ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Veterinary Research Forum. 2013; 4 (2) 105 - 109

Veterinary Research Forum

Journal Homepage: vrf.iranjournals.ir

A survey on helminthic infection in mice (*Mus musculus*) and rats (*Rattus norvegicus* and *Rattus rattus*) in Kermanshah, Iran

Norollah Pakdel¹, Soraya Naem^{2*}, Farid Rezaei¹, Abdol-Ali Chalehchaleh¹

¹ Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran; ² Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran.

Article Info	Abstract
Article history:	Parasitic infections of rodents can compromise scientific research as well as the health of
	the animals and humans. Based on previous studies, infection rate of parasitic helminths is
Received: 09 June 2012	different in various regions of Iran. The current survey was aimed to determine endoparasitic
Accepted: 15 September 2012	helminths infection in 138 trapped rodents of Kermanshah county, Iran. Mice and rats were
Available online: 15 June 2013	trapped using metal snares from January to October 2011 and euthanized. Rodents included
	110 Mus musculus (79.00%), 23 Rattus norvegicus (17.00%), and five Rattus rattus (4.00%).
Key words:	The gastrointestinal and respiratory tracts were removed and examined to identify parasitic
	helminths. The results indicated that 42.02% of examined rodents were infected with eight
Helminth	helminths species, i.e. Trichuris muris (14.49%), Syphacia obvelata (13.76%), Syphacia muris
Iran	(2.89%), Aspicularis tetrapetra (5.07%), Heterakis spumosa (5.07%), Capillaria hepatica eggs
Kermanshah	(3.62%), Hyminolepis diminuta (12.30%), and Cystisercus fasciolaris, the larva of Taenia
Mice	teanieformis (4.34%). Given the results of this study, we concluded that examined rodents
Rats	were more infected with nematodes than other helminths. As rodents are usually infected
	with a number of zoonotic parasites, hence control of these animals has an important role in
	safeguarding public health.
	© 2013 Urmia University. All rights reserved.

مطالعه بر روی آلودگیهای کرمی در موش خانگی و موش صحرایی قهوهای و سیاه در شهرستان کرمانشاه، ایران

چکندہ

آلودگیهای انگلی در جوندگان میتواند مطالعات علمی و همچنین سلامت حیوانات و انسان را تحت تأثیر قرار دهد. بر پایه بررسیهای قبلی میزان آلودگیهای کرمی جوندگان در نواحی مختلف ایران متفاوت میباشد. مطالعه حاضر به تعیین کرمهای انگلی داخلی در ۱۳۸ جونده به دام افتاده در شهرستان کرمانشاه در ایران پرداخته است. موشها و رتها توسط تلههای فلزی از ژانویه تا اکتبر ۲۰۱۱ به دام انداخته و سپس آسان کُشی شدند. این جوندگان شامل ۱۱۰ قطعه *موس موسکولوس* یا موش خانگی (۷۹٬۰۰ درصد)، ۲۳ قطعه *رتوس نروژیکوس* یا موش صحرایی قهوهای (۱۷٬۰۰ درصد) و پنج قطعه رتوس رتوس یا موش صحرایی سیاه (۲۰۰ درصد) بودند. مجاری گوارشی و تنفسی جوندگان جهت آلودگیهای کرمی مورد بررسی قرار گرفت. نتایج نشان داد که ۲۲/۰۲ درصد از جوندگان مورد مطالعه با هشت گونه کرم شامل؛ تر*اکیوریس موریس (۱۹*٬۴۹ درصد)، سی*فاسیا ایولاتا (۱۷*٬۷۰ درصد)، سی*فاسیا موریس (۱۸*٬۹ درصد)، ۲۵ قطعه رتوس نروژیکوس یا موش صحرایی قهوهای (۱۷٬۰۰ از جوندگان مورد مطالعه با هشت گونه کرم شامل؛ ت*راکیوریس موریس (۱۹*٬۴۹ درصد)، سی*فاسیا ایولاتا (۱۳*٬۷۰ درصد)، سی*فاسیا موریس (۱۹*٬۹۰ درصد)، ما قطعه رتوس زدرمان کرمت. نتایج نشان داد که ۲۰۱/۹ درصد) از جوندگان مورد مطالعه با هشت گونه کرم شامل؛ تر*اکیوریس موریس (۱۹*٬۴۹ درصد)، *سیفاسیا ایولاتا (۱۳*٬۷۰ درصد)، سی*فاسیا موریس (۱۰*٬۹ درصد)، *آسپیکولاریس تتراپترا (۱۰*٬۵۰ درصد)، هتر*اکیس اسپوموزا* (۱۰٬۰۵ درصد)، تمای ۲۰۱۶ درصد)، هی*منولیپس دیمینوتا (۱۲*٬۳۰ درصد) و سیستی سرکو*س فاسیولاریس* یا لارو تنی*ا تنیفورمیس (۲۰*٬۹ میتیکا (۲۰٫۹ درصد)، هیم*نولیپ دیمینوتا (۱۲*٬۰۰ درصد) و سیستی مرکوس فلسیولاریس یا لارو تنیا تنیفورمیس مورکیس اسپوموزا (۱۰٬۰۵ درصد)، در مطالیه آلودگی با نماتودها بیش از سایر کرمها بود. از آنجائیکه جوندگان با شماری از انگاهای زئونوز آلوده بودند، لذا کنترل این حیوانات نفش موهی در خانه در موی خواهد داشت.

