}} e^{(-1)^{1/2} v(\log a)} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}} \alpha(s^{-1} v) (c_{\bar{P}_2|P_2}(1: v) c_{P_2|P_1}(s: s^{-1} v) \psi)(m) dv$$

for $m \in M$, $a \in A$. Here

$$\gamma(P_2) = \int_{\bar{N}_2} e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n},$$

the integrand having the same meaning as in Theorem 1 for $P = P_2$.

There is no loss of generality in assuming that $\psi \in L(\omega)$ for some $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$.

Put

$$\phi(v: x) = E_{P_1}(\psi: v: x) \quad (v \in \mathfrak{F}, x \in G).$$

Then it follows from Lemma 17.1 that ϕ is a function on $\mathfrak{F} \times G$ of type $II(\lambda)$ for a suitable $\lambda \in (-1)^{1/2} \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{F}^*$ (see the proof of Theorem 18.1). Therefore since $\text{Supp } \alpha \subset \mathfrak{F}'$, it follows from Theorem 18.1 that the function

$$(v, x) \mapsto \alpha(v) \phi(v: x)$$

is of type $I(\lambda)$ (§13). Hence we conclude from Theorem 13.1 that $\phi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$.

Now put $P = P_2$ and let us use the notation of Theorem 13.2. Since $\rho(H(\bar{n})) \geq 0$, it is clear from this that

$$\phi_\alpha^{(P)}(m) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \phi_{P, \alpha}(\bar{n}m) d\bar{n} \quad (m \in M_1).$$

(Here $\varepsilon > 0$.) But

$$\phi_{P, \alpha}(\bar{n}m) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) \tau(\kappa(\bar{n})) E_P(P_1: \psi: v: \mu(\bar{n}) m \exp H(\bar{n})) dv.$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and put $v_\varepsilon = v + (-1)^{1/2} \varepsilon \rho$ for $v \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then $v_\varepsilon \in \mathfrak{F}'_c(P)$ and we conclude from [1(e), Corollary of Lemma 32.2] and Theorems 1 and 18.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-(1+\varepsilon)\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \phi_{P, \alpha}(\bar{n}ma) d\bar{n} \\ &= \gamma(P) \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}} (c_{\bar{P}|P}(1: (sv)_\varepsilon) c_{P|P_1}(s: v) \psi)(m) e^{(-1)^{1/2} sv(H(a))} dv \end{aligned}$$

for $m \in M$ and $a \in A$. But $c_{\bar{P}|P}(1: v)$ is holomorphic on $\mathfrak{F}'_c(\delta)$. Therefore since $\text{Supp } \alpha \subset \mathfrak{F}'$, we obtain by making $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ that

$$\phi_\alpha^{(P)}(ma) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}} \gamma(P) \int_{\mathfrak{F}} \alpha(v) (c_{\bar{P}|P}(1: sv) c_{P|P_1}(s: v) \psi)(m) e^{(-1)^{1/2} sv(H(a))} dv$$

and this is equivalent to the required result.

§ 20. A Result on Uniform Convergence

Let $P = MAN$ be a psgp of G . Define $\rho, H(x), \mu(x)$ ($x \in G$), A^+ and \bar{N} as usual.

Lemma 1. Fix $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $\langle v, \alpha \rangle > 0$ for every root α of (P, A) and put $v_+ = v + \rho, v_- = v - \rho$. Then the integral

$$\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-v_+(H(\bar{n})) + v_-(H(\bar{n}^a))} \Xi_M(\mu(\bar{n}) \mu(\bar{n}^a)^{-1}) d\bar{n}$$

converges uniformly for $a \in A^+$.

The present form of this lemma is due to Langlands [2, Lemma 3.12]. My original formulation was more complicated.

We first need an auxiliary result. Let $P_0 = M_0 A_0 N_0$ be a minimal psgp of G contained in P and let us use the notation of [1(e), § 30].

