1	The Modified Pharaoh Approach: Stingless bees mummify beetle
2	parasites alive
3	
4	Peter Neumann ^{1,2,3} , Mark K Greco ^{1,6} , Dorothee Hoffmann ⁴ , Anne Dollin ⁵ , Michael
5	Duncan ⁶ , Robert Spooner-Hart ⁶
6	
7	¹ Swiss Bee Research Centre, Agroscope Liebefeld-Posieux Research Station ALP, CH-
8	3033 Bern, Switzerland
9	² Eastern Bee Research Institute of Yunnan Agricultural University, Heilongtan, Kunming,
10	Yunnan Province, China
11	³ Department of Zoology and Entomology, Rhodes University, 61440 Grahamstown,
12	Republic of South Africa
13	⁴ Department of Zoology, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Hoher Weg 4, 06099
14	Halle (Saale), Germany
15	⁵ Australian Native Bee Research Centre, North Richmond, NSW, Australia
16	⁶ Centre for Plant and Food Science, School of Natural Sciences, University of Western
17	Sydney, Richmond, NSW 1797, Australia
18	
19	Social insect colonies usually live in nests, which are often invaded by parasitic species ¹ .
20	Workers from these colonies use different defence strategies to combat invaders ¹ .
21	Nevertheless, some parasitic species are able to bypass primary colony defences due to their
22	morphology and behaviour ¹⁻³ . In particular, some beetle nest invaders cannot be killed or
23	removed by workers of social bees ²⁻⁵ , thus creating the need for alternative social defence
24	strategies to ensure colony survival. Here we show, using Diagnostic Radioentomology ⁶ , that

25 stingless bee workers Trigona carbonaria, immediately mummify invading destructive nest

26 parasites Aethina tumida alive, with a mixture of resin, wax and mud, thereby preventing

severe damage to the colony. In sharp contrast to the responses of honeybee⁷ and bumblebee colonies⁸, the rapid live mummification strategy of *T. carbonaria* effectively prevents beetle parasite advancements and removes their ability to reproduce. The convergent evolution of live mummification by stingless bees and social encapsulation by honeybees³ suggests that colonies of social bees generally rely on, secondary defence mechanisms when harmful nest intruders cannot be killed or ejected easily. This process is analogous to immune responses in animals.

34 Social insects live in colonies and usually construct nests which are often attractive to parasites. Some parasites feed on stored food or brood and can destroy colonies³ thus generating the 35 need for efficient defence mechanisms. While some Coleopteran nest intruders are harmless⁸⁻¹², 36 others can be damaging parasites⁴. Parasitising beetle species pose particular difficulties for their 37 38 social insect hosts because their hard exoskeletons protect them from direct primary defence 39 strategies such as biting or stinging. The small hive beetle, Aethina tumida (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae), is a parasite and scavenger of honeybee (Apis mellifera) colonies endemic to sub-40 Saharan Africa^{2,5,7,13}. It has become an invasive species¹⁴ with well established populations in North 41 America and Australia^{13,15}. It lives within A. mellifera nests and feeds on brood, stored food and 42 dead bees^{5,7,16,17}. Frequently, the feeding small hive beetle larvae cause the complete destruction of 43 the nest^{5,7} however, the presence of adult small hive beetles alone can be detrimental to colonies of 44 European honeybees¹⁸. This obviously creates demand for efficient defence mechanisms against 45 46 intrusion and reproduction by adult small hive beetles.

Unlike other parasites, small hive beetles are easily detected and can be vigorously attacked by honeybee workers¹⁹. Nevertheless, adult small hive beetles can bypass primary defences of the bees and easily intrude weak or strong host colonies^{5,7} because it is difficult for honeybees to kill or eject them^{3,5} due to the beetles' hard exoskeletons and defensive behaviours, such as the turtle defence posture or by dropping from combs^{3,7}. Cape honeybees, *A. m. capensis*, display secondary defence mechanisms by encapsulating small hive beetles in tombs made from tree resin (propolis), which the bees collect for use as a nest cavity sealant³. Despite the lack of co-evolution between host and parasite, European honeybees also encapsulate small hive beetles in propolis tombs²⁰ suggesting that encapsulation appears to be part of the general secondary defence of honeybee colonies.

