

# Pakistan Veterinary Journal

ISSN: 0253-8318 (PRINT), 2074-7764 (ONLINE) Accessible at: www.pvj.com.pk

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## **Evaluation of Fermentation Dynamics and Structural Carbohydrate Degradation of Napiergrass Ensiled with Additives of Urea and Molasses**

Hui Rong, Cheng-qun Yu<sup>1</sup>, Zhi-hua Li, Masataka Shimojo<sup>2</sup> and Tao Shao\*

Institute of Ensiling and Processing of Grass, College of Animal Sciences and Technology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, 210095, P. R. China; <sup>1</sup>Institute of Geographic Sciences and Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, P. R. China; <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Animal Feed Science, Division of Animal Science, Department of Animal and Marine Bioresource Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

\*Corresponding author: taoshaolan@yahoo.com.cn

### ARTICLE HISTORY ABSTRACT

Received: October 01, 2012 Revised: January 08, 2013 Accepted: March 23, 2013 Key words: Fermentation dynamics Molasses Napiergrass Structural carbohydrate Urea This study examined the effects of urea and molasses on fermentation dynamics and structural carbohydrate degradation of Napiergrass (Pennisetum purpureum Schumach), which was ensiled in laboratory silos for 3, 7, 14, and 30 days at the ambient temperature. The treatments were additions (fresh weight basis) of: no molasses or urea (control), no molasses and 0.4% urea (U), 4% molasses and 0% urea (M), 4% molasses and 0.4% urea (MU). The results showed that the control group produced an unstable fermentation. U silage always had smallest amount of lactic acid and highest levels of pH, acetic acid, butyric acid and ammonia nitrogen. Compared with control, both M and MU increased water soluble carbohydrate contents which promoted lactic acid fermentation domination, but MU did not restrain clostridial fermentation. After 30 days of ensiling, compared with the control, both M and MU lowered structural carbohydrate contents, and U lowered crude protein content but MU increased this parameter. It was concluded that the combination of 4% molasses with 0.4% urea could improve the fermentation and nutritive qualities of Napiergrass but was not sufficient to inhibit clostridial fermentation.

©2013 PVJ. All rights reserved

**To Cite This Article:** Rong H, CQ Yu, ZH Li, M Shimojo and T Shao, 2013. Evaluation of fermentation dynamics and structural carbohydrate degradation of Napiergrass ensiled with additives of urea and molasses. Pak Vet J, 33(3): 374-377.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Napiergrass (*Pennisetum purpureum* Schum.), is an important tropical grass with high biomass yield, now widely planted in southern regions of China, where it is commonly used for silage making. However, it has high moisture content and insufficient water soluble carbohydrate (WSC) at vegetative stage, which sometimes resulted in clostridial fermentation (Yahaya *et al.*, 2004). Furthermore, its low crude protein content (Yunus *et al.*, 2000) and high structural carbohydrate contents (Zhang *et al.*, 2011; Bureenok *et al.*, 2012) usually lead to low nutritive value of silage.

Molasses, the byproduct of sugar industry, is often used for ensiling of low WSC forages, such as legumes and tropical grasses. Several workers have concluded that the addition of molasses increased the dry matter and lactic acid contents and reduced the pH and ammonia nitrogen contents in treated silages (Tjandraatmadja et al., 1994; Bilal, 2009). Dean et al. (2008) reported that applying ammonia not only increased crude protein content but also promoted degradation of structural carbohydrate, which will increase organic matter potentially available for utilization by ruminal microorganisms (Yalchi and Hajieghrari, 2010). However, ammonia is a hazardous gas and is also corrosive to zinc, copper and brass and needs special equipments when applying. Urea as a source of ammonia, is relatively safe and convenient in handling method of chemically treating forage and is commonly used as a feed additive to increase crude protein content (Hill and Leaver, 1999; Yunus et al., 2001), moreover, urea can improve the digestibility, nitrogen retention and ruminal fermentation (Fang et al., 2012). This study was conducted to investigate the effects of adding 0.4% urea or/and 4% molasses on fermentation characteristics, crude protein and structural carbohydrate degradation of Napiergrass silage.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Napiergrass forage:** Napiergrass was cultivated in spring of 2007 in an experimental field at the Nanjing Agricultural University, China. The initial growth of grass was harvested at the vegetative stage on August 24, 2007.