Lemma 2. Let $x, y \in G$. Then

$$\Xi(xy^{-1}) = \int_{N_0} e^{-\rho_0(H_0(x\bar{n}_0) + H_0(y\bar{n}_0))} d\bar{n}_0,$$

where the Haar measure $d\bar{n}_0$ on \bar{N}_0 is so normalized that

$$\int_{N_0} e^{-2\rho_0(H_0(\bar{n}_0))} d\bar{n}_0 = 1.$$

Let $\kappa_0(x)$ ($x \in G$) denote the component of x in K corresponding to the Iwasawa decomposition $G = K A_0 N_0$. Put $k_y = \kappa_0(yk)$ ($k \in K$). Then $k \mapsto k_y$ is a diffeomorphism of K and [1(a), p. 281]

$$e^{2\rho_0(H_0(yk))} dk_y = dk.$$

Now

$$\Xi(xy^{-1}) = \int_K e^{-\rho_0(H_0(xy^{-1}k))} dk.$$

Replacing k by k_y and observing that

$$H_0(xy^{-1}k_y) = H_0(xk) - H_0(yk),$$

we get

$$\Xi(xy^{-1}) = \int_K e^{-\rho_0(H_0(xk) + H_0(yk))} dk$$

and the required result now follows from Lemma 19.1.

Let $*P = *M *A *N$ be the minimal psgp of M corresponding to P_0 [1(e), Lemma 6.1] so that $*P = M \cap P_0$. Put $*\bar{N} = \theta(*N)$. Then \bar{N} is a normal subgroup of \bar{N}^0 and the mapping

$$(\bar{n}, *\bar{n}) \mapsto \bar{n}_0 = \bar{n} \cdot *\bar{n}$$

defines a diffeomorphism of $\bar{N} \times *\bar{N}$ onto \bar{N}^0 . Let $d\bar{n}$ and $d*\bar{n}$ denote the corresponding Haar measures. Then $d\bar{n} \cdot d*\bar{n} = c d\bar{n}_0$ where c is a positive constant.

Let us now use the notations of [1(e), § 30].

Lemma 3. *We can normalize $d\bar{n}$ and $d^*\bar{n}$ in such a way that*

$$\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} = \int_{*\bar{N}} e^{-2^*\rho(*H(*\bar{n}))} d^*\bar{n} = 1.$$

Then $d\bar{n}_0 = d\bar{n} d^*\bar{n}$ where $d\bar{n}_0$ is normalized as in Lemma 2.

The proof of the first part is the same as that of the corollary of Lemma 19.1. Since $d\bar{n} d^*\bar{n} = c d\bar{n}_0$, we have

$$c = \int_{*\bar{N}} d^*\bar{n} \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho_0(H_0(\bar{n}*\bar{n}))} d\bar{n}.$$

Fix $*\bar{n} \in *\bar{N}$. Then $*\bar{n} = kan$ ($k \in K_M$, $a \in *A$, $n \in *N$) and

$$H_0(\bar{n}*\bar{n}) = H_0(\bar{n}k) + \log a = H_0(k^{-1}\bar{n}k) + *H(*\bar{n}).$$

But since K_M normalizes \bar{N} , we conclude that

$$\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho_0(H_0(\bar{n}*\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} = e^{-2^*\rho(*H(*\bar{n}))} \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho_0(H_0(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n}.$$

On the other hand

$$H_0(\bar{n}*k) = H(\bar{n}) + *H(\mu(\bar{n})*k)$$

for $*k \in K_M$. Hence if d^*k is the normalized Haar measure on K_M , we conclude from [1(a), Corollary p. 261] that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_M} e^{-2\rho_0(H_0(\bar{n}*k))} d^*k &= e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} \int_{K_M} e^{-2^*\rho(*H(\mu(\bar{n})*k))} d^*k \\ &= e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho_0(H_0(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} &= \int_{\bar{N}} d\bar{n} \int_{K_M} e^{-2\rho_0(H_0(*k^{-1}\bar{n}*k))} d^*k \\ &= \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and this proves that

$$c = \int_{*\bar{N}} e^{-2^*\rho(*H(*\bar{n}))} d^*\bar{n} = 1.$$

Corollary. $\Xi_M(m_1 m_2^{-1}) = \int_{*\bar{N}} e^{-^*\rho(*H(m_1*\bar{n}) + *H(m_2*\bar{n}))} d^*\bar{n}$ for $m_1, m_2 \in M$.