57 Recent evidence suggests that small hive beetles also parasitise colonies of other social bees. 58 In fact, small hive beetles have been found naturally infesting commercial bumblebee colonies, *Bombus impatiens*, in the field²¹ and in greenhouses⁸ in North America. Natural small hive beetle 59 infestations were reported in colonies of stingless bees, Dactylurina staudingerii, in West Africa²² 60 61 and small hive beetle larvae were also observed in a T. carbonaria colony that had recently died 62 (Anne Dollin, personal observations) in Australia. Odour cues from stored nest products could 63 attract host-searching adult small hive beetles. We therefore expect colonies of stingless bees to be 64 attractive to small hive beetles and, possibly, suitable for their reproduction. Analogous to 65 honeybees, stingless bees use batumen (a mixture of wax, plant resins and mud) to seal nest cavities²³, thus similar to honeybees, stingless bees may also show alternative secondary defence 66 67 mechanisms against harmful nest intruders. Here, we evaluated the defence behaviour of an 68 Australian species of stingless bee, *T. carbonaria*, against hive-intruding small hive beetles.

Laboratory reared²⁴ adult small hive beetles, with BaSO₄ -marked elytra, were introduced to 69 the entrances of five T. carbonaria hives (N=10 each hive) via a transparent plastic tube^{3,8}. All 70 71 hives were CT scanned in a human body scanner (General Electric HiSpeed 64 Slice, General Electric Company) at 5 min intervals for 90 min²⁵. To assess small hive beetle distribution within 72 73 the hives, we used BeeView 3D rendering software (Disect Systems Ltd; Suffolk, UK). Two 74 dimensional images were performed to enable precise measurement of small hive beetle positions 75 and 3D images were performed to provide spatial representation of small hive beetles with respect 76 to hive structures. One hive was randomly selected after scanning and snap frozen with LN₂ for visual screening to compare positions of small hive beetles with respect to scanned images. 77

Upon introduction of small hive beetles, bees from all T. carbonaria hives immediately 78 79 coated beetles with batumen. The vigorous attacks by workers (Fig. 1) caused the beetles to remain 80 motionless, with their heads tucked underneath the pronotum and legs and antennae pressed tightly to the body (= turtle defence $posture^3$). When not attacked, beetles progressed further into the hive. 81 82 However, most T. carbonaria bees continuously attacked the small hive beetles, thereby keeping 83 them in the turtle defence posture. While six small hive beetles did not manage to progress into the 84 hives and were mummified on the spot, others were able to progress further. In one hive, two small 85 hive beetles reached a distance of 170 mm from the hive entrance, just beneath the brood (Fig. 2A). 86 All forward advancements by beetles ceased within 10 min of their introduction into the hive (Fig. 87 2B). The dissection of one hive confirmed the positions of small hive beetles (N = 10) in relation to 88 its scanned images.

89 When colonies of social bees are invaded by nest parasites which are difficult to kill or eject, 90 the host colony faces a dilemma. Successful parasite reproduction must be prevented but direct 91 physical attacks alone are not always sufficient to kill defensive opponents like adult small hive beetles³. The encapsulation process of adult small hive beetles in honeybee colonies combines 92 prison construction and guarding which usually lasts 1-4 days³. Beetles mimic worker bee begging 93 behaviour and are fed by worker bees²⁷, thus allowing enough time for beetle mating to occur²⁷. Our 94 95 data clearly show that the stingless bees, T. carbonaria, use live mummification of parasitic small 96 hive beetles, the "Alternative Pharaoh Approach", as an effective and fast secondary defence 97 mechanism to prevent successful parasite reproduction. While social encapsulation of small intruders in wax or propolis confinements has been described from Bombus and Apis²⁸, to our 98 99 knowledge, this is the first report of live mummification of nest intruders in colonies of social bees. 100 Our experiment shows that live beetle mummification by *T. carbonaria* takes as little as 10 min Fig. 101 2B, suggesting that this behaviour can be more effective than that of honeybees. When small hive 102 beetles adopt the turtle defence posture most of the honeybee guards leave the beetles, which then scurry into hiding^{3,19}. In contrast, most T. carbonaria bees continuously attack the small hive 103

beetles, thereby keeping them in the turtle defence posture. This enables other workers to mummify the beetles alive with batumen whilst they remain motionless Fig.3. Therefore, it appears that the combination of continuous attacks and quick recruitment of mummifying bees underlies this efficient secondary colony defence mechanism of *T. carbonaria*. There have however, been reports of heat-stressed *T. carbonaria* colonies being destroyed by small hive beetles in Australia (Mark Greco, personal observations), suggesting that this invasive species may still pose some threat to native pollinators Fig. 4.

