#### https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20200000440 2020-03-11T12:39:20+00:00Z Cartesian Mesh Simulations for the 3rd AIAA Sonic Boom Prediction Workshop





#### Wade M. Spurlock

Science and Technology Corp. **Computational Aerosciences Branch** Moffett Field, CA 94035 wade.m.spurlock@nasa.gov

#### Michael J. Aftosmis

**Computational Aerosciences Branch** NASA Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035 michael.aftosmis@nasa.gov

#### Marian Nemec

**Computational Aerosciences Branch** NASA Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035 marian.nemec@nasa.gov

#### Outline



2020.01.04 ARC/TNA

- Cases
  - Biconvex shock/plume interaction
  - C608 full aircraft geometry
- Flow solver & computational resources
- Geometry & grids
- Numerical convergence
- Results
- Challenges
- Conclusions





2020.01.04 ARC/TNA

Wind tunnel model setup to examine shock/plume interaction

#### Conditions:

- $M_{\infty} = 1.6$
- Power BC's at plenum

• 
$$\frac{p_t}{p_{\infty}} = 8.0, \frac{T_t}{T_{\infty}} = 1.768$$

- Extract pressure signal at radial location r = 15 in (0.38 m)
- Model is approximately 22 in (0.56 m) long





ARC/TNA

#### • Modified version of Low Boom Flight Demonstrator design iteration

• Full aircraft, complex geometry, multiple inflow/outflow BC's

#### Conditions:

- $M_{\infty} = 1.4$ , Altitude h = 53,200 ft
- Power BC's at engine nozzle  $p_t/p_{\infty}$  = 10.0 ,  $T_t/T_{\infty}$  = 7.0
- Power BC's at bypass nozzle  $p_t/p_{\infty}$  = 2.4 ,  $T_t/T_{\infty}$  = 2.0
- Engine fan inlet  $p_b/p_{\infty} = 2.6$  (desired Mach 0.4 flow at engine fan face)
- Environmental Control System vent inlets  $p_b/p_{\infty} = 1.4$  (desired Mach 0.35 flow at ECS inlets)
- Extract pressure signal at radial location L
- Model is approximately 1080 in (27.43 m) long

# Cart3D Software

- Flow solver: Cart3D v1.5.5.3
  - Steady, inviscid Euler equation solver
  - Second-order upwind method
  - Domain decomposition, highly scalable
  - Multigrid acceleration (4 MG levels)
  - 5-stage RK scheme, van Leer limiter
- Automatic meshing
  - Multilevel Cartesian mesh with embedded cut-cell boundaries
  - Unstructured surface triangulation with component tagging
- Output-driven mesh refinement
  - Discrete adjoint solution and local error estimate
  - Several different adjoint functionals, including pressure signal  $\Delta p$
- Computing platform
  - NASA ARC Electra, 1 Skylake node (40 cores, Intel Xeon Gold 6148)
  - Biconvex: 19.9 M cells, 40 min final flow solve, 32 min adaptive meshing (x3 sim's)
  - C608: 29.6 M cells, 60 min final flow solve, 53 min adaptive meshing (x19 sim's)

Cart3D



2020.01.04 ARC/TNA

- Biconvex
  - Created surface triangulation from STP and IGS files
  - Diagonalized structured grid where possible
  - Filled in planar and irregularly shaped areas with unstructured cells



- Biconvex
  - Created surface triangulation from STP and IGS files
  - Diagonalized structured grid where possible
  - Filled in planar and irregularly shaped areas with unstructured cells







- Issues with leading edge and trailing edge at tip of airfoil
- Cleaned up geometry by projecting LE and TE onto plane of wing tip





- C608
  - Received unstructured surface triangulation from J. Jensen (NASA ARC)
  - 494 k vertices, 987 k triangles





