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#### Abstract

In this paper, a multi-item inventory model with space constraint is developed in both crisp and fuzzy environment. A profit maximization inventory model is proposed here to determine the optimal values of demands and order levels of a product. Selling price and unit price are assumed to be demand-dependent and holding and set-up costs sock dependent. Total profit and warehouse space are considered to be vague and imprecise. The impreciseness in the above objective and constraint goals has been expressed by fuzzy linear membership functions. The problem is then solved using modified geometric programming method. Sensitivity analysis is also presented here.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In many inventory problems, the unit price and selling price of a product are considered as independent in nature. But when the demand of a product is very high, then to meet the demand, the production is increased. Therefore the total cost of manufacturing is then spread over a large number of items and this will result lower
average unit production cost as well as lower selling price for an item. Hence the unit production cost and selling price are assumed inversely related to the demand of the item. Cheng [3, 4] developed some inventory models considering the demand - dependent unit cost and solved by geometric programming (GP) method. Jung and Klein [7] extended the EOQ model with the same assumptions and solved by GP method for a single item.

In classical inventory models, inventory costs, unit holding cost and set - up cost are supposed to be constant. But in reality, these inventory costs are dependent on the amount produced / purchased. Hariri and Abou-el-ata [6], Abou-el-ata and Kotb [1] developed some inventory models with variable inventory costs and solved by GP method.

Geometric programming method is a relatively new technique to solve a nonlinear programming problem. Duffin, Peterson and Zener [5] first developed the idea on GP method. Kotchenberger [8] was the first to use this method on inventory problems. Later on, Worrall and Hall [15] analyzed a multi-item inventory model with several constraints using polynomial GP method. Recently, Shawky and Abou-el-ata [12] formed a constrained production lot-size model with trade policy and solved by GP method, comparing with Lagrange non-linear method.

In many inventory models the objective goals and constraint goals are assumed to be deterministic. But in real life situations, the above said goals might not be exactly known are somewhat imprecise in nature. In this situation, the inventory problems along with the constraints may be realistically represented formulating the model in fuzzy environment, which is solved by different fuzzy programming methods. L. A. Zadeh [16] first introduced the fuzzy set theory. Bellman and Zadeh [2], Zimmerman [17] solved fuzzy decision-making problems using fuzzy programming techniques. Very few inventory problems was developed and solved using fuzzy set theory. Sommer [13] used fuzzy dynamic programming to an inventory problem. Park [9] applied fuzzy set theoretic approach on the EOQ model. Roy and Maiti [10] solved the classical EOQ model for a single item in fuzzy environment. They [11] also examined the fuzzy EOQ model with demand - dependent unit price and imprecise storage area by both fuzzy geometric and non - linear programming methods.

In this paper, we have formulated a multi - item profit maximization inventory model under limited storage area with demand - dependent unit price and selling price and stock - dependent holding and set- up costs of the item. Here objective and constraint goals are expressed in fuzzy environment. After fuzzification, the problem is solved by fuzzy additive geometric programming (FAGP) method. The crisp model is also solved by Geometric Programming method. The model is illustrated numerically and the results obtained from both the environments (crisp and fuzzy) are compared. Sensitivity analysis has also been discussed here.

## 2. MODEL FORMULATION

A multi-item inventory model with infinite rate of replenishment, no shortages and limited storage space is developed under the following assumptions.
$n=$ number of items,
$W=$ total available storage area,
$p_{W}=$ tolerance limit of $W$,
$c_{P F}=$ profit goal,
$p_{P F}=$ tolerance limit of $c_{P F}$,
Parameters for $i(=1,2, \ldots, n)$-th items are
$D_{i}=$ demand of each product (decision variable),
$Q_{i}=$ order level (decision variable),
$s_{i}=$ selling price of each product
$=s_{0 i} D_{i}^{-\alpha_{i}}$ where $s_{0 i}>0$ and $0<\alpha_{i}<1$,
$p_{i}=$ unit price of each product
$=p_{0 i} D_{i}^{-\beta_{i}}$ where $p_{0 i}>0$ and $0<\beta_{i}<1$,
$c_{1 i}=$ holding cost of each product
$=c_{01 i} Q_{i}^{-\gamma_{i}}$ where $c_{01 i}>0$ and $0<\gamma_{i}<1$,
$c_{3 i}=$ set up cost of each product
$=c_{03 i} Q_{i}^{-\delta_{i}}$ where $c_{03 i}>0$ and $0<\delta_{i}<1$.