111 In conclusion, single bees, are not able to kill or eject beetle parasites alone. Only a team 112 with individuals performing specific tasks (e.g. wrestling or gluing in the case of live 113 mummification) can overcome parasite advancements. Live mummification of small hive beetles by 114 stingless bees has probably evolved as a secondary defence mechanism to prevent successful 115 reproduction of nest parasites. This process is a social analogue to immune responses within 116 organisms. It is clearly effective, because small hive beetles are quickly immobilised and prevented 117 from successful reproduction. This seems especially important in light of the high reproductive potential of small hive beetles²⁴. The convergent evolution of live mummification of nest parasites 118 119 in stingless bees and social encapsulation in honeybees is another striking example of evolution 120 between insect societies and their parasites.

121

122 1. Breed MD, Guzman-Novoa E, Hunt GJ (2004) Defensive behaviour of honey bees:

Organization, Genetics, and Comparisons with Other Bees. Annual Review of Entomology
49:271–98

125 2. El-Niweiri MAA, El-Sarrag MS, Neumann P (2008) Filling the Sudan gap: the

- 126 northernmost natural distribution limit of small hive beetles. Journal of Apicultural
- 127 Research: 47(3):184–185

- 128 3. Neumann P, Pirk CWW, Hepburn HR, Solbrig AJ, Ratnieks FLW, Elzen PJ, Baxter JR
- (2001) Social encapsulation of beetle parasites by Cape honeybee colonies (*Apis mellifera capensis* Esch.). Naturwissenschaften 88: 214-216
- 131 4. Schmid-Hempel, P. 1998 Parasites in social insects. Princeton University Press.
- 132 5. Lundie AE (1940) The small hive beetle *Aethina tumida*, Science Bulletin 220, Department
 133 of. Agriculture and Forestry, Government Printer, Pretoria.
- Greco MK, Spooner-Hart R, Holford P (2005) A new technique for monitoring *Trigona carbonaria* nest contents, brood and activity using X-ray computerised tomography. Journal
 of Apicultural Research 44:97-100
- 137 7. Neumann P, Elzen PJ (2004) The biology of the small hive beetle (*Aethina tumida* Murray,
- Coleoptera: Nitidulidae): Gaps in our knowledge of an invasive species. Apidologie 35:
 229-247
- 140 8. Hoffmann D, Pettis JS, Neumann P (2008) Potential host shift of the small hive beetle
 141 (*Aethina tumida*) to bumblebee colonies (*Bombus impatiens*). Insectes Soc 55:153–162
- 142 9. Lea AM (1910) Australian and Tasmanian Coleoptera inhabiting or resorting to the nests of
 143 ants, bees and termites. Proc R Soc Victoria [NS] 23: 116-230.
- 144 10. Lea AM (1912) Australian and Tasmanian Coleoptera inhabiting or resorting to the nests of
 145 ants, bees and termites. Proc R Soc Victoria [NS] 25 Suppl: 31-78.
- 146 11. Neumann P, Ritter W (2004) A scientific note on the association of *Cychramus luteus*147 (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae) with honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) colonies. Apidologie 35: 665-666
- 148 12. Haddad N, Esser J, Neumann P (2008) Association of *Cryptophagus hexagonalis*149 (Coleoptera: Cryptophagidae) with honey bee colonies (*Apis mellifera*). Journal of
 150 Apicultural Research 47:189-190
- 151 13. Neumann P, Ellis JD (2008) The small hive beetle (Aethina tumida Murray, Coleoptera:
- 152 Nitidulidae): distribution, biology and control of an invasive species. Journal of Apicultural
- 153 Research 47:181–183

