



*J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* 77 (8) 1083–1089 (2012)  
JSCS-4335

# Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society

JSCS-info@shd.org.rs • www.shd.org.rs/JSCS

UDC 66.021.2.063.8:532.13:547.1

*Short Communication*

## SHORT COMMUNICATION

### Correlation of the liquid mixture viscosities

ANDELA B. KNEŽEVIĆ-STEVANOVIĆ<sup>1</sup>, GORAN M. BABIĆ<sup>2</sup>, MIRJANA LJ. KIJEVČANIN<sup>2#</sup>, SLOBODAN P. ŠERBANOVIĆ<sup>2#</sup> and DUŠAN K. GROZDANIĆ<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Metro Vancouver, 4330 Kingsway, Burnaby, British Columbia, V5H 4G8, Canada and

<sup>2</sup>Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Karnegijeva 4, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

(Received 27 January, revised 18 April 2012)

**Abstract:** In this paper, forty-two selected correlation models for liquid mixture viscosities of organic compounds were tested on 219 binary and 41 ternary sets of experimental data taken from the literature. The binary sets contained 3675 experimental data points for 70 different compounds. The ternary sets contained 2879 experimental data points for 29 different compounds. The Heric I, Heric–Brewer II, and Krishnan–Laddha models demonstrated the best correlative characteristics for the binary mixtures (overall absolute average deviation < 2 %). The Heric I, Heric–Brewer II, Krishnan–Laddha and Heric II models demonstrated the best correlative characteristics for the ternary mixtures (overall absolute average deviation < 3 %).

**Keywords:** binary mixture; ternary mixture; liquid mixture viscosity; correlation model.

## INTRODUCTION

The study of thermodynamic properties of liquid mixtures contributes to an understanding of the behavior of various liquids and functional groups. This information is very useful in the design of industrial processes and in the development of the liquid state theories and predictive methods. Knowledge of the liquid mixture viscosities are required for the solution of many engineering problems, including heat and mass transfer, and fluid flow.

A number of correlation models have been developed for liquid mixture viscosities.<sup>1–18</sup> These equations are empirical or at the best semi-theoretical. The aim of this work is to compare the correlation capabilities of these models on the experimental viscosities of binary and ternary liquid mixtures of alkanes, haloalkanes, alcohols, aromates, amines, ketones, etc.

\* Corresponding author. E-mail: dule@tmf.bg.ac.rs

# Serbian Chemical Society member.

doi: 10.2298/JSC120127038K

### *Selection of models*

There are numerous correlation models for liquid mixture viscosities. From these, the models Dolezalek–Schulze,<sup>1</sup> Grunberg–Nissan,<sup>2</sup> Tamura–Kurata,<sup>3</sup> McAllister 3,<sup>4</sup> McAllister 4,<sup>4</sup> McAllister–Chandramouli–Laddha,<sup>5</sup> Katti–Chaudhri,<sup>6</sup> Ausländer,<sup>7</sup> Modified Wilson,<sup>8</sup> Heric I,<sup>9</sup> Heric II,<sup>9</sup> Heric–Brewer I,<sup>10</sup> Heric–Brewer II,<sup>10</sup> Krishnan–Laddha,<sup>11</sup> Stephan–Heckenberger,<sup>12</sup> McAllister–Soliman–Marschall (McASM),<sup>13</sup> Mehrotra,<sup>14</sup> Baylaucq–Daugé–Boned,<sup>15</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski I,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski II,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski III,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski IV,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski V,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski VI,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski VII,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski VIII,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski IX,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski X,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski XI,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski XII,<sup>16</sup> Dimitrov–Kamenski XIII,<sup>16</sup> modified Dimitrov–Kamenski,<sup>16</sup> Focke–Du Plessis I,<sup>17</sup> Focke–Du Plessis II,<sup>17</sup> Focke–Du Plessis III,<sup>17</sup> Focke–Du Plessis IV,<sup>17</sup> Focke–Du Plessis V,<sup>17</sup> Focke–Du Plessis VI,<sup>17</sup> Focke–Du Plessis VII,<sup>17</sup> Focke–Du Plessis VIII,<sup>17</sup> Focke–Du Plessis IX,<sup>17</sup> and Focke–Sandrock–Kok,<sup>18</sup> in chronological order, were selected for the present study. The equations related to the selected models are given in the Supplementary material to this paper. The number of parameters in these models varies from one to nine.

