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A criterion for a prime to be a common index divisor of a dihedral field of prime degree is given. This criterion is used to determine the index of families of dihedral fields of degrees 5 and 7.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $L$ be an algebraic number field of degree $n$. Let $O_{L}$ denote the ring of integers of $L$. The element $\alpha \in O_{L}$ is called a generator of $L$ if $L=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. The index of $\alpha$ is the positive integer ind $\alpha$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\alpha)=(\text { ind } \alpha)^{2} d(L), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d(L)$ is the discriminant of $L$ and $D(\alpha)$ is the discriminant of the minimial polynomial of $\alpha$. The index of $L$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(L)=\operatorname{gcd}\{\operatorname{ind} \alpha \mid \alpha \text { is a generator of } L\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A positive integer $>1$ dividing $i(L)$ is called a common index divisor of $L$. If $O_{L}$ possesses an element $\beta$ such that $\left\{1, \beta, \beta^{2}, \ldots, \beta^{n-1}\right\}$ is an integral basis for $L$, then $L$ is said to be monogenic. If $L$ is monogenic, then $i(L)=1$. Thus a field possessing a common index divisor is nonmonogenic.

Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of odd prime degree $q$ and suppose that $\operatorname{Gal}(f(x)) \simeq D_{q}$ (the dihedral group of order $2 q$ ). We note that $D_{q}=\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle$ with $\sigma^{q}=\tau^{2}=(\sigma \tau)^{2}=1$. Let $M$ be the splitting field of $f(x)$. Let $\theta$ be a root of $f(x)$ and set
$L=\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ so that the degree of $L$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is equal to $q$. We denote the unique quadratic subfield of $M$ by $K$.

We prove in Section 2 the following theorem which gives a criterion for a prime $p$ to be a common index divisor of $L$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be irreducible, $\operatorname{deg}(f(x))=q$ (an odd prime), and $\operatorname{Gal}(f(x)) \simeq D_{q}$. Let $M$ be the splitting field of $f(x)$. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ be a root of $f(x)$. Set $L=\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ so that $[L: \mathbb{Q}]=q$. Let $K$ be the unique quadratic subfield of $M$. If $p$ is a prime satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
p<\frac{1}{2}(q+1), \quad p \mid d(K) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=R_{1} R_{2}^{2} \cdots R_{(q+1) / 2}^{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for distinct prime ideals $R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{(q+1) / 2}$ of $O_{L}$, and $p$ is a common index divisor of $L$.
As an application of Theorem 1.1, we determine in Section 3 the index of a field defined by a dihedral quintic trinomial of the form $x^{5}+a x+b, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In Section 4, we determine the index of an infinite family of fields defined by dihedral polynomials of degree 7 .

Finally in Section 5, we consider a dihedral field of degree 11 and use Theorem 1.1 to show that it is nonmonogenic.

We note that a method for calculating a generator of $K$, and hence $d(K)$, directly from $f(x)$ is given in [1].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As $p \mid d(K)$, we have $p=\wp^{2}$ for some prime ideal $\wp$ of $O_{K}$. Suppose that $\wp$ is inert in $M / K$. Then $p=\wp^{2}$ in $M / \mathbb{Q}$. This contradicts [2, Theorem 10.1.26, part (6)]. Hence $\wp$ is not inert in $M / K$. Suppose $\wp$ totally ramifies in $M / K$. Then $\wp=Q^{q}$ for some prime ideal $Q$ of $M$. Thus $p=\wp^{2}=Q^{2 q}$ in $M$. Hence, by [2, Theorem 10.1.26, part (9)], we have $p \mid q$. But $p$ and $q$ are primes so $p=q$. This contradicts the assumption $p<(1 / 2)(q+1)$. Hence $\wp$ does not totally ramify in $M$. Then, as $M$ is normal over $K$ of prime degree $q$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{\wp}=Q_{1} Q_{2} \cdots Q_{q} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for distinct prime ideals $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, \ldots, Q_{q}$ of $M$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\wp^{2}=Q_{1}^{2} Q_{2}^{2} \cdots Q_{q}^{2} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by [2, Theorem 10.1.26, part (6)], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=R_{1} R_{2}^{2} \cdots R_{(q+1) / 2}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for distinct prime ideals $R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{(q+1) / 2}$ of $L$, which is (1.4). We note that the decomposition of $p$ in $L$ can be checked directly by studying the $\operatorname{Gal}(M / L)$ action on the coset space $D_{q} / D$, where $D$ is a decomposition subgroup at $p$.

