Mini Review



Diagnostic Imaging Modalities for Skeletal Metastasis in Soft Tissue Sarcoma

Negar Khosravi¹, Masoud Mirkazemi², Sadegh Saberi³, Seyed Ali Ebrahimi^{1*}, Mehraneh Saghi¹, Azra Izanloo⁴

Author Information

- 1. Student Research Committee, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad Branch, Mashhad, Iran.
- 2. Bone and Joint Reconstruction Research Center, Shafa Orthopedic Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran.
- 3. Joint Reconstruction Research Center (JRRC), Orthopedic Department of Imam Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
- 4. Razavi Cancer Research Center, Razavi Hospital, Imam Reza International University, Mashhad, Iran

Submitted: 06.08.2019 Accepted: 25.09.2019 Published : 02.02.2020

Keywords: soft tissue sarcoma, bone metastasis, Imaging Modalities

Abstract

Soft tissue sarcomas (STS) are usually highly malignant. Bone metastasis is less common in STS but it significantly affects patients' quality of life and also is indicator of poor prognosis. Different types of imaging modalities are available for diagnosis and follow-up of STS. Bone scintigraphy is a sensitive and cost effective method for screening bone metastases however it's poor

specificity must be covered by other imaging methods like computed tomography. More sophisticated methods are available including whole body magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET). Equipment limitations and high costs are the main problems with ofthese methods.

CANCER PRESS Vol 6, No 1, Feb. 2020

Introduction

Soft tissue sarcomas (STS) are groupof heterogeneous neoplasms, accounting for less than 1% of all human malignancies. STS have tendency to spread via bloodstream.Half of STS patients develop metastatic disease¹. The lungs are the most common sites of the metastasis². In a study conducted by Yoshikawaet al., the incidence of skeletal metastasis in STS patients was 10%³. Additionally Skeletal involvement was different in subtypes of STS. Alveolar soft-part sarcoma, dedifferentiatedliposarcoma, angiosarcoma and rhabdomyosarcomashowed a higher incidence of skeletal metastases^{3, 4}.

encompass common complications of bone metastases such as pathologic fracture secondary to a bone metastasis, spinal cord compression or the need for surgery or radiotherapy to bone"⁵. SREs can have significant adverse effects on patients' quality of life;accordingly, clinicians must consider management of the SREs in STS patients, even if survival is limited. Additionally, with the recent advances, overall survival in STS patients has increased and new imaging techniques have improved the diagnosis of bone metastasis⁴.

Skeletal metastasis leads to skeletal related events (SREs). "The term SRE is used to

References

 Woll PJ, Reichardt P, Le Cesne A, Bonvalot S, Azzarelli A, Hoekstra HJ, Leahy M, Van Coevorden F, Verweij J, Hogendoorn PC. Adjuvant chemotherapy with doxorubicin, ifosfamide, and lenograstim for resected soft-tissue sarcoma (EORTC 62931): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. The lancet oncology. 2012;13(10):1045-54.
Putnam JJ, Roth JA, Wesley M, Johnston M, Rosenberg S. Analysis of prognostic factors in patients undergoing resection of pulmonary metastases from soft tissue sarcomas. The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery. 1984;87(2):260-8.
Yoshikawa H, Ueda T, Mori S, Araki N, Kuratsu S, Uchida A, Ochi T. Skeletal metastases from soft-tissue sarcomas. Incidence, patterns, and radiological features. The Journal of bone and jointsurgery British volume. 1997;79(4):548-52.
Yoshikawa H, Myoui A, Ochi T, Araki N, Ueda T, Kudawara I, Nakanishi K, Tanaka H, Nakamura H. Bone Metastases from Soft Tissue Sarcomas. Seminars in musculoskeletal radiology. 1999;3(2):183-90.

Diagnostic Imaging Modalities , 2020. The Cancer Press, 6:1

Selecting appropriate imaging involvement. the modalitiesis important an diagnostic imaging modality must be cost- severe bone pain ^{7, 8}. The pain in patients for effective and accurate interventions. Scintigraphy, positron causes emission tomography (PET) and whole osteolysis, direct infiltration of nerves, body MRI are the main diagnostic production of endothelins modalities. Other imaging techniques such growth asradiography, computed tomography (CT) stimulation of ion channels and production andMRIcan be used to confirm the of growth factors and cytokines from the diagnosis and evaluate the extent of tumor^{9, 10}. Also, bone metastases can metastasis and its characteristics ⁶.

In this brief review, we will discuss the available imaging modalities for diagnosis of skeletal metastasis in STS patients.