## 3. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

### 3.1. Crisp model

Total profit $=$ total revenue - production cost - holding cost - set up cost.

$$
\begin{align*}
\max P F\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[s_{i} D_{i}-p_{i} D_{i}-0.5 c_{1 i} Q_{i}-c_{3 i} D_{i} Q_{i}^{-1}\right]  \tag{1}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[s_{0 i} D_{i}^{\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)}-p_{0 i} D_{i}^{\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}-0.5 c_{01 i} Q_{i}^{\gamma_{i}+1}-c_{03 i} D_{i} Q_{i}^{\delta_{i}-1}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i} \leq W \\
& D_{i}>0, Q_{i}>0,(i=1,2, \ldots, n)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.2. Fuzzy model

When the profit goal and storage area are flexible i.e. fuzzy in nature, the said crisp model (1) is transformed to
$\max P F\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[s_{0 i} D_{i}^{1-\alpha_{i}}-p_{0 i} D_{i}^{1-\beta_{i}}-0.5 c_{01 i} Q_{i}^{\gamma_{i}+1}-c_{03 i} D_{i} Q_{i}^{\delta_{i}-1}\right]$
subject to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i} \leq \tilde{W}  \tag{2}\\
& D_{i}>0, Q_{i}>0,(i=1,2, \ldots, n)
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

The multi item inventory models (1) and (2) are solved by crisp and fuzzy environment respectively. In fuzzy set theory, the imprecise objectives and constraints are defined by their membership functions, which may be linear and / or non-linear. For simplicity, we assume here $\mu_{P F}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)$ and $\mu_{w}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ to be linear membership functions for the objective and constraint. They are

$$
\mu_{P F}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } P F\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)<c_{P F}-p_{P F} \\ 1+\frac{P F\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)-c_{P F}}{p_{P F}} & \text { for } c_{P F}-p_{P F} \leq P F\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)<c_{P F} \\ 1 & \text { for } \operatorname{PF}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)>c_{P F}\end{cases}
$$

Pictorial representation of $\mu_{W}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ is


Figure 1: Membership function of $\operatorname{PF}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)$
and

$$
\mu_{W}\left(Q_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i}<W \\ 1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i}-W}{p_{W}} & \text { for } W \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i}<W+p_{W} \\ 0 & \text { for } \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i}>W+p_{W}\end{cases}
$$

Pictorial representation of $\mu_{W}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ is


Figure 2: Membership function of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i} \leq W$

The problem (2) can be formulated with the fuzzy additive goal programming (Tiwari, Dharmar and Rao (1987)) problem as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left(\mu_{P F}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)+\mu_{W}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu_{P F}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)=1+\frac{P F\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)-c_{P F}}{p_{P F}}, \text { and } \\
& \mu_{W}\left(Q_{i}\right)=1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i}-W}{p_{W}}, \\
& D_{i}>0, Q_{i}>0,0 \leq \mu_{P F}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right), \mu_{W}\left(Q_{i}\right) \leq 1, \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which is equivalent to

$$
\max \left(\mu_{P F}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)+\mu_{W}\left(Q_{i}\right)\right)=2-\frac{c_{P F}}{p_{P F}}+\frac{W}{p_{W}}+\min U\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)
$$

subject to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{i}>0, Q_{i}>0, \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n) . \\
& \text { Here } U\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)=\left(-\frac{P F\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)}{p_{P F}}+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i}}{p_{W}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first three terms are constants as they are independent of decision variables hence at present they can be omitted from the analysis. So (3) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min U\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)=\sum\left[-\frac{p_{0 i} D_{i}^{1-\beta_{i}}}{p_{P F}}+\frac{c_{01 i} Q_{i}^{\gamma_{i}+1}}{2 p_{P F}}+\frac{c_{03 i} D_{i} Q_{i}^{\delta_{i}-1}}{p_{P F}}+\frac{w_{i} Q_{i}}{p_{W}}-\frac{s_{0 i} D_{i}^{1-\alpha_{i}}}{p_{P F}}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to