This follows by applying Lemma 2 to $(M, *P)$ in place of (G, P_0) .

Now we come to the proof of Lemma 1. Fix $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ such that

$$\langle \rho_0 - \varepsilon \nu, \alpha_0 \rangle \geq 0$$

for every root α_0 of (P_0, A_0) . Note that

$$-\nu_+(H(\bar{n})) + \nu_-(H(\bar{n}^a)) = \nu(H(\bar{n}^a) - H(\bar{n})) - \rho(H(\bar{n}^a) + H(\bar{n}))$$

and it follows from [1(e), Lemma 30.4] that we can choose $c \geq 0$ such that

$$\nu(H(\bar{n}^a) - H(\bar{n})) \leq c$$

for all $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$ and $a \in A^+$. Put $v' = \varepsilon v$. Then

$$-v_+(H(\bar{n})) + v_-(H(\bar{n}^a)) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)c - v'_+(H(\bar{n})) + v'_-(H(\bar{n}^a)).$$

Hence it would be enough to prove Lemma 1 for εv instead of v .

So we may now assume that

$$\langle \rho_0 - v, \alpha_0 \rangle \geq 0$$

for every root α_0 of (P_0, A_0) . Let $\bar{n}_0 = \bar{n} \cdot * \bar{n}$ where $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$ and $* \bar{n} \in * \bar{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(\bar{n}_0) &= H(\bar{n}) + *H(\mu(\bar{n}) \cdot * \bar{n}), \\ H_0(\bar{n}_0^a) &= H(\bar{n}^a) + *H(\mu(\bar{n}^a) \cdot * \bar{n}) \quad (a \in A). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (v - \rho_0)(H_0(\bar{n}_0^a)) - (v + \rho_0)(H_0(\bar{n}_0)) \\ = v_-(H(\bar{n}^a)) - v_+(H(\bar{n})) \\ - * \rho(*H(\mu(\bar{n}) * \bar{n})) - * \rho(*H(\mu(\bar{n}^a) * \bar{n})). \end{aligned}$$

ω being a measurable subset of \bar{N} , put $\omega_0 = \omega \cdot * \bar{N}$. Then integrating both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\omega_0} e^{(v - \rho_0)(H_0(\bar{n}_0^a)) - (v + \rho_0)(H_0(\bar{n}_0))} d\bar{n}_0 \\ = \int_{\omega} e^{v_-(H(\bar{n}^a)) - v_+(H(\bar{n}))} \Xi_M(\mu(\bar{n}) \mu(\bar{n}^a)^{-1}) d\bar{n} = I_{\omega}(a) \quad (\text{say}) \end{aligned}$$

from the corollary of Lemma 3. On the other hand $M = K_M \cdot *A \cdot *N$ is an Iwasawa decomposition of M . Hence

$$\bar{n}_0^a = \bar{n}^a \cdot * \bar{n} = \bar{n}^a \cdot *k \cdot *a \cdot *n$$

where $*k \in K_M$, $*a \in *A$, $*n \in *N$. Since M normalizes \bar{N} , it is clear that

$$H_0(\bar{n}_0^a) = H_0(\bar{n}') + H_0(*a)$$

where $\bar{n}' = *k^{-1} \cdot \bar{n}^a \cdot *k \in \bar{N}$. Hence we conclude from [1(a), Lemma 43] that