Association of symptoms with bone metastases

The of most common signs bone metastases are pain, fracture, spinal cord compression, and hypercalcemia.Bone pain is often the first symptom of bone

Most (75%) patients issue. A diagnosed with bone metastases experience medical with bone metastases can be due to various including, tumor-induced and nerve factors from local tissue, weaken bones and lead to pathological fractures. Subsequently, these fractures often cause severe pain^{3, 8}. Meijer *et al.* found a correlation between the location of bone metastasisand bone pain⁷

ANCER PRESS

Vol 6, No 1, Feb. 2020

References

Diagnostic Imaging Modalities , 2020. The Cancer Press, 6:1

^{5.} Gartrell BA ,Saad F. Managing bone metastases and reducing skeletal related events in prostate cancer. Nature reviews Clinical oncology. 2014;11(6):335-45. 6. Łukaszewski B, Nazar J, Goch M, Łukaszewska M, Stępiński A, Jurczyk MU. Diagnostic methods for

detection ofbone metastases. Contemp Oncol (Pozn). 2017;21(2):98-103. 7. Meijer WG, van der Veer E, Jager PL, van der Jagt EJ, Piers BA, Kema IP, de Vries EG, Willemse PH.

Bone metastases in carcinoid tumors: clinical features, imaging characteristics, and markers of bone metabolism. Journal of Nuclear Medicine. 2003;44(2):184-91.

^{8.} Vincenzi B, Frezza AM, Schiavon G, Santini D, Dileo P, Silletta M, Delisi D, Bertoldo F, Badalamenti G, Baldi GG, Zovato S, Berardi R, Tucci M, Silvestris F, Dei Tos AP, Tirabosco R, Whelan JS, Tonini G. Bone metastases in soft tissue sarcoma: a survey of natural history, prognostic value and treatment options. Clinical sarcoma research. 2013;3(1):6.

Spinal cord compression, which is another Chow et al. studied five hundred eighteen sign of bone metastases can lead to pain in cancer patients with bone metastasis and the neck, back and lower limbs with or identified three symptoms clusters: 1) the without neurological complication and most common symptoms including fatigue, mechanical instability¹⁰.

Patients may experience different symptoms as a result of diffuse bone marrow infiltration which usually happens in those who have received radiotherapy or chemotherapy. Patients whose red blood The best diagnostic modality cells are affected, experience anemia, fatigue, and weakness. decreased white blood cell count increases the risk of infection. A low platelet count may lead to coagulation defects ^{8, 9}. In some patients, finding bone metastasisin soft tissue swelling is reported as a symptom of bone metastases 3

and leads to constipation, nausea, and PET, and magnetic resonance imaging anorexia, however, it is a significant (MRI). complication in the treatment of the STS and indicates a worse prognosis 6 .

pain, drowsiness and poor sense of wellbeing, 2) depression and anxiety, which was more prevalent in women, and 3) the rare symptoms including shortness of breath, nausea, and anorexia¹¹.

FR PRESS

Vol 6, No 1, Feb. 2020

Imaging techniqueshave significant roles in detection, follow-up, monitoring and planning treatment of bone metastases¹². The most common imaging modalities for sarcoma patients are radiography, bone scintigraphy, computed tomography (CT) Hypercalcemia is a nonspecific symptom scanning, radioisotope scanning's like

References

9. Jager PL, Hoekstra HJ, Leeuw JA, van der Graaf WT, de Vries EG, Piers DA. Routine bone scintigraphy in primary staging of soft tissue sarcoma: Is it worthwhile? Cancer. 2000;89(8):1726-31. 10. Coleman RE. Clinical features of metastatic bone disease and risk of skeletal morbidity. Clinical cancer research. 2006;12(20):6243s-9s. 11. ChowE, Fan G, Hadi S, Filipczak L. Symptom clusters in cancer patients with bone metastases. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. 2007;15(9):1035-43.

Diagnostic Imaging Modalities , 2020. The Cancer Press, 6:1

Radiography is a cheap and fast imaging Bone scintigraphy is more sensitive than because of poor sensitivity it is not specificity.Because bone metastases ^{13, 14}.

Computed tomography (CT) can evaluate metastases within bone marrow before destruction This bone has occurred. be usedfor detecting technique can metastasis in axial bones. In addition, it can give us information about the size and structure of the metastasis, which is important in selection of an orthopedic implant. CT does not have screening role for bone metastases because it is timeconsuming and it imposes high amount of radiation^{13, 15-18}.

technique. It is usually used to confirm the radiography and CT for detection of findings of other methods, however metastases in bone marrow, but it has poor some trauma and recommended as a screening method for degenerative diseases can increase tracer uptake which canmimic skeletal metastases ^{19, 20}. Nevertheless, it is the most costeffective whole body screening technique of bone metastases^{6, 21}. A study conducted by Baraiet al. showed that routine scintigraphy in STS has a relatively low yield. This study suggests preforming scintigraphy only for patients with bone pain, however in this case asymptomatic patients may be missed¹⁹.