$$
D_{i}>0, Q_{i}>0, \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n)
$$

## 5. SOLUTION OF THE PROPOSED INVENTORY MODELS

### 5.1. Crisp model

Multiplying both sides of (1) by (-1) we get the standard signomial GP form as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min P F^{\prime}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[p_{0 i} D_{i}^{1-\beta_{i}}+0.5 c_{01 i} Q_{i}^{\gamma_{i}+1}+c_{03 i} D_{i} Q_{i}^{\delta_{i}-1}-s_{0 i} D_{i}^{1-\alpha_{i}}\right] \\
\text { subject to } \quad & \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} Q_{i} \leq W \\
& D_{i}>0, Q_{i}>0,(i=1,2, \ldots, n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $P F^{\prime}\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)=-P F\left(D_{i}, Q_{i}\right)$.
Here the primal function is a constrained signomial function with (3n-1) degree of difficulty. It is difficult to solve by formulating its dual problem for more items. Therefore, we use the Modified Geometric Programming (MGP) method outlined by Hariri and Abou-el-Ata [6] for the solution. Under this formulation, we have the corresponding dual function is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max d\left(w_{1 i}, w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}, w_{4 i}, w_{5 i}\right) \\
& =-\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left(\frac{p_{0 i}}{w_{1 i}}\right)^{w_{1 i}}\left(\frac{c_{01 i}}{2 w_{2 i}}\right)^{w_{2 i}}\left(\frac{c_{03 i}}{w_{3 i}}\right)^{w_{3 i}}\left(\frac{s_{0 i}}{w_{4 i}}\right)^{-w_{4 i}}\left(\frac{\lambda w_{i}}{W w_{5 i}}\right)^{w_{5 i}}\right\}\right]^{-1} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

subject to the normality and orthogonality conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -w_{1 i}-w_{2 i}-w_{3 i}+w_{4 i}=1 \\
& \left(1-\beta_{i}\right) w_{1 i}+w_{3 i}-\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) w_{4 i}=0, \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n) \\
& \left(\gamma_{i}+1\right) w_{2 i}+\left(\delta_{i}-1\right) w_{3 i}+w_{5 i}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{5 i}$ and the dual variables $w_{1 i}, w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}, w_{4 i}, w_{5 i}$ are all non-negative for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Expressing the dual variables $w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}, w_{5 i}$ in terms of $w_{1 i}, w_{4 i}$ and then the dual function (6) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max d\left(w_{1 i}, w_{4 i}\right) \\
& =-\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left(\frac{p_{0 i}}{w_{1 i}}\right)^{w_{1 i}}\left(\frac{c_{01 i}}{2 w_{2 i}}\right)^{w_{2 i}}\left(\frac{c_{03 i}}{w_{3 i}}\right)^{w_{3 i}}\left(\frac{s_{0 i}}{w_{4 i}}\right)^{-w_{4 i}}\left(\frac{\lambda w_{i}}{W w_{5 i}}\right)^{w_{5 i}}\right\}\right]^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{2 i}=\alpha_{i} w_{4 i}-\beta_{i} w_{1 i}-1, \\
& w_{3 i}=\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) w_{4 i}-\left(1-\beta_{i}\right) w_{1 i}, \\
& w_{5 i}=\left\{\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)-\alpha_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)\right\} w_{4 i}+\left\{\beta_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)-\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)\right\} w_{1 i}+\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now optimizing the objective function (7) we get the optimal values of dual variables $w_{1 i}^{*}, w_{4 i}^{*}$. The optimal values of decision variables are obtained using the theorem of geometric programming as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{p_{0 i} D_{i}^{*\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}}{w_{1 i}^{*}}=\frac{s_{0 i} D_{i}^{*\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)}}{w_{4 i}^{*}}, \\
\frac{w_{i} Q_{i}^{*}}{W}=\frac{\left\{\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)-\alpha_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)\right\} w_{4 i}^{*}+\left\{\beta_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)-\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)\right\} w_{1 i}^{*}+\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left\{\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)-\alpha_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)\right\} w_{4 i}^{*}+\left\{\beta_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)-\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)\right\} w_{1 i}^{*}+\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving the above relations, we get,