واژه های کلیدی: ایران، کرم، کرمانشاه، موش خانگی، موش صحرایی

*Correspondence: Soraya Naem. DVM, PhD Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran. E-mail: sorayanaem@yahoo.com

Introduction

Rodents are one of the most successful, abundant, and destructive group of animals causing direct and indirect damage to agricultural products both at pre and postharvest stages. In addition, they are responsible for transmitting various agents, including a number of helminths parasites, to human and domestic animals.¹⁻³ Infection in human generally occurs directly through contact with rodent excrement, ingesting food contaminated with their fur, feet, urine or fecal dropping, rodent's bites and indirectly through bites from ectoparasitic vectors such as flea and ticks.2 Wild rodents serve as reservoir host and have greater ability to harbor a number of endoparasitic agents that play important role in human and livestock health.^{2,4-6} Helminths such as *Trichinella*, *Angiostrongylus*, Capillaria, Hymenolepis, Railleitina, Echinococcus, Schistosoma, Paragonimus, and Echinostoma that reported from rodents are importance in public health.⁶⁻⁸ In addition, some of rodents' endoparasites such as Capillaria hepatica and Angiostrongylus cantonensis cause severe syndromes in humans and other animals.9,10 Thus, investigation on rodents' parasites in different geographical areas has medical and veterinary importance to prevent transmission of diseases to humans and animals.¹¹ Several studies have been conducted on parasites of wild rodents from different part of the world that reveal the occurrence of a rich parasite diversity including the endoparasitic helminths fauna^{5,12-14} and ectoparasitic arthropods fauna, as well.¹⁵ In Iran, there are some reports on the occurrence of parasitic infection in different species of rodents in some areas.¹⁶⁻²⁰ In addition, it is demonstrated that some rodents' species are reservoir of cutaneous leishmaniasis²¹⁻²³ and visceral leishmaniasis²⁴ However, little is known about helminths' infection in some areas of Iran such as Kermanshah. The present study reports, for the first, the prevalence of mice and rats parasitic helminths in this region.

Materials and Methods

Study area. Rodents for this survey obtained from both urban and rural area of Kermanshah county, southwest Iran (34°18′N, 47°3′E and 1420 m above sea level), and then examined for parasitic helminthic infection. Kermanshah is situated between two cold and warm regions and enjoys a moderate and mountainous climate. It rains most in winter and is moderately warm in summer. The annual rainfall is 500 mm. The average temperature in the hottest months is above 22 °C.²⁵

Animals. A total number of 138 rodents belonging to three species were collected from 56 locations of Kermanshah county from January to October 2011. These animals were trapped using metal snares, and different baits such as fresh cucumber, cheese and walnut. Traps were set at outdoors in agricultural, horticultural and animal

farms, dry riverbeds, parks and other suitable places in both urban and rural areas. Trapped rodents were transferred to Parasitology Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, Razi University, Kermanshah, and then euthanized. Each rodent sex was recorded, and identification of the species was confirmed on the basis of morphological characteristics with reference to keys²⁶ in Zoology Department of Razi University. After dissection, internal organs (esophagus, stomach, small and large intestines, liver, lungs, peritoneum, urinary bladder, pectoral and abdominal cavity) of each rodent's carcass were removed and examined for adult or larval stages of helminths under stereomicroscope. Parasites were removed carefully from infected organs, cleared, stained, and identified by using appropriate systematic keys.²⁷ In addition, some tissue smears prepared for screening of *Capillaria hepatica* eggs from infected livers.

