4-Substituted norsnoutanones: a new probe system for evaluating electronic effects in π -facial selectivity in nucleophilic additions

Goverdhan Mehta,*a Chebolu Ravikrishna,a Bishwajit Ganguly^b and Jayaraman Chandrasekhar*^b

^a School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad 500 046, India

^b Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

Remotely substituted norsnoutanone derivatives show significant and consistent π -face selectivity in nucleophilic additions, revealing the interplay of orbital and electrostatic effects.

Precise and predictable delineation of the various factors that can control π -face selectivities in additions to trigonal carbon centres has been a subject of intense scrutiny in recent years, as the desymmetrization of the two faces of the planar carbonyl/ alkene group is a fundamental paradigm of stereoselective synthesis.¹ While the influence of steric factors in π -facial control is fairly well understood, the nature and role of the long range electronic effects (e.g. orbital vs. electrostatic) on faceselection is a matter of ongoing debate. In order to segregate the steric and electronic components, various research groups have devised substrates wherein the two π -faces are virtually isosteric with minimal conformational uncertainties and which can be electronically perturbed through distal modifications.2-4 Among the systems that have been examined in detail, the sterically unbiased 5-substituted adamantan-2-ones 14 and endo-substituted norbornan-7-ones 2^3 have provided valuable insights into the factors governing the π -face selectivity during nucleophilic additions. Yet the relative importance and subtle interplay of various electronic factors in controlling faceselectivity remains controversial,¹ thus underscoring the need to examine newer systems.

Herein we introduce 4-substituted norsnoutanone 3 (pentacyclo[4.3.0.0^{2,4}.0^{3,8}.0^{5,7}]nonan-9-one), a substrate with sterically unbiased π -faces and a distal substituent to desymmetrize and exert long range electronic perturbation, as a probe to study electronic control of face-selectivity. The pentacyclic system 3 has some interesting features and, in some ways, can be regarded as a hybrid of 1 and 2. As the overlay diagram of the energy minimized 1-3 (R = CN) indicates, the substituent in 3 is farthest removed from the stereoinduction centre. Furthermore, the substituent at the 4-position in 3 is three bonds away (cf. three- and two-bond separation in 1 and 2, respectively) from the C(9) carbonyl group, but it is linked through cyclopropane bonds which are expected to be better transmitters of electronic effects. Here we disclose our findings that in 3, the C(4) substituent indeed exerts a dominant influence on the face-selectivity during nucleophilic additions. We also provide the results of calculated transition-state energetics for hydride addition to 4-substituted norsnoutanones that aid in the interpretation of the observed face-selectivities.

We have synthesized six derivatives 3a-f for the present study from the homocubanone-4-carboxylic acid ketal 4. LAH reduction to 5 and Ag^I mediated rearrangement furnished the



required framework 6.5 The hydroxymethyl functionality in 6 was elaborated through unexceptional but multi-step functional group modifications to furnish **3a–f** (Scheme 1).⁶

Pentacyclic ketones 3a-f were subjected to hydride reduction with sodium borohydride to furnish (*E*)-**7a**-**f** and (*Z*)-**8a**-**f** alcohols in near quantitative yield; the diastereoselectivities are shown in Scheme 2.⁶ The observed *E* : *Z* ratios were determined through ¹H NMR analyses and remained insensitive to changes in the reaction solvent. The stereostructures of **7a**-**f** and **8a**-**f** have been unambiguously deduced on the basis of (i) the deshielding (*ca*. 0.3 ppm) of H(5) in *E*-alcohols compared to *Z*alcohols, (ii) the relative deshielding of the H(2) and H(3) protons in *Z*-alcohols compared to *E*-alcohols, and (iii) the deshielding (7–8 ppm) of the quaternary C(4) carbon resonances in the *Z*-series compared to *E*-series. These observations were further fortified through selected shift reagent (LIS) studies.

The observed diastereoselectivities (Scheme 2) indicate a profound effect and consistent trend in the face-selectivity induced by the remote C(4) substituent. While strong electron-withdrawing groups (e.g. cyano, ester) exhibit marked preference for the *syn*-face approach of the hydride to furnish the (*E*)-alcohols, such preference is diminished in the moderately electron-withdrawing substituents present in 3c-e. In the case of the alkyl-substituted derivative 3f there is a marginal preference for *anti*-face addition. While these observations are generally similar to those reported for the adamantanone 1 and the norbornanone 2 derivatives, there are two notable features. There is a marked *increase* in the face-selectivity in 3 (*cf.* for



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, LiAlH₄, THF, 82%; ii, Ag^I, MeOH, heat, 88%



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, NaBH₄, MeOH, 0-5 °C

		<i>ab initio</i> Energy/ $E_{\rm h}$			
	Heat of formation/kcal mol ⁻¹ MNDO //MNDO	HF/3-21G //HF/3-21G	HF/6-31G* //HF/3-21G	MP2/6-31G* //HF/3-21G	
3b (anti) (syn)	95.0 94.5	517.09150 517.09315	519.98802 519.98947	521.61734 521.61899	
Relative energy ^{<i>a</i>} /kcal mol ⁻¹	0.5	1.0	0.9 (0.4)	1.0 (0.5)	
3d (anti) (syn)	80.3 80.2	502.32468 502.32483	505.13354 505.13358	506.74174 506.74155	
Relative energy ^{<i>a</i>} /kcal mol ⁻¹	0.1	0.1	0.0 (0.2)	-0.1 (0.1)	

^a A positive value indicates *syn*-face addition transition state is more stable. Values in parentheses correspond to relative energies obtained by replacing LiH by a point charge at the location of the hydride.