The harvested grass was chopped and dried at 65°C on the ground through a willey mill to 2 mm size. The dry matter (DM) and nitrogen contents of the Napiergrass were determined using methods described by AOAC (1990). The WSC were determined with the anthrone-sulfuric acid reaction assay (Dean *et al.*, 2008). The neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), acid detergent fiber (ADF), acid detergent lignin (ADL), hemi-cellulose and cellulose were determined using methods described by Van-Soest *et al.* (1991). The chopped material contained 19.5% DM, 7.91% crude protein (CP), 8.76% WSC, 58.89% NDF, 35.31% ADF, 5.13% ADL, 23.58% hemi-cellulose and 30.18% cellulose.

Laboratory scale silage: After harvesting, the grass was chopped about the size of 2 cm length, a 800 g of the chopped material was mixed thoroughly with additives and filled in a laboratory silo (1 liter capacity) in triplicates and stored for 3, 7, 14 and 30 days at the ambient temperature (29-33°C). The treatments were additions (fresh weight basis) of: no molasses or urea (control), no molasses and 0.4% urea (U), 4% molasses and 0% urea (M), 4% molasses and 0.4% urea (MU). Three silos of each treatment were opened at each fermentation period for determination of pH, lactic acid (LA), ammonia nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N) and volatile fatty acids (Shao et al., 2005). These samples were also analyzed for DM, nitrogen and WSC by using the methods as mentioned above. The NDF, ADF, ADL, hemi-cellulose and cellulose of 30 days silage for each treatment were also determined by using the methods described by Van-Soest et al. (1991).

**Statistical analyses:** The statistical analysis included twoway analysis of variance with additives and storage periods as factors and Fisher's least significant difference test. These were performed using the GLM procedure of SAS, with the level of statistical significance preset at P<0.05 (SAS 9.0 for Windows).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

2005). However, BA was high in control silage (Table 2), indicating the clostridial fermentation occuring. Zhang *et al.* (2010) reported that there was a critical WSC concentration for inhibiting clostridial fermentation which might enhance when conditions are unfavorable for silage making, such as increase in moisture content and buffering capacity or improper temperature. The Napiergrass had a moderate WSC content (87.6 g/kg DM) and high water content (DM: 195.4 g/kg). Though the WSC content exceeded the 60-70 g/kg DM which is recommended for theoretical requirement to achieve well-preserved fermentation (Wang *et al.*, 2009), the high moisture probably elevated the critical WSC level and supplied the advantageous environment for the activity of clostridia (McDonald *et al.*, 1991; Bureenok *et al.*, 2012).

In this experiment, M treatment significantly (P<0.05) increased the LA contents at all fermentation periods, along with a tendency to increase AA during the first 14 days compared with control group (Table 1). Similar results were also reported by other researchers (Bilal, 2009; Nishino et al., 2012). M silage maintained high LA/AA value (Table 1), indicating a stable LA fermentation. This could be attributed to molasses addition to supply sufficient substrate which played an important role in promoting the activity of homofermenters than heterofermenters (Tjandraatmadja et al., 1994). M silage always showed a low level of BA contents (<0.8 g/kg DM) at all fermentation periods (Table 2). This might be due to addition of 4% molasses for making the total WSC to approach the critical WSC concentration for inhibiting clostridial fermentation. Both M and MU treatment significantly (P<0.05) increased the DM and WSC contents compared with control (Table 1. Table 2). This might be attributed to high DM and soluble sugar of molasses (Rezaei et al., 2009; Denek et al., 2011).

