

Professor Andrzej Lasota in Memoriam

Antoni Leon Dawidowicz, Anna Poskrobko

**ON PERIODIC AND STABLE SOLUTIONS  
OF THE LASOTA EQUATION  
IN DIFFERENT PHASE SPACES**

**Abstract.** We study properties of the Lasota partial differential equation in two different spaces:  $V_\alpha$  (Hölder continuous functions) and  $L^p$ . The aim of this paper is to generalize the results of [1].

**Keywords:** partial differential equations, periodic solutions, stable solutions.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35B10, 35B35, 37C75, 47D06.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \lambda(x)u, \quad t \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial condition

$$u(0, x) = v(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $v$  belongs to some normed vector space  $V$  of functions defined on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given continuous function. Let a semidynamical system

$$T_t : V \rightarrow V$$

be given by the formula

$$(T_t v)(x) = u(t, x),$$

where  $u$  is the solution of (1.1), (1.2). It is clear that this unique solution is given by the formula

$$(T_t v)(x) = u(t, x) = e^{g(x)} e^{-g(xe^{-t})} v(xe^{-t}), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$g(x) = - \int_x^1 \frac{\lambda(s)}{s} ds$$

with the condition

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\lambda(s)}{s} ds = \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

We wish to investigate some properties of system (1.3): periodic solutions, strong and exponential stability.

**Definition 1.1.** A function  $v_0 \in V$  is a periodic point of the semigroup  $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , with a period  $t_0 \geq 0$  iff  $T_{t_0} v_0 = v_0$ . A number  $t_0 > 0$ , is called a principal period of a periodic point  $v_0$  iff the set of all periods of  $v_0$  is equal to  $\mathbb{N}t_0$ .

**Definition 1.2.** The semigroup  $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is strongly stable in  $V$  iff for every  $v \in V$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T_t v = 0 \quad \text{in } V.$$

**Definition 1.3.** The semigroup  $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is exponentially stable iff there exist  $D < \infty$  and  $\omega > 0$  such that

$$\|T_t\| \leq D e^{-\omega t}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

The problem of the chaotic behaviour of a partial differential equation was considered by Lasota [5], Rudnicki [8], Łoskot [7] and Szarek [6]. In the papers [1–4] there were described properties of the partial differential equation, analogical to (1.1), but with a constant function  $\lambda$ :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + x \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \gamma u, \quad t \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1 \quad (1.5)$$

and with the initial condition

$$u(0, x) = v(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1. \quad (1.6)$$

This work has been intended as an attempt at generalizing the results of [1]. In [1] there was described the chaotic and stability behaviour of the suitable semidynamical system

$$(\tilde{T}_t v)(x) = \tilde{u}(t, x) = e^{\gamma t} v(xe^{-t}), \quad x \in [0, 1] \quad (1.7)$$

in different phase spaces  $V$ . All properties depended on the value  $\gamma$ . We are interested in finding a connection between this two equations. It is easy to check that if  $u$  and  $\tilde{u}$  are the solutions of equation (1.1) and (1.5), respectively, then

$$\tilde{u}(t, x) = \kappa(x) u(t, x), \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\kappa(x) = e^{\int_0^x \frac{\lambda(0) - \lambda(s)}{s} ds} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \lambda(0). \quad (1.9)$$

Hence the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V & \xrightarrow{T_t} & V \\
 m_\kappa \downarrow & & \downarrow m_\kappa \\
 V & \xrightarrow{\tilde{T}_t} & V
 \end{array}$$

This substitution will be a useful tool. It will be used in the proofs of theorems on chaos and stability of system (1.3) in the spaces  $V_\alpha$  and  $L^p$ .