Results

During the course of the study, out of 138 captured animals, 110 were *Mus musculus* (43 female; 67 male), 23 were *Rattus norvegicus* (10 female; 13 male), and 5 were *Rattus rattus* (1 female; 4 male) (Table 1). Forty two percent (58/138) of examined animals were infected with different helminths whose 21.10% (30/73) trapped in urban areas and others, 20.90%, (28/65) captured in rural areas.

Figure 1 shows infection rate based on mice species and sex and Fig. 2 demonstrates the distribution of infected (I) and none infected (N) rodents based on geographical distribution. Five species of nematodes (Syphacia obvelata, Syphacia muris, Aspicularis tetrapetra, Heterakis spumosa and Trichuris muris), one species of adult cestodes (Hymenolepis diminuta) and one species of larvae form of cestodes (cvsticercus fasciolaris) were identified. In addition, the eggs of Capillaria hepatica were identified from 3.62% of examined rodents. Of 73 trapped rodents in urban areas, 23 (32.00%) were infected with nematodes, 14 (19.00%) with cestodes, and 7 (9.50%) showed mixed infection. These results in rural areas were; 23 rodents (35.00%), 9 rodents (14.00%), and 4 rodents (6.00%), respectively. Also, a total number of 58 infected rodents, 36 (62.06%) were female, and 22 (37.94%) were male. The results indicated that examined rodents were more infected with nematodes than cestodes ($p \le 0.05$, $\chi^2 = 23.725$, df = 2). Trichuris muris had the highest prevalence and Syphacia muris the least abundant. Infection rates in those mice involved with Aspicularis tetrapetra (5.07%), Heterakis spumosa, (5.07%) and Cysticercus fasciolaris (4.34%), were nearly similar.

Table 1. Distribution of trapped rodents based on sex and location (F: Female, M: Male).

Location of	Mus musculus		Rattus no	orvegicus	Rattus rattus		Total
sampling	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Total
Urban area	22	31	7	10	1	2	73
Rural area	21	36	3	3	0	2	65
Total	43	67	10	13	1	4	138

In Table 2, infection rates of examined rodents with different helminths based on animals' species are shown. From 84 identified *S. obvelata*, 12 helminths (14.00%) were male and 72 helminths (86.00%) were female. Also, 70.00% (7 helminths) of *S. Muris* was female, and 30.00% (3 helminths) was male. The ratios for *A. tetrapetra*, *H. spimusa* and *T. muris* were 27.00% (12 worms) male and 73.00% (33 helminths) female, 54.00% (30 worms) male and 46.00% (26 helminths) female, and 33.00% (34 helminths) male and 67.00% (70 helminths) female, respectively. Figure 3 shows some of parasitic helminths removed from examined mice. No infection was observed in the esophagus, stomach, and lung of examined animals.



Fig. 1. Infection rate (%) based on species and sex ($\chi^2 = 137.087$, df = 2), (F: Female, M: Male).



Fig. 2. Distribution rate (%) of infected (I) and non-infected (N) mice based on locations of sampling ($\chi^2 = 0.069$, df = 1).

Discussion

The present study gives the first overview on the endoparastic helminths infection of trapped rodents in Kermanshah, Iran of which reporting eight species of helminths from three species of rodents. Data from previous studies on helminths parasites in rodents of other regions of Iran were partially comparable with the helminths fauna in the present study. In this study, the number of trapped *Mus musculus* was significantly higher than other species of rodents ($\chi^2 = 137.087$, df = 2, $p \le 0.05$), but no significant differences between infection rate in urban and rural areas was observed ($\chi^2 = 0.069$, df = 1). Also, infection with nematodes was significantly higher than cestodes ($\chi^2 = 23.725$, df = 2, $p \le 0.05$), while the trematodes were absent in examined mice, resembling the results of another report by Malsawmtluangi and Tandon in India.⁶