$$(\rho_0 - v)(H_0(\bar{n}_0^a)) \geq (\rho_0 - v)(H_0(*a)) = * \rho(*H(* \bar{n})).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (v - \rho_0)(H_0(\bar{n}_0^a)) - (v + \rho_0)(H_0(\bar{n}_0)) \\ \leq - * \rho(*H(* \bar{n})) - v_+(H(\bar{n})) - * \rho(*H(\mu(\bar{n}) * \bar{n})). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides on ω_0 and applying Lemma 3 and its corollary, we find that

$$I_{\omega}(a) \leq \int_{\omega} e^{-v_+(H(\bar{n}))} \Xi_M(\mu(\bar{n})) d\bar{n} \quad (a \in A).$$

Now choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $\langle v, \alpha \rangle \geq \varepsilon \langle \rho, \alpha \rangle$ for every root α of (P, A) . Then

$$v^+(H(\bar{n})) \geq (1 + \varepsilon) \rho(H(\bar{n})) \quad (\bar{n} \in \bar{N})$$

from [1(e), Lemma 30.4]. On the other hand

$$\int_{\bar{N}} e^{-(1 + \varepsilon) \rho(H(\bar{n}))} \Xi_M(\mu(\bar{n})) d\bar{n} < \infty$$

from [1(e), Corollary of Lemma 32.2]. Therefore the assertion of Lemma 1 is now obvious.

§ 21. Proof of Lemma 19.4

For $T \geq 0$, let $\bar{N}(T)$ denote the set of all points $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$ such that $\rho(H(\bar{n})) \leq T$. Then $\bar{N}(T)$ is a compact set and $\bar{N}(0) = \{1\}$. Let $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l)$ be the system of simple roots of (P, A) . Then

$$2\rho = m_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + m_l \alpha_l$$

where m_i are positive integers. Put $m = m_1 + \dots + m_l$.

Lemma 1. *There exists a number $c > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\bar{N}(\varepsilon)} d\bar{n} \geq c \varepsilon^{2m}$$

for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.

Put

$$\beta(a) = \inf_{1 \leq i \leq l} \alpha_i(\log a)/2 \quad (a \in A^+).$$

Then

$$\rho(H(\bar{n}^a)) \leq \log(1 + e^{1 - \beta(a)})$$

for $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(1)$ and $a \in A^+$ from [1(e), Lemma 30.2]. Fix ε ($0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$) and choose $a \in A$ such that

$$\alpha_i(\log a) = 2(1 - \log \varepsilon) \quad (1 \leq i \leq l).$$

Then $a \in A^+$ and

$$1 - \beta(a) = \log \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\rho(H(\bar{n}^a)) \leq \log(1 + \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$$

for $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}(1)$. Therefore

$$\int_{\bar{N}(\varepsilon)} d\bar{n} \geq \int_{(\bar{N}(1))^a} d\bar{n} = e^{-2\rho(\log a)} c_0$$

where

$$c_0 = \int_{\bar{N}(1)} d\bar{n} > 0.$$

But

$$2\rho(\log a) = m\alpha_i(\log a) = 2m(1 - \log \varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$\int_{\bar{N}(\varepsilon)} d\bar{n} \geq c \varepsilon^{2m}$$

where $c = c_0 e^{-2m} > 0$.

Now we come to the proof of Lemma 19.4. Fix ε ($0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$) and let $\bar{N}_r(\varepsilon)$ denote the complement of $\bar{N}((r-1)\varepsilon)$ in $\bar{N}(r\varepsilon)$ ($r \geq 1$). Then if $t \geq 2$,

$$\int_{\bar{N}_r(\varepsilon)} e^{-t\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} \geq e^{-r\varepsilon t} \int_{\bar{N}_r(\varepsilon)} d\bar{n} = e^{-r\varepsilon t} (\mu(r\varepsilon) - \mu((r-1)\varepsilon))$$

where

$$\mu(T) = \int_{\bar{N}(T)} d\bar{n} \quad (T \geq 0).$$

Therefore

$$c(t) = \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-t\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} \geq \sum_{r \geq 1} e^{-r\varepsilon t} (\mu(r\varepsilon) - \mu((r-1)\varepsilon)).$$

