

Medical Hypothesis, Discovery & Innovation Interdisciplinary Journal

Original Article

Prevention of Hypotension During Spinal Anesthesia for Caesarean Section: Preload with Crystalloids or Hydroxyethyl Starch

Ali Shahriari¹; Maryam Khooshideh², Matineh Heidari³

¹ Department of Anesthesiology, Roozbeh Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Arash Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ³ Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

ABSTRACT

Prevention of hypotension during spinal anesthesia for cesarean section avoids maternal and fetal side effects. The aim of this study is to compare the effects of prehydration with crystalloid and prehydration with Hydroxyethyl starch on maternal blood pressure and neonatal outcome during cesarean section under spinal anesthesia. We enrolled 72 full term women weighting between 50 and 85 Kg, classified as ASA I, scheduled for elective caesarean section under spinal anesthesia. Participants were randomly allocated equally to one of crystalloid or Hydroxyethyl starch (Voluven) groups. After arrival in the operating room and intravenous (IV) access, 500 ml of ringer solution was infused within 10-15 min before the initiation of the spinal block in the crystalloid group, but in the Voluven group, 500 ml of 6% Hydroxyethyl starch solution was infused to the patients. Hypotension occurred in 47.2% of patients in crystalloid group and 25% of patients in Voluven group and the statistical difference between two groups was meaningful.(P = 0.008). The incidence of nausea was %41.6 (15 patients) in crystalloid group vs %22.2 (8 patients) in Voluven group. Apgar scores in newborns in both groups were above 8. As conclusion, prophylactic prehydration with Hydroxyethyl starch was more effective than prehydration with crystalloid in the prevention of hypotension during spinal anesthesia for elective caesarean section.

KEY WORDS

Spinal anesthesia; Hydroxyethyl starch; Crystalloid; Hypotension.

©2013, Med Hypothesis Discov Innov Interdisciplinary

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 3.0 License (CC BY-NC 3.0), which allows users to read, copy, distribute and make derivative works for non-commercial purposes from the material, as long as the author of the original work is cited properly.

Correspondence to: Maryam Khooshideh, Email:Khooshide@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Spinal anesthesia is often selected for cesarean delivery due to its rapid, reliable, and profound sensory and motor blockade. Spinal block causes peripheral vasodilation and venous pooling, which may result in maternal hypotension. Hypotension and bradycardia are common side effects of spinal anesthesia. The incidence of hypotension in the supine pregnant patient after spinal anesthesia may be as high as 90% (1).

Prevention of hypotension with crystalloids or Hydroxyethyl starch

The aim of fluid infusion is to neutralize the hypovolemia induced by spinal anesthesia, and for this purpose, various fluids infusion protocols, including crystalloids and colloids, have been used for preloading before spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. Many studies have been reported the effects of volume preload, using various fluids, with different volumes and speeds for treatment or prevention of hypotension induced by spinal anesthesia (2-4).

The aim of this study is to compare the effects of prehydration with crystalloid with prehydration with Hydroxyethyl starch on maternal blood pressure and neonatal outcome during cesarean section under spinal anesthesia.

METHODS

The present prospectively designed study was approved by the ethics and clinical studies committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and informed and signed consent was obtained from all the patients who were enrolled in the study.

We enrolled 72 full term women in Arash Hospital, weighting between 50 and 85 Kg, classified as ASA I, scheduled for elective caesarean section under spinal anesthesia. Parturient who had obstetric complications or evidence of fetal compromise were excluded. All patients were fasted overnight and received premedication with ranitidine 150 mg orally the night before and 2 h prior to surgery.

Participants were randomly allocated equally to one of crystalloid or Voluven groups. After arrival in the operating room and intravenous (IV) access, 500 ml of ringer solution was infused within 10-15 min before the initiation of the spinal block, but in the Voluven group 500 ml of 6% Hydroxyethyl starch solution was infused to the patients. Spinal anesthesia was performed in the sitting position with a 25 gauge whitacre needle, using a midline approach at L4-5 interspace. Once free flow of CSF had been recognized the intrathecal anesthetic solution (12 mg of 0.5% bupivacaine) was injected over 15 s, aspirating CSF at the end of injection to confirm needle position. After intrathecal injection, the patients were turned in supine position with left uterine displacement. Surgery was started when a sensory block up to T5 dermatome was obtained.