$$
\begin{gathered}
D_{i}^{*}=\left(\frac{s_{0 i} w_{1 i}^{*}}{p_{0 i} w_{4 i}^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}\right)}}, \\
Q_{i}^{*}=\frac{W\left[\left\{\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)-\alpha_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)\right\} w_{4 i}^{*}+\left\{\beta_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)-\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)\right\} w_{1 i}^{*}+\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)\right]}{w_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left\{\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)-\alpha_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)\right\} w_{4 i}^{*}+\left\{\beta_{i}\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)-\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)\right\} w_{1 i}^{*}+\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the optimal values of decision variables say, $D_{i}^{*}$ and $Q_{i}^{*}$ we get the optimal value of the objective function $P F^{*}\left(D_{i}^{*}, Q_{i}^{*}\right)$ from (1).

### 5.2. Fuzzy model

The primal function (4) is an unconstrained signomial GP problem with (3n-1) degree of difficulty. The corresponding dual function according to Hariri and Abou-elAta [6] is as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max d w\left(w_{1 i}, w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}, w_{4 i}, w_{5 i}\right) \\
& =-\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left(\frac{p_{0 i}}{p_{P F} w_{1 i}}\right)^{w_{1 i}}\left(\frac{c_{01 i}}{2 p_{P F} w_{2 i}}\right)^{w_{2 i}}\left(\frac{c_{03 i}}{p_{P F} w_{3 i}}\right)^{w_{3 i}}\left(\frac{s_{0 i}}{p_{w} w_{4 i}}\right)^{-w_{4 i}}\left(\frac{\lambda w_{i}}{p_{P F} W w_{5 i}}\right)^{w_{5 i}}\right\}\right]^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

subject to the normality and orthogonality conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -w_{1 i}-w_{2 i}-w_{3 i}-w_{4 i}+w_{5 i}=1, \\
& \left(1-\beta_{i}\right) w_{1 i}+w_{3 i}-\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) w_{5 i}=0, \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n) \\
& \left(\gamma_{i}+1\right) w_{2 i}+\left(\delta_{i}-1\right) w_{3 i}+w_{4 i}=0 \\
& w_{1 i}, w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}, w_{4 i}, w_{5 i}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Expressing the dual variables $w_{2 i}, w_{3 i}, w_{4 i}$ in terms of $w_{1 i}, w_{5 i}$ the dual function (8) becomes,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max d\left(w_{1 i}, w_{5 i}\right) \\
& =-\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left(\frac{p_{0 i}}{p_{P F} w_{1 i}}\right)^{w_{1 i}}\left(\frac{c_{01 i}}{2 p_{P F} w_{2 i}}\right)^{w_{2 i}}\left(\frac{c_{03 i}}{p_{P F} w_{3 i}}\right)^{w_{3 i}}\left(\frac{s_{0 i}}{p_{w} w_{4 i}}\right)^{-w_{4 i}}\left(\frac{\lambda w_{i}}{p_{P F} w w_{5 i}}\right)^{w_{5 i}}\right\}\right]^{-1} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{2 i} & =\frac{1}{\gamma_{i}}+\frac{\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)-\alpha_{i}}{\gamma_{i}} w_{5 i}-\frac{\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)-\beta_{i}}{\gamma_{i}} w_{1 i} \\
w_{3 i} & =\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) w_{5 i}-\left(1-\beta_{i}\right) w_{1 i}, \\
w_{4 i} & =-\frac{\gamma_{i}+1}{\gamma_{i}}+\left[\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)-\frac{\gamma_{i}+1}{\gamma_{i}}\left\{\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)-\alpha_{i}\right\}\right] w_{5 i} \\
& +\left[\frac{\gamma_{i}+1}{\gamma_{i}}\left\{\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)-\beta_{i}\right\}-\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)\left(1-\delta_{i}\right)\right] w_{1 i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now optimizing the dual function (9) we get the optimal values of dual variables $w_{1 i}^{*}, w_{5 i}^{*}$ and hence $w_{2 i}^{*}, w_{3 i}^{*}, w_{4 i}^{*}$. The optimal values of decision variables are obtained using the theorem of geometric programming as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{p_{0 i} D_{i}^{*\left(1-\beta_{i}\right)}}{p_{P F} w_{1 i}^{*}}=\frac{s_{0 i} D_{i}^{*\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right)}}{p_{P F} w_{5 i}^{*}} \text {, and } \\
& \frac{c_{01 i} Q_{i}^{*\left(\gamma_{i}+1\right)}}{2 p_{P F} w_{2 i}^{*}}=\frac{w_{i} Q_{i}^{*}}{p_{w} w_{4 i}^{*}} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