In this study, U treated silage showed significantly (P<0.05) lower DM and LA and significantly (P<0.05) higher pH, AA, propionic acid (PA), BA and NH<sub>3</sub>-N at all fermentation periods compared with control group (Table 1, Table 2). These were similar to the results of Yunus et al. (2001). Urea is apt to be hydrolyzed to ammonia due to the activity of urease which is a common enzyme existing in the plants and microbes (McDonald et al., 1991). Cesareo and Langton (1992) found that at optimum pH in jack bean (Canavalia ensiformis) urease activity was between 7 and 8, and its activity was inhibited at pH 4.6 or below. Urea treated silage always had a pH value of more than 4.6 (Table 1), which could not restrain urease activity, resulting in the production of ammonia. Moreover, the ammonium ion resulting from dissolution of ammonia in solution would increase buffering capacity in the silage, thus decline the level of pH. In such a condition of high pH, the water content and buffering capacity, the clostridium vigorously consumed WSC and LA to produce more BA (Liu et al., 2011).

Compared with U, MU silage produced more LA, which rapidly declined the pH to a level of below 4.2 on day 7 and maintained this low pH level until the end of ensiling (Table 1). After 7 days, the LA/AA value of MU silage was also high and stable (Table 1). This indicates that MU silage obtained a stable fermentation. However, the MU silage showed high amount of BA and NH<sub>3</sub>-N

Table 1: Effects of adding urea and molasses on dry matter, pH, lactic acid, acetic acid and lactic acid/acetic acid of Napiergrass silage

Paramotors	Treatments	Fermentation days						
Tarameters	in cathients .	3	7	14	30			
Dry matter	Control	190.6±4.2aC	186.5±9.1abB	179.0±3.7bC	176.9±6.1bB			
(g/kg FVV)	U	173.8±3.7aD	160.7±5.4bC	159.8±6.6bD	153.6±6.8bC			
	Μ	211.9±8.7A	210.7±5.3A	211.6±5.2A	210.2±5.0A			
	MU	201.2±0.9B	202.6±2.5A	202.0±3.8B	201.4±2.0A			
рН	Control	3.8±0.0bC	3.8±0.1bC	3.8±0.1bC	4.1±0.1aB			
	U	5.3±0.1aA	5.1±0.0bA	4.9±0.1cA	4.7± 0.0dA			
	Μ	3.7±0.0aC	3.7±0.0aC	3.7±0.1aD	3.5±0.1bC			
	MU	4.6±0.0aB	4.1±0.1bB	4.0±0.1bB	4.0±0.0bB			
Lactic acid	Control	49.2±3.3bB	63.2±5.3aB	64.0±4.5aB	37.6±8.1cB			
(g/kg DM)	U	1.4±0.1cC	1.6±0.5bcC	2.9±1.2bC	14.5±0.7aC			
	М	73.1±4.0A	79.8±10.0A	78.5±4.6A	86.5±14.7A			
	MU	52.5±0.1bB	76.3±5.9aA	80.7±2.4aA	77.3±2.0aA			
Acetic acid	Control	6.7±2.0bC	6.7±0.7bB	7.8±1.1bB	12.7±3.7aB			
(g/kg DM)	U	34.2±1.6cA	45.5±4.8bA	52.5±4.3aA	56.2±2.4aA			
	Μ	8.8±1.1BC	9.6±1.8B	8.8±2.4B	8.7±1.1B			
	MU	10.6±1.1B	10.5±1.0B	9.7±1.3B	10.1±2.8B			
Lactic acid/acetic acid	Control	8.0±3.2aAB	9.4±0.5aA	8.4±1.7aA	3.0±0.3bB			
	U	0.0±0.0bC	0.0±0.0bB	0.1±0.0bB	0.3±0.0aC			
	Μ	8.4±1.4A	8.7±2.9A	9.4±2.8A	9.9±1.4A			
	MU	5.0±0.6bB	7.3±1.3abA	8.4±1.2aA	8.0±2.1aA			

Control: no additives, U: urea, M: molasses, MU: molasses + urea; Values (Mean±SD) with different small letters among fermentation days and capital letters among a specific parameter within the same fermentation day differ significantly (P<0.05).

