Formulation of Mathematical Model of Picketing of Liquor Shops and Warehouses

Satish Chaturvedi ¹⁾, Shubha Johri ²⁾, J.P. Modak ³⁾

- 1) Chairman Lokmanya Tilak Jankalyan Shikshan Sanstha Nagpur (India) Ph.D. Scholar, R.T.M.N.U. E-mail: satishchaturvedi@gmail.com
- 2) Prof. & Head Department of History PG Teaching Deptt., R.T.M. Nagpur University Nagpur (India) E-mail: shubhajohari@gmail.com
 - 3) Emeritus Professor of Mechanical Engineering & Dean (R & D) Priyadarshini College of Engineering Nagpur 440 019 (India) E-mail: jpmodak@gmail.com

Abstract: Before getting independence of INDIA from British regime, large number of Leaders of India was required to take strong agitation against British Government for getting freedom. One of the prominent leaders was Mr. M. K. Gandhi. During the period 1920 to 1942 in Central Provinces and Berar specifically pertaining to the period June 1930 to September 1930 [1], strong agitations took place towards reducing income to Government by way of reducing liquor consumption. Several events took place towards this objective. Based on the facts, the attempt is made in this paper to present the entire agitation as one social phenomena in the form of a Mathematical Model corelating the fall in liquor revenue in terms of various causes responsible for this fall in revenue. It is only through the Mathematical Model that it is possible to get quantitative idea of intensity of interaction of causes on effects of any phenomena may be it be scientific or socioeconomic or of any other type. Particularly the approach of Field Data Based Model [2] is applicable in such a situation as this is a Field Phenomena. Such models serve as most reliable tools to plan future such activities. This could be known as a process of PROGNOSIS.

Keywords: Field data based mathematical model, Social Phenomena, Waightages, Extraneous Variables.

1. BRIEF IDEA OF FORMULATION OF MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF ANY SOCIO-ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

Just as with any physical activity any socioeconomic activity has four features namely (i) system constants (ii) Inputs (causes) (iii) outputs (effects) and (iv) Extraneous Variables.

By System constants is meant the fixed parameters magnitude wise of the activity under consideration. By inputs is meant time varying causes of the activity. By outputs is meant the effects of the action of causes on the system. Whereas by Extraneous Variables is meant the causes which do have influence on the activity but which cannot be quantified [3].

The exact meaning of these aspects is explained in detail in the later part of this paper.

If information about these aspects is available then the information can be simulated in terms of numerical quantities.

 Numbers in the square brackets denote references listed at the end of the paper. In partial fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the first author under the supervision of the second. Subsequently Mathematical Model simulating the activity can be formed.

This is detailed in this paper in the context of PICKETING OF SHOPS AND WARE HOUSES OF LIQUOR as a part of agitation towards freedom struggle of INDIA.

2. DETAILS OF FACTS OF PICKETING OF SHOPS AND WARE HOUSES IN CP AND BERAR DURING JUNE TO SEPT 1930 IN INDIA

Nine such events occurred which are detailed as under

2.1 Picketing of Liquor Shop

An important feature of the Civil Disobedience Movement was the ambition of liquor shops and the temperance movement. This was aimed at reducing the evils of drinking as well as preventing the Government from raising income through excise duty on alcoholic drinks

- 1. Picketing of liquor shops was started by the Congress workers in Nagpur on 29th June 1930. The volunteers picketed the liquor shops and tried to dissuade people from drinking liquor. Gradually, their efforts were rewarded as indicated by the fall in excise revenue collection. All the picketing and other activities of Congress volunteers were peaceful. Even the police posted at various liquor shops did not find any excuse to take action against the volunteers. In the sale of liquor went down.
- 2. The liquor shops in Jabalpur were picketed by volunteer Satyagrahis. This brought down the liquor trade, and merchants and liquor contractors were adversely affected.
- 3. Through the public meetings, the evils of drinking were highlighted. Poonam Chand Ranka, a prominent Congress leader of Nagpur, presided over two meetings, one held at Babulal's and other at Billmoria's. In these meetings appeals were made against drinking by important political leaders such as N.B. Khare, Dharmadhikari, etc.

As a result of the agitation against drinking and sale of liquor, the illicit liquor production and trading increased.

4. On 14th July Congress volunteers started picketing the Government warehouses near the Cotton Market in Nagpur, to prevent the issue of liquor to contractors. Speaking at the usual meeting in front of the Cotton Market liquor bar on the evening of the 14th Dharmadhikari and Punamchand, who presided, urged the social boycott of liquor drinkers as well as vendors, since mere picketing of liquor shops had not been enough

and they appealed to caste panchayats to use their influence in this direction. Picketing of warehouses had been successful and outside contractors were then unable to take delivery to stocks.