2. PROPERTIES OF THE DYNAMICAL SYSTEM  $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$  IN THE SPACE  $V_\alpha$

Let  $v$  be a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$  such that  $v(0) = 0$ . For every interval  $A \subset [0, 1]$  and for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , define

$$H_{A,\alpha}(v) = \sup_{x,y \in A, x \neq y} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}.$$

A function  $v$  for which  $H_{A,\alpha}(v) < \infty$  is called a Hölder continuous on the interval  $A$  with exponent  $\alpha$ . Write

$$H_\alpha = H_{[0,1],\alpha}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Denote by  $V_\alpha$  the space of all Hölder continuous functions  $v$  on  $[0, 1]$  with exponent  $\alpha$ , vanishing at zero and satisfying the following condition

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} H_{[0,x],\alpha}(v) = 0.$$

Certain properties of system (1.7) in the space  $V_\alpha$  have been established. For  $\gamma > \alpha$ , there exist periodic solutions of problem (1.5) and the set of all periodic points is dense in  $V_\alpha$ . Strong and exponential stability take place, provided that  $\gamma \leq \alpha$  and  $\gamma < \alpha$ , respectively (see [1] for more details).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let

$$|\lambda(0) - \lambda(x)| \leq Cx, \quad C > 0, \quad x \in [0, 1] \tag{2.1}$$

hold. Then the function  $u \in V_\alpha$  if and only if  $\tilde{u} \in V_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* The assumption  $u \in V_\alpha$  means that  $u$  is a Hölder continuous function with exponent  $\alpha$ , vanishing at zero and  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} H_{[0,a],\alpha}(u) = 0$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{[0,a],\alpha}(\tilde{u}) &= \sup_{x,y \in [0,a], x \neq y} \frac{|\tilde{u}(t,x) - \tilde{u}(t,y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} = \\
 &= \sup_{x,y \in [0,a], x \neq y} \frac{|\kappa(x)u(t,x) - \kappa(y)u(t,y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq \\
 &\leq \sup_{x \in [0,a]} |\kappa(x)| \cdot \sup_{x,y \in [0,a], x \neq y} \frac{|u(t,x) - u(t,y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} + \\
 &+ \sup_{y \in [0,a]} |u(t,y)| \cdot \sup_{x,y \in [0,a], x \neq y} \frac{|\kappa(x) - \kappa(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.9) and (2.1), we obtain

$$|\kappa(x)| \leq e^{\int_0^x \frac{|\lambda(0)-\lambda(s)|}{s} ds} \leq e^{\int_0^x C ds} = e^{Cx}.$$

It remains to show that the function  $\kappa$  is Hölder continuous. If  $\kappa^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  appears the Lipschitz function, it will mean that  $\kappa$  is Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$ . For  $x \in [0, a]$

$$\begin{aligned} |(\kappa^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(x))'| &= \left| e^{\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^x \frac{\lambda(0)-\lambda(s)}{s} ds} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\lambda(0) - \lambda(x)}{x} \right| \leq \left| e^{\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^x C ds} \cdot \frac{C}{\alpha} \right| = \\ &= \left| e^{\frac{Cx}{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{C}{\alpha} \right| \leq e^{\frac{Ca}{\alpha}} \cdot \frac{C}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing,

$$H_{[0,a],\alpha}(\tilde{u}) \leq e^{Ca} \left( H_{[0,a],\alpha}(u) + \sup_{y \in [0,a]} |u(t, y)| \cdot \left( \frac{C}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \right).$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} H_{[0,a],\alpha}(\tilde{u}) = 0$  and finally  $\tilde{u} \in V_\alpha$ . The rest of the proof runs as before. We can draw the same conclusion for the function  $u$ , assuming that  $\tilde{u} \in V_\alpha$ .  $\square$

Assumption (2.1) will be needed throughout this section and the above theorem will be crucial for next results.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $\lambda(0) > \alpha \in (0, 1]$ , then for any  $t_0$  there exists such  $v_0 \in V_\alpha$  that*

$$T_{t_0} v_0 = v_0 \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$T_t v_0 = v_0 \text{ if and only if } t = nt_0 \text{ for some positive integer } n. \tag{2.3}$$

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be an arbitrary Hölder continuous function with the exponent  $\alpha$  defined on the interval  $[e^{-t_0}, 1]$  and satisfying the following conditions:

$$e^{-g(e^{-t_0})} w(e^{-t_0}) = w(1), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\forall t \in (0, t_0) \quad e^{-g(e^{-t})} w(e^{-t}) \neq w(1). \tag{2.5}$$

Consider the following function  $v$  on the interval  $(0, 1]$ :

$$v(x) = e^{g(x)} e^{-g(xe^{nt_0})} w(xe^{nt_0}) \quad \text{for } x \in [e^{-(n+1)t_0}, e^{-nt_0}].$$

The function  $v$  is defined on the whole interval  $(0, 1] = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (e^{-(n+1)t_0}, e^{-nt_0}]$  and come into being by squeezing the graph of the function  $w$  into each interval  $(e^{-(n+1)t_0}, e^{-nt_0}]$ .