CANCER PRESS

Vol 6, No 1, Feb. 2020

References

14. Vinholes J, Coleman R, Eastell R. Effects of bone metastases on bone metabolism: implications for diagnosis, imaging and assessment of response to cancer treatment. Cancer Treat Rev. 1996.331-289:(4)22; 15. Coleman R. Monitoring of bone metastases. European Journal of Cancer. 1998;34(2):252-9 16.Kido DK, Gould R, Taati F, Duncan A, Schnur J. Comparative sensitivity of CT scans, radiographs and radionuclide bone scans in detecting metastatic calvarial lesions. Radiology. 1978;128(2):371-5. 17. Sundaram M, McGuire MH. Computed tomography or magnetic resonance for evaluating the solitary tumor or tumor-like lesion of bone? Skeletal radiology. 1988;17(6):393-401. 18. Traill Z, Richards M, Moore N, Magnetic resonance imaging of metastatic bone disease. Clinical orthopaedics and related research. 1995(312):76-88.

Brodowicz T, Hadji P, Niepel D, Diel I. Early identification and intervention matters: A comprehensive 12. review of current evidence and recommendations for the monitoring of bone health in patients with cancer. Cancer treatment reviews. 2017;61:23-34. 13. Söderlund V. Radiological diagnosisof skeletal metastases. European radiology. 1996;6(5):587-95.

Positron emission tomography (PET) showed the highest sensitivity (86%) to other imaging modalities^{23, 24}.

Today, whole body magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and FDG-PET may improve detection of bone metastasis. MRI is a fast and simple modality for evaluating the axial skeleton. In MRI, most false negative detections were located in small and flat bones such as the skull, the ribs, and the carpal bone^{13, 18, 20}.

Daldrup-Link et al. compared the diagnostic accuracy of whole-body magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), FDG-PET and bone scintigraphy for identifying bone metastasis. In this study, FDG-PET

especially with 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose compared to MRI (82%) and bone (FDG) has an important role in the scintigraphy $(71\%)^{20}$. Currently, it seems detection of bone metastases ²². PET is that the best diagnostic modality of bone expensive and takes longer time compared metastases in patients with soft tissue sarcoma is utilizing a combination of imaging techniques. Generally, the first choice of screening is bone scintigraphy because of its high sensitivity and costeffectiveness . However, bone scintigraphy needs to be followed by other methods for an accurate diagnosis. Bone scintigraphy and FDG-PET are useful to detect bone metastases in asymptomatic patients 7,25

References

Barai S, Bandopadhayaya G, Chumber S, Gupta D, Patel C, Dhanpati H. Role of skeletal scintigraphy 19. in soft tissue sarcoma: Improving the diagnostic yield. Journal of postgraduate medicine. 2004;50(3):180. 20. Daldrup-Link HE, Franzius C, Link TM, Laukamp D, Sciuk J, Jürgens H, Schober O, Rummeny EJ. Whole-body MR imaging for detection of bone metastases in children and young adults: comparison with skeletal scintigraphy and FDG PET. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2001;177(1):229-36. 21. Merrick M, Beales J, Garvie N, Leonard R. Evaluation and skeletal metastases. The British journal of radiology. 1992;65(777):803-6. 22. Yeh SD ,Imbriaco M, Larson SM, Garza D, Zhang JJ, Kalaigian H, Finn RD, Ready D, Horowitz SM,

Goldsmith SJ. Detection of bony metastases of androgen-independent prostate cancer by PET-FDG. Nuclear medicine and biology. 1996;23(6):693-7.

Diagnostic Imaging Modalities, 2020. The Cancer Press, 6:1

6

CANCER PRESS

Vol 6, No 1, Feb. 2020

www.imaqpress.com



The most important limitation of accurate methods like FDG-PET and whole-body MRI is their high cost.

Conflicts of Interest:

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

23. Krasnow AZ, HellmanRS, Timins ME, Collier BD, Anderson T, Isitman AT, editors. Diagnostic bone scanning in oncology. Seminars in nuclear medicine; 1997: Elsevier.

24. Dose J, Bleckmann C, Bachmann S, Bohuslavizki K, Berger J, Jenicke L, Habermann C, Jänicke F. Comparison offluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography and 'conventional diagnostic procedures' for the detection of distant metastases in breast cancer patients. Nuclear medicine communications. 2002;23 (9):857-64.

25. Ben Arush MW, Israel O, Kedar Z, GoralnikL, Best LA, Meushar N, Elhasid R, Postovsky S. Detection of isolated distant metastasis in soft tissue sarcoma by fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography: case report. Pediatric hematology and oncology. 2001;18(4):295-8.

Diagnostic Imaging Modalities , 2020. The Cancer Press, 6:1