McAllister 3, McAllister 4, Stephan–Heckenberger, Dimitrov–Kamenski I–XIII and Focke–Du Plessis I–IX are models for binary mixtures, whereas McAllister–Chandramouli–Laddha, Heric II and Modified Dimitrov–Kamenski are models for ternary mixtures. All models except the Ausländer, Modified Wilson, Stephan–Heckenberger and Focke–Sandrock–Kok are linear or can be linearized per parameters. A preliminary investigation indicated that these non-linear equations do not result in correlations significantly better than those obtained with linear equations with the same number of parameters. The linear models were investigated in this work as correlations with the nonlinear models resulted in problems of convergence and global optima. These models are based on the model of ideal mixture with additional correction terms and therefore their parameters have not physical meaning.

### SELECTION OF EXPERIMENTAL DATA POINTS

The performance of selected linear models was tested on 219 binary and 41 ternary sets of literature experimental data. The binary sets contained 3675 experimental data points for 70 different compounds. The ternary sets contained 2879 experimental data points for 29 different compounds. The selected binary and ternary liquid mixtures are presented in Tables SI and SII in the Supplementary material to this paper.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results for the selected linear models are presented in Table I, where  $N_{\text{all}}$  is a total number of data points in the correlation. Only sets of experimental data with  $n \geq N_m + 2$  were used in the correlation, where  $N_m$  is the number of model



parameters. Sets with experimental data for different temperatures and pressures were correlated with only one set of parameters. The deviation from the experimental values is expressed as the absolute average deviation  $p_{av}$  for each data set point:

$$p_{av} = (100 / n) \sum_{i=1}^n |(\eta_{l,m,i,\text{exp}} - \eta_{l,m,i,\text{cal}}) / \eta_{l,m,i,\text{exp}}| \quad (1)$$

where  $\eta_{l,m}$  is the viscosity of a liquid mixture, and  $n$  is the number of experimental data points per set. The overall absolute average deviation  $P_{av}$  for each model is expressed as:

$$P_{av} = \sum_{i=1}^N n_i p_{av,i} / \sum_{i=1}^N n_i \quad (2)$$

where  $N$  is the number of data sets.

