# **EXTENDED ABSTRACT**

# The Evaluation of Exposure to Benzene among Children in Indoor Environments: A Review

Ernie Syazween Junaidi<sup>1</sup>, Juliana Jalaludin<sup>1,2</sup>, Abdul Rohim Tualeka<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Occupational Health and Safety, Faculty of Public Health, Airlangga University, East Java, Surabaya, Indonesia

## SUMMARY

Benzene has been measured in indoor environments for many decades and has been identified to cause variety of health effects. Children spend most of their time indoors such as daycare centre, preschool and school, they are more likely to be exposed to indoor air pollutants. This paper was aimed to review the exposure to benzene among children within indoor environments from worldwide studies from 2003 to 2018. Based on 24 papers evaluated, 54% were conducted in primary schools. The highest concentration of benzene was found in preschools in China at 148.0  $\mu$ g/m3. The benzene levels were found higher in indoors than outdoors for most of the studies. Active sampling techniques were used in 42% of studies that enable the determination of acute health effects on children during short-period of exposure time. Differences in sampling techniques and durations make it hard to compare the outcomes of the studies with health-effect guidelines. This review indicated a diversity of sampling approaches and techniques, pointing to the importance of establishment of standard method for collecting and reporting data.

Keywords: Benzene, Children, Indoor Environments, Daycare Centres, Preschools

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Juliana Jalaludin, PhD Email: juliana@upm.edu.my Tel: +60389472397

#### INTRODUCTION

Children spend most of their time in indoor environments, mainly at daycare centre, preschool and school. They are more likely to be exposed to indoor air pollutants as they spend most of their time indoors and indoor pollutants are found to be two to five times higher than outdoors [1]. The levels of indoor pollution and the duration of the exposure might have a considerable impact on children's health for the rest of their lives

[2]. Benzene has been classified as Group 1 to cause carcinogenic effects in humans and there is no safe exposure level of benzene can be recommended [3]. It has been measured in indoor environments for many decades and few studies on exposure to benzene among children have been reported [4-8] and variety of health effects associated with benzene have been identified includes possible childhood leukemia [9]. This paper aims to review on 24 papers that related to the studies of benzene in daycare centres, preschools and primary schools. Finally, this paper offers recommendations that can help to improve the indoor air quality study.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A comprehensive literature search was conducted to

identify any studies on children of exposure to benzene within indoor environments conducted worldwide. Original research papers published in English language academic journals were obtained by searching electronic databases including from ScienceDirect. Scopus, ProQuest and Gooale Scholar. The keywords used in these searches were: 'benzene', 'exposure to benzene among children', 'school', 'daycare centre', 'indoor air quality', 'benzene in indoor environments', 'health effects benzene' and 'benzene guidelines'. The results were refined to identify the studies conducted from 2003 until 2018.

#### RESULTS

A total of 24 papers were evaluated in this study. Based on Table I on the sampling approaches, there were 15 countries that reported on the studies of benzene in indoors. 54% were conducted in primary schools, followed by daycare centres (31%) and preschools (15%). The exposure duration and sampling methods were varied among the studies. There were two sampling approaches that being used; active sampling (42%) and passive sampling (58%). Meanwhile, the shortest and longest exposure duration were from 30 minutes [10] to 7 days per week [11-13]. For the analytical methods, most of the studies cited US EPA Compendium Method TO-17 for the analysis of benzene [6-7]. All of studies reported to use gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) as the principal method of analysis. Meanwhile, automated thermal desorption GC/MS and flame

Table I: Sampling approaches in determination of benzene in indoor environme	nts
--	-----