Let $g(x)$ be any defining polynomial for $L$, so that $\operatorname{deg}(g(x))=q$. Let $\phi$ be a root of $g(x)$ such that $\mathbb{Q}(\phi)=L$. Suppose $p \nmid \operatorname{ind}(\phi)$. The inertial degree $f=1$ in the extension $M / \mathbb{Q}$ (using the tower $M / K / \mathbb{Q}$ ), hence in $L / \mathbb{Q}$, so that all the irreducible factors of $g(x)$ modulo $p$ are linear. Thus $g(x)$ has at most $p$ irreducible factors modulo $p$. Hence, by Dedekind's theorem, $p$ factors into at most $p$ prime ideals in $L$. Thus by $(1.4)$ we have $(1 / 2)(q+1) \leq$ $p$. This contradicts $p<(1 / 2)(q+1)$. Hence $p \mid \operatorname{ind}(\phi)$ for all defining polynomials $g$. Thus $p$ is a common index divisor of $L$.

## 3. Dihedral quintic trinomials

Let $f(x)=x^{5}+a x+b \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ have Galois group $D_{5}$. Then there exist coprime integers $m$ and $n$ and $i, j \in\{0,1\}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=2^{2-4 i} 5^{1-4 j} d_{2}\left(m^{2}-m n-n^{2}\right) E^{2} F, \\
& b=2^{4-5 i} 5^{-5 j} d_{1}(2 m-n)(m+2 n) E^{3} F, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d_{1}^{2}$ is the largest square dividing $m^{2}+n^{2}, d_{2}^{5}$ is the largest fifth power dividing $m^{2}+$ $m n-n^{2}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{m^{2}+n^{2}}{d_{1}^{2}}, \quad F=\frac{m^{2}+m n-n^{2}}{d_{2}^{5}} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is due to Roland et al. [3, page 138], see also [4, page 139]. The discriminant of $x^{5}+a x+b$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(f)=2^{16-20 i} 5^{6-20 j}\left(2 m^{6}+4 m^{5} n+5 m^{4} n^{2}-5 m^{2} n^{4}+4 m n^{5}-2 n^{6}\right)^{2} E^{10} F^{4}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [3, equation (3), page 139]. As $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$, we have $3 \nmid m^{2}+n^{2}$ and $3 \nmid m^{2}+m n-n^{2}$ so $3 \nmid E$ and $3 \nmid F$. If $3 \mid n$, then $3 \nmid m$, and so $3 \nmid 2 m^{6}+4 m^{5} n+5 m^{4} n^{2}-5 m^{2} n^{4}+4 m n^{5}-$ $2 n^{6}$. If $3 \nmid n$, then as the polynomial $2 x^{6}+4 x^{5}+5 x^{4}-5 x^{2}+4 x-2$ is irreducible (mod3), we deduce that $3 \nmid 2 m^{6}+4 m^{5} n+5 m^{4} n^{2}-5 m^{2} n^{4}+4 m n^{5}-2 n^{6}$. Hence $3 \nmid D(f)$. Thus $3 \nmid$ $\operatorname{ind}(\theta)$, where $L=\mathbb{Q}(\theta), f(\theta)=0$. Hence $3 \nmid i(L)$. By Engstrom [5, page 234] as $[L: \mathbb{Q}]=$ 5 , the only primes that can divide $i(L)$ are 2 and 3 . We use our theorem to show that $2 \mid i(L)$. From Spearman and Williams [4, pages 149, 150], the discriminant $d(K)$ of the unique quadratic subfield of the splitting field of $f(x)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2^{2} \| d(K) & \text { if } m \equiv n+1(\bmod 2), \\
2^{3} \| d(K) & \text { if } m \equiv n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) . \tag{3.4}
\end{array}
$$

Thus $2 \mid d(K)$. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, 2 is a common index divisor of $L$. From Engstrom [5, Table, page 234], as $2=R_{1} R_{2}^{2} R_{3}^{2}$ by Theorem 1.1, we deduce, $i(L)=2$. As $i(L) \neq 1$, this gives an infinite family of nonmonogenic dihedral quintic fields. In [6], an infinite family of monogenic dihedral quintic fields was exhibited.