TABLE I. Results for the selected linear models

| No. | Correlation model              | Binary mixtures |                  | Ternary mixtures |                  |
|-----|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|     |                                | $P_{av} / \%$   | $N_{\text{all}}$ | $P_{av} / \%$    | $N_{\text{all}}$ |
| 1   | Dolezalek–Schulze              | 6.83            | 3675             | 8.32             | 2879             |
| 2   | Grunberg–Nissan                | 3.37            | 3675             | 4.24             | 2879             |
| 3   | Tamura–Kurata                  | 5.14            | 3360             | 8.75             | 2879             |
| 4   | McAllister 3                   | 5.29            | 3264             | —                | —                |
| 5   | McAllister 4                   | 6.07            | 3249             | —                | —                |
| 6   | McAllister–Chandramouli–Laddha | —               | —                | 7.84             | 2861             |
| 7   | Katti–Chaudhri                 | 2.45            | 3264             | 4.18             | 2879             |
| 8   | Heric I                        | 1.73            | 3264             | 2.91             | 2879             |
| 9   | Heric II                       | —               | —                | 2.62             | 2861             |
| 10  | Heric–Brewer I                 | 8.21            | 3660             | 9.30             | 2861             |
| 11  | Heric–Brewer II                | 1.23            | 3249             | 2.46             | 2861             |
| 12  | Krishnan–Laddha                | 1.76            | 3264             | 2.92             | 2879             |
| 13  | McAllister–Soliman–Marschall   | 5.31            | 3264             | 7.69             | 2861             |
| 14  | Mehrotra                       | 2.98            | 3675             | 5.10             | 2879             |
| 15  | Baylaucq–Daugé–Boned           | 4.30            | 486              | 12.00            | 810              |
| 16  | Dimitrov–Kamenski I            | 20.72           | 3675             | —                | —                |
| 17  | Dimitrov–Kamenski II           | 10.48           | 2212             | —                | —                |
| 18  | Dimitrov–Kamenski III          | 16.03           | 3576             | —                | —                |
| 19  | Dimitrov–Kamenski IV           | 13.08           | 3675             | —                | —                |
| 20  | Dimitrov–Kamenski V            | 10.32           | 2212             | —                | —                |
| 21  | Dimitrov–Kamenski VI           | 20.10           | 3675             | —                | —                |
| 22  | Dimitrov–Kamenski VII          | 13.72           | 2212             | —                | —                |
| 23  | Dimitrov–Kamenski VIII         | 3.77            | 3660             | —                | —                |
| 24  | Dimitrov–Kamenski IX           | 9.42            | 2212             | —                | —                |
| 25  | Dimitrov–Kamenski X            | 44.67           | 3675             | —                | —                |
| 26  | Dimitrov–Kamenski XI           | 3.63            | 2212             | —                | —                |
| 27  | Dimitrov–Kamenski XII          | 32.96           | 3660             | —                | —                |



TABLE I. Continued

| No. | Correlation model          | Binary mixtures |           | Ternary mixtures |           |
|-----|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
|     |                            | $P_{av}$ / %    | $N_{all}$ | $P_{av}$ / %     | $N_{all}$ |
| 28  | Dimitrov–Kamenski XIII     | 2.10            | 2212      | —                | —         |
| 29  | Modified Dimitrov–Kamenski | —               | —         | 11.17            | 2879      |
| 30  | Focke–Du Plessis I         | 15.89           | 3576      | —                | —         |
| 31  | Focke–Du Plessis II        | 9.86            | 3401      | —                | —         |
| 32  | Focke–Du Plessis III       | 16.96           | 3375      | —                | —         |
| 33  | Focke–Du Plessis IV        | 20.61           | 3576      | —                | —         |
| 34  | Focke–Du Plessis V         | 15.64           | 3401      | —                | —         |
| 35  | Focke–Du Plessis VI        | 11.85           | 3393      | —                | —         |
| 36  | Focke–Du Plessis VII       | 25.15           | 3401      | —                | —         |
| 37  | Focke–Du Plessis VIII      | 29.67           | 3393      | —                | —         |
| 38  | Focke–Du Plessis IX        | 47.03           | 3357      | —                | —         |

The results presented in Table I indicate that the Heric I, Heric–Brewer II, and Krishnan–Laddha models have the best correlation ability for the binary mixtures (with overall absolute average deviations of 1.73, 1.23 and 1.76 %, respectively), while the Heric I, Heric II, Heric–Brewer II and Krishnan–Laddha models demonstrated the best correlation ability for the ternary mixtures (with overall absolute average deviations of 2.91, 2.62, 2.46 and 2.92 %, respectively). These models also have the best correlation results for different group of mixtures, such as *n*-alkane+*n*-alkane (34 data sets), *n*-alkylalcohol+*n*-alkylalcohol (31 data sets), etc. For many experimental data sets, the models with rational form gave average percent errors of about 1 %. However, in some instances, the denominator had a very small value that resulted in very large percent and average percent errors, making the models with rational form unreliable. The large differences in viscosities of the pure substances (2,6,10,15,19,23-hexamethyltetracosane + butane, 2,6,10,15,19,23-hexamethyltetracosane + hexane) resulted in poor correlation results for all models. The experimental data in all sets have monotonically descent or monotonically ascent trend between the viscosities of pure components at constant temperature and constant pressure and therefore models with smaller number of parameters gave better results.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Selected correlation models were tested on 219 binary and 41 ternary mixtures with 3675 and 2879 experimental data points, respectively. The Heric I, Heric–Brewer II, and Krishnan–Laddha models have the best correlative characteristics (overall absolute average deviations < 2 %) for binary, while the Heric I, Heric–Brewer II, Krishnan–Laddha, and Heric II models (overall absolute average deviations < 3 %) for ternary mixtures.



## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The equations of the selected correlation models as well as the data of selected binary and ternary liquid mixtures [1–49] are available electronically at <http://www.shd.org.rs/JSCS/>, or from the corresponding author on request.

*Acknowledgements.* This work was supported by a grant from the Research Fund of the Republic of Serbia (Project No. 172063) and the Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade.

## NOMENCLATURE

|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| $m$              | Number of mixture components                    |
| $n$              | Number of experimental data points per set      |
| $N$              | Number of data sets                             |
| $N_{\text{all}}$ | Total number of data points in a correlation    |
| $N_m$            | Number of model parameters                      |
| $M$              | Molar mass, g mol <sup>-1</sup>                 |
| $T_m$            | Temperature of mixture, K                       |
| $p_m$            | Pressure of mixture, bar                        |
| $x$              | Mole fraction                                   |
| $\phi$           | Volume fraction                                 |
| $\eta$           | Viscosity, mPa s                                |
| $\rho$           | Density, g cm <sup>-3</sup>                     |
| $v$              | Molar volume, cm <sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> |
| $P_{\text{av}}$  | Absolute average deviation, %                   |
| $P_{\text{av}}$  | Overall absolute average deviation, %.          |

## ИЗВОД

## КОРЕЛИСАЊЕ ВИСКОЗИТЕТА ТЕЧНИХ СМЕША

АНЂЕЛА Б. КНЕЖЕВИЋ-СТЕВАНОВИЋ<sup>1</sup>, ГОРАН М. БАБИЋ<sup>2</sup>, МИРЈАНА Љ. КИЈЕВЧАНИН<sup>2</sup>,  
СЛОБОДАН П. ШЕРБАНОВИЋ<sup>2</sup> и ДУШАН К. ГРОЗДАНИЋ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Metro Vancouver, 4330 Kingsway, Burnaby, British Columbia, V5H 4G8, Canada* и

<sup>2</sup>*Технолошко-мештапуришки факултет, Универзитет у Београду, Карнеијева 4, 11000 Београд*

У овом раду тестирана су 42 одабрана модела за корелисање вискоситета течних смеша на литературним експерименталним подацима за 219 бинарних и 41 тернерну смешу. Бинарни сетови имају 3675 експерименталних података за 70 различитих супстанци, а тернерни 2879 експерименталних података за 29 различитих супстанци. Heric I, Heric-Brewer II и Krishnan-Laddha модели показали су најбоље корелационе особине са укупном средњом процентуалном грешком мањом од 2 % за бинарне смеше. Heric I, Heric-Brewer II, Krishnan-Laddha и Heric II модели показали су најбоље корелационе особине са укупном средњом процентуалном грешком мањом од 3 % за тернерне смеше.

(Примљен 27. јануара, ревидиран 18. априла 2012)

## REFERENCES\*

1. F. Dolezalek, A. Schulze, *Z. Phys. Chem.* **83** (1913) 45
2. L. Grunberg, A. H. Nissan, *Nature* **164** (1949) 799

\*The references 18–49 relate to the data presented in the Supplementary material.