Ref	Year of study	Study Area	No of Study Site	Exposure Duration	Flow Rate	Sampling Method	Location (Month/ Season)
[13]	2013	School	Urban = 6 Rural = 6 Industrial = 6	2 weeks	n/a	Radiello passive sampler (RAD 130, activated charcoal)	Spain (Feb-Apr)
[6]	2007	School	8	4 h/7 days	0.2 L/min	Anasorb 747 charcoal tubes	Malaysia (n/a)
[17]	2011-2012	School	2	5 days/week	n/a	Radiello passive samplers	Greece (Sept-Oct/ non-heating; Jan-Feb/ heating period)
[5]	2011-2013	School	20	24 h/5 days	n/a	Tenax TA thermal desorption tubes	Portugal (Nov-March/ winter)
[4]	2009	School	Urban = 1 Suburban = 1	24 hours	n/a	3M OVM 3500 organic vapor monitors	Turkey (March/winter)
[18]	2010	School	Urban = 1 Suburban = 1	5 days/week	n/a	Radiello passive samplers	Portugal (April-June)
[2]	2011	School	2	24 h/5 days	n/a	Tenax TA thermal desorption tubes	Portugal (Nov/summer)
[12]	2003-2008	School	22	7 d/week	n/a	Radiello passive samplers (RAD 130, activated charcoal)	European cities (sum- mer, winter)
[19]	n/a	School	Urban = 2 Suburban = 1	5 hours	66.7 ml/min	Tenax TA sorbent tubes active sampler	Turkey (winter, spring, fall)
[14]	2006-2007	School	3	24 hours	n/a	Radiello passive samplers activated charcoal (Carbograph 4)	Turkey (May-June/sum- mer; Dec-Jan/winter)
[11]	2007	School	Urban = 1 Suburban = 1	1 week	n/a	Radiello passive sampler (RAD 130, activated charcoal)	Mediterranean cities (winter)
[20]	2003	School	Suburban = 9	4.5-day	n/a	Tenax GR thermal desorption adsorbents	USA (March-June/ spring, early summer)
[21]	2000	School	Urban = 2	31 h/5 days	n/a	3M OVM 3520 organic vapor monitors	USA (Jan-Feb/winter; Apr-May/spring)
[22]	n/a	School	6	1 hour; 8 hours	0.0931; 0.0121 L/min	Summa <sup>TM</sup> canister passive samplers	Hong Kong (n/a)
[17]	2011-2012	Preschool	1	5 days/week	n/a	Radiello passive samplers	Greece (Sept-Oct/ non-heating; Jan-Feb/ heating period)
[15]	2011	Preschool	8	24 hours	n/a	Passive sampler	China (March-April)
[23]	n/a	Preschool	Urban = 13 Suburban = 4	60 - 100 min	0.07 ~ 0.1 L/ min	Tenax TA thermal desorption tube	Korea (n/a)
[12]	2003-2008	Preschool	22	7 days/week	n/a	Radiello passive sampler (RAD 130, activated charcoal)	European cities (n/a)
[24]	2012	Daycare centre	25	7 hours	100 ml/min	2,4-DNPH coated Florisil thermal desorption cartridge	Korea (May-July)
[25]	n/a	Daycare centre	1	1 hour	100 mL/min <sup>-1</sup>	Tenax TA thermal desorption tube and Carboxen 1000 60/80	Japan (Dec, March)
[7]	2013	Daycare centre	14	10 hours	1 L/min	SKC Anasorb Coconut Shell Char- coal tubes	Columbia (Autumn)
[8]	2008	Daycare centre	21	6 hours	13.5 ml/min	Summa <sup>TM</sup> canister passive samplers	Canada (Jan-Feb/winter
[26]	n/a	Daycare centre	28	5 days/week	n/a	Radiello passive sampler (RAD 130, activated charcoal)	France (Oct-Mar/winter; Apr-Sept/summer)
[16]	n/a	Daycare centre	104	9 hours	5 and 10 mL/ min <sup>-1</sup>	Tenax TA thermal desorption tube	Singapore (n/a)
[10]	2006	Daycare centre	29	30 min	n/a	Tenax TA thermal desorption tube	Korea (Jan-Dec)
[27]	2013-2014	Daycare centre	Urban = 2	n/a	n/a	Tenax TA thermal desorption tube	Poland (Dec-Jan/winter

n/a: not available

ionization detector were used as the principal mode of detection, however they were not uniformly specified in every study.

Based on Table II, the maximum level of benzene in school was found at 19.77  $\mu$ g/m3 during winter season. The study found that indoor activity, ventilation and duration of human occupancy have influenced the air quality in the buildings [14]. Benzene was found highest in preschool in China at 148.0  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> [15], meanwhile 32.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> was found in daycare center in Singapore due to the traffic emissions from outdoor and human

activities [16]. The evaluation of indoor to outdoor (I/O) ratios found that majority were higher in indoors (> 1.0) compared to outdoors. High levels of benzene in indoors were related to poor ventilation, consumer products and human activities. Motor vehicle emission was found to be significant with outdoor benzene sources.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This evaluation revealed that there is no specific regulation and standard for indoor air quality that have been reported. Comparisons among the studies