### Volume Mesh



- Cartesian cut-cell volume mesh for inviscid flow solver
- Cart3D autoBoom previous SBPW2 work
  - Aligned with Mach angle (with tiny offset to avoid sonic glitch)
  - Roll the model geometry for different off-track  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$  angles
  - Separate simulation for each off-track  $\phi$  on 1 node, can be run simultaneously
  - Tested different cell aspect ratios in the propagation and spanwise directions
- Adjoint-driven mesh adaptation
  - Line sensor at multiple body lengths away
  - Objective function is integrated pressure  $\Delta p/p_{\infty}$
- Final grid sizes for data submittal
  - Biconvex: 4.5, 8.9, 19.9 million cells for coarse, medium, fine
  - C608: 7.1, 14.2, 29.6 million cells for coarse, medium, fine

### **Volume Mesh**



2020.01.04

ARC/TNA

- Adjoint-driven mesh adaptation
  - Line sensor at multiple body lengths away
  - Objective function is weighted integral of  $\Delta p/p_{\infty}$





- Biconvex
  - 550, 600, 700 iterations on coarse, medium, fine grids
  - Submitted adapt cycles 05, 06, 07 (ran 2 more out to 09 to check)





- Biconvex
  - 550, 600, 700 iterations on coarse, medium, fine grids
  - Adapt cycles 05, 06, 07 (ran 2 more out to 09 to check)



- Biconvex
  - 550, 600, 700 iterations on coarse, medium, fine grids
  - Solutions are well converged by adapt 05, 06, 07 cycles
  - Richardson extrapolation used for error estimate
- Error Estimate High Confidence *r* = 15 *in* Low Confidence 0.040 0.04 0.020 0.02 0.000  $\cap$ dd -0.020 -0.04 -0.040 fine medium -0.06 -0.060 coarse -0.08 -0.080 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 20 25 30 35 15 40 0 5 0 10 Distance along sensor **Distance Along Sensor**





- C608
  - 400, 500, 550 iterations on coarse, medium, fine grids
  - Submitted adapt cycles 03, 04, 05 (ran 1 more out to 06 to check)



- C608
  - 400, 500, 550 iterations on coarse, medium, fine grids
  - Adapt cycles 03, 04, 05 (ran 1 more out to 06 to check)







- 400, 500, 550 iterations on coarse, medium, fine grids
- Solutions are well converged by adapt 03, 04, 05 cycles
- Richardson extrapolation used for error estimate





# NASA

#### Results: Biconvex



• Density contours



#### **Results: Biconvex**



• Density contours (zoomed in on plume-shock interaction region)



#### **Results: Biconvex**



2020.01.04

ARC/TNA

• Pressure coefficient contours



#### **Results: Biconvex**





ARC/TNA





ARC/TNA

- Separate simulation run at off-track φ every 10° (19total)
- Five line sensors at offsets of  $\Delta \phi = [-4, -2, 0, +2, +4]$
- Covers full half-cylinder  $0 \le \phi \le 180^\circ$  in increments of  $2^\circ$



















#### Challenges

- C608
  - Getting outflow BC's to correct desired Mach number
    - Adjusted the back pressure
      - Engine inlet from suggested 2.6 to 2.75
      - ECS inlets from suggested 1.4 to 2.70
  - Consistent closeouts are challenging
    - Plume/shock is difficult to capture
    - Mesh coarsening farther back in plume can create spurious artifacts in pressure signal



Conclusions



ARC/TNA

- Complex geometry increases computational cost
  - More features to resolve
  - Must take pressure signal farther from body
- Adaptive meshing refines based on solution error and objective function
- Must routinely check for solution quality
  - Numerical convergence and adjoint performance
  - Grid sequencing with coarse, medium, fine grid pressure signal
  - Comparison metrics for multiple off-track φ sim's: mass flow through inflow/outflow boundaries, force & moment coefficients
- Richardson extrapolation shows highest uncertainty in aft portion of signal, which is particularly challenging with propulsion and plumes
- Inviscid simulation can effectively capture supersonic flow features of shocks, expansions, and coalescence

#### Acknowledgements



- James Jensen for workshop C608 geometry
- Melissa Carter and Mike Park for organizing the workshop and for their correspondence on the nearfield cases
- ARMD Commercial Supersonic Technology Project for supporting this work
- NASA Advanced Supercomputing Division for computational resources

# Questions?



2020.01.04 ARC/TNA