3. M. Tamura, M. Kurata, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **25** (1952) 32
4. R. A. McAllister, *AIChE J.* **6** (1960) 427
5. V. V. Chandramouli, G. S. Laddha, *Indian J. Technol.* **1** (1963) 199
6. P. K. Katti, M. M. Chaudhri, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **9** (1964) 442
7. G. Ausländer, *Brit. Chem. Eng.* **9** (1964) 610
8. G. M. Wilson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **86** (1964) 127
9. E. L. Heric, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **11** (1966) 66
10. E. L. Heric, J. G. Brewer, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **12** (1967) 574
11. M. R. V. Krishnan, G. S. Laddha, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.* **7** (1968) 324
12. K. Stephan, T. Heckenberger, *Thermal Conductivity and Viscosity Data of Fluid Mixtures*, DECHEMA Chemistry Data Series, Vol. X(1), Frankfurt am Maine, Germany, 1989
13. K. Soliman, E. Marschall, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **35** (1990) 375
14. A. K. Mehrotra, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* **68** (1990) 839
15. A. Baylaucq, P. Daugé, C. Boned, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **18** (1997) 1089
16. S. D. Dimitrov, D. I. Kamenski, *Comp. Chem. Eng.* **23** (1999) 1011
17. W. W. Focke, B. Du Plessis, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **43** (2004) 8369
18. W. W. Focke, C. Sandrock, S. Kok, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **46** (2007) 4660.
19. R. J. Fort, W. R. Moore, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **62** (1966) 1112
20. C. Yang, P. Ma, F. Jing, D. Tang, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **48** (2003) 836
21. M. Dizechi, E. Marschall, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **27** (1982) 358
22. Z. Shan, A.-F. A. Asfour, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **143** (1998) 253
23. Z. Shan, A.-F. A. Asfour, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **44** (1999) 118
24. M. G. Prolongo, R. M. Masegosa, I. Hernandez-Fuentes, A. Horta, *J. Phys. Chem.* **88** (1984) 2163
25. P. J. Petrino, Y. H. Gaston-Bonhomme, L. E. Chevalier, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **40** (1995) 136
26. N. M. Hussein, A.-F. A. Asfour, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **55** (2010) 1075
27. H. Casas, L. Segade, O. Cabeza, C. Franjo, E. Jimenez, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **46** (2001) 651
28. A. Baylaucq, C. Boned, P. Daugé, B. Lagourette, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **18** (1997) 3
29. K. E. Spells, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **32** (1936) 530
30. A. Kumagai, S. Takahashi, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **16** (1995) 773
31. I.-C. Wei, R. L. Rowley, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **29** (1984) 332
32. J. Kendall, K. P. Monroe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **39** (1917) 1787
33. L. Grunberg, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **50** (1954) 1293
34. S. Kouris, C. Panayiotou, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **34** (1989) 200
35. A.-F. A. Asfour, F. A. L. Duillen, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **26** (1981) 312
36. S. L. Oswal, H. S. Desai, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **161** (1999) 191
37. A. J. Queimada, I. M. Marrucho, J. A. P. Coutinho, E. H. Stenby, *Int. J. Thermophys.* **26** (2005) 47
38. J. Wu, A. H. Nhaesi, A.-F. A. Asfour, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **44** (1999) 990
39. J. Wu, A. H. Nhaesi, A.-F. A. Asfour, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **164** (1999) 285
40. A. F. Moreiras, J. Gracia, L. Lugo, M. J. P. Comunas, E. R. Lopez, J. Fernandez, *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **204** (2003) 233
41. A.-F. A. Asfour, M. H. Siddique, T. D. Vavanellos, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **35** (1990) 199
42. E. F. Cooper, A.-F. A. Asfour, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **36** (1991) 285
43. A. S. Teja, P. Rice, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **36** (1981) 7



44. H. Casas, L. Segade, C. Franjo, E. Jimenez, M. Inmaculada Paz Andrade, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **43** (1998) 756
45. J. Nath, S. N. Dubey, *J. Phys. Chem.* **85** (1981) 886
46. M. Sovilj, Bull. Chem. Technol. Macedonia **14** (1995) 73
47. I.-C. Wei, R. L. Rowley, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **29** (1984) 336
48. A. H. Nhaesi, A.-F. A. Asfour, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **45** (2000) 991
49. M. N. Sovilj, *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **40** (1995) 1058.