Def	No. of community					
Ref.	No. of sample	АМ	Median	Min	Max	I/O Ratio
[13]	54	S1: 0.5 S2: 0.3 S3: 0.7	S1: 0.48 S2: 0.27 S3: 0.66	S1: 0.4 S2: 0.2 S3: 0.6	S1: 0.5 S2: 0.4 S3: 0.9	S1: 0.9 S2: 1.0 S3: 0.8
[6]	32	7.2	4.6	n/a	31.7	0.93
[17]	n/a	S1: 1.5 <sup>a</sup> ; 3.7 <sup>b</sup> S2: 1.5 <sup>a</sup> ; 4.0 <sup>b</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	S1: 1.7 <sup>a</sup> ; 1.2 <sup>b</sup> S2: 1.5 <sup>a</sup> ; 1.7 <sup>b</sup>
[5]	73	n/a	2.5	1.5	2.7	0.84
[4]	S1: 26 S2: 24	S1: 1.91 S2: 2.71	S1: 0.92 S2: 2.50	S1: 0.39 S2: 1.54	S1: 13.2 S2: 4.74	S1: 1.10 S2: 0.70
[18]	n/a	0.31	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.84
[2]	n/a	S1: <1.0 S2: 1.63	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
[19]	n/a	10.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		7.5 <sup>a</sup>				1.57 <sup>a</sup>
[14]	n/a	19.77 <sup>b</sup> S1: 2.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.20 <sup>b</sup>
[11]	n/a	S1: 2.4 S2: 4.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/d
[20]	64	0.09	n/a	n/a	1.6	1.4
			0.6 <sup>b</sup>			
[21]	113	n/a	0.6 <sup>c</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a
[22]	24	3.04	0.86	0.68	12.22	0.61
[17]	n/a	1.4 <sup>a</sup> ; 3.7 <sup>b</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.3 <sup>a</sup> ; 2.0 <sup>b</sup>
[15]	n/a	P1: 2.5 P2: 6.0 P3: 148.0 P4: 2.5 P5: 3.5 P6: 30.0 P7: 22.5 P8: 11.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
[23]	P1: 54 P2: 17	P1: 9.24 P2: 4.98	n/a	P1: 2.0 P2: 2.0	P1: 33.18 P2: 12.71	P1: 1.18 P2: 0.83
[12]	188	4.4	2.6	0.5	63.7	1.2
		1.2 <sup>d</sup>	1.2 <sup>d</sup>	0.4 <sup>d</sup>	6.8 <sup>d</sup>	
[24]	n/a	1.7 <sup>e</sup>	1.7 <sup>e</sup>	0.8 <sup>e</sup>	7.9 <sup>e</sup>	1.09
[25]	n/a	A: 10.3; 1.29 B: 8.2; <0.2 C: 6.2; <0.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
[7]	35	2.0	n/a	<lod< td=""><td>4.4</td><td>n/a</td></lod<>	4.4	n/a
[8]	21	1.8	n/a	0.9	6.3	n/a
		1.4; 1.6 <sup>a</sup>	1.4; 1.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.5; 0.9 <sup>b</sup>	3.7; 3.9 <sup>b</sup>	
[26]	n/a	2.0; 2.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.1; 2.1 <sup>c</sup>	0.5; 0.9 <sup>c</sup>	4.4; 4.5 <sup>c</sup>	n/a
[16]	123	NV: 25.4 HB: 17.5 ACMV: 24.2 AC: 17.9	NV: 32.7 HB: 30.5 ACMV: 28.4 AC: 21.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
[10]	183	4.2	3.6	n/d	13.1	2.2
[27]	24	S1: 1.63; 2.93 S2: 2.59; 2.11	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Table II: Concentrations of benzene in indoor environments (	(µq/m <sup>3</sup>	)

An: Arithmetic mean; I/O ratio: Indoor/Outdoor ratio; S: school; n/a: not available; n/d: not detectable; <sup>a</sup> summer; <sup>b</sup> winter; <sup>c</sup> spring; <sup>d</sup> day; <sup>e</sup> night; P: preschool; LOD: limit of detection; NV: natural ventilation; HB: hybrid ventilation; ACMV: air-conditioned and mechanically ventilated; AC: air-conditioned

were made without the consideration of the sampling methods. In general, the sampling durations were found to be different in most of the studies. This is rarely being acknowledged and is a problem for researchers globally who wish to compare their findings with previous studies. This paper indicated the need for a standard approach especially in data collection, sampling method and the correct way on how to report data.