From the relations (10), we get,

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{i}^{*} & =\left(\frac{s_{0 i} w_{1 i}^{*}}{p_{0 i} w_{5 i}^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{i}\right)}}, \text { and }  \tag{11}\\
Q_{i}^{*} & =\frac{2 p_{P F} w_{i} w_{2 i}^{*}}{c_{01 i} p_{w} w_{4 i}^{*}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the optimal values of decision variables say, $D_{i}^{*}$ and $Q_{i}^{*}$ we get the optimal value of the objective function $P F^{*}\left(D_{i}^{*}, Q_{i}^{*}\right)$ from (2).

## 6. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

For numerical illustration, we consider an inventory model for two items with the following data.

Table 1: Input data for Crisp and Fuzzy model ((1)\&(2))

| $i$ | $s_{0 i}$ <br> $(\$)$ | $p_{0 i}$ <br> $(\$)$ | $c_{01 i}$ <br> $(\$)$ | $c_{03 i}$ <br> $(\$)$ | $\alpha_{i}$ | $\beta_{i}$ | $\gamma_{i}$ | $\delta_{i}$ | $w_{i}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ | $W$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ | $p_{W}$ | $c_{P F}$ | $p_{P F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100 | 10 | 0.5 | 50 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 4 | 195 | 10 | 545 | 10 |
| 2 | 120 | 12 | 0.4 | 60 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.55 | 2 |  |  |  |  |

Following the analysis outlined in section 5, the optimum values of demand, order quantities and maximum profit under crisp and fuzzy environment are evaluated and presented in table 2.

Table 2: Optimal results

| Model | $i$ | $D_{i}^{*}$ | $Q_{i}^{*}$ | $P F^{*}(\$)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crisp model | 1 | 47.25568 | 29.96363 | 534.51036 |
|  | 2 | 23.17970 | 37.57371 |  |
| Fuzzy model | 1 | 48.47515 | 30.70790 | 539.7391 |
|  | 2 | 23.78689 | 38.65906 |  |

## 7. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

A sensitivity analysis of fuzzy model has been performed with respect to the percentage change of index parameters $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{i}$ and $\delta_{i}$ which are presented in tables $3,4,5,6$. In the formulation, unit cost and selling price have been expressed as some quantities power to the demand. Similarly unit holding cost and set-up cost are some quantities power to the order level. Hence, the said indexes are very effective in determining optimal demand, order level and maximum profit. Thus the sensitivity analysis results are in aggrement as it was expected. If the selling price, holding cost and set-up cost increase (decrease) obviously the profit will decrease (increase). But, it will have the reverse effect in the case of unit cost.

This phenomenon is depicted by the tables from 3, 5, 6. It is observed that all the decision variables and the objective value diminished when the index parameters $\alpha_{i}, \gamma_{i}$ and $\delta_{i}$ increase. But in table 4 decision variables and the objective value increase when the index parameter $\beta_{i}$ increases.
(A) Percentage change of $\alpha_{i}$ :