By the assumption of the continuity of  $w$  on  $[e^{-t_0}, 1]$ , its boundedness follows, i.e.,

there is  $M > 0$  such that  $|w(x)| \leq M$  for each  $x \in [e^{-t_0}, 1]$ . By the above, for  $x \in [e^{-(n+1)t_0}, e^{-nt_0}]$ , the following estimate holds:

$$|v(x)| = e^{g(x)} e^{-g(xe^{nt_0})} |w(xe^{nt_0})| \leq M e^{g(x)} \cdot \sup_{x \in [e^{-t_0}, 1]} e^{-g(x)} \leq M_1 e^{g(x)},$$

where  $M_1 = M \cdot \sup_{x \in [e^{-t_0}, 1]} e^{-g(x)}$ . From assumption (1.4),  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} e^{g(x)} = 0$ , so we deduce that  $v(0) = 0$ . We obtain the continuous function  $v$  defined on the whole interval  $[0, 1]$ . Property (2.2) follows from (2.4), while property (2.3) from (2.5).

The assumption  $\lambda(0) > \alpha$  yields  $\tilde{v} \in V_\alpha$ , see [1] for details. Under Theorem 2.2, we see at once that  $v \in V_\alpha$ . □

**Theorem 2.4.** *For  $\lambda(0) > \alpha$ , the set of periodic points of (1.1) is dense in  $V_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be an arbitrary function belonging to  $V_\alpha$ . Define

$$w(x) = e^{g(x)} \left( e^{-g(xe^{nt_0})} v(xe^{nt_0}) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} m e^{-g(xe^{kt_0})} \right), \tag{2.6}$$

where  $m = e^{-g(e^{-t_0})} v(e^{-t_0}) - v(1)$  and  $x \in [e^{-(n+1)t_0}, e^{-nt_0}]$ . To show the correctness of this definition, it is sufficient to make the following observation

$$\begin{aligned} e^{g(e^{-(n+1)t_0})} & \left( e^{-g(e^{-(n+1)t_0} e^{nt_0})} v(e^{-(n+1)t_0} e^{nt_0}) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} m e^{-g(e^{-(n+1)t_0} e^{kt_0})} \right) = \\ & = e^{g(e^{-(n+1)t_0})} \left( v(1) + m - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} m e^{-g(e^{-(n+1)t_0} e^{kt_0})} \right) = \\ & = e^{g(e^{-(n+1)t_0})} \left( e^{-g(e^{-(n+1)t_0} e^{(n+1)t_0})} v(e^{-(n+1)t_0} e^{(n+1)t_0}) - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} m e^{-g(e^{-(n+1)t_0} e^{kt_0})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The function  $w$  is continuous and vanishes at 0, which is a consequence of (2.6). Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $v \in V_\alpha$  and  $w \in V_\alpha$ , there exists such  $t_0$  that  $H_{[0, e^{-t_0}], \alpha}(v) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$  and  $H_{[0, e^{-t_0}], \alpha}(w) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ . From (1.8) we know that  $w(x) = \frac{\tilde{w}(x)}{\kappa(x)}$ , where  $\tilde{w}$  is the periodic solution of (1.5). For  $\lambda(0) > \alpha$  the set of periodic points of (1.5) is dense in  $V_\alpha$  (see [1]), so  $H_\alpha(v - \tilde{w}) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$  and  $H_\alpha(w - \tilde{w}) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(v - w) & \leq H_{[e^{-t_0}, 1], \alpha}(v - w) + H_{[0, e^{-t_0}], \alpha}(v - w) \leq \\ & \leq H_\alpha(v - \tilde{w}) + H_\alpha(\tilde{w} - w) + H_{[0, e^{-t_0}], \alpha}(v) + H_{[0, e^{-t_0}], \alpha}(w) < \\ & < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

**Theorem 2.5.** *If  $\lambda(0) \leq \alpha$  and  $v \in V_\alpha$ , then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_\alpha(T_t v) = 0.$$

*Moreover, if  $\lambda(0) < \alpha$ , then the semigroup  $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is exponentially stable.*