In the interior of C.P. Marathi Province intense picketing of liquor shops continued and at certain places sales completely ceased.

Although picketing of liquor shops did not stop the sale of liquor completely at all places, it reduced the sale considerably. In Central Provinces, liquor bids went down by 60%. Moreover picketing associated with the gathering of people generated greater awareness among the people about the struggle in progress. There was more political awakening in masses and Civil Disobedience movement got greater support.

The Government warehouses were also picketed and the contractors were not able to replenish their stocks from the warehouses. On 19th July 1930, a big crowed of volunteers picketed the liquor warehouses in Jabalpur. preventing the entry of the contractors. Even the vehicles carrying the liquor from warehouses were not spared. In order to curb down the growing illicit-liquor smuggling and trade, it was decided to give police protection to the contractors to collect liquor from the Government warehouses. Accordingly, city Magistrate of Jabalpur Mr. Skinner and District Excise officer Mr. Scutt along with police force escorted the contractors to the warehouse. The volunteers were arrested, but they were successful in stopping the loaded vehicles from taking out the liquor. Police tried to remove the volunteers forcibly, but they could not do much because of the large number of volunteers and opened fire injuring four persons.

The picketing of liquor shops and distilleries was peaceful to a great extent, but few violent incidents also occurred. In Jabalpur, an effective method adopted by the Satyagrahis to stop the sale of liquor was to prevent the vehicles carrying the stock from leaving the warehouses. On 19th July, when truck loaded with barrels attempted to move from the warehouses, a volunteer Nana Naidu, lay flat on the road in front of the truck and refused to move. Finding the volunteers adamant the police opened fire injuring four of them.

Warehouses in Katni were similarly picketed. This campaign resulted in a fall in the consumption of country liquor in Jabalpur by 75% during this period.

- 6. In Saoner near Nagpur, picketing had started on 21st July 1930, and was persistently followed up by Congress volunteers. There also the volunteers prevented contractors from using the road by lying in front of their cars. Police protection was required. On 6th September, a hired lorry as sent under police escort to help liquor contractors to obtain their liquor consignments. They left Saoner with a load of liquor and the volunteers who lay across the road, were removed. At Patansaongi, the lorry was again obstructed by volunteers and liquor was taken back to Saoner.
- 7. The situation in Saoner worsened. On 12th September 1930, police force of forty was sent in lorry to Saoner to deal with the Congress volunteers engaged in picketing. The Lorries were loaded up with liquor from the warehouse and moved off. About fifty volunteers lay down in front of the lorries but were forcibly removed. Further on, the road was blocked by boulders etc. At one

place trees had been felled and laid across the road. The police was thus wedged in and reinforcement was wired for from Nagpur. The D.S.P., Deputy Commissioner, and two A.S.P's proceeded with a party to Saoner, and under a shower of stones, dispersed the mob by a lathi charge. Subsequently punitive police were quartered in Saoner.

8. The picketing of liquor shops assumed a special aspect in Nagpur where the biggest liquor contractor, Billimoria had to have special police arrangements. During January 1931, handwritten bills were sent round the city, advising people to boycott him. One case came to light in which a customer having a bottle of liquor from his shop was persuaded and forced to throw away the bottle. In another case, picketers refused to allow a man to buy from his shop, brandy prescribed for a patient by a doctor.

Picketing constituted an important part of Civil Disobedience movement.

3. THE ANALYSIS OF EVENTS

The analysis of above stated nine events is to be done in terms of 3 aspects, viz. (i) The background of occurrence of these events (ii) Qualitative analysis of these events, and (iii) The quantitative analysis of these events. These three issues are being detailed as under.

3.1 The Background of Occurrence of These Events

The social status of the entire country was under considerable depression due to ruling by British Government . This is on account of different types of torture caused to the population by various awkward rules imposed by the government. This situation prompted some people to take lead in properly. (1) influencing people to support the agitation which was to follow shortly against British Government 2) To have involvement in agitation by action 3) to have the preparedness for sacrificing their belongings even to some extent sacrificing the life.

In view of above the leaders planned various obstacles in ruling the country by British Government. These obstacles were various agitation like 1) boycotting consumption of salt imported from Britain, 2) boycotting consumption of liquor imported from Britain3)resisting the stand of British Government towards prevention in use of produce of Forest by people of India.

In this investigation focus is on picketing of the liquor shops and warehouses of liquor from the point of view of drastically reducing revenue earned by British Government by sell of liquor.

3.2 Qualitative Analysis of the Events

Every event is synonymous with a phenomenon. Just as in any phenomenon we have 4 aspects, such as (a) system, (b) inputs (causes), (c) outputs (effects) and (d) extraneous variables. Similarly for these events it is possible to decide what are the system parameters, inputs, outputs and extraneous variables. This is possible by critically analyzing the details of happening of events, and system parameters. As regards the system parameters are concerned those all are pertaining to what was the background of the location where the event occurred. This background comprises mainly the population, culture and history of Socio economic conditions of the population of the location.

