https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20200000039 2020-03-11T13:42:52+00:00Z

FMEA THROUGH THE PROJECT LIFECYCLE

PREPARED FOR RAMS TRAINING SUMMIT XII NOVEMBER 13TH, 2019 HUNTSVILLE, AL, US

BY GWYER SINCLAIR (BASTION TECHNOLOGIES INC.)

UNDERSTAND THE FMEA PROCESS

WHO? -> Reliability, Design, SME, Testing, Quality, Manufacturing, Maintenance, Service

WHAT? -> Bottom-up (inductive) study of a design / process's failure modes, and their effects

WHEN? -> From absolute beginning of the design process, and continuing through project lifetime

WHY? -> Improve safety and reliability, Identify and remove single point failures, decrease cost. *Changes throughout the project lifecycle*.

NOTIONAL FMEA

Hardware Item, Process, or Service under study	Failure Modes	Failure Causes	Failure Effects (Integrated)	-	Detection Methods	System Response / Action	Controls / Mitigation	(RPN)
Sensor	Erroneous Output (on scale)	Component failures, loss of power, physical stress, etc	Depends on integration - effect from loss of (reliable) information	Depends on scale and vehicle effects	None	None	Tests or inspections, other	*
	Erroneous Output (off scale)				Sense off-scale reading	Disqualify Sensor		
	Individual Pin Failures				Depending on effects	Depending on effects		

NOTIONAL, DOES NOT REPRESENT REAL HARDWARE OR FAILURE EFFECTS

Outcomes by Project Phase

Concept -> Preliminary Design ->

Influence the design architecture Influence the design at a functional level Train engineers on systems, for integration @ later stage

Detail Design ->

Influence the design at a detailed level Create test + inspection plans Prepare for Troubleshooting & RCA

Produce + Operate ->

Generate 'lessons learned' for design Add data for Troubleshooting & RCA

Outcome \propto *Resources Invested*

And we need 100% of the outcome! Often, 1 failure is too many!

- Analyze lower levels of assembly get more design influences, get more safety improvement, price -> more work
- Don't under-analyze! FMEA only protects from failures when it is comprehensive



LEVEL OF ANALYSIS : VEHICLE EXAMPLE (E.ENG)

System broken out into increasing levels of detail, exploring one of many paths.

The FMEA is a "Bottom-Up" Analysis – where is the bottom?

Need to identify the lowest level to perform analysis, to create useful work.

Rule of Thumb: Seek to 'analyze out ' uncertainty.

VEHICLE					
(Subsystem)	Controls				
Assembly 1 Assembly 2	Sensors Processors Connectors				
Propulsion	Structure				
Engines Tanks Valves	Tanks Supports Skins				

Vehicle Controls System Sensor Circuit Component Terminal



Concept, Preliminary-> Analyze available data (system to hardware) Influences Architecture, Functional Design, and prepares RE's to integrate analysis in later phase

Detail Design ->

Analyze from bottom up ("hardware level") Influences Detailed Design, Test/Inspection Plan and prepares for maintenance & RCA

Produce + Operate -> Further analysis generates lessons learned (variable) Influences Future Design, R&M Models