Infection rate in male animals (62.06%) was higher than female (37.94%), this in irreconcilable with finding of Milazzo et al. who found no significant differences between male and female rodents.8 However Rogriguez et al. found higher prevalence of helminths in male rodents.²⁸ Of identified nematodes from infected rodents, the number of female helminths (208 helminths) was higher than male helminths (91 helminths) (χ^2 = 45.873, df = 1, $p \le 0.05$). Trichuris muris was the most common nematode removed from infected animals, but no significant differences were found among this parasite and *S. obvelata* and *H. diminuta*, which were nearly similar to those findings of other reports in Iran^{11,29-32} and other locations of the world.^{8,33-35} Hymenolepis nana,³⁶ Syphacia hodarae n. sp.,³⁷ Trichuris navonae n. sp.,³⁸ Gongylonema monigi,¹⁹ Physaloptera spp.,^{32,39} Nipostrongylus brasiliense,⁴⁰ Angiostrongylus cantonensis,^{41,42} Strongylus ratti,²⁷ and larvae of *Taenia endorasicus*⁴³ were reported in some previous investigations, but were absent in current study. In addition, Asmar et al. and Kia et al. reported Strongyloides ratti and Physaloptera sexulatus whom were not observed in this study.^{19,44} Singla *et al.* indicated that *C. fasciolaris* were the common helminths in rodents of Panjab, India, and its prevalence was much higher (35.20%) than our finding (4.34%).² In other investigations, infestation of rodents with ectoparasites^{35,45} and protozoa (e.g. Cryptosporidium *spp*. and *Sarcocystis spp*.)^{30,39} have also been reported.

Some of the recovered parasites from rodents in this study were of zoonotic importance helminths, including *C. hepatica*, *H. diminuta*, *S. obvelata*, and *Taenia taeniaformis* larva. *Hymenolepis nana* is the zoonotic helminth commonly reported in Iran,⁴⁶ but it was not found in this study. Also *H. diminuta* has already been reported in human.^{47,48} This parasites are common in children and sometimes produce disorders in the hosts.⁴⁹ *Capillaria hepatica*, which was found in this survey, is very important in human causing a lethal syndrome which has already been reported from different countries.¹⁰ These zoonotic parasites have been



Fig 3. A) Anterior end and esophagus bulb of *Syphacia obvelata*, 400 ×; B) Anterior end and cervical alae of *Syphacia muris*, 100×; C) Anterior end of *Aspicularis tetrapetra*, 400 ×; D) Posterior end of *Trichuris muris* (male), 400 ×; E) *Capillaria hepatica* eggs in the liver of infected rodents, 400 ×.

Specie of rodent	Syphacia obvelata	Syphacia muris	Aspicularis tetrapetra	Heterakis spumosa	Trichuris muris	Capillaria hepatica eggs	Hyminolepis diminuta	Cystisercus fasciolaris	Mixed infections
Mus musculus	14.54	4.54	6.36	3.64	16.36	0.90	9.09	4.54	10.90
Rattus norvegicus	4.34	0	0	13.04	8.69	13.04	30.43	3.34	8.70
Rattus rattus	20.00	0	0	0	0	20.0	0	0	0

Table 2. Infection rates (%) of identified helminths in examined mice.

reported from rodents in variable prevalence of different areas worldwide such as Siberia,⁹ Switzerland⁵⁰ and Iran.¹⁸ Because of important role of rodents in spreading different parasitic agents and destroying the food crops, control programs are needed for reducing their adverse impact.

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by Urmia University and done in Parasitology Laboratory, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran. We also thank veterinary students of Razi University for their technical support.

References

- 1. Singh YP, Gangwar S, Kumar D, et al. Rodent pests and their management in the northeastern hill region. Research bulletin. No 37, ICAR research complex for NEH region, Barapani, Meghalaya 1995; 35.
- 2. Singla LD, Singla N, Parshad VR, et al. Rodents as reservoirs of parasites in India. Integrative Zool 2008; 3: 21-26.
- 3. Oldham JN. The helminth parasites of common rats. J Helminthol 1931; 9:49-60.
- 4. Durden LA, Hu R, Oliver JH, et al. Rodents' ectoparasites from two locations in northwestern Florida. Vec Ecol 2000; 25: 222-228.
- Stojcevic D, Mihaljevic Z, Marinculic A. Parasitological survey of rats in rural regions of Croatia. Veterinární Medicína 2004; 49(3): 70-74.
- 6. Malsawmtluangi C, Tandon V. Helminth parasite spectrum in rodent hosts from bamboo growing areas of Mizoram, north-east India. J Parasitol Dis 2009; 33(1-2): 28-35.
- Khalil LF. The helminth parasites of rodents and their importance. In Proceedings: The second symposium on recent advances in rodent control. Sheraton, Kuwait. 1986; 141-149.
- 8. Millazzo C, Ribasa A, Casanova JC, et al. Helminths of the brown rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) (Berkenhout, 1769) in the city of Palermo, Italy. Helmintologia 2010; 47(4): 238-240.
- 9. Chechulin AI, Karpenko SV, Panov VV. Ecology of *Hepaticola hepatica* infection in rodents in southern west Siberia. Contem Prob Ecol 2011; 4(4): 423-427.
- 10. Fuehrer HP, Petra Igel P, Auer H. *Capillaria hepatica* in man: An overview of hepatic capillariosis and spurious infections. Parasitol Res 2011; 109: 969-979.
- 11. Kia EB, Shahryary-Rad E. Mohebali M, et al. Endoparasites of rodents and their zoonotic importance in Germi, Dashte Mogan, Ardabil province, Iran. Iranian J Parasitol 2010; 5 (5): 15-20.