|--|

Parameters	Treatments	Fermentation days					
Tarameters	ricatificitts	3	7	14	30		
Propionic acid	Control	0.2±0.1B	0.1±0.1B	0.3±0.2B	0.1±0.0B		
(g/kg DM)	U	0.6±0.1A	1.1±0.7A	0.8±0.3A	0.9±0.3A		
	Μ	0.1±0.0abB	0.0±0.0bB	0.1±0.0aB	0.1±0.0aB		
	MU	0.1±0.0bcB	0.0±0.0cB	0.3±0.1aB	0.2±0.1abB		
Butyric acid	Control	0.6±0.1bC	1.2±0.8bC	2.6±2.2bC	12.1±1.2aB		
(g/kg DM)	U	28.4±2.6cA	42.1±6.1bA	52.8±3.3aA	54.1±4.4aA		
	Μ	0.6±0.2C	0.7±0.2C	0.6±0.1C	0.5±0.1C		
	MU	10.1±0.5abB	8.8±1.2bB	10.5±1.0abB	12.1±1.9aB		
NH <sub>3</sub> -N	Control	58.3±3.2bD	68.9±6.7bC	73.5±11.0bC	100.1±10.6aC		
(g/kg TN)	U	488.6±14.1bA	581.9±20.2aA	585.4±14.0aA	592.7±8.8aA		
	Μ	86.8±6.9C	91.8±10.5C	87.0±5.7C	87.6±7.6C		
	MU	337.6±8.4bB	338.0±16.9bB	362.4±13.7aB	370.4±11.3aB		
Water soluble carbohydrate	Control	18.5±2.5aC	15.5±1.8abC	13.5±1.6bC	12.2±1.8bC		
(g/kg DM)	U	10.7±0.5aD	7.5±0.1bD	7.1±0.1bD	5.5±0.3cD		
	Μ	42.9±3.8aA	28.6±1.8bA	24.6±1.3bcA	22.4±0.9cA		
	MU	30.3±2.0aB	21.0±1.2bB	18.2±1.1bcB	17.4±1.5cB		

Control: no additives, U: urea, M: molasses, MU: molasses + urea; Values (Mean±SD) with different small letters among fermentation days and capital letters among a specific parameter within the same fermentation day differ significantly (P<0.05).

Table	3:	Effects	of	adding	urea	and	molasses	on	crude	protein	and	
structural carbohydrates of 30 days silage												

Paramotors	Treatments						
	Control	U	М	MU			
Crude protein	60.2±1.7B	55.1±0.7C	60.1±1.3B	85.4±1.7A			
(g/kg DM)							
Neutral detergent	594.7±4.6B	648.8±7.1A	528.3±7.3C	$538.6 \pm 3.6C$			
fiber (g/kg DM)							
Acid detergent	376.0±3.8B	$416.3 \pm 4.7 A$	332.8±5.2D	346.7±2.6C			
fiber (g/kg DM)							
Acid detergent	53.7±2.3B	63.4±1.9A	46.5±1.6C	54.7±2.3B			
lignin (g/kg DM)							
Hemicellulose	218.7±8.1B	232.5±2.5A	195.5±3.4C	191.9±0.9C			
(g/kg DM)							
Cellulose (g/kg DM)	322.3±2.6B	352.9±4.2A	286.3±6.0C	292.0±5.0C			

Control: no additives, U: urea, M: molasses, MU: molasses + urea; Values (Mean±SD) with different letters among treatments differ significantly (P<0.05).

contents (Table 2). This might be due to the ammonia which is released from urea increasing the buffering capacity which increased the critical WSC concentration for inhibiting clostridial fermentation.