Inputs: As regards the inputs are concerned those will be specific day in a total duration in which the event took place, the geographical location of the event, the number of volunteers involved in the agitation, the degree of agitation, number of police involved in resisting the agitation, the number of police officers included in resisting the agitation, the intensity of curbing the agitation, number of leaders included in motivating society towards boycotting, use of liquor and trading of the liquor.

Outputs: For every event the extent of reduction in (1) sell of liquor and (2) trading of liquor can be considered as outputs or effects.

Extraneous Variables: These are the factors which do affect the events but are very difficult to individually recognize. These are in the context of these events, the culture of the population, the History of Socio Economic Culture of the location where the events have occurred.

3.3 Quantitative Analysis of the Event:

It is necessary to decide the quantitative intensity of all aspects of inputs & outputs. This is possible by considering the concept of wattages, by properly analyzing the facts as they have occurred.

For example: If the police are organized at liquor shop but if the agitation is not so intense that police has no excuse to take an action other than mere vigilance, then we can say that this police action is simulated numerically by number 10. As against this, if the agitation is so intense that police is required to arrest some agitators or set fire for curbing agitation then this action can be simulated by number 50 and 250 respectively.

Accordingly, in this investigation by proper analysis of the facts as they have occurred, the intensity of action is simulated by numbers. This is detailed in Table-2 of the paper. However, to facilitate this numerical simulation, it is essential to crystallize the important facts based on which this numerical simulation can be done. This is detailed in Table-1.

4. FORMULATION OF THE MODEL

Based on the numerical data established as in Table-2 and applying the methodology of model formulation detailed by H.Schanck Jr. [4], the mathematical model for falling liquor sell is formulated as under. For this purpose the MAT_LAB software [5] is used

$$Y_1 = 54.5 [(A)^{0.123} (B)^{0.4} (C)^{1.27} (D)^{-0.3} (E)^{0-127} (F)^{-0.25} (G)^{0.7} (HI)^{0.25}]$$
(1)

5. INTERPRETATION OF MODELS

Interpretation of Model is being reported in terms of several aspects viz (1) Order of Influence of Various Inputs (i.e. Causes) on Output (i.e. Effects) (2) Relative Influence of causes on effect (3) Interpretation of Curve fitting constant (4) Sensitivity of causes (5) Optimization.

5.1 Order of Influence of Various Inputs and their Relative Influence

Equation (1) is established based on field data. It indicates that maximum influence on reduction of fall in revenue is of location where agitation is organized as the index of this cause is highest i.e. 1.23 whereas the lowest effect is of the day of agitation from the commencement

of the agitation, resisting the sale of liquor as it's index is positive lowest i.e. 0.123. Further, the influence of other causes in descending order on reduction of sell is of speeches by leaders, number of volunteers involved in agitation, degree of social boycott of consumers and sellers of drinks, involvement of extent of police officers and other senior civil administrators respectively as their indices are 0.7, 0.4, 0.25, 0.127. The relative influence of these causes as compared to the day of commencement of operation is

1.21/0.123 = 9.83 ; 0.7/0.123 = 5.69 ; 0.4/0.123 = 3.25

0.25/0.123 = 2.03; 0.127/0.123 = 1.032; times as that of day of commencement of the agitation.

As regards the degree of involvement of police and intensity of police action in resisting the agitation is concerned their indices are -0.3 and -0.25 respectively. The negative index of these causes itself confirms in a way that the concept of weightages in view of detailed description of event is very rightly done in this research. Further, the influence of number of Police involved is more effective than their intensity of action because numerically the index of cause number of police involved is (-0.3) more than that of the cause of intensity of police action (-0.25) logically. The effect of both these causes should be to reduce the fall in cell and it has come out to be that way as their indices are negative. The relative influence of number of police involved as compared to their action is 0.3/0.25 = 1.2; times in reducing the fall in sell.

