

Parameter Estimation for Hidden Markov models with Intractable Likelihoods¹

Ajay Jasra

Imperial College London

ABC in London, 5th May

¹Joint with T. Dean, S. Singh & G. Peters

Proceedings : doi:10.1038/npre.2011.5957.1 : Poster

Introduction

Hidden Markov Models

Estimation

Intractable Likelihoods

Approximate Bayesian Computation

Results

Noisy ABC

Summary

Introduction

- ▶ I will describe a theoretical analysis of a classical estimation procedure.
- ▶ We consider the asymptotic consistency and normality of a collection of estimators.
- ▶ The analysis is in the context of hidden Markov models (HMMs).
- ▶ The novelty, is that one cannot evaluate the likelihood, nor has access to an unbiased estimate of it.

- ▶ The analysis is linked to ABC: it was very nicely discussed by Christian Robert on his blog:
<http://xianblog.wordpress.com/>.
- ▶ This is via the idea of maximizing an approximation of the statistical model, motivated by ABC.

HMMs

- ▶ A HMM is a pair of discrete-time processes, $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ and $\{Y_k\}_{k \geq 0}$.
- ▶ The hidden process, $\{X_k\}_{k \geq 0}$, is a Markov chain.
- ▶ The observed process $\{Y_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ takes values in \mathbb{R}^m .
- ▶ Given X_k the Y_k are independent of $Y_0, \dots, Y_{k-1}; X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}$.
- ▶ Many real applications: Bioinformatics, econometrics and finance.

Estimation

- ▶ Often there is a range of HMMs associated to a vector θ .
- ▶ Given $\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n$ the objective is to find θ^* that corresponds to the HMM which generated the data.
- ▶ A standard approach is maximum likelihood estimation (MLE).

- ▶ The MLE is obtained via maximizing the log-likelihood:

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \arg \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} l_n(\theta)$$

where

$$l_n(\theta) := \frac{1}{n} \log p_\theta \left(\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n \right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log p_\theta(\hat{Y}_i | \hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_{i-1}).$$

- ▶ In most cases one can seldom evaluate the likelihood of the data analytically.

Example: Known Likelihood

- ▶ For example, with $k \geq 1$, $X_0 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}Y_k &= X_k + \sigma_1 \epsilon_k \\X_k &= X_{k-1} + \sigma_2 \nu_k\end{aligned}$$

where ϵ_k, ν_k are i.i.d. standard normals.

- ▶ Then, $\theta = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$. The likelihood is Gaussian and the state-process under-goes a Gaussian Markov transition.

- ▶ In the notation to follow:

$$g_{\theta}(y|x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_1\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}(y-x)^2\right\}$$

and

$$q_{\theta}(x, x') = \frac{1}{\sigma_2\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_2^2}(x'-x)^2\right\}.$$

- ▶ Throughout the talk, the HMM is time-homogeneous.

- ▶ There are a variety of techniques for estimating the likelihood.
- ▶ For example, methods using sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) or Markov chain Monte Carlo.
- ▶ The consistency and asymptotic normality of MLEs are well-understood (see e.g. Cappé et al. (2005) and the references therein).

Intractable Likelihoods

- ▶ For some applications the conditional density of Y_k given X_k is intractable, this density:
 - ▶ cannot be evaluated analytically
 - ▶ there is no unbiased estimator.
- ▶ Throughout, I will say intractable likelihood, and this refers to \mathcal{G}_θ .
- ▶ In this case, the standard methods cannot be applied and it is the objective to investigate new/existing ideas.

Some Existing Ideas

- ▶ One such approach is the convolution particle filter. We have found this to inaccurate in practice.
- ▶ Indirect inference. This method is likely to be very expensive.
- ▶ Approximate Bayesian computation. It provides an approximation of the true model.
- ▶ Note - we will still perform classical inference.

Approximate Bayesian Computation

- ▶ Given the data $\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n$ one approximates the likelihood function via

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta \left(d \left(Y_1, \dots, Y_n; \hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n \right) \leq \epsilon \right)$$

where $d(\cdot; \cdot)$ is a metric and $\epsilon > 0$ reflects the accuracy of the approximation.

- ▶ Often one uses a summary statistic, which is discussed later on.

- ▶ We study the approximation

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta \left(Y_1 \in B_{\hat{Y}_1}^\epsilon, \dots, Y_n \in B_{\hat{Y}_n}^\epsilon \right)$$

where B_y^ϵ denotes the ball of radius ϵ centered around the point y (see McKinley et al. (2009)).

- ▶ That is:

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{B_{\hat{Y}_i}^\epsilon}(y_i) g_\theta(y_i | x_i) q_\theta(x_{i-1}, x_i) \nu(dy_{1:n}) \pi_0(dx_0) \mu(dx_{1:n}).$$

- ▶ It is shown in Jasra et al. (2011) that the approximation, as ϵ falls, converges to the true model.
- ▶ This approach retains the Markovian structure.
- ▶ This facilitates simpler MCMC and SMC implementation (which we do not discuss)).
- ▶ Only requires one to sample the likelihood but not to evaluate it.