**CP** and structural carbohydrate of 30 days silage: Increase of CP due to urea addition was reported by some researchers (McDonald *et al.*, 1991; Hill and Leaver, 1999; Yunus *et al.*, 2000). However, U treatment significantly (P<0.05) decreased CP contents but MU treatment significantly (P<0.05) increased this parameter (Table 3). This might result from the CP data which was determined based on oven dried samples, which led to loss of volatile nitrogen compounds, principally the ammonia. U treated silage had high ammonia contents which was lost during drying, while MU improved fermentation quality and reduced the loss of ammonia (less loss during drying).

Dean *et al.* (2008) reported that ammonia could act as an alkali-upgrading chemical to promote structural carbohydrate degradation of hays due to the hydrolytic action of ammonia on linkages between lignin and structural polysaccharides. Yahaya *et al.* (2002) reported that clostridial fermentation could increase hemi-cellulose degradation. But clostridial fermentation often led to large loss of nutrients such as WSC and protein (Shao *et al.*, 2005; Bureenok *et al.*, 2012). In our experiment, although there was high levels of ammonia and BA, U treatment significantly (P<0.05) increased structural carbohydrates contents compared with control (Table 3). This can be explained as follows: Firstly, in U treated silage the clostridial fermentation caused more loss in easy degradable nutrients than in structural carbohydrates, which indirectly increased the proportion of structural carbohydrates. Secondly, in high moisture content forages, any ammonia released from urea hydrolysis would dissolve and form the ammonium ion, reducing the presence and diffusion of ammonia gas across the silage, thus urea could not act as an alkali-upgrading chemical (Hill and Leaver, 1999). In this case, the degradation of lignocellulose linkages was not properly enhanced by the ammoniation process. Both M and MU significantly (P<0.05) decreased structural carbohydrate contents compared with control (Table 3). This was in agreement with the reports of Rezaei et al. (2009) and Baytok et al. (2005). These decreases might be attributed to the lower fiber contents of molasses and enhancement of cell wall hydrolysis by increased organic acids production due to the sugars in molasses.

**Conclusion:** High water contents and high buffering capacity would increase critical WSC concentration for inhibiting clostridial fermentation. Adding 0.4% urea alone is not advisable due to poor fermentation quality and large loss of easy degradable nutrients, whereas 4% molasses promoted the LA fermentation domination and structural carbohydrate degradation. Thus more than 4% molasses to 0.4% urea treated Napiergrass might be necessary for restraining clostridial fermentation.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by National Natural Science Fund of China (30771530) and Program Sponsored for Scientific Innovation Research of College Graduate in Jiangsu Province, China.

#### REFERENCES

- AOAC, 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. 15<sup>th</sup> Ed, Association of Official and Analytical Chemists, Arlington, Virginia, USA.
- Baytok E, T Aksu, MA Karsli and H Muruz, 2005. The effects of formic acid, molasses and inoculant as silage additives on corn silage composition and ruminal fermentation characteristics in sheep. Turk J Vet Anim Sci, 29: 469-474.
- Bilal MQ, 2009. Effect of molasses and corn as silage additives on the characteristics of Mott Dwarf elephant grass silage at different fermentation periods. Pak Vet J, 29: 19-23.
- Bureenok S, C Yuangklang, K Vasupen, JT Schonewille and Y Kawamoto, 2012. The effects of additives in Napiergrass silages on chemical composition, feed intake, nutrient digestibility and rumen fermentation. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci, 25: 1248-1254.
- Cesareo SD and SR Langton, 1992. Kinetic properties of *Helicobacter pylori* urease compared with Jack bean urease. FEMS Microbiol Lett, 78: 15-21.
- Dean DB, AT Adesogan, NA Krueger and RC Littell, 2008. Effects of treatment with ammonia or fibrolytic enzymes on chemical compostion and ruminal degradability of hays produced from tropical grasses. Anim Feed Sci Technol, 145: 68-83.

