

Computational meta'omics for microbial community studies

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. [Please share](http://osc.hul.harvard.edu/dash/open-access-feedback?handle=1/12406585&title=Computational+meta%27omics+for+microbial+community+studies) how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

(Article begins on next page)

REVIEW

Computational meta'omics for microbial community studies

Nicola Segata^{1,6}, Daniela Boernigen^{1,2}, Timothy L Tickle^{1,2}, Xochitl C Morgan^{1,2}, Wendy S Garrett^{2,3,4,5} and Curtis Huttenhower^{1,2,*}

- 1 Biostatistics Department, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA, 2 The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA, 3 Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard School of Publi
-
- Health, Boston, MA, USA, Tharward Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, 4 Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA,
-
- ⁴ Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA, ⁵ Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA and
- ⁶ Present address: Centre for Integrative Biology, University of Trento, Trento, Italy * Corresponding author. Biostatistics Department, Harvard School of Public

Health, 655 Huntington Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA. Tel.: $+1$ 617 432 4912; Fax: $+1$ 617 432 5619;

E-mail: chuttenh@hsph.harvard.edu

Received 2.1.13; accepted 3.4.13

Complex microbial communities are an integral part of the Earth's ecosystem and of our bodies in health and disease. In the last two decades, culture-independent approaches have provided new insights into their structure and function, with the exponentially decreasing cost of highthroughput sequencing resulting in broadly available tools for microbial surveys. However, the field remains far from reaching a technological plateau, as both computational techniques and nucleotide sequencing platforms for microbial genomic and transcriptional content continue to improve. Current microbiome analyses are thus starting to adopt multiple and complementary meta'omic approaches, leading to unprecedented opportunities to comprehensively and accurately characterize microbial communities and their interactions with their environments and hosts. This diversity of available assays, analysis methods, and public data is in turn beginning to enable microbiome-based predictive and modeling tools. We thus review here the technological and computational meta'omics approaches that are already available, those that are under active development, their success in biological discovery, and several outstanding challenges.

Molecular Systems Biology 9: 666; published online 14 May 2013; doi[:10.1038/msb.2013.22](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/msb.2013.22)

Subject Categories: computational methods; microbiology & pathogens

Keywords: meta'omics; microbial communities; microbiome computational models

Introduction

Microbes and their biochemical activities are an essential component of virtually all ecosystems on earth, shaping

environments ranging from deep marine sites to our own body. For example, marine microbial communities are responsible for half of the oxygen produced on our planet ([Rocap](#page-14-0) et al, [2003](#page-14-0)), and the complex human microbiome complements us with over 100 times more genes than those in our own genome (Qin et al[, 2010](#page-14-0); [The Human Microbiome Project Consortium,](#page-14-0) [2012b\)](#page-14-0). Host-associated microbes and their biochemical activity have been further linked to healthy and dysbiotic phenotypes, including obesity [\(Backhed](#page-11-0) et al, 2004; [Turnbaugh](#page-14-0) et al, 2009a; Kau et al[, 2011](#page-12-0)), Crohn's disease [\(Manichanh](#page-13-0) et al, 2006; [Morgan](#page-13-0) et al, 2012), and type 2 diabetes (Qin et al[, 2012](#page-14-0)). Such communities almost always comprise complex mixtures of bacteria, viruses, archaea, and micro-eukaryotes, all of which will be referred to here in combination as microbes.

Although the ubiquity and complexity of microbial communities have been well studied for decades, advances in high-throughput sequencing have provided new tools that supplement culture-based approaches both in their molecular detail and in their accessibility to a broad scientific community. The first culture-independent approaches were based on lowthroughput sequencing of the bacterial 16S ribosomal rRNA gene [\(Schmidt](#page-14-0) et al, 1991; [Tringe and Hugenholtz, 2008\)](#page-14-0), and the popularity and effectiveness of 16S-based surveys grew dramatically with increased throughput of sequencing methods. More recently, genome-wide sequencing approaches, such as metagenomics and metatranscriptomics, have further expanded the experimental tools available for studying the microbiome. Such 'meta'omic' approaches expose the genes, transcripts, and eventually proteins and metabolites from thousands of microbes to analysis of biochemical function and systems-level microbial interactions ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)).

Metagenomic, metatranscriptomic, and other wholecommunity functional assays provide new ways to study complex ecosystems involving host organisms, biogeochemical environments, pathogens, biochemistry and metabolism, and the interactions among them [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)). Interaction modeling is particularly relevant for human health, and current host–microbe–microbiome systems most often rely on mouse models of the interplay of commensal microbes, pathogens, and hosts. Examples include the ability of the commensal microbiome to eradicate Citrobacter rodentium infections (to which germ-free mice are susceptible [\(Kamada](#page-12-0) et al[, 2012](#page-12-0))) and the development of inflammatory colitis and colorectal cancer [\(Garrett](#page-11-0) et al, 2010). Pathogen interactions are also well documented with respect to host metabolism and invasion mechanisms ([Giannakis](#page-11-0) et al, 2008; [Croxen](#page-11-0) [and Finlay, 2009; Bidle and Vardi, 2011\)](#page-11-0). Findings on host–microbiome interactions with the immune system likewise include concrete host-based mechanisms by which homeostasis is maintained ([Ivanov](#page-12-0) et al, 2009; [Hooper](#page-12-0) et al, [2012\)](#page-12-0) and by which disease-associated dysbiosis develops

Figure 1 Open biological questions in microbial community biology, and emerging technologies and models for their exploration. Microbial communities are complex biological entities interacting with the environment, host organisms, and transient microbes. Predictive models for most of the interactions within these ecosystems are currently rare, but several studies have begun to provide key insights.

[\(Turnbaugh](#page-14-0) et al, 2010; Kau et al[, 2011;](#page-12-0) [Morgan](#page-13-0) et al, 2012). Conversely, the mechanisms of action by which wholemicrobial communities are linked to complex disease, such as carcinogenesis ([Kostic](#page-12-0) et al, 2012) or metabolic phenotypes (Li et al[, 2008](#page-12-0)), are still preliminary and without clear causal directionality. This is also true of the host–microbiome epidemiology, such as initial colonization early in life [\(Dominguez-Bello](#page-11-0) et al, 2010; [Koenig](#page-12-0) et al, 2011; [Yatsunenko](#page-15-0) et al[, 2012](#page-15-0)) and the acquisition of virulence and/or drug resistance [\(Chen and Novick, 2009\)](#page-11-0). In particular, for these emerging areas integrative meta'omic approaches and advanced computational tools are key for a system-level understanding of relevant biomedical and environmental processes, and here we describe current techniques, recent advances, and outstanding challenges.

Meta'omic sequencing for microbiome studies

A meta'omic study typically aims to identify a panel of microbial organisms, genes, variants, pathways, or metabolic functions characterizing the microbial community populating an uncultured sample. Metagenomics as a term can refer loosely to the field as a whole and to the specific sequencing of whole-community DNA, and it is naturally complemented by metatranscriptomics (cDNA sequencing) and functional technologies, such as metaproteomics and community metabolomics ([Wilmes and Bond, 2006;](#page-15-0) [Turnbaugh and Gordon, 2008](#page-14-0); [Gilbert and Hughes, 2011](#page-11-0)). Metagenomic and metatranscriptomic approaches in particular assess the genomic composition and diversity within and across microbial communities by means of culture-independent sequencing technologies, including targeted rRNA gene sequencing (16S in bacteria, 18S in eukaryotes, and internal transcribed spacer, typically in fungi ([Dollive](#page-11-0) et al, 2012)) and whole-metagenome shotgun (WMS) sequencing.

WMS sequencing is based on extracting DNA or RNA from the community in its entirety, followed by library construction and short-read sequencing of the entire mixture of genomes or transcripts. The resulting millions of short random DNA/ cDNA fragments can then be assembled (often only partially) or used individually as markers for specific organisms and metabolic functions. Compared with rRNA amplicon sequencing, shotgun meta'omics typically provides insight into functionality of microbes and their biological processes, including horizontal gene transfer, sequence variants and evolutionary variability, and genome plasticity. It allows organisms to be identified with increased taxonomic resolution (Tyson et al[, 2004](#page-15-0); Qin et al[, 2010](#page-14-0)), as the whole genomes of organisms in the community are available for characterization rather than the more limited single 16S/18S molecular clock. The 16S sequencing, of course, remains a more efficient approach to assess the overall phylogeny and diversity of a community, especially when the assayed environment contains a large fraction of uncharacterized microbes. The benefits of WMS sequencing come at the expense of greater cost per sample, although this continues to decrease every year, and of more complex bioinformatic analytical processes [\(Table I](#page-3-0)).

The Illumina platform is currently preferred for meta'omic sequencing, and is also supplanting the Roche 454 platform widely used in microbial community analysis for rRNA gene surveys ([Bartram](#page-11-0) et al, 2011; [Caporaso](#page-11-0) et al, 2012). Emerging platforms that have not yet become widely used for microbial community studies, such as Ion Torrent and PacBio, are not discussed in this review. Illumina technologies now produce shorter reads than most alternatives, typically 100 bases for HiSeq and 150 for MiSeq (Qin et al[, 2010](#page-14-0); [Mason](#page-13-0) et al, 2012; [The Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012a](#page-14-0)). These can be contrasted to Roche 454 sequencing technology's \sim 500 nt–1 knt reads, which come at the cost of lower throughput and much higher cost per base and read. In both cases, the associated chemistries change rapidly, and short read lengths only infrequently influence meta'omic analyses for assembly-free and marker-based profiling. A recent study (Luo et al[, 2012\)](#page-13-0) provides a thorough comparison of Illumina versus Roche 454 for metagenomics by sequencing the same Table I Current computational methods for meta'omic analysis

Common steps needed for metagenome and metatranscriptome interpretation include assembly, taxonomic profiling, functional profiling, ecological interaction network construction, single-cell sequencing, synthetic data simulators, and downstream statistical tests.

community DNA sample with each platform. The authors found that both platforms agreed on over 90% of the assembled contigs and 89% of the unassembled reads, as well as on the estimated gene and genome abundance in the sample. Illumina sequence quality was additionally less

affected than that of 454 when comparing frameshift errors in technical replicates. They concluded that both technologies are reliable for quantitatively assessing diversity within natural communities, although the Illumina platform provides higher coverage and lower cost than Roche 454.