## 4. A class of dihedral polynomials of degree 7

We recall a family of polynomials of degree 7 due to Smith [7, page 790]. This family is $f_{t}(x)(t \in \mathbb{Z})$, where $f_{t}(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{t}(x)=x^{7}-\left(7 t^{3}+35 t^{2}+21 t+1\right)[ & 21 x^{5}+(98 t+70) x^{4} \\
& -\left(1029 t^{3}+4557 t^{2}+343 t-105\right) x^{3} \\
& -28(7 t+1)\left(49 t^{3}+147 t^{2}+63 t-3\right) x^{2} \\
& +7\left(7 t^{2}+42 t-1\right)\left(7 t^{2}+14 t-5\right)(7 t+1)^{2} x  \tag{4.1}\\
& +235298 t^{7}+1236858 t^{6}+1138074 t^{5} \\
& \left.+562226 t^{4}+11270 t^{3}-4914 t^{2}-322 t+6\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Smith showed that the Galois group of $f_{t}(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ is $D_{7}$. We are interested in determining integers $t$ for which the Galois group of $f_{t}(x)$ (considered as a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x])$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $D_{7}$. MAPLE gives the discriminant of $f_{t}(x)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
D\left(f_{t}\right)=2^{46} & 7^{12} t^{15}\left(7 t^{2}-14 t-9\right)^{6}\left(7 t^{3}+35 t^{2}+21 t+1\right)^{6} \\
& \quad \times\left(63 t^{2}+266 t-25\right)^{2}\left(49 t^{4}-196 t^{3}-1694 t^{2}-140 t-3\right)^{2} . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4.1. (i) If $t \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, then $3 \nmid D\left(f_{t}\right)$.
(ii) If $t \equiv 1,2$ or $4(\bmod 5)$, then $5 \nmid D\left(f_{t}\right)$.

The proof follows from (4.2).
Lemma 4.2. If $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mid t, \quad 7 t^{3}+35 t^{2}+21 t+1 \text { is square-free }>1, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f_{t}(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.
Proof. Set $a(t)=7 t^{3}+35 t^{2}+21 t+1$ and $b(t)=-235298 t^{7}-1236858 t^{6}-1138074 t^{5}-$ $562226 t^{4}-11270 t^{3}+4914 t^{2}+322 t-6$. Then, from (4.1), we see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{t}(x) \equiv x^{7} \quad(\bmod a(t)),  \tag{4.4}\\
f_{t}(0)=a(t) b(t) . \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The resultant of $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ as polynomials in $t$ is (by MAPLE) $2^{45} 7^{7}$. Clearly $7 \nmid a(t)$ and (as $2 \mid t) 2 \nmid a(t)$. Thus $\operatorname{gcd}_{\mathbb{Z}}(a(t), b(t))=1$. Let $q$ be any prime dividing $a(t)$ (so $q \neq$ 2,7). Then $q \| a(t)$ and $q \nmid b(t)$. Thus, by (4.1) and (4.4), $q$ divides the coefficients of $x^{i}$ $(i=0,1,2,3,4,5,6)$ in $f_{t}(x)$ and by (4.5) $q \| f_{t}(0)$. Hence, by Eisenstein's criterion, $f_{t}(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $\theta$ denote one of the roots of $f_{t}(x)$. Let $\alpha_{1}=\theta, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{7}$ be all the roots of $f_{t}(x)$. Set $L=\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Under condition (4.3), we have $[L: \mathbb{Q}]=7$.

Lemma 4.3. For $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f_{t}}(x)=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 7}\left(x-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $P_{f_{t}}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f_{t}}(x)=F_{t}(x) G_{t}(x) H_{t}(x), \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{t}(x), G_{t}(x)$, and $H_{t}(x)$ are distinct polynomials of degree 7 in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{t}(x) \equiv G_{t}(x) \equiv H_{t}(x) \equiv x^{7}(\bmod a(t)), \\
F_{t}(0)=-32 a(t) c(t), \\
G_{t}(0)=-32 a(t) d(t),  \tag{4.8}\\
H_{t}(0)=32 a(t) e(t),
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& c(t)=27783 t^{6}+43218 t^{5}-300615 t^{4}+131516 t^{3}+17241 t^{2}-14 t-25 \\
& d(t)=8575 t^{6}-52822 t^{5}+34153 t^{4}+27244 t^{3}+2737 t^{2}-406 t-25,  \tag{4.9}\\
& e(t)=1029 t^{6}-4802 t^{5}-9457 t^{4}-5292 t^{3}-973 t^{2}+14 t+25 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The assertion $P_{f_{t}}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ follows from [8, Lemma 11.1.3, page 359] and the fact that $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{7}$ are algebraic integers. The remaining assertions of the lemma can be verified using MAPLE.