Baseline maternal heart rate and arterial blood pressure were measured by an automatic non-invasive monitor and recorded before the induction, every 2 minutes before delivery, and every 5 minutes until discharge from recovery room. Hypotention (defined by a decrease in systolic blood pressure to less than 90 mm Hg or less than 20 mm Hg from baseline value was treated IV ephedrine 5 mg and incremented doses as required and additional ringer solution. Maternal bradycardia (defined as heart rate less than 60 beats/min) was treated with IV atropine 0.5 mg. Nausea was defined as thesubjectively unpleasant sensation associated with awareness of the urge to vomit. Vomiting was defined as the forceful expulsion of gastric contents from the mouth.

If a nausea who was not related to hypotention occured, or after intervention for hemodynamics parameters correction, midazolam 2 mg IV was administered for patient satisfaction. The height of block was recorded as the highest dermatome with loss of pinprick sensation at 20 min post spinal.

Times of skin incision, delivery of baby and completion of surgery were recorded. The surgical technique was uniform for all patients. Apgar scores were obtained at 1 and 5 min.

Statistical test were performed using SPSS 11 for Windows. Results are reported as absolute value, mean ± SD. Continuous variables were analyzed using Student's T test. Nominal or ordinal variables were analyzed by Chi square test and Fisher exact test or Mann-Whitney U test. P< 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

No significant differences were detected in maternal demographic data between the groups (Table 1). Intraoperative data are shown in Table 2 and 3. Anesthesia levels were similar in the two groups.

Hypotension occurred in 47.2% of patients in crystalloid group and 22.2% of patients in Voluven group and the statistical difference between two groups was meaningful.(p=0.008) No patient received more than one dose of ephedrine (5 mg) for treatment of the hypotension. There were no significant difference between the mean systolic pressure between groups (109.39±8.92in crystalloid group vs 116.20 ±9.72 in

Prevention of hypotension with crystalloids or Hydroxyethyl starch

Voluven group). The incidence of nausea was %41.6 (15 patients) in crystalloid group vs %22.2 (8 patients) in Voluven group (p=0. 12). No severe hypotension and bradycardia and occurred among the patients in our study.

Neonatal outcome was similar in the two groups and all the neonates have Apgar scores 8 at one and five minutes.

DISCUSSION

Spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery may cause severe maternal hypotension, and a decrease in cardiac output and blood flow to the placenta (5).

The current study has shown that prophylactic prehydration with Hydroxyethyl starch was more effective than prehydration with crystalloid for preventing hypotension in healthy parturient undergoing spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery. We demonstrated a higher incidence of hypotension in the crystalloid group, 47.2% compared with 22.2% in the Voluven group (p=0.008).

Multiple studies fail to show persistent blood pressure maintenance after prophylactic crystalloid administration (6,7). Blood pressure and cardiac indices transiently increase, but these effects are short-lived because crystalloid solutions remain intravascular for only a limited time. Fluid preloading with crystalloid is ineffective due to its rapid redistribution (8).

A well prehydration protocol is known to augment intravascular volume, maintain stable hemodynamics parameters and improve microcirculatory organ perfusion. Many different fluid protocols have been tried by various studies to minimize the severity hypotension induced by spinal anesthesia in obstetric patients and it seems that Colloid preload provides a sustained increase in central blood volume (9,10).

Malthru et al found no hypotension when patients received 15 mL/kg of 5% albumin prior to spinal anesthesia for cesarean section. The control group, which received 15 mL/kg of 5% dextrose in LR, had a 29% incidence of hypotension (11).

Sharma et al. recently observed that patients given 500 mL of hetastarch had a 21% incidence of hypotension after spinal anesthesia with lidocaine for postpartum

tubal ligation compared to a 55% incidence in patients given 1000 mL of LR (12).

Extravascular redistribution of crystalloids may be so rapid that it may be impossible to infuse them fast enough to maintain intravascular volume and avoid hypotension during spinal anesthesia. Colloid solutions contain large molecules that do not immediately redistribute throughout the extracellular fluid compartment (13,14).

Neonatal outcome was good in both groups in our study, and it can be due to a rapid treatment of hypotention with intravenous ephedrine, and also due to the rapid treatment of hypotention in our study, the incidence of nausea in both groups was low.

CONCLUSION

Prophylactic prehydration with Hydroxyethyl starch was more effective than prehydration with crystalloid for preventing hypotension in healthy parturient undergoing spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery.

DISCLOSURE

Conflicts of Interest: None declared.

Table 1. Characteristics of patients receivingprehydration with Crystalloid or Voluven infusion.^a

	Crystalloid	Voluven	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	28 ±5.4	27 ± 3.9	0.06
Weight (kg)	78.4 ± 9.8	86.5 ± 8.5	o.4
Height (cm)	170±8.8	171 ± 6.9	0.8
Gestational age	38.2 ±0.8	38.5 ±0.6	0.9

^aThere was no significant differences between the groups.