Assembly-based microbial community analysis

Metagenomic sequencing, if performed at a sufficiently high coverage, can in some cases allow reconstruction of complete genomes of organisms in a community (Culley et al[, 2006](#page-11-0); Hess et al[, 2011;](#page-12-0) [Narasingarao](#page-13-0) et al, 2012). In practice, the high complexity of many typical communities leads to chimeras and unresolvable ambiguities in scaffold reconstruction due to conserved DNA regions, organismal variability, and horizontal gene transfer ([Pignatelli and Moya, 2011;](#page-14-0) [Mende](#page-13-0) et al[, 2012](#page-13-0)). Despite these theoretical limitations, even early work with single-genome assembly approaches like SOAPdenovo has resulted in good, although sometimes fragmentary, reconstruction of highly abundant microbes from metagenomics (Qin et al[, 2010](#page-14-0); [The Human Microbiome Project](#page-14-0) [Consortium, 2012a\)](#page-14-0).

However, recent years have seen an explosion of metagenome-specific assemblers, which use strategies to tease apart sequencing artifacts from true biological ambiguity within communities. Only a subset of these includes MetaVelvet [\(Namiki](#page-13-0) et al, 2012), khmer (Pell et al[, 2012](#page-14-0)), metAMOS [\(Treangen](#page-14-0) et al, 2011b), Meta-IDBA (Peng et al[, 2011](#page-14-0)), and MetaORFA ([Ye and Tang, 2009](#page-15-0)). Metagenomic assemblers generally adapt graph-based reconstruction approaches to account for variability in genome copy number and an increase in unresolvable ambiguities caused by sequences conserved in multiple genomes. These are thus powerful and promising tools to study low-to-medium complexity microbiomes, or abundant organisms in novel complex communities, without relying on previously sequenced isolates. One such successful report isolated a marine archaeal genome and its symbionts from oceanic oxygen minimum zones [\(Narasingarao](#page-13-0) et al, 2012).

Whole-genome assembly from metagenomes is impossible in most cases, and such assemblers instead aim to provide the largest reliable and useful contigs achievable from their input sequence reads (Figure 2). Although having access to the synteny of microbial genes within communities is invaluable to unravel their complete genomic features, it is rare that the quality of whole genomes isolated from metagenomes

approaches that of cultured isolates, and particular care should be devoted to avoiding (partially) chimeric genomes. For relatively well-characterized environments, however, accurate microbial community profiles can be obtained even for complex communities by exploiting the ever-increasing collection of sequenced microbes.

Community profiling using prior genomic knowledge

Identifying the organisms populating a microbial community and their proportions (relative abundances) is the typical primary objective of amplicon sequencing investigations (e.g., 16S rRNA gene surveys). Metagenomic shotgun sequencing can provide comparable information, often at better resolution, either by de novo binning of microbial sequences (using intrinsic sequence properties) or by identifying them using information from sequenced microbial genome databases (extrinsic information, [Figure 3](#page-5-0)). Similarly, these profiling tasks can be performed for metagenomes by attempting to classify every individual read, by assembly and binning of entire contigs, or by profiling summary information (e.g., k-mer profiles) for the entire community in aggregate.

All of these approaches rely in some way on reference genome catalogs. Although those sequenced for microbial organisms are biased towards model organisms and pathogens, large-scale efforts like the Human Microbiome Project [\(Nelson](#page-13-0) et al, 2010; Fodor et al[, 2012\)](#page-11-0)) and the Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea (Wu et al[, 2009\)](#page-15-0) are systematically filling the gaps in the sequenced portion of the phylogeny. Such efforts take advantage of a variety of innovative isolation approaches, including culture-independent techniques, host monocolonization [\(Sczesnak](#page-14-0) et al, [2011\)](#page-14-0), single-cell sequencing (Pamp et al[, 2012\)](#page-13-0), and, modulo the limitations above, metagenomic assembly. Consequently, a reference set of microbial genomes on the order of 5000 finished or high-quality sequences are now available [\(Markowitz](#page-13-0) et al, 2012a), describing more than 2000 species, and these numbers are quickly increasing. Comparing

Figure 2 Community diversity and metagenome depth interact to influence assembly quality. Five hundred and twenty-two metagenomic assemblies from the Human Microbiome Project (HMP) are shown here to demonstrate the complex interaction of underlying microbial α -diversity (x axis, diversity within a sample measured as species richness) and assembly quality (y axis). The latter was measured as the size of the smallest contig such that the cumulative length of longer contigs exceeds 4 Mbp, normalized by the total sequenced microbial nucleotide count [\(The Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012a\)](#page-14-0). Communities from each of the seven available body sites are highlighted in different colors, with each point's area proportional to the total input nucleotides for assembly. Microbial composition, metagenome depth, and assembly approach (not shown) all interact to greatly influence the resulting assembly quality.

Figure 3 Intrinsic versus extrinsic metagenomic analysis can minimally, partially, or completely rely on prior knowledge from sequenced reference genomes. Methods that do not rely on any reference sequence information typically perform a sequence-based clustering of meta'omic reads, resulting in unlabeled clusters of sequences that can later be assigned to taxonomic or functional classes (analogous to Operational Taxonomic Unit clustering for 16S sequences). Available genomes can alternatively be used more extensively as references for short-read mapping, typically incurring an expense of high computational cost and possible ambiguous assignments for reads from nonunique regions. Intermediate approaches typically rely on a combination of pre-processing extrinsic reference genome information (e.g., to train a composition-based classifier) and intrinsic information (e.g., reads' nucleotide composition) to improve the discrimination power and focus the subsequent mapping operation to the most discriminative sequence-based markers.

metagenomes with this compendium of reference genomes thus provides a variety of ways to ease the task of community profiling by providing additional taxonomic and phylogenetic information.

Intrinsic binning approaches for community profiling (Figure 3, leftmost panels) typically train a taxonomic (or phylogenetic) classifier from reference genomes and then use this sequence-free classifier to bin new meta'omic reads. These have included statistical approaches, such as Support Vector Machines with structured output (PhyloPythiaS (Patil [et al](#page-14-0), [2012\)](#page-14-0)), interpolated Markov models (Phymm [\(Brady and](#page-11-0) [Salzberg, 2011](#page-11-0))), naive Bayesian classifiers [\(Rosen](#page-14-0) et al, 2011)), and Self Organizing Maps (TaxSOM ([Weber](#page-15-0) et al, 2010)), or integration of intrinsic and homology-based extrinsic assignments (PhymmBL [\(Brady and Salzberg, 2011](#page-11-0)), RITA ([Parks](#page-14-0) et al[, 2011\)](#page-14-0)). An even more reference-independent approach is possible by using only composition-based clustering (e.g., TETRA [\(Teeling](#page-14-0) et al, 2004)), which can then be paired with further downstream analysis. For environments with insufficient genomic prior information, sequence-based (intrinsic) or hybrid approaches perform substantially better than the homology-based ones, but they typically require very long running times due to the large sizes of both metagenomic data and the reference sequence repositories.

Extrinsic or homology-based classification (Figure 3, rightmost panels) instead relies directly on comparisons of metagenomic sequences with reference sequences in order to identify taxonomic or phylogenetic origin. Several alternatives to whole-genome searches have been developed, relying on the extraction of only the most informative features from reference genomes to reduce the complexity of mapping operations. Extracting only the 16S rRNA genes for profiling from a metagenome is an extreme example of this approach, and expanding such universal markers to include additional highly conserved genes further improves generalizability and phylogenetic resolution. AMPHORA [\(Wu and Scott, 2012\)](#page-15-0) adopts 31 such markers (mainly ribosomal proteins as identified by [Ciccarelli](#page-11-0) et al (2006), whereas MetaPhyler [\(Liu](#page-12-0) et al[, 2011](#page-12-0)) and AMPHORA2 [\(Wu and Scott, 2012](#page-15-0)) complement these bacterial markers with additional archaeal genes. Even different strains within the same microbial species can be discriminated by supplementing this approach with more diverse gene sets; MetaPhlAn [\(Segata](#page-14-0) et al, 2012) adopts such a strategy by pre-identifying unique clade-specific marker genes as species-specific name tags. This provides hundreds of markers for most species, increasing robustness and permitting more precise organismal abundance estimation. By using such targeted data, all of these marker-based approaches can

achieve computational run times orders of magnitude faster than using full genomes as mapping targets for metagenomes.

The most extrinsic methods for binning and community profiling instead use whole-genome searches of metagenomic sequences against the entire catalog of reference genomes. This can be performed with varying levels of sensitivity by using homology search (e.g., BLASTN ([Altschul](#page-11-0) et al, 1997)) or mapping (e.g., BowTie2 ([Langmead and Salzberg, 2012\)](#page-12-0), or BWA ([Li and Durbin, 2010\)](#page-12-0)). However, such results can be highly ambiguous and difficult to interpret because of evolutionarily conserved or horizontally transferred sequences. These are taxonomically aspecific and, when relied on for profiling, cause inconsistencies such as long tails of false-positive organisms. Computational modeling of this mapping permits to correct most profiling issues and provide accurate taxonomic characterization of the metagenomic reads; phylogenetic approaches typically rely on assigning reads to the lowest common ancestor of the taxa with hits (MEGAN ([Huson](#page-12-0) et al, 2007)) or other parsimonious evolutionary principles (PaPaRa ([Berger and Stamatakis, 2011\)](#page-11-0)). Of course, such complete genome lookups provide further utility beyond organismal profiling, such as information on individual microbial sequence variants and on the gene and pathway repertoires of a community.

Gene function annotation and metabolic reconstruction

Microbial communities can be seen not only as groups of individual microbes, but also as collections of biochemical functions affecting and responding to an environment or host organism. Metagenomics can thus also identify the genes and pathways carried by a microbial community, and metatranscriptomics can profile their expressed function. Just as several alternatives for microbial profiling are described above, two broad classes of functional community profiling depend either on genes identified within longer assembled contigs or on assembly-free read-based approaches. Assembly-based methods are sensitive to the challenges outlined above, such as a bias towards higher-abundance community members or sequences that are easy to assemble. Assembly-free functional inference, by mapping sequences to annotated reference genomes or functional databases, can be more sensitive (including a greater proportion of reads or microbes) but less specific in its functional identifications.