154	14.	Elzen PJ, Baxter JR, Westervelt D, Randall C, Delaplane KS, Cutts L, Wilson WT (1999)
155		Field control and biology studies of a new pest species, Aethina tumida Murray (Coleoptera,
156		Nitidulidae) attacking European honey bees in the Western hemisphere, Apidologie 30:361-
157		366
158	15.	Spiewok S, Pettis JS, Duncan M, Spooner-Hart R, Westervelt D, Neumann P (2007) Small
159		hive beetle, Aethina tumida, populations I: Infestation levels of honeybee colonies, apiaries
160		and regions. Apidologie 38:595–605
161	16.	Schmolke MD (1974) A study of Aethina tumida: the small hive beetle, Project Report,
162		University of Rhodesia
163	17.	Spiewok S, Neumann P (2006a) Cryptic low-level reproduction of small hive beetles in
164		honeybee colonies. Journal of Apicultural Research 45:47-48
165	18.	Ellis J.D., Hepburn H.R., Delaplane K., Neumann P., Elzen P.J. (2003a) The effects of adult
166		small hive beetles, Aethina tumida (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae), on nests and flight activity of
167		Cape and European honey bees (Apis mellifera). Apidologie 34, 399-408.
168	19.	Elzen PJ, Baxter JR, Neumann P, Solbrig A, Pirk CWW, Hepburn HR, Westervelt D,
169		Randall C (2001) Behaviour of African and European subspecies of Apis mellifera toward
170		the small hive beetle, Aethina tumida. Journal of Apicultural Research 40:40-41
171	20.	Ellis JD, Hepburn HR, Ellis AM, Elzen PJ (2003b) Social encapsulation of the small hive
172		beetle (Aethina tumida Murray) by European honeybees (Apis mellifera L.). Insectes
173		Sociaux 50:286–291
174	21.	Spiewok S, Neumann P (2006b) Infestation of commercial bumblebee (Bombus impatiens)
175		field colonies by small hive beetles (Aethina tumida). Ecological Entomology 31:623-628
176	22.	Mutsaers M (2006) Beekeepers' observations on the small hive beetle (Aethina tumida) and
177		other pests in bee colonies in West and East Africa. In: Proceedings of the 2 nd EurBee
178		conference, Prague, Czech Republic. p 44

179	23.	Michener CD (1961) Observations on the nests and behaviour of Trigona in Australia and		
180		New Guinea (Hymenoptera: Apidae). American Museum of Novitates 2026 (08-05-1961):		
181		1-45		
182	24.	Muerrle TM, Neumann P (2004) Mass production of small hive beetles (Aethina tumida		
183		Murray, Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). Journal of Apicultural Research 43:144-145		
184	25.	Greco MK, Bell M, Spooner-Hart R, Holford P (2006) X-ray computerized tomography as a		
185		new method for monitoring Amegilla holmesi nest structure, nesting behaviour and adult		
186		female activity. Entomologia Experimtalis et Applicata 120:71-76		
187	26.	Breed, M. D. 2003. Nestmate Recognition Assays As a Tool for Population and Ecological		
188		Studies in Eusocial Insects: A Review. J. Kansas Entomol. Soc. 76: 539-550		
189	27.	Ellis JD, Pirk C, Hepburn HR, Kastberger G, Elzen PJ (2002) Small hive beetles survive in		
190		honeybee prisons by behavioural mimicry. Naturwissenschaften 89: 326-328		
191	28.	Michener, C.D. (1974) The social behaviour of the bees; A comparative study. Harvard		
192		University Press, pp. 404.		
193				
194	Ackn	owledgements We thank Macarthur Diagnostic Imaging for donating time on the CT scanner		
195	and for use of their Campbelltown facility.			
196				
197	Auth	or contributions M.G., M.D., D.H. and P.N. performed the experiment. P.N. and M.G. wrote		
198	the paper. All authors designed the experiment, discussed the results, analysed the data and			
199	commented on the manuscripts.			
200				
201	Auth	or information Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.G.		
202	(mark	.greco@alp.admin.ch).		
203				

204	Figure 1: A <i>T. carbonaria</i> worker mummifies a live small hive beetle by gluing bits of batumen on
205	its elytra and legs.

207 Figure 2: Live mummification of adult small hive beetles in <i>T. carbonaria</i> hives visuali	sed by	CT	1
---	--------	----	---

scans: (a) 3D CT image of *T. carbonaria* brood (single arrow) and two small hive beetles below

209 brood (double arrows); (b) 2D CT image of small hive beetles (short arrows) in entrance of

T. carbonaria hive demonstrating no change in position after 10 min.

Figure 3: A 3D pseudocolour CT scan image of a *T. carbonaria* hive, detailing brood (b) and live

213 mummified small hive beetles (four white oval bodies) in entrance (e).

Figure 4: Photograph of a *T. carbonaria* hive invaded by reproducing small hive beetles, detailing

216 brood (b) and small hive beetle larvae (L). The hive became vulnerable to invasion after being

217 weakened as a result of extreme ambient temperature (48°C).



BROOD

SHB

a

b

ENTRANCE

10 min

5 min

20 min