On the other hand

$$\mu(T) = \int_{\bar{N}(T)} d\bar{n} \leq e^{2T} \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} = c(2) e^{2T}.$$

Hence if $t > 2$,

$$e^{-r\varepsilon t} \mu(r\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} c(t) &\geq \sum_{r \geq 1} \mu(r\varepsilon) e^{-r\varepsilon t} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon t}) \\ &\geq \mu(\varepsilon) e^{-\varepsilon t} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon t}). \end{aligned}$$

Now take $\varepsilon = t^{-1}$. Then it follows from Lemma 1 that

$$c(t) \geq \mu(t^{-1}) e^{-1} (1 - e^{-1}) \geq c_0 t^{-2m} \quad (t > 2),$$

where c_0 is a positive constant independent of t .

Now let U be any open neighborhood of 1 in \bar{N} . We have to show that

$$\int_U \alpha_t(\bar{n}) d\bar{n} \rightarrow 0$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. (As usual cU denotes the complement of U .) Fix ε ($0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$) such that $\bar{N}(\varepsilon) \subset U$. Then if $t > 2$,

$$\int_{{}^cU} \alpha_t(\bar{n}) d\bar{n} \leq \int_{{}^c\bar{N}(\varepsilon)} \alpha_t(\bar{n}) d\bar{n} = c(t)^{-1} \int_{{}^c\bar{N}(\varepsilon)} e^{-t\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n}.$$

But $c(t)^{-1} \leq c_0^{-1} t^{2m}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{{}^c\bar{N}(\varepsilon)} e^{-t\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} &\leq e^{-(t-2)\varepsilon} \int_{{}^c\bar{N}(\varepsilon)} e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} \\ &\leq c(2) e^{-(t-2)\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{{}^cU} \alpha_t(\bar{n}) d\bar{n} \leq c_1 t^{2m} e^{-t\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$$

as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, where $c_1 = c_0^{-1} c(2) e^{2\varepsilon}$. This proves Lemma 19.4.

§ 22. Appendix

Let $x=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denote a variable point in $E=\mathbf{R}^n$. Put $D_i=\partial/\partial x_i$ and $D^\alpha=D_1^{\alpha_1} \dots D_n^{\alpha_n}$ for a multi-index $\alpha=(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. We write $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\alpha_2+\dots+\alpha_n$, $|x|=\max_i |x_i|$ and denote by M the set of all multi-indices.

Let V and $\mathcal{S}(V)$ be as before (§ 6).

Lemma 1. *Let f be an element in $C^\infty(E, V)$ such that $f=0$ on the hyperplane $x_1=0$. Then $f=x_1 g$ where*

$$g(x)=\int_0^1 f_1(x_1 t, x_2, \dots, x_n) dt$$

and $f_1=D_1 f$. Hence $g \in C^\infty(E, V)$ and

$$|D^\alpha g(x)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq \sup_{|y| \leq |x|} |D^\alpha f_1(y)|_{\mathfrak{s}}$$

for all $x \in E$, $\alpha \in M$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$.

This is obvious.

Let $p \neq 0$ be the product of N real linear forms on E and E' the set of all points $x \in E$ where $p(x) \neq 0$. A function f from E' to V is said to be locally bounded (on E), if for every compact set ω in E and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, $|f(x)|_{\mathfrak{s}}$ remains bounded for $x \in \omega \cap E'$.

For $\alpha \in M$, $r \geq 0$ and $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$, put

$$s_{\alpha, r}(f) = \sup_E (1 + |x|)^r |D^\alpha f|_{\mathfrak{s}} \quad (f \in C^\infty(E, V)).$$

If F is a finite subset of M , put

$$s_{F, r}(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in F} s_{\alpha, r}(f).$$

Let $\mathcal{C}(E, V)$ denote the set of all functions $f \in C^\infty(E, V)$ such that $s_{\alpha, r}(f) < \infty$ for all $\alpha \in M$ and $r \geq 0$.