- 12. Claveria FG, Causapin J, Guzman MA, et al. Parasite diversity in *Rattus spp.* caught in wet markets. South As J Trop Med Publ Health 2005; 36: 1-4.
- 13. Hasegava H, Koboyshi J, Otzuru M. Helminth parasites collected from *Rattus rattus* on Lanyu, Taiwan. J Helminthol Soc Washington 1994; 61 (1): 95.
- 14. Gomez Villafane IE, Robles MR, Busch M. Helminth communities and host- parasite relationships in argentine brown rat (*Rattus norvegicus*). Helminthologia 2008; 45(3): 126-129.
- 15. Nava S, Lareschi M, Voglino D. Interrelationship between ectoparasites and wild rodents from northeastern Buenos Aires province, Argentina. Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 2003; 98(1): 45-49.
- 16. Sadjjadi SM, Massoud J. Helminth parasites of wild rodents in Khuzestan province, southwest of Iran. J Vet Parasitol 1999; 13(1): 55-56.
- Mowlavi GH. Study on the parasitic infections of rats in Tehran. MSPH Thesis. School of public health and institute of public health research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. 1991; 1-2.
- 18. Mohebali M, Rezaei H, Faranak A, et al. A survey on parasitic fauna (helminths and ectoparasites) of rodents in Meshkin Shahr district, northwest Iran. J Fac Vet Med Univ Tehran 1997; 52(3): 23-25.
- Kia EB, Hoamyouni MM, Faranak A, et al. Study on endoparasites of rodents and their zoonotic importance in Ahvaz, southwest Iran. Iran J Public health 2001; 30 (1-2): 49-52.
- 20. Fasihi-Harandi M. Study on the fauna of parasites of wild rodents in northern Isfahan. MSPH Thesis. School of public health and institute of public health research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. 1992; 132.
- 21. Edrissian GH, Ghorbani M, Tahvildar-Bidruni GH. *Meriones persicus*, another probable reservoir zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis in Iran. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1975; 69(5-6): 517-519.
- 22. Yaghoobi- Ershadi MR, Akhavan AA, Mohebali M. *Rhombomys opimus* and *Meriones libycus* (Rodentia: Gerbillidae) are the main reservoir hosts in a new focus of zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis in Iran. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1996; 90: 503-504.
- 23. Javadian E, Dehestani M, Nadim A, et al. Confirmation of *Tatera indica (Rodentia: Gerbillidae)* as the main reservoir host of zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis in the west of Iran. Iran J Public Health 1998; 27(1-2): 55-60.
- 24. Mohebali M, Poormohammadi B, Kanani P, et al. Rodents (*Gerbillidea- Cricitidae*), another animal host of

visceral laishmaniasis in Meshkinshahr district, I.R. of Iran. East Mediterr Health J 1998; 4(2): 376-378.