Table 3: Effect on decision variables \& objective function

| \% change of $\alpha_{i}$ | i | $D_{i}^{*}$ | $Q_{i}^{*}$ | $P F^{*}$ (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -6 | 1 | 145.4996 | 56.73451 | 947.8058 |
|  | 2 | 57.34578 | 66.74807 |  |
| -4 | 1 | 97.20933 | 45.39107 | 768.3402 |
|  | 2 | 41.68793 | 54.81035 |  |
| -2 | 1 | 67.49814 | 37.01799 | 637.4998 |
|  | 2 | 31.12685 | 45.71429 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 35.85952 | 25.86223 | 465.1176 |
|  | 2 | 18.56387 | 33.09916 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 27.22847 | 22.07883 | 407.0774 |
|  | 2 | 14.74891 | 28.64743 |  |
| 6 | 1 | 21.15610 | 19.08003 | 361.2004 |
|  | 2 | 11.90737 | 25.03984 |  |

## (B) Percentage change of $\beta_{i}$ :

Table 4: Effect on decision variables \& objective function

| \% change of $\alpha_{i}$ | i | $D_{i}^{*}$ | $Q_{i}^{*}$ | $P F^{*}(\$)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -6 | 1 | 42.76117 | 28.58911 | 513.3044 |
|  | 2 | 22.19483 | 37.16807 |  |
| -4 | 1 | 44.56648 | 29.27656 | 521.9720 |
|  | 2 | 22.81204 | 37.66050 |  |
| -2 | 1 | 46.47365 | 29.98136 | 530.8015 |
|  | 2 | 23.30938 | 38.16986 |  |
|  | 1 | 50.57522 | 31.45484 | 548.7758 |
|  | 2 | 24.25183 | 39.12581 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 52.77913 | 32.22367 | 557.9232 |
|  | 2 | 24.69537 | 39.57229 |  |
| 6 | 1 | 55.09257 | 33.01354 | 567.1821 |
|  | 2 | 25.11518 | 39.99992 |  |

## (C) Percentage change of $\gamma_{i}$ :

Table 5: Effect on decision variables \& objective function

| \% change of $\alpha_{i}$ | i | $D_{i}^{*}$ | $Q_{i}^{*}$ | $P F^{*}(\$)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -6 | 1 | 53.12392 | 33.54557 | 566.4128 |
|  | 2 | 25.11205 | 41.02047 |  |
| -4 | 1 | 51.52657 | 32.57038 | 557.1338 |
|  | 2 | 24.62820 | 40.15675 |  |
| -2 | 1 | 49.97781 | 31.62521 | 5483.3538 |
|  | 2 | 24.21111 | 39.40363 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 47.01990 | 29.81972 | 531.2987 |
|  | 2 | 23.37274 | 37.92421 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 45.60960 | 28.95965 | 523.0424 |
|  | 2 | 22.97314 | 37.20531 |  |
| 6 | 1 | 44.24531 | 28.12783 | 514.9608 |
|  | 2 | 22.57366 | 36.49675 |  |

(D) Percentage change of $\delta_{i}$ :

Table 6: Effect on decision variables \& objective function

| $\%$ change of $\alpha_{i}$ | i | $D_{i}^{*}$ | $Q_{i}^{*}$ | $P F^{*}$ (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -6 | 1 | 77.07675 | 38.74571 | 690.4541 |
|  | 2 | 40.47468 | 51.86214 |  |
| -4 | 1 | 65.96059 | 35.88196 | 637.1922 |
|  | 2 | 34.25389 | 47.35938 |  |
| -2 | 1 | 56.50761 | 33.20457 | 585.0164 |
|  | 2 | 28.22829 | 42.55833 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 41.65210 | 28.38400 | 498.7511 |
|  | 2 | 20.16589 | 35.17747 |  |
| 4 | 1 | 35.85666 | 26.22586 | 461.6203 |
|  | 2 | 17.18349 | 32.06103 |  |
| 6 | 1 | 30.93107 | 24.22548 | 427.9535 |
|  | 2 | 14.72634 | 29.26195 |  |

## 8. CONCLUSION

Here we have formulated a multi-item profit maximization model with limited storage area in crisp and fuzzy environment. The problem is then solved by modified geometric programming method. It is observed that in fuzzy environment the model gives the better optimum result than the crisp environment. The beauty of this approach
is that a crisp / fuzzy inventory problem with large degree of difficulty can be easily solved by the method presented here and decision variables can be determined. This method can be applied in other typical decision-making problems in the areas of structural analysis, environment etc. where once they are formulated as geometric programming problem with very large degree of difficulty.
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