Lemma 4.4. If $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mid t, \quad 7 t^{3}+35 t^{2}+21 t+1 \text { is square-free }>1 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the polynomials $F_{t}(x), G_{t}(x)$, and $H_{t}(x)$ are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.
Proof. The resultants of $a(t)$ and $c(t)$ (resp., $a(t)$ and $d(t), a(t)$ and $e(t)$ ) regarded as polynomials in $t$ are by MAPLE $-2^{30} 7^{6}$ (resp., $-2^{30} 7^{6}, 2^{30} 7^{6}$ ). Exactly as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, making use of Lemma 4.3, we find by Eisenstein's criterion that the polynomials $F_{t}(x), G_{t}(x)$, and $H_{t}(x)$ are irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 4.5. If $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ is such that

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \mid t, \quad 7 t^{3}+35 t^{2}+ & 21 t+1 \text { is square-free }>1, \\
t & \text { is not a perfect square }, \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Gal}\left(f_{t}(x)\right) \simeq D_{7} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Jensen and Yui [8, Theorem II.1.2, page 359] have shown that a monic polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of degree $p$, where $p$ is a prime $\equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, has $\operatorname{Gal}(f) \simeq D_{p}$ if and only if
(i) $f(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$,
(ii) $D(f)$ is not a perfect square,
(iii) $P_{f}(x)$ factors as a product of $(p-1) / 2$ distinct irreducible polynomials of degree $p$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.
By Lemma 4.2, $f_{t}(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. As $t$ is not a perfect square, we see by (4.2) that $D\left(f_{t}\right)$ is not a perfect square. Finally, by Lemmas 4.3 and $4.4, P_{f_{t}}(x)$ factors as a product of 3 distinct irreducible polynomials of degree 7 over $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence, by the Jensen-Yui criterion, $\operatorname{Gal}\left(f_{t}(x)\right) \simeq D_{7}$.

Theorem 4.6. (i) There exist infinitely many integers $t$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \| t, \quad t \equiv 1(\bmod 3), \quad t \equiv 1,2 \text { or } 4(\bmod 5), \\
7 t^{3}+35 t^{2}+21 t+1 \text { is square-free }>1, \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

and for these values of $t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(L)=2^{4} . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) There exist infinitely many integers $t$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
2\|t, 3\| t, \quad t \equiv 1,2 \text { or } 4(\bmod 5) \\
7 t^{3}+35 t^{2}+21 t+1 \text { is square-free }>1 \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

and for these values of $t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
i(L)=2^{4} 3 . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The infinitude of integers of the required forms follows from a result of Erdös [9].
Under conditions (4.13) and (4.15), $L$ is a dihedral field of degree 7, by Lemma 4.5. With the notation of Theorem 1.1, we see from (4.2) that $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{t})$. Clearly $2 \mid d(K)$. By Theorem 1.1, 2 is a common index divisor of $L$. Also from Theorem 1.1, we see that $2=$ $R_{1} R_{2}^{2} R_{3}^{2} R_{4}^{2}$ for distinct prime ideals $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, R_{4}$ of $L$. Hence, by Engstrom [5, Table, page 235], we see that $2^{4} \| i(L)$. For both (4.13) and (4.15) we have by Lemma 4.1(ii) $5 \nmid D\left(f_{t}\right)$
so $5 \nmid i(L)$. For (4.13) by Lemma $4.1(\mathrm{i})$ we have $3 \nmid D\left(f_{t}\right)$, so $3 \nmid i(L)$. As $[L: \mathbb{Q}]=7$, by [5, page 224], the only possible prime divisors of $i(L)$ are 2,3 , and 5 . Hence $i(L)=2^{4}$ in case (i). For case (ii), by Theorem 1.1, 3 is a common index divisor of $L$. Also, by Theorem 1.1, we see that $3=R_{1} R_{2}^{2} R_{3}^{2} R_{4}^{2}$ for distinct prime ideals $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, R_{4}$ of $L$. Hence, by Engstrom [5, Table, page 235], we see that $3 \| i(L)$. Finally, as the only possible prime divisors of $i(L)$ are 2,3 , and 5 , we deduce that $i(L)=2^{4} 3$ in case (ii).

## 5. A dihedral field of degree 11

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)= & x^{11}-2 x^{10}-51 x^{9}-x^{8}+536 x^{7} \\
& +3 x^{6}-1999 x^{5}+281 x^{4}+2571 x^{3}  \tag{5.1}\\
& -485 x^{2}-680 x+69 .
\end{align*}
$$

By MAPLE, $f(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\theta$ be a root of $f(x)$ and set $L=\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, so that $[L: \mathbb{Q}]=11$. Let $M$ be the splitting field of $f(x)$. It is known that $M$ is the Hilbert class field of $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{10401})$ [10] so that $L$ is a dihedral extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. By Theorem 1.1, 3 is a common index divisor of $L$, hence $L$ is not monogenic.
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