Assembly-based metagenome annotation can be performed by adapting pipelines for annotation of single microbial genomes. Metagenomic contigs are thus scanned for identifying protein-coding genes (CDSs), as well as CRISPR repeats, noncoding RNAs, and tRNA. Functional characterization can then be performed assigning full CDSs (rather than single-sequencing reads) to functional categories by means of orthology relations with sequences in well-characterized functional databases, such as NCBI nr (Pruitt et al[, 2012](#page-14-0)), the KEGG Orthology [\(Kanehisa and Goto, 2000\)](#page-12-0), and COGs [\(Tatusov](#page-14-0) et al, 1997), or by identifying specific PFAM [\(Punta](#page-14-0) et al[, 2012\)](#page-14-0) or SMART ([Schultz](#page-14-0) et al, 1998) peptide domains within CDSs. Broader biological functions are then built on these low-level functional annotations [\(Mitra](#page-13-0) et al, 2011) using

hierarchical ontologies that group functionally related proteins as in KEGG [\(Kanehisa and Goto, 2000\)](#page-12-0), MetaCyc ([Caspi](#page-11-0) et al, [2012\)](#page-11-0), and SEED ([Overbeek](#page-13-0) et al, 2005). Integrated pipeline are also available ([Meyer](#page-13-0) et al, 2008; [Markowitz](#page-13-0) et al, 2012b) to automate these bioinformatic tasks.

Functional profiling using reference information can be based either on reference genome read mapping (at the nucleotide level) or on translated protein database searches. For the former, reads mapped to reference genomes as discussed above can then be sent through an additional second mapping from loci to annotated functions. For the latter, functional databases of diverse protein families as listed above can be leveraged to identify function by translated homology search. Like reference genomes, these databases are generally also enriched for functional information from model organisms and pathogens. Thus, the greater the enrichment of such organisms in a community, the more characterized functional annotations are likely to be retrieved. Examples of pipelines, including functional annotation by translated mapping, include MG-RAST [\(Meyer](#page-13-0) et al, 2008), MEGAN ([Huson](#page-12-0) et al, 2007), and HUMAnN [\(Abubucker](#page-10-0) et al, 2012). Each of these methods typically includes some combination of additional quality control and interference steps subsequent to homology search, such as selection of pathways by maximum parsimony, taxonomic limitation, or statistical smoothing ([Abubucker](#page-10-0) et al, 2012).

It is important to note that whole-community functional profiling is not yet a mature area, and neither gene annotations within reference genomes nor those in protein databases are well tuned to whole-community metabolism. For example, MetaCyc (Caspi et al[, 2012](#page-11-0)) and SEED [\(Overbeek](#page-13-0) et al, 2005) both have ongoing efforts to develop microbiome-specific functional annotations, and gene family catalogs, such as eggNOG ([Powell](#page-14-0) et al, 2012), are intended to eventually better represent uncultured communities. Leveraging these functional annotations after they are profiled will likewise require further improvements, both in more nuanced function identifications (e.g., 'glycosyltransferase' as opposed to 'carbohydrate processing') and in the identification of gene products' localization upon translation (e.g., secretion or compartmentalization). Finally, algorithms for nucleotide search [\(Li and](#page-12-0) [Durbin, 2010](#page-12-0); [Langmead and Salzberg, 2012\)](#page-12-0) have outpaced those needed for translated mapping (i.e., BLASTX [\(Altschul](#page-11-0) et al[, 1997\)](#page-11-0) and USEARCH ([Edgar, 2010](#page-11-0))), and bioinformatic advances will further improve the translated search.

Microbial ecosystem interaction and association networks

Knowledge of the microbes and gene products within communities is an important step toward understanding their ecology, environmental responses, and interorganismal interactions [\(DeLong and Pace, 2001\)](#page-11-0). Microbial communities are shaped by the same highly diverse coexistence patterns that occur in other ecologies. These include interspecies and intercellular relationships of a range of symbiotic interaction types: win–win (mutualism), lose–lose (competition), win– lose (parasitism, predation), win–zero (commensalism), or zero–lose (amensalism). These are based on processes such as microbial organisms exchanging or competing for nutrients,

and they have long been studied by nonsequence-based approaches [\(Konopka, 2009\)](#page-12-0). Detecting such microbial interactions in communities and identifying their mechanisms is a daunting bioinformatic challenge. Even the best meta'omic profiles contain substantial measurement error and, more importantly, represent compositional data that cause extreme biases when analyzed using most correlation or co-occurrence measures [\(Lovell](#page-13-0) et al, 2010; [Pawlowsky-Glahn and Buccianti,](#page-14-0) [2011\)](#page-14-0). To date, several similarity measures have been used for determining co-occurring or co-excluding microbial relationships, including Pearson's or Spearman's correlation [\(Qin](#page-14-0) et al[, 2010\)](#page-14-0), hypergeometric overlap tests for species presence/ absence data ([Chaffron](#page-11-0) et al, 2010), and mutual information. The behavior of these measures in sparse, compositional microbial abundance data is unlikely to be appropriate in most biological settings.

To assess meta'omic profiles more accurately, recent association approaches have been developed specifically for intermicrobe co-occurrence and co-exclusion detection in microbial communities. For example, Faust et al [\(2012\)](#page-11-0) combined similarity measures with a composition-sensitive, nonparametric statistical test to predict microbial relationships within and between body sites in the human microbiome. SparCC [\(Friedman and Alm, 2012\)](#page-11-0) is another novel approach that quantifies the composition-free component of Pearson's correlation values from microbial relative abundances. [Lozupone](#page-13-0) et al (2012) used the Bray–Curtis distance, only partially sensitive to compositions, as a co-occurrence measure for network inference to identify genomic and metabolic features in human gut symbionts. Association of microbial variation and covariation with environmental parameters (e.g., host biogeography, temperature, pH, etc. (Raes et al[, 2011](#page-14-0))) is a distinct task for which employing the correct statistical methodology can be challenging. It remains an area of active research, with current options including categorical nonparametric biomarker discovery (White et al[, 2009](#page-15-0); [Parks and](#page-13-0) [Beiko, 2010;](#page-13-0) [Segata](#page-14-0) et al, 2011) and appropriately transformed regression models (Chen et al[, 2012](#page-11-0)).

All of these current approaches, however, identify only the descriptive covariation of multiple microbes; they characterize neither the mechanisms of nor the regulatory ramifications of such variation. There is thus a pressing need for multiorganism metabolic models to explain such interactions (Klitgord and Segrè, 2010; Bucci et al.[, 2012](#page-11-0)) and for a systems-level understanding of their effect on microbial signaling and growth [\(Zengler and Palsson, 2012](#page-15-0)). Both will rely on better gene function annotations as mentioned above, particularly on improved catalogs of intermicrobial small molecule and peptide signaling mechanisms. Careful experimental validation, including both in vitro culture and in vivo dynamics, will be needed to ensure the correctness of these challenging models; some studies of the latter with respect to natural long-term dynamics [\(McCarren](#page-13-0) et al, 2010; [Gajer](#page-11-0) et al, [2012;](#page-11-0) Zhao et al[, 2012\)](#page-15-0) and short-term perturbations [\(Dethlefsen and Relman, 2011](#page-11-0); [Ubeda](#page-15-0) et al, 2013) have already begun. Such interactions must, of course, also account for the host in host-associated communities, where host–microbe interactions can comprise both direct protein interactions and metabolic (i.e., nutrient) interdependencies [\(Kinross](#page-12-0) et al, [2011\)](#page-12-0). In particular, with respect to adaptive and innate immunity, examples such as segmented filamentous bacteria emphasize the importance of complex interaction of microbes with host development. The presence of this organism is sufficient to drive drastic changes in gut physiology and T-cell differentiation in mice ([Ivanov](#page-12-0) et al, 2009; [Atarashi](#page-11-0) et al, 2011), but neither its nor other microbes' roles in human cell signaling or development have yet been well explored.

Unraveling community expression patterns with metatranscriptomics

Most current meta'omic tools and studies focus on metagenomic DNA sequencing, but metatranscriptomics is becoming increasingly practical as a window into the regulation and dynamics of microbial community transcription. Similar to metagenomics, studies of microbial community gene expression emerged from marine research [\(Frias-Lopez](#page-11-0) et al, 2008; Shi [et al](#page-14-0), [2009](#page-14-0); [Gilbert and Hughes, 2011](#page-11-0)). These revealed not only geneand taxon-specific expression patterns but also gene categories undetected in previous DNA-based surveys [\(Frias-Lopez](#page-11-0) et al, [2008](#page-11-0)) and nonprotein-coding small RNAs in naturally occurring microbial communities (Shi et al[, 2009\)](#page-14-0). Few studies have so far analyzed microbial gene expression in host-associated communities, as this can present greater technical challenges in isolating a sufficient quantity of microbial (rather than host) transcript. Recent investigations have included the murine intestine [\(Turnbaugh](#page-14-0) et al, 2009b), the healthy human gut [\(Gosalbes](#page-12-0) et al, 2011; [McNulty](#page-13-0) et al, 2011), the microbiota of monozygotic twins [\(Turnbaugh](#page-14-0) et al, 2010), and the airways of cystic fibrosis patients (Lim et al[, 2012\)](#page-12-0). These studies profiled whole-community cDNAs and compared them with metagenomic DNA, a critical step in metatranscriptomic interpretation. Unlike single-organism genomes, both the transcript copy number and genomic copy number can easily change in microbial communities, rendering this normalization an important computational step (Shi et al[, 2011](#page-14-0)).

The major challenge faced in metatranscriptomics is the isolation of microbial mRNA, which usually makes up only a small percentage of total microbial RNA and an even smaller proportion of total RNA if host nucleotides are present. Eukaryotic genes and genomes are sufficiently large as to rapidly swamp smaller microbial transcripts, and even in nonhost-associated communities over 90% of microbial transcripts are typically ribosomal rRNA. The difficulty of isolating prokaryotic mRNA is further compounded by its lack of the 3'-end poly (A) tail that marks eukaryotic mRNA [\(Gosalbes](#page-12-0) et al, 2011). High-quality commercial rRNA depletion kits are available (such as Ribo-Zero, RiboMinus, and QIAGEN GeneRead), but even removal of the majority of such sequences can leave substantial 'wasted' sequencing reads that must be computationally depleted post hoc. Likewise, although physical depletion of host sequences is an area of active technology development, computational postprocessing (e.g., by mapping to host genomes) remains the most practical current approach in whole-community analysis.