*Proof.* Take any  $v \in V_\alpha$ . Using Theorem 2.2 and proceeding analogously as in its proof, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} H_\alpha(T_t v) &= \sup_{x,y \in [0,1], x \neq y} \frac{|u(t,x) - u(t,y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} = \sup_{x,y \in [0,1], x \neq y} \frac{\left| \frac{\tilde{u}(t,x)}{\kappa(x)} - \frac{\tilde{u}(t,y)}{\kappa(y)} \right|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} \left| \frac{1}{\kappa(x)} \right| \cdot \sup_{x,y \in [0,1], x \neq y} \frac{|\tilde{u}(t,x) - \tilde{u}(t,y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} + \\ &\quad + \sup_{y \in [0,1]} |\tilde{u}(t,y)| \cdot \sup_{x,y \in [0,1], x \neq y} \frac{\left| \frac{1}{\kappa(x)} - \frac{1}{\kappa(y)} \right|}{|x - y|^\alpha} \leq \\ &\leq e^C \cdot \left( H_\alpha(T_t \tilde{v}) + \sup_{y \in [0,1]} |\tilde{u}(t,y)| \cdot \left( \frac{C}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \right). \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $T_t \tilde{v} \rightarrow 0$  in  $V_\alpha$  for every  $\tilde{v} \in V_\alpha$ . The claim  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} H_\alpha(T_t \tilde{v}) = 0$  is based on the results of paper [1]. From the same source, we have derived the estimate  $\|T_t \tilde{v}\| \leq e^{(\gamma - \alpha)t} \|\tilde{v}\|$ .

$$|\tilde{u}(t,y)| = |(T_t \tilde{v})(y)| = |(T_t \tilde{v})(y) - (T_t \tilde{v})(0)| \leq H_\alpha(T_t \tilde{v}) y^\alpha \leq H_\alpha(T_t \tilde{v}),$$

hence, since  $\lambda(0) < \alpha$ , there follows the exponential stability of the semigroup  $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with  $D = e^C \left( 1 + \left( \frac{C}{\alpha} \right)^\alpha \right)$  and  $\omega = \alpha - \lambda(0)$ . □

### 3. CHAOS AND STABILITY OF THE SYSTEM $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ IN THE SPACE $L^p$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that*

$$\exists C, q > 0 \quad \forall x \in [0, 1] \quad |\lambda(0) - \lambda(x)| \leq Cx^q. \tag{3.1}$$

*The function  $u$  belongs to the space  $L^p$  if and only if  $\tilde{u} \in L^p$ .*

*Proof.* Using (3.1), substitution (1.8) discussed in Section 1 and assuming that  $u \in L^p$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}(t,x)\|^p &= \int_0^1 |\kappa(x)u(t,x)|^p dx \leq \int_0^1 e^{p \int_0^x \frac{|\lambda(0) - \lambda(s)|}{s} ds} |u(t,x)|^p dx \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^1 e^{\frac{Cp}{q} x^q} |u(t,x)|^p dx \leq e^{\frac{Cp}{q}} \int_0^1 |u(t,x)|^p dx = \\ &= e^{\frac{Cp}{q}} \|u(t,x)\|^p < \infty \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, we can establish the inverse implication. □

The above theorem will be significant in obtaining the next one. It enables the results of [1] to be used and generalized. From now on, we assume (3.1).

**Theorem 3.2.** *For  $\lambda(0) > -\frac{1}{p}$  there exists a periodic solution of (1.1).*

*Proof.* For any  $t_0$ , define the following function  $v$  :

$$v(x) = e^{g(x)} e^{-g(xe^{nt_0})} w(xe^{nt_0}), \quad x \in [e^{-(n+1)t_0}, e^{-nt_0}], \tag{3.2}$$

$$v(0) = 0,$$

where  $w$  is an arbitrary function from the space  $L^p$ . We have shown that such function  $v$  is a periodic solution, so it is sufficient to prove that  $v \in L^p$ . The function  $v$  is the solution of equation (1.1), so we can express it using the function  $\tilde{v}$ , the solution of (1.5),  $v(x) = \frac{\tilde{v}(x)}{\kappa(x)}$ . Brzeźniak and one of the authors [1] showed that  $\tilde{v}$  is a periodic solution and belongs to  $L^p$  when  $\gamma > -\frac{1}{p}$ . Our assumption and Theorem 3.1 guarantee the same conclusion for the function  $v$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $\lambda(0) > -\frac{1}{p}$ , then the set of periodic points is dense in  $L^p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $w \in L^p$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Fix  $t_0$  such that

$$\left[ \int_0^{e^{-t_0}} |w(x)|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and

$$e^{\frac{C}{q}} \|\tilde{v}\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad C > 0$$

where  $\tilde{v}$  is a periodic solution of (1.5).