- ▶ We provide a justification of an ABC MLE similar to MLE via asymptotic consistency.
- ▶ Our approach is based on the fact that the ABC MLE is MLE using the likelihoods of a collection of perturbed HMMs.
- ▶ This implies that the ABC MLE should inherit its behaviour from MLE.
- ▶ We also consider a noisy variant with results concerning the asymptotic behaviour of the MLE.

- ▶ We establish that the ABC MLE has an asymptotic bias. This can be made small by choosing ϵ small.
- ▶ We show that a noisy ABC MLE is asymptotically consistent and has an asymptotic Fisher information matrix less than that of MLE.
- ▶ Thus, it is shown that the noisy ABC suffers from a relative loss of information and hence statistical efficiency.
- ▶ As $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ the Fisher information of the noisy ABC MLE converges to that of the MLE.

- ▶ The key to our analysis is that

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta \left(d \left(Y_1, \dots, Y_n; \hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n \right) \leq \epsilon \right) \propto \int_{\mathcal{X}^{n+1}} \left[\prod_{k=1}^n q_\theta(x_{k-1}, x_k) g_\theta^\epsilon(\hat{Y}_k | x_k) \right] \pi_0(dx_0) \mu(dx_{1:n})$$

where $\{q_\theta, g_\theta\}$ are the original densities and

$$g_\theta^\epsilon(y|x) = \frac{1}{\nu(B_y^\epsilon)} \int_{B_y^\epsilon} g_\theta(y'|x) \nu(dy').$$

Estimation

- ▶ Given $\epsilon > 0$ and data $\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n$, estimate θ^* with

$$\hat{\theta}_n^\epsilon = \arg \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} p_\theta^\epsilon \left(\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n \right)$$

where the function $p_\theta^\epsilon(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is the likelihood function of the perturbed HMM.

Results

- ▶ As $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, we show that the ABC MLE converges to the MLE.
- ▶ Moreover, under some additional assumptions, the rate of decrease of the bias is linear in ϵ .
- ▶ These results are to be expected as, clearly, we are dealing with an approximation.

Noisy ABC

- ▶ Given $\epsilon > 0$ and data $\hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n$ estimate θ^* with

$$\tilde{\theta}_n^\epsilon = \arg \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} p_\theta^\epsilon \left(\hat{Y}_1 + \epsilon \hat{Z}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n + \epsilon \hat{Z}_n \right) \quad (1)$$

where

- ▶ $p_\theta^\epsilon(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ is the likelihood function for the perturbed HMM
- ▶ $\hat{Z}_1, \dots, \hat{Z}_n$ are i.i.d. samples from $\mathcal{U}_{B_0^1}$ [the uniform distribution on the unit ball at the origin].

- ▶ The parameter estimator in (1) is equivalent to the parameter estimator given by

$$\tilde{\theta}_n^\epsilon = \arg \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathbb{P}_\theta \left(Y_1^\epsilon \in B_{\hat{Y}_1 + \epsilon \hat{Z}_1}^\epsilon, \dots, Y_n^\epsilon \in B_{\hat{Y}_n + \epsilon \hat{Z}_n}^\epsilon \right).$$

- ▶ That is, the ABC approximation with perturbed observations. This is also described by Fearnhead & Prangle (2010).

Results

- ▶ We have proved that the noisy MLE is asymptotically consistent and normal.
- ▶ In addition, that the asymptotic variance is strictly greater than the MLE.
- ▶ As $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, we show that the variance of the noisy ABC MLE converges to the MLE quadratically.

Kernels

- ▶ In many scenarios ABC approximations are constructed via:

$$\int \left[\prod_{k=1}^n q_{\theta}(x_{k-1}, x_k) \phi \left(\frac{\hat{Y}_k - y_k}{\epsilon} \right) g_{\theta}(y_k | x_k) \right] \pi_0(dx_0) \mu(dx_{1:n}) \nu(dy_{1:n})$$

where ϕ is a probability density.

- ▶ It is possible to prove all the previous results, under some assumptions on ϕ .
- ▶ It is also possible to prove the results when one uses summary statistics.

Summary

- ▶ In this talk I have presented a theoretical justification for an ABC approximation of HMMs with intractable likelihoods.
- ▶ Asymptotic bias of the ABC MLE has been discussed.
- ▶ A noisy ABC method has been introduced.
- ▶ The noisy ABC MLE is asymptotically consistent.
- ▶ The theory extends to kernels and summary statistics.

Acknowledgements

- ▶ Joint Work with:
 - ▶ Tom Dean (University of Cambridge)
 - ▶ Sumeetpal Singh (University of Cambridge)
 - ▶ Gareth Peters (UNSW)