Meta'omics with single-cell resolution

Single-cell sequencing provides an alternative approach to accessing novel information about uncultured microbes [\(Lasken, 2012](#page-12-0)). Although it currently incurs high costs per sample and per depth of sequencing relative to metagenomics, it can cleanly circumvent both host sequence contamination and the difficulty of metagenomic assembly. Single-cell isolation can sequence low-abundance organisms at higher resolution than metagenomic approaches as well, with a corresponding tradeoff in its breath of profiling for more diverse communities. This provides high resolution for individual organisms as well, allowing a subset of the exact strains present in a sample to be readily identified. This provides a starting point for tasks that can be challenging in the WMS data, such as detecting strain variability across time or subjects. [Goodman](#page-11-0) et al (2011) showed that the human fecal microbiota consists largely of taxa and predicted functions that are represented in its readily cultured members by combining high-throughput anaerobic culturing techniques with gnotobiotic animal husbandry and metagenomics. Their study also revealed that thousands of isolates from a single donor can be clonally archived and taxonomically mapped in multi-well format to create personalized microbiota collections.

Current single-cell approaches first isolate single microbial cells by sorting them, lyse them separately, amplify and label them separately, and sequence the resulting pool. The subsequent analysis of single-cell sequence data thus relies much more heavily than do meta'omics on assembly, but fortunately in a less-challenging setting. IDBA-UD [\(Peng](#page-14-0) et al, [2012\)](#page-14-0) and SmashCell ([Harrington](#page-12-0) et al, 2010) provide some of the first software environments for assembling and annotating such data, and commercial technologies such as RainDance (Lexington, MA) and microfluidics platforms are emerging to isolate single microbial cells with high quality. Recent applications in microbial communities have ranged from environmental samples like seawater ([Woyke](#page-15-0) et al, 2009; [Mason](#page-13-0) et al, 2012) and soil (Kvist et al[, 2007](#page-12-0)) to hosts such as insects [\(Woyke](#page-15-0) et al, 2010), mice (Pamp et al[, 2012\)](#page-13-0), and humans ([Marcy](#page-13-0) et al, 2007). Recently, elegant combinations of both single-cell genomics and metagenomics have begun to emerge, e.g., in the sequencing of a novel, low-salinity ammonia-oxidizing archaeon from an enrichment culture [\(Blainey](#page-11-0) et al, 2011). Such a combinatorial approach may continue to prove very useful, as the single-cell perspective on novel organism-specific sequences tends to complement whole-metagenome and metatranscriptome overviews of diverse communities.

Models of microbiome evolution and coevolution

Meta'omics provides an important tool for studying evolution within microbial communities, which can occur on two very different time scales. Over the course of days, weeks, or the years of a host's lifetime, microbial genome plasticity allows remarkably rapid acquisitions of novel mutations and laterally transferred genes. Over the course of millennia, however, the overall structure of host-associated communities, their phylogenetic composition, and their microbial pan-genomes can evolve more slowly in tandem with their hosts' physiology and immune systems (Lefébure and Stanhope, 2007).

Our current understanding of short-term microbial coevolution arises mainly from the study of human pathogens, which

are subject to the enormous evolutionary pressures of immune evasion and treatments such as antibiotics. Such pressures affect the entire microbial communities, of course, and not only single pathogens but, before meta'omic sequencing, this was also difficult to quantify. Both single-nucleotide polymorphisms and lateral gene transfer/recombination have recently been shown to arise in vivo ([Croucher](#page-11-0) et al, 2011; [Lieberman](#page-12-0) *et al*, 2011), with evidence suggesting the latter is particularly frequent among microbes that stably inhabit shared communities [\(McDaniel](#page-13-0) et al, 2010; [Smillie](#page-14-0) et al, [2011\)](#page-14-0). The distribution of antibiotic resistance throughout a community is of particular interest in this respect as a public health concern, as convergent evolution of resistance polymorphisms [\(Croucher](#page-11-0) et al, 2011) and transient lateral transfer from less proximal environments ([Hehemann](#page-12-0) et al, 2010; [Forsberg](#page-11-0) et al, 2012) have both been observed for this phenotype and for other evolutionary pressures.

Over macro-evolutionary time scales, host-associated microbiomes in particular have developed exquisite symbioses with both plant and animal hosts. For example, some of the earliest evidence of microbial symbiosis focused on the role of rhizobia in legume root development and nitrogen acquisition [\(Hakoyama](#page-12-0) et al, 2009). In vertebrates, the Hawaiian bobtail squid has emerged as a remarkable system demonstrating selective microbial adaptation. The light organ of this squid is sterile at hatching, but is subsequently selective for a finely tuned Vibrio bacterial population that provides it with bioluminescence that enables the squid to avoid predation [\(McFall-Ngai, 2008](#page-13-0); [McFall-Ngai](#page-13-0) et al, 2011). Potential genomic impacts of long-term host–symbiont relationships are described in symbiont–insect codiversification, many of which have been approximated of upwards of 180 millions years old ([Moran](#page-13-0) et al, 1993). Conversely, intracellular microbial symbionts can exhibit dramatically, and often unusually, reduced genomes owing to close integration with their hosts ([Moran](#page-13-0) et al, 2008). Less-understood mutualism occurs in the human gut, which is one example of a wide range of microbiome configurations that have evolved to leverage diverse mammalian guts and diets (Ley et al[, 2008](#page-12-0); [Muegge](#page-13-0) et al[, 2011](#page-13-0)). Characterizing the coevolution of quickly evolving complex microbial communities with relatively slowly evolving eukaryotic hosts remains a challenging and largely unexplored field.

Predictive bioinformatic models and model microbial communities

One of the ultimate goals of microbial community systems biology is to develop predictive models of the whole-community response to changing stimuli, be it their temperature or pH in the environment, or dietary components in a host gut. Such models may be mechanistic, relying on joint metabolic networks as discussed above, or a descriptive systems biology of microbial physiological 'rules' may emerge as a simpler alternative. No unifying approach yet exists, although meta'omic data have provided training input for several first attempts. An artificial neural network-based approach was used to predict ocean-water bacterial community as a function of the marine environment, for which biological validation is challenging ([Southward](#page-14-0) et al, 2005; [Larsen](#page-12-0) et al, 2012). A related methodology, Predicted Relative Metabolomic Turnover, leverages changes in inferred

microbial enzyme activity to predict environmental ocean metabolites ([Larsen](#page-12-0) et al, 2011). Joint metabolic predictions have been made to model a set of two- and three-microbe

Figure 4 A typical current computational meta'omic pipeline to analyze and contrast microbial communities. After collecting microbiome samples, community DNA or RNA is extracted and sequenced, generating WMS samples (i.e., metagenomes) generally consisting of several million short reads each. This example uses 20 WMS samples from the oral cavity (10 from the buccal mucosa, and 10 from the tongue dorsum ([The Human Microbiome Project Consortium, 2012b\)](#page-14-0)). Complementary methods reconstruct the taxonomic characteristics (left) and metabolic potential (right) of the microbial communities. MetaPhlAn [\(Segata](#page-14-0) et al, 2012) is one of many alternatives to detect and quantify microbial clades with species-level resolution (see Section 3), whereas HUMAnN ([Abubucker](#page-10-0) et al, 2012) quantitatively characterizes genes, pathways, and metabolic modules from each community (see Section 4). Differentially abundant clades or pathways can then be identified and assessed by tools such as LEfSe [\(Segata](#page-14-0) et al, 2011) and represented graphically (e.g., here by GraPhlAn, http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/graphlan). The step-by-step computational pipeline used to produce the analyses reported here is included as a tutorial in Supplementary Information and can also be downloaded from [https://bitbucket.org/nsegata/metaphlan/wiki/](https://bitbucket.org/nsegata/metaphlan/wiki/MetaPhlAn_Pipelines_Tutorial) [MetaPhlAn_Pipelines_Tutorial](https://bitbucket.org/nsegata/metaphlan/wiki/MetaPhlAn_Pipelines_Tutorial). See [Table I](#page-3-0) for alternative computational approaches to each of these currently common steps in meta'omic analysis.

interactions (Klitgord and Segrè, 2010), but in all of these cases biological testing and evaluation has remained a bottleneck. In the absence of extensive functional data for validation, such as metatranscriptomic, metabolic, or proteomic measurements, predictive modeling remains speculative.

Given the complexity of most 'wild' microbial communities, one of the most promising approaches for such validation has been in the construction of model microbial communities. These have been successful both entirely *in vitro*, by scaling up the ex vivo coculture of multiple organisms, and when associated with hosts in vivo. Many studies have grown human-derived microbial communities in chemostats [\(Marsh](#page-13-0) et al[, 1983](#page-13-0); [McBain and MacFarlane, 2001\)](#page-13-0), with one of the most complex being the Simulator of the Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem model, a five-stage multi-chamber chemostat, simulating human digestion (stomach, small intestine, and large intestine) as exposed to foods or pharmaceuticals (Molly et al[, 1993](#page-13-0)). Recent clinical translation of in vitro communities has demonstrated success as a treatment for chronic C. difficile (Petrof et al[, 2013](#page-14-0)). In vivo, the Altered Schaedler Flora (ASF) is a synthetic community transferrable to gnotobiotic mice that has been in use as an experimental system for years [\(Dewhirst](#page-11-0) et al, 1999). The eightmicrobe ASF and similar models are enjoying a resurgence as a simpler alternative to hundred-organism natural communities in which to mechanistically assess microbe–microbe and host– microbe molecular interactions. An end-to-end demonstration of this concept was carried out in the Gordon lab, using a gnotobiotic mouse model colonized with a custom synthetic microbial community, followed by systematic dietary perturbations to train and then validate predictive models of the community's response (Faith et al[, 2011\)](#page-11-0).

Conclusions and outlook

Although technologies and analyses are constantly improving, WMS sequencing is currently reaching maturity in the sense that validated, standardized experimental and bioinformatic procedures are available to answer typical biological questions of interest [\(Figure 4](#page-9-0) and tutorial in Supplementary Information). These include assessment of the taxonomic and phylogenetic composition of microbial communities at a level of resolution beyond that of individual marker genes, as well as quantification of biomolecular features, including gene families, pathways, metabolism, and functional modules. Statistical methods for biomarker discovery and, in some cases, phenotype prediction can then be performed ([Table I\)](#page-3-0). Other meta'omic approaches, such as metatranscriptomics, metaproteomics, and metabolomics, are still under rapid development, with neither experimental nor computational pipelines yet attaining a comparable degree of standardization. These will be crucial to effectively investigate microbial community transcriptional regulation, metabolites dynamics, and protein signaling.