Lemma 2. *Fix $\alpha \in M$ and let F denote the set of all $\beta \in M$ such that $|\beta| \leq |\alpha| + N$. Then for every $r \geq 0$, we can choose a number $c_r \geq 1$ with the following property. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{C}(E, V)$ and $p^{-1}f$ is locally bounded. Then $f = pg$ where $g \in \mathcal{C}(E, V)$ and*

$$s_{\alpha, r}(g) \leq c_r s_{F, r}(f)$$

for all $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathcal{S}(V)$.

By an easy induction we are reduced to the case $N = 1$. Hence we may assume that $p = x_1$. Then $f = x_1 g$ in the notation of Lemma 1. Let E_1 and E_2 be the sets of points $x \in E$ where $|x_1| \leq 1$ and $|x_1| \geq 1$ respectively. Then if $x \in E_1$, we have

$$1 + |x| \leq 2(1 + \max_{i \geq 2} |x_i|)$$

and therefore

$$(1 + |x|)^r |D^\alpha g(x)|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leq 2^r \sup_{|y| \leq |x|} |D^\alpha f_1(y)|_{\mathfrak{s}} (1 + |y|)^r.$$

This means that

$$\sup_{E_1} (1 + |x|)^r |D^\alpha g(x)|_s \leq 2^r s_{\beta,r}(f)$$

where $\beta = (\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

On the other hand $g = x_1^{-1} f$ on E_2 . Since $|x_1| \geq 1$, it follows directly by differentiation that

$$|D^\alpha g(x)|_s \leq \alpha_1! \sum_{0 \leq m \leq \alpha_1} |D_1^m D^\beta f(x)|_s$$

on E_2 where $\beta = (0, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Therefore since $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ the required result is obvious.

Let us now use the notation of Theorem 18.1.

Lemma 3. *Let H be an element in \mathfrak{a} such that $\alpha(H) \neq 0$ for every root α of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$. Then $sH \neq H$ for every $s \neq 1$ in \mathfrak{w} .*

Extend \mathfrak{a} to a maximal abelian subspace \mathfrak{a}_0 of \mathfrak{p} and put $\mathfrak{w}_0 = \mathfrak{w}(\mathfrak{a}_0)$. Let Q be the set of all roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_0)$ which vanish at H . Then if $\beta \in Q$, it is clear that $\beta = 0$ on \mathfrak{a} .

Let \mathfrak{w}_1 be the stabilizer of H in \mathfrak{w}_0 . Then \mathfrak{w}_1 is the subgroup of \mathfrak{w}_0 generated by the Weyl reflexions s_β for $\beta \in Q$. Hence every element of \mathfrak{w}_1 leaves \mathfrak{a} fixed pointwise.

Now suppose $sH = H$ for some $s \in \mathfrak{w}$. We can choose $s_0 \in \mathfrak{w}_0$ such that $s_0 = s$ on \mathfrak{a} . But then $s_0 \in \mathfrak{w}_1$ and hence $s_0 = 1$ on \mathfrak{a} . This proves that $s = 1$.

References

1. Harish-Chandra: (a) Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group I. Amer. J. Math. **80**, 241–310 (1958)
- (b) Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group II. Amer. J. Math. **80**, 553–613 (1958)
- (c) Invariant differential operators and distributions on a semisimple Lie algebra. Amer. J. Math. **86**, 534–564 (1964)
- (d) Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups II. Acta Math. **116**, 1–111 (1966)
- (e) Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups I. J. Functional Analysis **19**, 104–204 (1975)
2. Langlands, R. P.: On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups, 1973, preprint

Received July 28, 1975

Harish-Chandra
 The Institute for Advanced Study
 School of Mathematics
 Princeton, N. J. 08540
 USA