(RfC: 0.009 ppm) [28], OSHA (1 ppm for 8-hour/5 ppm for 15-minute) [29] and WHO health-based guidelines (no safe level) [3]. However, the used of passive sampling in 58% of studies limits the determination of concentrations relevant to short-term exposure and guidelines for acute effects. Meanwhile, another 42% of studies used active sampling in their assessments. This may indicated a better support on determination of acute health effects on exposure to benzene in

25, 27] were found to be higher as compared to US EPA

This review showed the levels of benzene [14, 15, 16,

children. Sampling methods and sampling durations which consistent with the exposure and pollutant exposure guidelines, compatible with sampling patterns and occupant behavior, would enable a more rigorous assessment. Besides, comparison of potential health risks also can be made.

This paper also found most of the studies were conducted in school environments and only 15% were conducted in preschools and 31% for daycare centres. The highest concentration of benzene was found in preschools at 143.0  $\mu$ g/m3 [15]. This finding indicated that some preschool environments may be a significant source of benzene exposure. Thus, it is important to increase the number of studies in preschool in the future. Furthermore, children in preschools and daycare centres may be more vulnerable to the effects of benzene exposures as compared to the children in schools. Thus, determination of exposure to air pollutants in these environments is especially important to the children.

The most recent study was conducted in schools located in different spatial characteristics [13]. Based on the evaluated studies, high significant levels of benzene have been related to the study areas in urban and industrial, compared to in rural area. Overall, only few studies that reported on the locations of the sampling sites. Thus it is important to acknowledge that difference in the spatial variation also can influence the benzene concentrations in indoor environments.

In summary, study related to benzene exposure in educational environments has evolved from the early year of 2000 up until recent study in 2018. Concentrations of benzene were found to be higher indoors than outdoors, especially in buildings located in urban and industrial areas, and during cold season. In some cases, these concentrations were exceeded the exposure guidelines. To enable more valid comparison among studies with exposure guidelines, a standard approach for sampling and correct way on reporting data should be introduced. Finally, greater attention should be focused on indoor air quality studies that related to air pollutants which are underreported and with vulnerable populations.

# REFERENCES

- Sousa, S. I., Ferraz, C., Alvim-Ferraz, M. C., Vaz, L. G., Marques, A. J., & Martins, F. G. (2012). Indoor Air Pollution on Nurseries and Primary Schools: Impact on Childhood Asthma– Study Protocol. BMC Public Health, 12(1), 435.
- Madureira, J., Paciência, I., Stranger, M., Ventura, G., & Oliveira de Fernandes, E. (2012). Field Study on Schoolchildren's Exposure to Indoor Air in Porto, Portugal-Preliminary Results. Proceedings of Healthy Buildings 2012.
- 3. WHO. (2010). World Health Organization; Guidelines for Indoor Air Quality: Selected

Pollutants.

- Demirel, G., Özden, Ö., Döğeroğlu, T., & Gaga, E. O. (2014). Personal Exposure of Primary School Children to BTEX, NO2 and Ozone in Eskişehir, Turkey: Relationship with Indoor/Outdoor Concentrations and Risk Assessment. Science of the Total Environment, 473, 537-548.
- Madureira, J., Paciência, I., Rufo, J., Ramos, E., Barros, H., Teixeira, J. P., & de Oliveira Fernandes, E. (2015). Indoor Air Quality in Schools and Its Relationship with Children's Respiratory Symptoms. Atmospheric Environment, 145-156.
- Norbäck, D., Hashim, J. H., Hashim, Z., & Ali, F. (2017). Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs), Formaldehyde and Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) in Schools in Johor Bahru, Malaysia: Associations with Rhinitis, Ocular, Throat and Dermal Symptoms, Headache and Fatigue. Science of the Total Environment, 592, 153-160.
- Quirós-Alcalá, L., Wilson, S., Witherspoon, N., Murray, R., Perodin, J., Trousdale, K., Raspanti, G. & Sapkota, A. (2016). Volatile Organic Compounds and Particulate Matter in Child Care Facilities in the District of Columbia: Results from a Pilot Study. Environmental Research, 146, 116-124.
- St-Jean, M., St-Amand, A., Gilbert, N. L., Soto, J. C., Guay, M., Davis, K., & Gyorkos, T. W. (2012). Indoor Air Quality in Montreal Area Day-Care Centres, Canada. Environmental Research, 118, 1-7.
- 9. Eden, T. (2010). Aetiology of Childhood Leukemia. Cancer Treatment Reviews, 36(4), 286-297.
- Jang, S.-K., Chun, J.-Y., Kim, S.-Y., Park, S.-Y., Ryu, J.-M., Lim, J.-Y., & Lee, W.-S. (2007). Seasonal Variations of Volatile Organic Compounds in Indoor Air of Daycare Centers. Analytical Science and Technology, 20(6), 474-482.
- Bartzis, J. G., Michael, C., Michaelidou, S., Missia, D. A., Saraga, D. E., Tolis, E. I., Psoma, S., Petaloti, C., Kotzias, D. & Barero-Moreno, J. M. (2008). Concentrations of VOCs and Ozone in Indoor Environments: A Case Study in Two Mediterranean Cities during Winter Period. Fresenius Environmental Bulletin, 17(9B), 1480-1484.
- Geiss, O., Giannopoulos, G., Tirendi, S., Barrero-Moreno, J., Larsen, B. R., & Kotzias, D. (2011). The AIRMEX Study-VOC Measurements in Public Buildings and Schools/Kindergartens in Eleven European Cities: Statistical Analysis of the Data. Atmospheric Environment, 45(22), 3676-3684.
- Villanueva, F., Tapia, A., Lara, S., & Amo-Salas, M. (2018). Indoor and Outdoor Air Concentrations of Volatile Organic Compounds and NO2 in Schools of Urban, Industrial and Rural Areas in Central-Southern Spain. Science of the Total Environment, 622, 222-235.
- 14. Pekey, H., & Arslanbaş, D. (2008). The Relationship between Indoor, Outdoor and Personal VOC