An exciting next step in microbial community systems biology will be the opportunity to integrate and meta-analyze multiple data sets. This is already starting to be the case with large 16S and, gradually, metagenomic data sets defining healthy human microbial baselines (Qin et al[, 2010](#page-14-0);

[Yatsunenko](#page-15-0) et al, 2012; [The Human Microbiome Project](#page-14-0) [Consortium, 2012b](#page-14-0)). Just as with early efforts at microarray and genome-wide association study meta-analysis, systematic differences between diverse projects' platforms and protocols induce strong technical differences between data sets, but these are gradually being overcome ([Bittner](#page-11-0) et al, 2010; [Su](#page-14-0) et al[, 2011](#page-14-0)). However, the integration of complementary data types within the same study, such as joint community metatranscriptomes, metaproteomes [\(Verberkmoes](#page-15-0) et al, [2009](#page-15-0); Li et al[, 2011](#page-12-0)), and metametabolomes ([Jansson](#page-12-0) et al, [2009](#page-12-0)), will provide an even richer picture of dynamic microbial systems (Kau et al[, 2011](#page-12-0)). The patterns of tandem host biomolecular activities, or of host or microbial epigenetics (e.g., histone modifications and methylation patterns), remain almost completely unexplored at the whole-community level.

The degree to which microbial community activity and structure is dynamic over time has perhaps been underappreciated, and an additional component necessary for whole-community modeling will be the combination of longitudinal surveys ([Koenig](#page-12-0) et al, 2011; Patil et al[, 2011\)](#page-14-0) with systematic perturbation experiments. Early microarray studies involved both time courses in response to chemical stimuli and systematic genetic knockouts in model organisms ([Gasch](#page-11-0) et al, [2000](#page-11-0); [Hughes](#page-12-0) et al, 2000). Few such experiments have been pursued in microbial communities, and indeed the concept of a community 'knock-out' or 'knock-in' is not yet well explored. Synthetic communities offer a particularly promising avenue for systematically adding or removing organisms, or (in genetically tractable systems) adding or removing single microbial genes. In combination with innovative computational models, meta'omics in such environments and in vivo will continue to improve our understanding of microbial community systems biology.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information is available at the Molecular Systems Biology website [\(www.nature.com/msb\)](www.nature.com/msb).

Acknowledgements

We thank Felix Jouwai Wong for assistance with figures, the members of the Huttenhower and Garrett labs for their input and thoughtful discussions, and Mihai Pop for his in-depth investigation of metagenome assembly in the human microbiome. This work was supported by NIH 1R01CA154426 and Danone Research PLF-5972-GD to WSG, the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation 17-2011-529 (Ramnik Xavier), NIH 1R01HG005969, NSF CAREER DBI-1053486, and ARO W911NF-11-1-0473 to CH.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Abubucker S, Segata N, Goll J, Schubert AM, Izard J, Cantarel BL, Rodriguez-Mueller B, Zucker J, Thiagarajan M, Henrissat B, White O, Kelley ST, Methe B, Schloss PD, Gevers D, Mitreva M, Huttenhower C (2012) Metabolic reconstruction for metagenomic

data and its application to the human microbiome. PLoS Comput Biol 8: e1002358

- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ (1997) Gapped BLASTand PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25: 3389–3402
- Arumugam M, Harrington ED, Foerstner KU, Raes J, Bork P (2010) SmashCommunity: a metagenomic annotation and analysis tool. Bioinformatics 26: 2977–2978
- Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Shima T, Imaoka A, Kuwahara T, Momose Y, Cheng G, Yamasaki S, Saito T, Ohba Y (2011) Induction of colonic regulatory T cells by indigenous Clostridium species. Sci Signal 331: 337
- Backhed F, Ding H, Wang T, Hooper LV, Koh GY, Nagy A, Semenkovich CF, Gordon JI (2004) The gut microbiota as an environmental factor that regulates fat storage. Sci Signal 101: 15718
- Bartram AK, Lynch MDJ, Stearns JC, Moreno-Hagelsieb G, Neufeld JD (2011) Generation of multimillion-sequence 16S rRNA gene libraries from complex microbial communities by assembling paired-end Illumina reads. Appl Environ Microbiol 77: 3846–3852
- Berger SA, Stamatakis A (2011) Aligning short reads to reference alignments and trees. Bioinformatics 27: 2068–2075
- Bidle KD, Vardi A (2011) A chemical arms race at sea mediates algal host-virus interactions. Curr Opin Microbiol 14: 449–457
- Bittner L, Halary S, Payri C, Cruaud C, de Reviers B, Lopez P, Bapteste E (2010) Some considerations for analyzing biodiversity using integrative metagenomics and gene networks. Biol Direct 5: 47
- Blainey PC, Mosier AC, Potanina A, Francis CA, Quake SR (2011) Genome of a low-salinity ammonia-oxidizing archaeon determined by single-cell and metagenomic analysis. PLoS One 6: e16626
- Brady A, Salzberg S (2011) PhymmBL expanded: confidence scores, custom databases, parallelization and more. Nat Methods 8: 367
- Bucci V, Bradde S, Biroli G, Xavier JB (2012) Social interaction, noise and antibiotic-mediated switches in the intestinal microbiota. PLoS Comput Biol 8: e1002497
- Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, Owens SM, Betley J, Fraser L, Bauer M (2012) Ultra-highthroughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. ISME J 6: 1621–1624
- Caspi R, Altman T, Dreher K, Fulcher CA, Subhraveti P, Keseler IM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, Latendresse M, Mueller LA, Ong Q, Paley S, Pujar A, Shearer AG, Travers M, Weerasinghe D, Zhang P, Karp PD (2012) The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the BioCyc collection of pathway/genome databases. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D742–D753
- Chaffron S, Rehrauer H, Pernthaler J, von Mering C (2010) A global network of coexisting microbes from environmental and wholegenome sequence data. Genome Res 20: 947–959
- Chen J, Bittinger K, Charlson ES, Hoffmann C, Lewis J, Wu GD, Collman RG, Bushman FD, Li H (2012) Associating microbiome composition with environmental covariates using generalized UniFrac distances. Bioinformatics 28: 2106–2113
- Chen J, Novick RP (2009) Phage-mediated intergeneric transfer of toxin genes. Science 323: 139–141
- Ciccarelli FD, Doerks T, von Mering C, Creevey CJ, Snel B, Bork P (2006) Toward automatic reconstruction of a highly resolved tree of life. Science 311: 1283–1287
- Croucher NJ, Harris SR, Fraser C, Quail MA, Burton J, van der Linden M, McGee L, von Gottberg A, Song JH, Ko KS (2011) Rapid pneumococcal evolution in response to clinical interventions. Science 331: 430–434
- Croxen MA, Finlay BB (2009) Molecular mechanisms of Escherichia coli pathogenicity. Nat Rev Microbiol 8: 26–38
- Culley AI, Lang AS, Suttle CA (2006) Metagenomic analysis of coastal RNA virus communities. Science 312: 1795–1798
- DeLong EF, Pace NR (2001) Environmental diversity of bacteria and archaea. Syst Biol 50: 470–478
- Dethlefsen L, Relman DA (2011) Incomplete recovery and individualized responses of the human distal gut microbiota to repeated antibiotic perturbation. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108: 4554–4561
- Dewhirst FE, Chien CC, Paster BJ, Ericson RL, Orcutt RP, Schauer DB, Fox JG (1999) Phylogeny of the defined murine microbiota: altered Schaedler flora. Appl Environ Microbiol 65: 3287–3292
- Diaz NN, Krause L, Goesmann A, Niehaus K, Nattkemper TW (2009) TACOA–Taxonomic classification of environmental genomic fragments using a kernelized nearest neighbor approach. BMC Bioinformatics 10: 56
- Dollive S, Peterfreund GL, Sherrill-Mix S, Bittinger K, Sinha R, Hoffmann C, Nabel C, Hill DA, Artis D, Bachman MA (2012) A tool kit for quantifying eukaryotic rRNA gene sequences from human microbiome samples. Genome Biol 13: R60
- Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Fierer N, Knight R (2010) Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107: 11971–11975
- Edgar RC (2010) Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 26: 2460–2461
- Faith JJ, McNulty NP, Rey FE, Gordon JI (2011) Predicting a human gut microbiota's response to diet in gnotobiotic mice. Science 333: 101–104
- Faust K, Sathirapongsasuti JF, Izard J, Segata N, Gevers D, Raes J, Huttenhower C (2012) Microbial co-occurrence relationships in the human microbiome. PLoS Comput Biol 8: e1002606
- Fodor AA, DeSantis TZ, Wylie KM, Badger JH, Ye Y, Hepburn T, Hu P, Sodergren E, Liolios K, Huot-Creasy H, Birren BW, Earl AM (2012) The 'most wanted' taxa from the human microbiome for whole genome sequencing. PLoS One 7: e41294
- Forsberg KJ, Reyes A, Wang B, Selleck EM, Sommer MOA, Dantas G (2012) The Shared Antibiotic Resistome of Soil Bacteria and Human Pathogens. Science 337: 1107–1111
- Frias-Lopez J, Shi Y, Tyson GW, Coleman ML, Schuster SC, Chisholm SW, Delong EF (2008) Microbial community gene expression in ocean surface waters. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 3805–3810
- Friedman J, Alm EJ (2012) Inferring correlation networks from genomic survey data. PLoS Comput Biol 8: e1002687
- Gajer P, Brotman RM, Bai G, Sakamoto J, Schütte UM, Zhong X, Koenig SS, Fu L, Ma ZS, Zhou X (2012) Temporal dynamics of the human vaginal microbiota. Sci Transl Med 4: 132ra152
- Garrett WS, Gallini CA, Yatsunenko T, Michaud M, DuBois A, Delaney ML, Punit S, Karlsson M, Bry L, Glickman JN, Gordon JI, Onderdonk AB, Glimcher LH (2010) Enterobacteriaceae act in concert with the gut microbiota to induce spontaneous and maternally transmitted colitis. Cell Host Microbe 8: 292–300
- Gasch AP, Spellman PT, Kao CM, Carmel-Harel O, Eisen MB, Storz G, Botstein D, Brown PO (2000) Genomic expression programs in the response of yeast cells to environmental changes. Sci Signal 11: 4241
- Gerlach W, Stoye J (2011) Taxonomic classification of metagenomic shotgun sequences with CARMA3. Nucleic Acids Res 39: e91–e91
- Ghosh T, Haque M, Mande S (2010) DiScRIBinATE: a rapid method for accurate taxonomic classification of metagenomic sequences. BMC Bioinformatics 11: S14
- Giannakis M, Chen SL, Karam SM, Engstrand L, Gordon JI (2008) Helicobacter pylori evolution during progression from chronic atrophic gastritis to gastric cancer and its impact on gastric stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci 105: 4358–4363
- Gilbert JA, Hughes M (2011) Gene expression profiling: metatranscriptomics. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, NJ) 733: 195–205
- Goll J, Rusch DB, Tanenbaum DM, Thiagarajan M, Li K, Methé BA, Yooseph S (2010) METAREP: JCVI metagenomics reports—an open source tool for high-performance comparative metagenomics. Bioinformatics 26: 2631–2632
- Goodman AL, Kallstrom G, Faith JJ, Reyes A, Moore A, Dantas G, Gordon JI (2011) Extensive personal human gut microbiota culture

collections characterized and manipulated in gnotobiotic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108: 6252–6257