Table 2 MNDO Relative energies for *syn* and *anti* face addition calculated using the charge and hydride models as well as with LiH addition transition state geometries for substituted adamantanones, norbornanones and nors-noutanones^{*a*}

	Relative e	Experi-		
Substrate	Charge	Hydride	Transition state	mental syn : anti ratio ^b
$1 \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N}$	1.3	0.9	0.2	68:32
$2 \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N}$	1.8	1.4	0.5	88:12
3 R = CN	3.5	1.7	0.5	84:16
$1 \text{ R} = \text{CH}=\text{CH}_2$	-1.0	0.0	0.0	_
$2 \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}_2$	-2.8	-0.4	-0.2	36:64 ^c
$3 \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{CH} = \mathbf{CH}_2^2$	1.0	0.5	0.1	60:40

^{*a*} A positive value indicates preference for *syn*-face addition. ^{*b*} For reduction with NaBH₄ or LiAlH₄. ^{*c*} For the divinyl derivative.

 $R = CO_2Me$, E:Z ratios: 57:41 for 1, 68:32 for 2 and 79:21 for 3) despite the distal nature of the substituent. Another significant difference is that while the *endo*-vinyl and methoxymethyl substituents in the case of the norbornyl system 2 are, contrary to expectation based on Cieplak model, *anti*-directing, they are *syn*-directing in 3, in keeping with the inductively withdrawing nature of these substituents.

To test some of the commonly used computational procedures for predicting face-selectivity and to interpret the observed results, transition-state energetics for LiH addition to two representative substrates **3b** and **3d** were calculated. Structures with vanishing gradients and a Hessian of 1 were optimized using MNDO⁷ and *ab initio* (3-21G basis)⁸ methods. Energies were also computed at HF and MP2 levels with the 6-31G* basis set.

At all levels of theory, the 4-cyano substituent in **3b** is correctly predicted to induce a clear *syn*-face selectivity for nucleophilic attack (Table 1). The energy differences are consistent with the observed product ratio. Much smaller relative energies (generally favouring *syn*-face addition) are computed for the vinyl derivative **3d**. The consistency of the MNDO procedure becomes evident when the results for **3d** are compared with those obtained for the *endo*-vinyl substituted norbornanone (Table 2). In the latter, *anti*-face addition is predicted to be favoured by 0.2 kcal mol⁻¹, as is indeed found experimentally.^{3a}

Two procedures were used to examine the electrostatic effects in these systems. The LiH unit in the *ab initio* optimized transition state was replaced by a point negative charge (magnitude the same as the Mulliken charge) at the hydride location. The corresponding relative energies computed with the 6-31G* basis set reflect the differences in the electrostatic response between the nucleophile and the substrate at the transition state. These values for **3b** are smaller than the transition state energy differences but, significantly, are in the

same direction (Table 1). Similar results were earlier obtained for the norbornanones.⁹ An alternative approach^{3b} to modelling electrostatic effects through a point negative charge and a hydride ion at an assumed distance from the ground state geometry of the substrate provides further insights. Due to the inherent assumptions in these models, unambiguous but exaggerated energy differences are obtained. In all the derivatives considered, the hydride model yields the same facialselectivity as the transition state relative energies (Table 2), while the charge model reproduces the general trends.

The observed and calculated results can be understood by considering three factors. Cieplak-type hyperconjugative interactions¹⁰ should favour syn-face addition in all the substrates with electron-withdrawing groups. Since these groups polarize the adjacent carbon atoms as well as the nearby exo-face hydrogen atoms, electrostatic interactions with the nucleophile would reinforce the syn-face preference. However, a direct through-space repulsive interactions between the nucleophile and the substituent is also possible, favouring anti-face approach. In the norbornanones, all three factors are important and their relative contributions determine the observed syn-face preference for the cyano and anti-face attack in the vinyl derivatives. All the interactions are reduced in the adamantanone series. Interestingly, the direct interaction between the nucleophile and the substituent is effectively eliminated in the norsnoutanones for geometric reasons. Hence, residual electrostatic and orbital effects favour syn-face approach in both 3b and 3d.

References

- 1 Recent review: B. W. Gung, Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 5263.
- 2 P. Wipf and Y. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, **116**, 11678 and references cited therein; R. L. Halterman and M. A. McEroy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, **112**, 6690; H. Li, G. Mehta, S. Padma and W. J. le Noble, J. Org. Chem., 1991, **56**, 2006; G. Mehta, F. A. Khan, B. Ganguly and J. Chandrasekhar, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1992, 1711.
- 3 (a) G. Mehta and F. A. Khan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 6140; (b) B. Ganguly, J. Chandrasekhar, F. A. Khan and G. Mehta, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 1734.
- 4 H. Li and W. J. le Noble, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas*, 1992, **111**, 199 and references cited therein.
- 5 G. Mehta, Ch. Ravikrishna and K. Ravikumar, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 2321; L. A. Paquette, J. S. Ward, R. A. Boggs and W. B. Farnham, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 1101.
- 6 All new compounds reported here were fully characterized on the basis of spectral and analytical data. The details of the synthesis of compounds **3a–f** will be described in a full paper.
- 7 J. J. P. Stewart, J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 1990, 4, 1.
- 8 W. J. Hehre, L. Radom, P. v. R. Schleyer and J. A. Pople, *Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Theory*, Wiley, New York, 1986.
- 9 M. N. Paddon-Row, Y.-D. Wu and K. N. Houk, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 10 638.
- 10 A. S. Cieplak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 4540.
- Received, 29th July 1996; Revised M/S received 25th October 1996; Com. 6/07289E