Penn Annotation-based Meta-analysis of Microarray Experiments

CORE

Jie Zheng, Junmin Liu, Elisabetta Manduchi, Christian J. Stoeckert, Jr.

Center for Bioinformatics, Department of Genetics, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, USA

ABSTRACT

We are developing software applications to perform meta-analysis of microarray experiments based on standardized experiment annotations aiming to identify similar experiments and cluster experiments. The applications were tested on files obtained from the ArrayExpress public repository. Annotation terms covering the biological intent and context of experiments were used to compute dissimilarity measures between experiments. Our applications will categorize the experiments resulting from keyword search based on experimental annotation information. These applications may motivate efforts of bench biologists to better annotate experiments.

Meta-analysis software applications contain three components. The first software component extracts annotations from appropriate fields in MAGE-TAB files. The second module computes dissimilarity measures between pairs of experiments using extracted annotations. Given a set of keywords, the third software module will categorize the experiments containing given keywords using the dissimilarity matrix generated by the second software module.

Methods

Source Of Experiments

Nature Precedings : doi:10.1038/npre.2009.3569.1 : Posted 6 Aug 2009

Experiments in ArrayExpress1 which are in MAGE-TAB format2 and typically contain some annotations using the MGED ontology (MO)3 $\,$

- Annotation Components Used And Scores
 - StudyName (free-text)
 - ExperimentDesignType (MO terms) (scored 1 if annotated, otherwise scored 0)
 - ExperimentFactorType (MO terms) (scored 1 if annotated, otherwise scored 0)
 - ExperimentFactorValue (free-text or measurement or ontology terms)
 - Organism (ontology terms) (scored 1 if annotated, otherwise scored 0)
 - BiomaterialCharacteristics (types are ontology terms, values are free-text or ontology terms) (scored as # of characteristic types annotated)
 - ProtocolType (MO terms) (score 1 if annotated, otherwise scored 0) How well an experiment annotated was evaluated by the sum of the scores of the various annotation components. The higher scores indicate richer annotation.
- Dissimilarity Measures Using Jaccard Or Kulczynski Distance
 Errest annotation component let A and B be the sate of annotation terms
- For each annotation component, let A and B be the sets of annotation terms for the two experiments, respectively.

Jaccard Distance = 1 - $|A \cap B| / |A \cup B|$

Kulczynski Distance = 1-1/2 ($|A \cap B| / A + |A \cap B| / B$)

The dissimilarity between two experiments was defined as the weighted average of their component-wise distances.

Experiments Clustering

Based on dissimilarity matrix, automatically generate a hierarchical tree.

- Generate clusters of varying sizes n
 Assess clusters using silhouette scores and select the best cluster size
- Gold Standards For Meta-analysis

— A list of experiments about glucose responsive genes and insulin secretion in islets

 A list of experiments about genes involved in organismal lifespan alteration generated based on keyword searches in ArrayExpress

Term Harmonization

Annotation terms were harmonized using Unified Medical Language System (UMLS)

Our goal is to develop software applications that can identify similar experiments to a query experiment and group the experiments resulting from keyword search into various categories based on experimental annotations.



Annotation Information Of Experiments

The first software module to retrieve annotations from MAGE-ML or MAGE-TAB files has been developed. Experimental annotations were successfully extracted from 5632 experiments. According to the scores of annotation components, around 50% of the experiments were not well annotated (scores ≤ 2), most coming from GEOD. We focused on a total of 2435 experiments with all considered components annotated in the following meta-analyses.



Experimental Annotation-based Clusters (1)

Two sets of keywords searches were performed

- keywords: insulin or glucose found in 76 experiments
- keywords: aging, longevity, or lifespan found in 88 experiments

Clustering of 76 Experiments (containing "insulin" or "glucose")

0	0	3	(4)	6
ExperimentDesign co-expression_design	ExperimentDesign compound_treatment _design	ExperimentDesign genetic_modification _design	ExperimentDesign strain_or_line_design	ExperimentDesign co-expression_design growth_condition_design disease_state_design
ExperimentFactor genotype	ExperimentFactor compound	ExperimentFactor genetic_modification	ExperimentFactor strain_or_line	ExperimentFactor growth_condition disease_state
BioCharacteristics Genotype	BioCharacteristics CellType, CellLine OrganismPart	BioCharacteristics GeneticModification Age, OrganismPart	BioCharacteristics: StrainOrLine	BioCharacteristics StrainOrLine, DiseaseSta Age, OrganismPart
Taxon Mus musculus S. cerevisiae ProtocolType	Taxon Mus musculus Homo sapiens ProtocolType	Taxon Mus musculus ProtocolType	Taxon no common term ProtocolType	Taxon Mus musculus Homo sapiens ProtocolType
labeling, purify nucleic_acid_extraction	labeling, purify nucleic_acid_extraction	labeling, purify nucleic_acid_extraction linear_amplification	labeling, purify nucleic_acid_extraction	labeling, purify nucleic_acid_extraction
16 Experiments	26 Experiments	8 Experiments	5 Experiments	21 Experiments

Experimental Annotation-based Clusters (2)



*: Experimental IDs in red are the experiments in the list of gold standard (total 8 experiments).

 Most of the experiments in the gold standard list were categorized in one group for two different sets of keyword searches.

• We obtained similar results by using either the Jaccard or the Kulczynski distance to compute dissimilarity measures between pairs of experiments.

Conclusion and Future Directions

- Meta-analyses based on annotation can help to identify closely related experiments. The richly annotated experiments gave better results.
- To further improve the comparison of annotation terms, we will apply ontological relationships to refine the dissimilarity measures.
- In the future, we will expand keyword search results based on dissimilarity matrix if necessary.

Funding: NHGRI grant R21 HG004521

References:

- 1. Parkinson et al., Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35 (Database issue):D747
- 2. Rayner et al., BMC Bioinformatics 7:489.
- 3. Whetzel et al., Bioinformatics. 2006; 22(7): 866.