- Gori F, Folino G, Jetten MSM, Marchiori E (2011) MTR: taxonomic annotation of short metagenomic reads using clustering at multiple taxonomic ranks. Bioinformatics 27: 196–203
- Gosalbes MJ, Durban A, Pignatelli M, Abellan JJ, Jimenez-Hernandez N, Perez-Cobas AE, Latorre A, Moya A (2011) Metatranscriptomic approach to analyze the functional human gut microbiota. PLoS One 6: e17447
- Hakoyama T, Niimi K, Watanabe H, Tabata R, Matsubara J, Sato S, Nakamura Y, Tabata S, Jichun L, Matsumoto T (2009) Host plant genome overcomes the lack of a bacterial gene for symbiotic nitrogen fixation. Nature 462: 514–517
- Harrington ED, Arumugam M, Raes J, Bork P, Relman DA (2010) SmashCell: a software framework for the analysis of single-cell amplified genome sequences. Bioinformatics 26: 2979–2980
- Hehemann JH, Correc G, Barbeyron T, Helbert W, Czjzek M, Michel G (2010) Transfer of carbohydrate-active enzymes from marine bacteria to Japanese gut microbiota. Nature 464: 908–912
- Hess M, Sczyrba A, Egan R, Kim TW, Chokhawala H, Schroth G, Luo S, Clark DS, Chen F, Zhang T (2011) Metagenomic discovery of biomass-degrading genes and genomes from cow rumen. Science 331: 463–467
- Hooper LV, Littman DR, Macpherson AJ (2012) Interactions between the microbiota and the immune system. Science 336: 1268-1273
- Horton M, Bodenhausen N, Bergelson J (2010) MARTA: a suite of Javabased tools for assigning taxonomic status to DNA sequences. Bioinformatics 26: 568–569
- Hughes TR, Marton MJ, Jones AR, Roberts CJ, Stoughton R, Armour CD, Bennett HA, Coffey E, Dai H, He YD (2000) Functional discovery via a compendium of expression profiles. Cell 102: 109–126
- Huse SM, Dethlefsen L, Huber JA, Welch DM, Relman DA, Sogin ML (2008) Exploring microbial diversity and taxonomy using SSU rRNA hypervariable tag sequencing. PLoS Genet 4: e1000255
- Huson DH, Auch AF, Qi J, Schuster SC (2007) MEGAN analysis of metagenomic data. Genome Res 17: 377–386
- Hyland C, Pinney JW, McConkey GA, Westhead DR (2006) metaSHARK: a WWW platform for interactive exploration of metabolic networks. Nucleic Acids Res 34: W725–W728
- Ivanov II, Atarashi K, Manel N, Brodie EL, Shima T, Karaoz U, Wei D, Goldfarb KC, Santee CA, Lynch SV, Tanoue T, Imaoka A, Itoh K, Takeda K, Umesaki Y, Honda K, Littman DR (2009) Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by segmented filamentous bacteria. Cell 139: 485–498
- Jansson J, Willing B, Lucio M, Fekete A, Dicksved J, Halfvarson J, Tysk C, Schmitt-Kopplin P (2009) Metabolomics reveals metabolic biomarkers of Crohn's disease. PloS One 4: e6386
- Kamada N, Kim YG, Sham HP, Vallance BA, Puente JL, Martens EC, Nunez G (2012) Regulated virulence controls the ability of a pathogen to compete with the gut microbiota. Science 336: 1325–1329
- Kanehisa M, Goto S (2000) KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 28: 27–30
- Kau AL, Ahern PP, Griffin NW, Goodman AL, Gordon JI (2011) Human nutrition, the gut microbiome and the immune system. Nature 474: 327–336
- Kinross JM, Darzi AW, Nicholson JK (2011) Gut microbiome-host interactions in health and disease. Genome Med 3: 14
- Klitgord N, Segrè D (2010) Environments that induce synthetic microbial ecosystems. PLoS Comput Biol 6: e1001002
- Knights D, Kuczynski J, Charlson ES, Zaneveld J, Mozer MC, Collman RG, Bushman FD, Knight R, Kelley ST (2011) Bayesian communitywide culture-independent microbial source tracking. Nat Methods 8: 761–763
- Koenig JE, Spor A, Scalfone N, Fricker AD, Stombaugh J, Knight R, Angenent LT, Ley RE (2011) Succession of microbial consortia in the developing infant gut microbiome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 108(Suppl 1): 4578–4585
- Konopka A (2009) What is microbial community ecology? ISME J 3: 1223–1230
- Kostic AD, Gevers D, Pedamallu CS, Michaud M, Duke F, Earl AM, Ojesina AI, Jung J, Bass AJ, Tabernero J, Baselga J, Liu C, Shivdasani RA, Ogino S, Birren BW, Huttenhower C, Garrett WS, Meyerson M (2012) Genomic analysis identifies association of Fusobacterium with colorectal carcinoma. Genome Res 22: 292–298
- Kristiansson E, Hugenholtz P, Dalevi D (2009) ShotgunFunctionalizeR: an R-package for functional comparison of metagenomes. Bioinformatics 25: 2737–2738
- Kultima JR, Sunagawa S, Li J, Chen W, Chen H, Mende DR, Arumugam M, Pan Q, Liu B, Qin J (2012) MOCAT: a metagenomics assembly and gene prediction toolkit. PloS One 7: e47656
- Kvist T, Ahring BK, Lasken RS, Westermann P (2007) Specific singlecell isolation and genomic amplification of uncultured microorganisms. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 74: 926–935
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9: 357–359
- Larsen PE, Collart FR, Field D, Meyer F, Keegan KP, Henry CS, McGrath J, Quinn J, Gilbert JA (2011) Predicted Relative Metabolomic Turnover (PRMT): determining metabolic turnover from a coastal marine metagenomic dataset. Microbial Inform Exper 1: 4
- Larsen PE, Field D, Gilbert JA (2012) Predicting bacterial community assemblages using an artificial neural network approach. Nat Methods 9: 621–625
- Laserson J, Jojic V, Koller D (2011) Genovo: de novo assembly for metagenomes. J Comput Biol 18: 429-443
- Lasken RS (2012) Genomic sequencing of uncultured microorganisms from single cells. Nat Rev Microbiol 10: 631–640
- Lefébure T, Stanhope MJ (2007) Evolution of the core and pan-genome of Streptococcus: positive selection, recombination, and genome composition. Genome Biol 8: R71
- Ley RE, Lozupone CA, Hamady M, Knight R, Gordon JI (2008) Worlds within worlds: evolution of the vertebrate gut microbiota. Nat Rev Microbiol 6: 776–788
- Li H, Durbin R (2010) Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 26: 589–595
- Li M, Wang B, Zhang M, Rantalainen M, Wang S, Zhou H, Zhang Y, Shen J, Pang X, Zhang M, Wei H, Chen Y, Lu H, Zuo J, Su M, Qiu Y, Jia W, Xiao C, Smith LM, Yang S et al (2008) Symbiotic gut microbes modulate human metabolic phenotypes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 2117–2122
- Li R, Zhu H, Ruan J, Qian W, Fang X, Shi Z, Li Y, Li S, Shan G, Kristiansen K, Li S, Yang H, Wang J, Wang J (2010) De novo assembly of human genomes with massively parallel short read sequencing. Genome Res 20: 265–272
- Li W (2009) Analysis and comparison of very large metagenomes with fast clustering and functional annotation. BMC Bioinformatics 10: 359
- Li X, LeBlanc J, Truong A, Vuthoori R, Chen SS, Lustgarten JL, Roth B, Allard J, Ippoliti A, Presley LL, Borneman J, Bigbee WL, Gopalakrishnan V, Graeber TG, Elashoff D, Braun J, Goodglick L (2011) A metaproteomic approach to study human-microbial ecosystems at the mucosal luminal interface. PloS One 6: e26542
- Lieberman TD, Michel JB, Aingaran M, Potter-Bynoe G, Roux D, Davis Jr MR, Skurnik D, Leiby N, LiPuma JJ, Goldberg JB (2011) Parallel bacterial evolution within multiple patients identifies candidate pathogenicity genes. Nat Genet 43: 1275–1280
- Lim YW, Schmieder R, Haynes M, Willner D, Furlan M, Youle M, Abbott K, Edwards R, Evangelista J, Conrad D (2012) Metagenomics and metatranscriptomics: Windows on CFassociated viral and microbial communities. J Cyst Fibros 12: 154–164
- Liu B, Gibbons T, Ghodsi M, Treangen T, Pop M (2011) Accurate and fast estimation of taxonomic profiles from metagenomic shotgun sequences. BMC Genomics 12(Suppl 2): S4
- Lovell D, Muller W, Taylor J, Zwart A, Helliwell C (2010) Caution! Compositions! Report Number: EP10994. CSIRO Mathematical and Information Sciences
- Lozupone C, Faust K, Raes J, Faith JJ, Frank DN, Zaneveld J, Gordon JI, Knight R (2012) Identifying genomic and metabolic features that can underlie early successional and opportunistic lifestyles of human gut symbionts. Genome Res 22: 1974–1984
- Luo C, Tsementzi D, Kyrpides N, Read T, Konstantinidis KT (2012) Direct comparisons of Illumina vs. Roche 454 sequencing technologies on the same microbial community DNA sample. PLoS One 7: e30087
- Manichanh C, Rigottier-Gois L, Bonnaud E, Gloux K, Pelletier E, Frangeul L, Nalin R, Jarrin C, Chardon P, Marteau P (2006) Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in Crohn's disease revealed by a metagenomic approach. Gut 55: 205–211
- Marcy Y, Ouverney C, Bik EM, Losekann T, Ivanova N, Martin HG, Szeto E, Platt D, Hugenholtz P, Relman DA, Quake SR (2007) Dissecting biological 'dark matter' with single-cell genetic analysis of rare and uncultivated TM7 microbes from the human mouth. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 11889–11894
- Markowitz VM, Chen IM, Palaniappan K, Chu K, Szeto E, Grechkin Y, Ratner A, Jacob B, Huang J, Williams P, Huntemann M, Anderson I, Mavromatis K, Ivanova NN, Kyrpides NC (2012a) IMG: the Integrated Microbial Genomes database and comparative analysis system. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D115–D122
- Markowitz VM, Chen IMA, Chu K, Szeto E, Palaniappan K, Grechkin Y, Ratner A, Jacob B, Pati A, Huntemann M (2012b) IMG/M: the integrated metagenome data management and comparative analysis system. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D123–D129
- Marsh P, Hunter J, Bowden G, Hamilton I, McKee A, Hardie J, Ellwood D (1983) The influence of growth rate and nutrient limitation on the microbial composition and biochemical properties of a mixed culture of oral bacteria grown in a chemostat. J Gen Microbiol 129: 755–770
- Mason OU, Hazen TC, Borglin S, Chain PS, Dubinsky EA, Fortney JL, Han J, Holman HY, Hultman J, Lamendella R, Mackelprang R, Malfatti S, Tom LM, Tringe SG, Woyke T, Zhou J, Rubin EM, Jansson JK (2012) Metagenome, metatranscriptome and single-cell sequencing reveal microbial response to Deepwater Horizon oil spill. ISME J 6: 1715–1727
- McBain A, MacFarlane G (2001) Modulation of genotoxic enzyme activities by non-digestible oligosaccharide metabolism in in-vitro human gut bacterial ecosystems. J Med Microbiol 50: 833–842
- McCarren J, Becker JW, Repeta DJ, Shi Y, Young CR, Malmstrom RR, Chisholm SW, DeLong EF (2010) Microbial community transcriptomes reveal microbes and metabolic pathways associated with dissolved organic matter turnover in the sea. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107: 16420–16427
- McDaniel LD, Young E, Delaney J, Ruhnau F, Ritchie KB, Paul JH (2010) High frequency of horizontal gene transfer in the oceans. Science 330: 50–50
- McElroy KE, Luciani F, Thomas T (2012) GemSIM: general, errormodel based simulator of next-generation sequencing data. BMC Genomics 13: 74
- McFall-Ngai M (2008) Host-microbe symbiosis: the squid-Vibrio association—a naturally occurring, experimental model of animal/bacterial partnerships. Adv Exp Med Biol 635: 102–112
- McFall-Ngai M, Heath-Heckman EAC, Gillette AA, Peyer SM, Harvie EA (2011) The secret languages of coevolved symbioses: Insights from the Euprymna scolopes Vibrio fischeri symbiosis. Semin Immunol 24: 3–8
- McNulty NP, Yatsunenko T, Hsiao A, Faith JJ, Muegge BD, Goodman AL, Henrissat B, Oozeer R, Cools-Portier S, Gobert G (2011) The impact of a consortium of fermented milk strains on the gut microbiome of gnotobiotic mice and monozygotic twins. Sci Transl Med 3: 106ra106
- Mende DR, Waller AS, Sunagawa S, Jarvelin AI, Chan MM, Arumugam M, Raes J, Bork P (2012) Assessment of metagenomic assembly