The function  $v$  is defined by formula (3.2). The function  $v$  belongs to the set of periodic points due to Theorem 3.2. It is sufficient to estimate  $\|v - w\|$ . Since  $v(x) = w(x)$  for  $x \in [e^{-t_0}, 1]$ , it is obvious that  $\|v - w\| = \|(v - w)1_{[0, e^{-t_0}]}\|$ , where  $1_{[0, e^{-t_0}]}$  denotes the indicator of the set  $[0, e^{-t_0}]$ . Applying the estimate from Theorem 3.1 and substitution (1.8), we can assert that

$$\|v - w\| \leq \|v1_{[0, e^{-t_0}]}\| + \|w1_{[0, e^{-t_0}]}\| < \epsilon.$$

$\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $\lambda(0) \leq -\frac{1}{p}$ , and  $v \in L^p$  then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|T_t v\| = 0.$$

*Moreover, for  $\lambda(0) < -\frac{1}{p}$  the semigroup  $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is exponentially stable on  $L^p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v \in L^p$  be an arbitrary function.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t v\|^p &= \int_0^1 |u(t, x)|^p dx = \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\tilde{u}(t, x)}{\kappa(x)} \right|^p dx = \\ &= \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{\kappa(x)} (T_t \tilde{v})(x) \right|^p dx \leq e^{\frac{Cp}{q}} \|T_t \tilde{v}\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C > 0$ . We know that  $\|T_t \tilde{v}\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (see [1]), which proves the first part of the Theorem. From [1] we know that  $\|T_t \tilde{v}\|^p \leq e^{(\gamma p + 1)t} \|\tilde{v}\|^p$ . It gives the exponential stability of the semigroup  $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with  $D = e^{\frac{C}{q}}$  and  $\omega = -\frac{1}{p}(\lambda(0)p + 1)$ .  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The second author acknowledges the support from Białystok Technical University (Grant No. S/WI/1/08).

### REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Brzeźniak, A.L. Dawidowicz, *On the periodic solution to the von Foerster-Lasota equation*, to appear in Semigroup Forum.
- [2] A.L. Dawidowicz, *On the existence of an invariant measure for a quasi-linear partial differential equation*, Zeszyty Naukowe UJ, Prace Matematyczne 23 (1982), 117–123.
- [3] A.L. Dawidowicz, *On the existence of an invariant measure for the dynamical system generated by partial differential equation*, Ann. Polon. Math. XLI (1983), 129–137.
- [4] A.L. Dawidowicz, N. Haribash, *On the periodic solutions of von Foerster type equation*, Universitatis Iagellonicae Acta Mathematica (1999) 37, 321–324.
- [5] A. Lasota, G. Pianigiani, *Invariant measures on topological spaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (5) 15-B (1977), 592–603.
- [6] A. Lasota, T. Szarek, *Dimension of measures invariant with respect to Ważewska partial differential equation*, J. Differential Equations **196** (2004) 2, 448–465.
- [7] K. Łoskot, *Turbulent solutions of first order partial differential equation*, J. Differential Equations **58** (1985) 1, 1–14.
- [8] R. Rudnicki, *Invariant measures for the flow of a first order partial differential equation*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **5** (1985) 3, 437–443.

Antoni Leon Dawidowicz  
Antoni.Leon.Dawidowicz@im.uj.edu.pl

Jagiellonian University  
Institute of Mathematics  
ul. Prof. Łojasiewicza, 30-348 Kraków, Poland



Anna Poskrobko  
aposkrobko@wi.pb.edu.pl

Białystok Technical University  
Institute of Mathematics and Physics  
ul. Wiejska 45A, 15-351 Białystok, Poland

*Received: March 3, 2008.*

*Accepted: October 10, 2008.*