- 25. Chalechaleh A, Karimi I. The prevalence of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection among patients that presented to hospitals in the Kermanshah district of Iran in 2006 and 2007. Turk J Med Sci 2010; 40 (6): 971-975.
- Etemad E. Mammals of Iran. Vol I: Rodents and key to their identification. National society of natural source and human environment protection. Publication of Tehran 1978; 288.
- 27. Eslami A. Veterinary Helminthology. 2nd ed. Vol. II cestoda, Vol. III Nematoda and Acanthocephala. Tehran University Publication. 1997; 825-845.
- 28. Rodriguez-Vivas RI, Panti-May JA, Parada-Lopez J et al. The occurrence of the larval cestode *Cysticercus fasciolaris* in rodent populations from the costal ecological reserve, Yucatan, Mexico. J Helminthol 2011; 6: 1-4.
- 29. Gholami S, Mobedi I, Motavali-Haghgoo F, et al. Study on intestinal helminth parasites of rodents in urban and central area of Mazandaran province. J Sci Research Mazandaran Med Uni 2002; 12 (35): 67-73.
- 30. Akhtardanesh B, Radfar MH, Bagheri F. A parasitelogical study on blood, skin and alimentary tract of conventionally maintained laboratory mice and rat. Tehran Uni Med J 2010; 68(8): 339-443.
- Hamedi Y, Heidari M, Soleimani-Ahmadi M. Intestinal and blood parasites of brown rats in Bandar-Abbas. Hormozgan Med J 2003; 7(3): 123-127.
- 32. Rasti S, Mobedi I, Dehghani R, et al. Endoparasites fauna of wild and house mice in Kashan county. The second congress of parasitic diseases of Iran. Tehran 1997; 213.
- Abdel-Wahed MM, Salem GH, El Assaly TM. The role of wild rats as a reservoir of some internal parasites in Qalyobia governotate. J Egypt soc parasitol 1999; 29(2): 495-503.
- 34. Mikail MW, Metwally AM, Allam KA, et al. Rodentes as reservoir host of intestinal helminths in different Egyptian agroeco systems. J Eqypt Soc parasitol 2009; 39 (2): 633-640.
- 35. Fagir DM, El- Rayah A. Parasites of the Nile rat in rural and urban regions of Sudan. Integrative zool 2009; 4(2): 179-187.
- 36. Macnisha MG, Ryana UM, Behnkeb JM, et al. Detection of the rodent tapeworm rodentolepis (*Hymenolepis microstoma*) in humans. A new zoonosis? Intern J Parasitol 2003; 33: 1079-1085.
- 37. Herrara EJR, Mino MH, Notarnicola J, et al. A new species of *Syphacia* (Nematoda: *Oxyuridae*) from *Calomys laucha* (Rodentia: *Cricetidae*) in an Agroecosystem of central Argentina. J Parasitol 2011; 97(4): 676-681.

- 38. Robles, M. New species of Trichuris (Nematode: *trichuridae*) from Akodon montensis Thomas,1913, of the Paranaense forest in Argentina. J Parasitol 2011; 97(2): 319-327.
- 39. Tung KC, Hsiao FC, Yang CLL, et al. Sourveillance of endoparasitic infections and the first report of *Physaloptera sp.* and *Sarcocystis spp.* In farm rodents and shrews in central Taiwan. J Vet Med Sci 2009; 71 (1): 43-47.
- 40. Waugh CA, Lindo JF, Foronda P, et al. Population distribution and zoonotic potential of gastrointestional helminths of wild rats (*Rattus rattus* and *R. norvegicus*) from Jamaica. J parasitol 2006; 92 (5): 1014-1018.
- 41. Chen D, Zhang Y, Shen H. Epidemiological survey of *Angiostrongylus* cantonensis in the west central region of Guangdong province. China Parasitol Res 2011; 4: 124-127.
- 42. Foronda P, Lopez Gonzalez M, Miquel J. Finding of *Parastrongylus cantonensis* (chen, 1935) in *Rattus rattus* in Tenerife, Canary Islands (Spain). Acta Trop 2010; 114(2): 123-127.
- 43. Mowlavi GR, Kia EB, Mobedi I. Natural infection of the gerbil *Meriones lybikcus* with the metacestode of *Taenia endothoracicus* in Arak, central Iran. J Helminthol 2004; 78: 275-276.
- 44. Asmar M, Mobedi I, Motavallian SA, et al. Study on prevalence of pathogenic parasites in rodents in Lahijan. J Infect Trop Dis 2004; 26: 7-10.
- 45. Matthee S, Krasnov BR. Searching for generality in the patterns of parasite abundance and distribution: ectoparasites of a south African rodent, *Rhabdomys pumilio*. Intern J Parasitol 2009; 39: 781-788.
- 46. Rokni MB. The present status of human helminthic diseases in Iran. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 2008; 102(4): 283-295.
- 47. Mowlavi GH, Mobedi I, Mamishi S, et al. *Hymenolepis diminuta* (Rudolphi, 1819) infection in a child from Iran. Iran J Public Health 2008; 37(2): 120-121.
- 48. Chadirian E, Arfaa F. Human infection with *Hymenolepis diminuta* in villages of Minab, southern Iran. Int J Parasitol 1972; 2: 481-482.
- 49. Nemat-Ealahi A, Moghadam GHA, Jamali R, et al. A survey on parasitic infestation (helminths and ectoparasites) of the rodents in Tabriz. J Vet Res 2006; 61(3): 265-268.
- 50. Reperant LA, Deplazes P. Cluster of *Capillaria hepatica* infections in non-commensal rodents from the canton of Geneva, Switzerland. Parasitol Res 2005; 96: 340-342.