using simulated next generation sequencing data. PLoS One 7: e31386

- Meyer F, Paarmann D, D'Souza M, Olson R, Glass EM, Kubal M, Paczian T, Rodriguez A, Stevens R, Wilke A, Wilkening J, Edwards RA (2008) The metagenomics RAST server - a public resource for the automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of metagenomes. BMC Bioinformatics 9: 386
- Mitra S, Rupek P, Richter D, Urich T, Gilbert J, Meyer F, Wilke A, Huson D (2011) Functional analysis of metagenomes and metatranscriptomes using SEED and KEGG. BMC Bioinformatics 12: S21
- Mohammed M, Ghosh T, Reddy R, Reddy CVSK, Singh N, Mande S (2011a) INDUS-A composition based approach for rapid and accurate taxonomic classification of metagenomic sequences. BMC Genomics 12: S4
- Mohammed MH, Ghosh TS, Singh NK, Mande SS (2011b) SPHINX—an algorithm for taxonomic binning of metagenomic sequences. Bioinformatics 27: 22–30
- Molly K, Woestyne M, Verstraete W (1993) Development of a 5-step multi-chamber reactor as a simulation of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 39: 254–258
- Monzoorul Haque M, Ghosh TS, Komanduri D, Mande SS (2009) SOrt-ITEMS: Sequence orthology based approach for improved taxonomic estimation of metagenomic sequences. Bioinformatics 25: 1722–1730
- Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A (2008) Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial symbionts. Annu Rev Genet 42: 165–190
- Moran NA, Munson MA, Baumann P, Ishikawa H (1993) A molecular clock in endosymbiotic bacteria is calibrated using the insect hosts. Proc R Soc Lond Ser B Biol Sci 253: 167–171
- Morgan XC, Tickle TL, Sokol H, Gevers D, Devaney KL, Ward DV, Reyes JA, Shah SA, Leleiko N, Snapper SB, Bousvaros A, Korzenik J, Sands BE, Xavier RJ, Huttenhower C (2012) Dysfunction of the intestinal microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease and treatment. Genome Biol 13: R79
- Muegge BD, Kuczynski J, Knights D, Clemente JC, González A, Fontana L, Henrissat B, Knight R, Gordon JI (2011) Diet drives convergence in gut microbiome functions across mammalian phylogeny and within humans. Science 332: 970–974
- Nalbantoglu OU, Way SF, Hinrichs SH, Sayood K (2011) RAIphy: Phylogenetic classification of metagenomics samples using iterative refinement of relative abundance index profiles. BMC Bioinformatics 12: 41
- Namiki T, Hachiya T, Tanaka H, Sakakibara Y (2012) MetaVelvet: an extension of Velvet assembler to de novo metagenome assembly from short sequence reads. Nucleic Acids Res 40: e155
- Narasingarao P, Podell S, Ugalde JA, Brochier-Armanet C, Emerson JB, Brocks JJ, Heidelberg KB, Banfield JF, Allen EE (2012) De novo metagenomic assembly reveals abundant novel major lineage of Archaea in hypersaline microbial communities. ISME J 6: 81–93
- Nelson KE, Weinstock GM, Highlander SK, Worley KC, Creasy HH, Wortman JR, Rusch DB, Mitreva M, Sodergren E, Chinwalla AT, Feldgarden M, Gevers D, Haas BJ, Madupu R, Ward DV, Birren BW, Gibbs RA, Methe B, Petrosino JF, Strausberg RL et al (2010) A catalog of reference genomes from the human microbiome. Science 328: 994–999
- Overbeek R, Begley T, Butler RM, Choudhuri JV, Chuang HY, Cohoon M, de Crécy-Lagard V, Diaz N, Disz T, Edwards R (2005) The subsystems approach to genome annotation and its use in the project to annotate 1000 genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 33: 5691–5702
- Pamp SJ, Harrington ED, Quake SR, Relman DA, Blainey PC (2012) Single-cell sequencing provides clues about the host interactions of segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB). Genome Res 22: 1107–1119
- Parks DH, Beiko RG (2010) Identifying biologically relevant differences between metagenomic communities. Bioinformatics 26: 715–721
- Parks DH, MacDonald NJ, Beiko RG (2011) Classifying short genomic fragments from novel lineages using composition and homology. BMC Bioinformatics 12: 328
- Pati A, Heath LS, Kyrpides NC, Ivanova N (2011) ClaMS: a classifier for metagenomic sequences. Stand Genomic Sci 5: 248
- Patil KR, Haider P, Pope PB, Turnbaugh PJ, Morrison M, Scheffer T, McHardy AC (2011) Taxonomic metagenome sequence assignment with structured output models. Nat Methods 8: 191–192
- Patil KR, Roune L, McHardy AC (2012) The PhyloPythiaS Web Server for Taxonomic Assignment of Metagenome Sequences. PloS One 7: e38581
- Pawlowsky-Glahn V, Buccianti A (2011) Compositional Data Analysis: Theory and Applications. Wiley Online Library
- Pell J, Hintze A, Canino-Koning R, Howe A, Tiedje JM, Brown CT (2012) Scaling metagenome sequence assembly with probabilistic de Bruijn graphs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 109: 13272–13277
- Peng Y, Leung HC, Yiu SM, Chin FY (2011) Meta-IDBA: a de Novo assembler for metagenomic data. Bioinformatics 27: i94–101
- Peng Y, Leung HC, Yiu SM, Chin FY (2012) IDBA-UD: a de novo assembler for single-cell and metagenomic sequencing data with highly uneven depth. Bioinformatics 28: 1420-1428
- Petrof EO, Gloor GB, Vanner SJ, Weese SJ, Carter D, Daigneault MC, Brown EM, Schroeter K, Allen-Vercoe E (2013) Stool substitute transplant therapy for the eradication of Clostridium difficile infection: 'RePOOPulating' the gut. Microbiome 1: 1–12
- Pignatelli M, Moya A (2011) Evaluating the fidelity of de novo short read metagenomic assembly using simulated data. PLoS One 6: e19984
- Powell S, Szklarczyk D, Trachana K, Roth A, Kuhn M, Muller J, Arnold R, Rattei T, Letunic I, Doerks T (2012) eggNOG v3. 0: orthologous groups covering 1133 organisms at 41 different taxonomic ranges. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D284–D289
- Pruitt KD, Tatusova T, Brown GR, Maglott DR (2012) NCBI Reference Sequences (RefSeq): current status, new features and genome annotation policy. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D130–D135
- Punta M, Coggill PC, Eberhardt RY, Mistry J, Tate J, Boursnell C, Pang N, Forslund K, Ceric G, Clements J, Heger A, Holm L, Sonnhammer EL, Eddy SR, Bateman A, Finn RD (2012) The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D290–D301
- Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, Nielsen T, Pons N, Levenez F, Yamada T, Mende DR, Li J, Xu J, Li S, Li D, Cao J, Wang B, Liang H, Zheng H, Xie Yet al (2010) A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature 464: 59–65
- Qin J, Li Y, Cai Z, Li S, Zhu J, Zhang F, Liang S, Zhang W, Guan Y, Shen D, Peng Y, Zhang D, Jie Z, Wu W, Qin Y, Xue W, Li J, Han L, Lu D, Wu P et al (2012) A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. Nature 490: 55–60
- Raes J, Letunic I, Yamada T, Jensen LJ, Bork P (2011) Toward molecular trait-based ecology through integration of biogeochemical, geographical and metagenomic data. Mol Syst Biol 7: 473
- Richter DC, Ott F, Auch AF, Schmid R, Huson DH (2008) MetaSim—A sequencing simulator for genomics and metagenomics. PloS One 3: e3373
- Rocap G, Larimer FW, Lamerdin J, Malfatti S, Chain P, Ahlgren NA, Arellano A, Coleman M, Hauser L, Hess WR, Johnson ZI, Land M, Lindell D, Post AF, Regala W, Shah M, Shaw SL, Steglich C, Sullivan MB, Ting CS et al (2003) Genome divergence in two Prochlorococcus ecotypes reflects oceanic niche differentiation. Nature 424: 1042–1047
- Rosen GL, Reichenberger ER, Rosenfeld AM (2011) NBC: the Naive Bayes Classification tool webserver for taxonomic classification of metagenomic reads. Bioinformatics 27: 127–129