Table 2. Intraoperative characteristics of patients incrystalloid or Voluven group.

	Crystalloid	Voluven	<i>p</i> -value
SBP ^b 1-10 min	97.89±9.84	105.61 ±8.16	0.2
SBP ^b 11-60 min	109.39±8.92	116.20± 9.72	0.6
Heart Rate before OP	92.22 ±10.75	88.39 ±6.89	0.01
Heart Rate along OP ^c	115.75 ±21.34	104.34 ±13.56	0.008
Level of sensory block	T4±1	T4±1	1.0

^aP< 0.05; ^bSBP: Systolicblood pressure; ^cOP: Operation

Table 3. Intraoperative adverse effects among patients in crystalloid or Voluven group.

	Crystalloid N (%)	Voluven N (%)	P value
Hypotention	17 (47.2)	9 (25)	0.008 ^ª
Nausea	15 (41.6)	8 (22.2)	0.12
^a P< 0.05			

REFERENCES

1. Sen I, Hirachan R, Bhardwaj N, Jain K, Suri V, Kumar P. e Colloid cohydration and variable rate phenylephrine infusion effectively prevents postspinal hypotension in elective Cesarean deliveries. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2013 Jul;29(3):348-55. PMID: 24106360

2. Jabalameli M, Soltani HA, Hashemi J, Behdad S, Soleimani B. Prevention of post-spinal hypotension using crystalloid, colloid and ephedrine with three different combinations: A double blind randomized study. Adv Biomed Res. 2012;1:36. PMID: 23326767

3. Marciniak A, Wujtewicz M, Owczuk R. The impact of colloid infusion prior to spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section on the condition of a newborn--a comparison of balanced and unbalanced hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.4. Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther. 2013 Jan-Mar;45(1):14-9. PMID: 23572302

4. Mitra JK, Roy J, Bhattacharyya P, Yunus M, Lyngdoh NM. Changing trends in the management of hypotension following spinal anesthesia in cesarean section. J Postgrad Med. 2013 Apr-Jun;59(2):121-6. PMID: 23793313

5. McDonald S, Fernando R, Ashpole K, Columb M. Maternal cardiac output changes after crystalloid or colloid coload following spinal anesthesia for elective cesarean delivery: a randomized controlled trial. Anesth Analg. 2011 Oct;113(4):803-10. PMID: 21890886

6. Mercier FJ. Fluid loading for cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia: have we studied all the options? Anesth Analg. 2011 Oct;113(4):677-80. PMID: 21948275

7. Turker G, Yilmazlar T, Mogol EB, Gurbet A, Dizman S, Gunay H. The effects of colloid pre-loading on thromboelastography prior to caesarean delivery: hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.4 versus succinylated gelatine. J Int Med Res. 2011;39(1):143-9. PMID: 21672316

8. Singh J, Ranjit S, Shrestha S, Sharma R, Marahatta SB. Effect of preloading on hemodynamic of the patient undergoing surgery under spinal anaesthesia. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ). 2010 Apr-Jun;8(30):216-21. PMID: 21209539

9. Mitra JK. Prevention of hypotension following spinal anaesthesia in caesarean section--then and now. Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ). 2010 Oct-Dec;8(32):415-9. PMID: 22610772

10. Kaya S, Karaman H, Erdogan H, Akyilmaz A, Turhanoglu S. Combined use of low-dose bupivacaine, colloid preload and wrapping of the legs for preventing hypotension in spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section. J Int Med Res. 2007 Sep-Oct;35(5):615-25. PMID: 17900401

11. Mathru M, Rao TL, Kartha RK, Shanmugham M, Jacobs HK. Intravenous albumin administration for prevention of spinal hypotension during cesarean section. Anesth Analg. 1980 Sep;59(9):655-8. PMID: 7191222

12. Riley ET, Cohen SE, Rubenstein AJ, Flanagan B. Prevention of Hypotension after Spinal Anesthesia for Cesarean Section: Six Percent Hetastarch Versus Lactated Ringer's Solution. Anesth Analg. 1995 Oct;81(4):838-42. PMID: 7574020

13. Dahlgren G, Granath F, Wessel H, Irestedt L. Prediction of hypotension during spinal anesthesia for Cesarean section and its relation to the effect of crystalloid or colloid preload. Int J Obstet Anesth. 2007 Apr;16(2):128-34. PMID: 17276668

14. Davies P, French GW. A randomised trial comparing 5 mL/kg and 10 mL/kg of pentastarch as a volume preload before spinal anaesthesia for elective caesarean section. Int J Obstet Anesth. 2006 Oct;15(4):279-83. PMID: 16945514