Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Chem. Sci.), Vol. 99, No. 4, October 1987, pp. 281-282. © Printed in India.

On the $\pi^2 S + \pi^2 S$ pathways toward [n]-prismanes

E D JEMMIS* and G LEELA

School of Chemistry, University of Hyderabad, Central University PO, Hyderabad 500 134, India

MS received 25 September 1987

Abstract. Empirical force field calculations indicate that $\underline{1c}$, $\underline{2c}$ and $\underline{3c}$ rather than $\underline{1a}$, $\underline{2a}$ and $\underline{3a}$ are more favourable precursors for photocycloadditions to give [5]-, [6]- and [7]-prismane respectively.

Keywords. [n]-Prismanes; photocycloaddition; strain energy.

There is considerable experimental and theoretical interest in the higher members of the [n]-prismanes (Reddy and Jemmis 1986; Eaton et al 1981; Mehta and Padma 1987; Yang and Horner 1986; Mehta et al 1987; Allinger and Eaton 1983). The last step in many of the planned synthesis is a photochemical $\pi^2S + \pi^2S$ cycloaddition (scheme 1. $\underline{1a} \rightarrow \underline{1b}$, $\underline{2a} \rightarrow \underline{2b}$, $\underline{3a} \rightarrow \underline{3b}$). Unfortunately these are not successful (Mehta and Padma 1987; Eaton et al 1986; Mehta et al 1987). In this communication we analyse the problems with these reactions and suggest alternative precursors which have never been considered before.

There are several factors working against these reactions. The major hurdle is the construction of three cyclobutane rings in one step. If an average of 20 kcal/mol of strain is assumed for each cyclobutane the strain energy increase would be 60 kcal/mole on this count alone. Besides there are considerable destabilizing changes in the CCC angles in the products of these reactions. $\underline{2a}$, for example, has comfortable angles around the sp^2 carbon but the angles cannot change much during the formation of $\underline{2b}$ though the carbons become tetra-coordinate. These are reflected in the large strain energy differences (ΔSE) calculated for them using empirical force field calculations (Allinger 1977, Allinger and Yuh 1980).

The two unfavourable factors can be avoided by a small change in the precursors. Consider $\underline{1c}$, $\underline{2c}$ and $\underline{3c}$ where the double bonds are parallel to the C_n axis of the target prismanes. Only one four-membered ring will be added as a consequence of the 2+2 addition now. The change of angle at the trigonal carbons is not very large, but the carbon centre has become 'tetrahedral' so that the initial small angle is better accommodated. In addition to these the two ethylene units in $\underline{1c}$, $\underline{2c}$ and $\underline{3c}$ should be close together. The all-cis-fused four-membered rings bring them close.

^{*}To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

Empirical force field calculations (scheme 1) show that ΔSE s for cycloaddition of $\underline{1a}$, $\underline{2a}$ and $\underline{3a}$ are larger than 50 kcal/mol, an empirical limit arrived at previously beyond which the reactions may not take place (Osawa et al 1977; Mehta et al 1987). According to the same criterion $\underline{1c}$, $\underline{2c}$ and $\underline{3c}$ should react easily. There is only an increase of 20 kcal/mol of strain in going from $\underline{1c}$ to $\underline{1b}$, well within the limits of ΔSE mentioned above. The ethylenes are close to each other (ad,acent C-C distances are 2.9 Å each). The reaction $\underline{2c} \rightarrow \underline{2b}$ should be a facile one. With $\underline{3c}$, the prismane becomes the less strained species. Should it be possible to make $\underline{3c}$, conversion to $\underline{3b}$ should not pose any problems. Though the precursors $\underline{1c}$, $\underline{2c}$ and $\underline{3c}$ may be more difficult to prepare because of the all cis-fused rings, attempts in this direction should be rewarding.

Acknowledgements

We thank the UGC, New Delhi (COSIST Programme to School of Chemistry), for the purchase of a microcomputer used in this study.

References

Allinger N L 1977 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99 8127

Allinger N L and Eaton P E 1983 Tetrahedron Lett. 3697

Allinger N L and Yuh Y H 1980 QCPE 11 395

Eaton P E, Or Y S and Branca S J 1981 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103 2134

Eaton P E, Or Y S, Branca S J and Shankar B K R 1986 Tetrahedron 42 1621

Mehta G and Padma S 1987 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109 2212

Mehta G, Padma S, Osawa E, Barbivic D and Mochizuki Y 1987 Tetrahedron Lett. 1295

Osawa E, Aigami K and Inamoto Y 1977 J. Org. Chem. 48, 2621

Reddy V P and Jemmis E D 1986 Tetrahedron Lett. 3771

Yang N C and Horner M G 1986 Tetrahedron Lett. 543