- Schmidt TM, DeLong EF, Pace NR (1991) Analysis of a marine picoplankton community by 16S rRNA gene cloning and sequencing. J Bacteriol 173: 4371–4378
- Schreiber F, Gumrich P, Daniel R, Meinicke P (2010) Treephyler: fast taxonomic profiling of metagenomes. Bioinformatics 26: 960–961
- Schultz J, Milpetz F, Bork P, Ponting CP (1998) SMART, a simple modular architecture research tool: identification of signaling domains. Proc Natl Acad Sci 95: 5857–5864
- Sczesnak A, Segata N, Qin X, Gevers D, Petrosino JF, Huttenhower C, Littman DR, Ivanov II (2011) The genome of th17 cell-inducing segmented filamentous bacteria reveals extensive auxotrophy and adaptations to the intestinal environment. Cell Host Microbe 10: 260–272
- Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, Huttenhower C (2011) Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome Biol 12: R60
- Segata N, Waldron L, Ballarini A, Narasimhan V, Jousson O, Huttenhower C (2012) Metagenomic microbial community profiling using unique clade-specific marker genes. Nat Methods 9: 811–814
- Seshadri R, Kravitz SA, Smarr L, Gilna P, Frazier M (2007) CAMERA: a community resource for metagenomics. PLoS Biol 5: e75
- Shi Y, Tyson GW, DeLong EF (2009) Metatranscriptomics reveals unique microbial small RNAs in the ocean's water column. Nature 459: 266–269
- Shi Y, Tyson GW, Eppley JM, DeLong EF (2011) Integrated metatranscriptomic and metagenomic analyses of stratified microbial assemblages in the open ocean. ISME J 5: 999–1013
- Smillie CS, Smith MB, Friedman J, Cordero OX, David LA, Alm EJ (2011) Ecology drives a global network of gene exchange connecting the human microbiome. Nature 480: 241–244
- Southward AJ, Langmead O, Hardman-Mountford NJ, Aiken J, Boalch GT, Dando PR, Genner MJ, Joint I, Kendall MA, Halliday NC, Harris RP, Leaper R, Mieszkowska N, Pingree RD, Richardson AJ, Sims DW, Smith T, Walne AW, Hawkins SJ (2005) Long-term oceanographic and ecological research in the Western English Channel. Adv Marine Biol 47: 1–105
- Su CH, Hsu MT, Chiang S, Cheng JH, Weng FC, Wang D, Tsai HK (2011) MetaABC—an integrated metagenomics platform for data adjustment, binning and clustering. Bioinformatics 27: 2298–2299
- Tatusov RL, Koonin EV, Lipman DJ (1997) A genomic perspective on protein families. Science 278: 631–637
- Teeling H, Waldmann J, Lombardot T, Bauer M, Glöckner FO (2004) TETRA: a web-service and a stand-alone program for the analysis and comparison of tetranucleotide usage patterns in DNA sequences. BMC Bioinformatics 5: 163
- The Human Microbiome Project Consortium (2012a) A framework for human microbiome research. Nature 486: 215–221
- The Human Microbiome Project Consortium (2012b) Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature 486: 207–214
- Treangen TJ, Koren S, Astrovskaya I, Sommer D, Liu B, Pop M (2011a) MetAMOS: a metagenomic assembly and analysis pipeline for AMOS. Genome Biol 12: 1–27
- Treangen TJ, Sommer DD, Angly FE, Koren S, Pop M (2011b) Next generation sequence assembly with AMOS. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics 33: 11.8.1–11.8.18
- Tringe SG, Hugenholtz P (2008) A renaissance for the pioneering 16S rRNA gene. Curr Opin Microbiol 11: 442–446
- Turnbaugh PJ, Gordon JI (2008) An invitation to the marriage of metagenomics and metabolomics. Cell 134: 708–713
- Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, Cantarel BL, Duncan A, Ley RE, Sogin ML, Jones WJ, Roe BA, Affourtit JP, Egholm M, Henrissat B, Heath AC, Knight R, Gordon JI (2009a) A core gut microbiome in obese and lean twins. Nature 457: 480–484
- Turnbaugh PJ, Quince C, Faith JJ, McHardy AC, Yatsunenko T, Niazi F, Affourtit J, Egholm M, Henrissat B, Knight R, Gordon JI (2010) Organismal, genetic, and transcriptional variation in the deeply sequenced gut microbiomes of identical twins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107: 7503–7508
- Turnbaugh PJ, Ridaura VK, Faith JJ, Rey FE, Knight R, Gordon JI (2009b) The effect of diet on the human gut microbiome: a metagenomic analysis in humanized gnotobiotic mice. Sci Transl $Med 1: 6r314$
- Tyson GW, Chapman J, Hugenholtz P, Allen EE, Ram RJ, Richardson PM, Solovyev VV, Rubin EM, Rokhsar DS, Banfield JF (2004) Community structure and metabolism through reconstruction of microbial genomes from the environment. Nature 428: 37–43
- Ubeda C, Bucci V, Caballero S, Djukovic A, Toussaint NC, Equinda M, Lipuma L, Ling L, Gobourne A, No D (2013) Intestinal microbiota containing Barnesiella species cures vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium colonization. Infect Immun 81: 965–973
- Verberkmoes NC, Russell AL, Shah M, Godzik A, Rosenquist M, Halfvarson J, Lefsrud MG, Apajalahti J, Tysk C, Hettich RL, Jansson JK (2009) Shotgun metaproteomics of the human distal gut microbiota. ISME J 3: 179–189
- Wang Y, Leung HCM, Yiu S, Chin FYL (2012) MetaCluster 4.0: a novel binning algorithm for NGS reads and huge number of species. Journal of Computational Biology 19: 241–249
- Weber M, Teeling H, Huang S, Waldmann J, Kassabgy M, Fuchs BM, Klindworth A, Klockow C, Wichels A, Gerdts G (2010) Practical application of self-organizing maps to interrelate biodiversity and functional data in NGS-based metagenomics. ISME J 5: 918–928
- White JR, Nagarajan N, Pop M (2009) Statistical methods for detecting differentially abundant features in clinical metagenomic samples. PLoS Comput Biol 5: e1000352
- Wilmes P, Bond PL (2006) Metaproteomics: studying functional gene expression in microbial ecosystems. Trends Microbiol 14: 92–97
- Woyke T, Tighe D, Mavromatis K, Clum A, Copeland A, Schackwitz W, Lapidus A, Wu D, McCutcheon JP, McDonald BR, Moran NA, Bristow J, Cheng JF (2010) One bacterial cell, one complete genome. PLoS One 5: e10314
- Woyke T, Xie G, Copeland A, Gonzalez JM, Han C, Kiss H, Saw JH, Senin P, Yang C, Chatterji S, Cheng JF, Eisen JA, Sieracki ME, Stepanauskas R (2009) Assembling the marine metagenome, one cell at a time. PLoS One 4: e5299
- Wu D, Hugenholtz P, Mavromatis K, Pukall R, Dalin E, Ivanova NN, Kunin V, Goodwin L, Wu M, Tindall BJ, Hooper SD, Pati A, Lykidis A, Spring S, Anderson IJ, D'Haeseleer P, Zemla A, Singer M, Lapidus A, Nolan M et al (2009) A phylogeny-driven genomic encyclopaedia of Bacteria and Archaea. Nature 462: 1056–1060
- Wu M, Scott AJ (2012) Phylogenomic analysis of bacterial and archaeal sequences with AMPHORA2. Bioinformatics 28: 1033–1034
- Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Baldassano RN, Anokhin AP, Heath AC, Warner B, Reeder J, Kuczynski J, Caporaso JG, Lozupone CA, Lauber C, Clemente JC, Knights D, Knight R et al (2012) Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature 486: 222–227
- Ye Y, Tang H (2009) An ORFome assembly approach to metagenomics sequences analysis. J Bioinform Comput Biol 7: 455–471
- Zengler K, Palsson BO (2012) A road map for the development of community systems (CoSy) biology. Nat Rev Microbiol 10: 366–372
- Zhao J, Schloss PD, Kalikin LM, Carmody LA, Foster BK, Petrosino JF, Cavalcoli JD, VanDevanter DR, Murray S, Li JZ (2012) Decade-long bacterial community dynamics in cystic fibrosis airways. Pro Natl Acad Sci 109: 5809–5814

Molecular Systems Biology is an open-access $|c_{co}$ journal published by the European Molecular Biology Organization and Nature Publishing Group. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence. To view a copy of this licence visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/)