5.2 Interpretation of Curve Fitting Constant

The value of curve fitting constant in this model is 54.5. This collectively represents the combined effect of all extraneous variables. Further, as it is positive is the indication that there are good numbers of causes which have influence on increasing the fall in sell. The major component of this should be indirectly the change in mental attitude of the people of society in promoting reduction in consumption of liquor, thereby improving general health condition and life in general of society. The relative influence of these extraneous variables is certainly to the extent of 54.5/1.21 = times that of location where the incidence has occurred.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Shubha Johari, "Glimpses of Freedom Struggle", (Politics in CP & Berar 1927-39).
- [2] J. P. Modak, S.P.Mishra, O.S.Bihade and D. K. Parahat, "An Approach to Simulation of a Complex Field Activity by a Mathematical Model", Industrial Engineering Journal Vol. II, No.2, February 2011, pp 11-14.
- [3] H. Schenck Jr., "Test Planning", Theories of Engineering Experimentation, Mc-Graw Hill, INC, 1961.
- [4] H. Schenck Jr., "Graphical Method of Model Formulation" Theories of Engineering Experimentation, Mc-Graw Hill, INC, 1961.
- [5] Stephen J.Chapman, "Matlav Programming for Engineers", Publisher; Thompson Brooks/Cole, Edition 2003

Sr Independent Quantities (Causes)											Response Variables (Effects)				
N	A B		C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4		
o	Date of		Place	Involvem	Involvem	Intensity of	Intensity of	Speeches of	Social	Fall In	Fall in		Stat		
	Inciden	Volunte	of	ent of	ent of	Police	Agitation	Leaders	Boycott			Trading	us o		
	ce	ers	Agitati	Police	Police	Action				Liquor	Liquor	Of Illicit	Soci		
			on		Officers								al C		
1	29.6.30	Congress	Nagpu	Police			Though	Pavanchand		Gradual					
		Voluntee	r	deputed			the No. of	Raka- 2	Dissuade						
		S		at various			Volunteers		people	in					
				Stops could not			was	N.B.Khare &	from Drinking	Revenu					
				take			sizable the agitation	Dharmadhi	Liquor	e Collecti					
				Action			was	kari	Liquoi	on					
							peaceful								
2	30.6.30		Kampte				•			Sale					
			e							went					
_										down					
3	1.7.30	Volunte	Jabalp							Brought		Merchan			
		er	ur							down		ts and			
		Satyagra his								the Liquor		Contract			
		1115								Trade		adversel			
										Trade		y			
												affected			
4	10.7.30		Katani	Large	City	 Volunteers 				± 10%	± 15%	± 12%			
		crowd,		No. of	Magistrat		Vehicles of								
		large		Police	e and	Arrested	Liquor								
		No. of			District	•Opened	•Nana								
		Volunte			Excise	Fire	Naidu laid								
		ers			Officer	•Four	flat on the								
						Persons Injured	Road								
5	14.7.30		Nagpu			Injured		Dharmadhi							
	1		r					kari							
								Pavanchand							
6	19.7.30		Jabalp	Large	City	 Volunteers 				Conside		Curb			
		crowd,	ur	No. of	Magistrat		Vehicles of			rable	Smug	Trade			
		large		Police	e and	Arrested	Liquor				gling				
		No. of Volunte			District Excise	Opened Fire	Nana Naidu Laid								
		ers			Officer	•Four	Flat on the								
		CIS			Officer	Persons	road								
						Injured	Toda								
7	21.07.3	Congres	Saoner				Stopped								
	0	s		Protectio			Vehicles of								
		Volunte		n was			Liquors By								
		ers		required			Laying								
	6.9.30	Larga	Sagnar	Loren			Cars			Тоа					
0	0.9.30	Large No. of	Saoner	Lorry Full of			Large No. of			very					
		Volunte		Police			Volunteers			Invent					
		ers		and			laid across			Extent					
				Contracto			the road			as					
				rs			Patansawan			Lorry					
							gi			was					
							Lorry was			sent					
							again			back to					
_	12.0.22		G	40 D 1		- D - 13 -	obstructed			Saoner					
9	12.9.30			40 Police		Police Westbus	Police was thus								
		olunteers	1	+ D.S.P + Deputy		Was thus wedged in	thus wedged in								
				Commiss		•Reinforce	•Stones								
				ioners +		ment were	were								
				2 A.S.P's		waved for	showered								
				2 11.5.1		from	Silo Wered								
						Nagpur									
						•Stones									
						were									
						showered									
						 Lathicharg 									
						e for									
						dispersion	1								
	I	I	I	I	I	of mob	1	1	I	I		I	1		

Table 2 – Numerical Quantification of Causes & Effects

Sr. No.	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	H & I	\mathbf{Y}_{1}
1	1 day	30%	65%	40%	5%	5%	20%	80%	65%
2	2 days	35%	50%	43%	5%	5%	25%	25%	70%
3	3 days	40%	90%	45%	5%	5%	25%	10%	65%
4	16 days	60%	100%	50%	20%	15%	10%	60%	75%
5	21 days	100%	120%	120%	90%	95%	50%	5%	100%
6	22 days	100%	100%	120%	90%	95%	50%	5%	95%
7	23 days	40%	40%	70%	40%	35%	70%	10%	120%
8	70 days	150%	50%	130%	60%	40%	130%	15%	150%
9	76 days	300%	50%	50%	200%	140%	170%	20%	175%

85