Concentrations in Homes, Offices and Schools in the Metropolitan Region of Kocaeli, Turkey. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 191(1-4).

- Zhang, H., Yili, Z., Hua, Q., Zheng, X., & Sundell, J.(2013). Associations between Children's Rhinitis and Indoor Air Pollutants in Kindergartens in Nanjing.
- Zuraimi, M. S., & Tham, K. W. (2008). Effects of Child Care Center Ventilation Strategies on Volatile Organic Compounds of Indoor and Outdoor Origins. Environmental Science & Technology, 42(6), 2054-2059.
- Kalimeri, K. K., Saraga, D. E., Lazaridis, V. D., Legkas, N. A., Missia, D. A., Tolis, E. I., & Bartzis, J.G. (2016). Indoor Air Quality Investigation of the School Environment and Estimated Health Risks: Two-Season Measurements in Primary Schools in Kozani, Greece. Atmospheric Pollution Research, 7(6), 1128-1142.
- Pegas, P., Nunes, T., Alves, C., Silva, J., Vieira, S., Caseiro, A., & Pio, C. (2012). Indoor and Outdoor Characterization of Organic and Inorganic Compounds in City Centre and Suburban Elementary Schools of Aveiro, Portugal. Atmospheric Environment, 55, 80-89.
- Sofuoglu, S. C., Aslan, G., Inal, F., & Sofuoglu, A. (2011). An Assessment of Indoor Air Concentrations and Health Risks of Volatile Organic Compounds in Three Primary Schools. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health, 214(1), 36-46.
- 20. Godwin, C., & Batterman, S. (2007). Indoor Air Quality in Michigan Schools. Indoor Air, 17(2), 109-121.

- Adgate, J. L., Church, T. R., Ryan, A. D., Ramachandran, G., Fredrickson, A. L., Stock, T. H., Morandi, M.T. & Sexton, K. (2004). Outdoor, Indoor, and Personal Exposure to VOCs in Children. Environmental Health Perspectives, 112(14).
- 22. Guo, H., Lee, S., Li, W., & Cao, J. (2003). Source Characterization of BTEX in Indoor Microenvironments in Hong Kong. Atmospheric Environment, 37(1), 73-82.
- 23. Yoon, C., Lee, K., & Park, D. (2011). Indoor Air Quality Differences between Urban and Rural Preschools in Korea. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 18(3), 333-345.
- Hwang, S. H., Seo, S., Yoo, Y., Kim, K. Y., Choung, J. T., & Park, W. M. (2017). Indoor Air Quality of Daycare Centers in Seoul, Korea. Building and Environment, 124, 186-193.
- 25. Noguchi, M., Mizukoshi, A., Yanagisawa, Y., & Yamasaki, A. (2016). Measurements of Volatile Organic Compounds in a Newly Built Daycare Center. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 13(7), 736.
- Roda, C., Barral, S., Ravelomanantsoa, H., Dusséaux, M., Tribout, M., Le Moullec, Y., & Momas, I. (2011). Assessment of Indoor Environment in Paris Child Day Care Centers. Environmental Research, 111(8), 1010-1017.
- Mainka, A., Brągoszewska, E., Kozielska, B., Pastuszka, J. S., & Zajusz-Zubek, E. (2015). Indoor Air Quality in Urban Nursery Schools in Gliwice, Poland: Analysis of the Case Study. Atmospheric Pollution Research, 6(6), 1098-1104.