- Denek N, A Can, M Avci, T Aksu and H Durmaz, 2011. The effect of molasses-based pre-fermented juice on the fermentation quality of first-cut lucerne silage. Grass Forage Sci, 66: 243-250.
- Fang J, M Matsuzaki, H Suzuki, Y Cai, K Horiguchi and T Takahashi, 2012. Effects of lactic acid bacteria and urea treatment on fermentation quality, digestibility and ruminal fermentation of roll bale rice straw silage in wethers. Grassl Sci, 58: 73-78.
- Hill J and JD Leaver, 1999. Effect of stage of growth at harvest and level of urea application on chemical changes during storage of wholecrop wheat. Anim Feed Sci Technol, 77: 281-301.
- Liu QH, JG Zhang, SL Shi and QZ Sun, 2011. The effects of wilting and storage temperatures on the fermentation quality and aerobic stability of stylo silage. Anim Sci J, 82: 549-553.
- McDonald P, AR Henderson and SJE Heron, 1991. The Biochemistry of Silage. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed, Chalcombe Publications, Bucks, England, UK.
- Nishino N, Y Li, C Wang and S Parvin, 2012. Effects of wilting and molasses addition on fermentation and bacterial community in guinea grass silage. Lett Appl Microbiol, 54: 175-181.
- Rezaei J, Y Rouzbehan and H Fazaeli, 2009. Nutritive value of fresh and ensiled amaranth (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus*) treated with different levels of molasses. Anim Feed Sci Technol, 151: 153-160.
- Shao T, N Ohba, M Shimojo and Y Masuda, 2002. Dynamics of early fermentation of Italian ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) silage. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci, 15: 1606-1610.
- Shao T, M Shimojo, T Wang and Y Masuda, 2005. Effect of additives on the fermentation quality and residual mono- and di-saccharides compositions of Forage Oats (*Avena sativa* L.) and Italian Ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam.) silages. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci, 18: 1582-1588.
- Tjandraatmadja M, BW Norton and IC MacRae, 1994. Ensilage characteristics of three tropical grasses as influenced by stage of growth and addition of molasses. World J Microbiol Biotechnol, 10: 74-81.
- Van-Soest PJ, JB Robertson and BA Levis, 1991. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J Dairy Sci, 74: 3583-3597.
- Wang J, JQ Wang, H Zhou and T Feng, 2009. Effects of addition of previously fermented juice prepared from alfalfa on fermentation quality and protein degradation of alfalfa silage. Anim Feed Sci Technol, 151: 280-290.
- Yahaya MS, M Kawai, J Takahashi and S Matsuoka, 2002. The effects of different moisture content and ensiling time on silo degradation of structural carbohydrate of orchardgrass. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci, 15: 213-217.
- Yahaya MS, M Goto, W Yimiti and Y Kavamoto, 2004. Evaluation of fermentation quality of a tropical and temperate forage crops ensiled with additives of fermented juice of epiphytic lactic acid bacteria (FJLB). Asian-Aust J Anim Sci, 17: 942-946.
- Yalchi T and B Hajieghrari, 2010. Effect of *Trichoderma* spp. inoculation on the chemical composition and *in vitro* digestibility of wheat straw. Afr J Biotechnol, 9: 4132-4137.
- Yu Z, N Nishino and XS Guo, 2011. Chemical changes during ensilage and *In sacco* degradation of two tropical grasses: rhodesgrass and guineagrass treated with cell wall-degrading enzymes. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci, 24: 214-221.
- Yunus M, N Ohba, M Shimojo, M Furuse and Y Masuda, 2000. Effects of adding urea and molasses on Napiergrass silage quality. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci, 13: 1542-1547.
- Yunus M, N Ohba, M Tobisa, M Shimojo and Y Masuda, 2001. Effect of glucose and formic acid on quality of Napiergrass silage after treatment with urea. Asian-Aust J Anim Sci, 14: 211-215.
- Zhang JG, H Kawamoto and YM Cai, 2010. Relationships between the addition rates of cellulose or glucose and silage fermentation at different temperatures. Anim Sci J, 81: 325-330.
- Zhang L, CQ Yu, M Shimojo and T Shao, 2011. Effect of different rates of ethanol additive on fermentation quality of Napiergrass (*Pennisetum purpureum*). Asian-Aust J Anim Sci, 24: 636-642.