Opuscula Mathematica • Vol. 29 • No. 4 • 2009

Jan Chabrowski

ON ELLIPTIC PROBLEMS WITH A NONLINEARITY DEPENDING ON THE GRADIENT

Abstract. We investigate the solvability of the Neumann problem (1.1) involving the nonlinearity depending on the gradient. We prove the existence of a solution when the right hand side f of the equation belongs to $L^m(\Omega)$ with $1 \le m < 2$.

Keywords: Neumann problem, nonlinearity depending on the gradient, L^1 data.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35D05, 35J25, 35J60.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we investigate the solvability of the nonlinear Neumann problem with a nonlinearity depending on the gradient. First we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + |\nabla u|^q + \lambda u = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $1 \le q \le 2$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \ge 3$, is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. It is assumed that $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. If f > 0 on Ω , then solutions, if they exist, are positive. In Section 3 we consider problem (1.1) with $|\nabla u|^q$ replaced by a nonlinearity satisfying a sign condition. The boundary value problems with data in L^1 has been studied quite extensively in recent years. The Dirichlet problem with a nonlinearity depending only on u has been considered in papers [7,10]. Some extensions to the Neumann problem can be found in paper [12]. These results has been extended to the case where a nonlinearity depends on the gradient. In particular, more general elliptic operators with more general nonlinearities with $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ or being a Radon measure have been investigated in [3–6,11]. Further extensions to the Dirichlet problem with L^2 boundary data can be found in [11]. We refer to paper [2] for the bibliographical references. It seems that less is known for the Neumann problem. By $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $1 \le p < \infty$, we denote the Sobolev space equipped with norm

$$|u||_{W^{1,p}}^p = \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \right) dx.$$

Throughout this paper, in a given Banach space X, we denote strong convergence by " \rightarrow " and weak convergence by " \rightarrow ". The norms in the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove the existence of positive solutions of (1.1) assuming that f is positive and belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$. Section 3 is devoted to the problem with a nonlinearity satisfying a sign condition, where we do not assume that f is positive. The crucial point in our approach are estimates of $W^{1,q}$ - norm of solutions of (1.1) in terms of L^m - norm of f (see Lemmas 2.1, 3.1, 3.3). The estimates in terms of L^m norm of f (see Lemmas 3.1, 3.3) in a linear case were given in [8] and are extended in this paper to solutions of (1.1). In these two lemmas the important assumption is that $q \neq \frac{N}{N-1}$, which is due to the use of special test functions in the proofs. We were unable to show whether these lemmas continue to hold for $q = \frac{N}{N-1}$. In Section 4 we establish the higher integrability property for positive solutions of (1.1).

The main results of this paper are Theorems 2.2, 3.2, 3.4. In the proofs we use some ideas from paper [4].

2. EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS

In this section consider problem (1.1) assuming that f > 0 on Ω . Then a solution, if it exists, is positive on Ω . We need the following definition of a solution of (1.1): let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, then a function $u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1.1) if

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q v \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \tag{2.1}$$

for every function $v \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $1 \leq q \leq 2$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with f > 0 on Ω . If $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is a positive solution of (1.1), then

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^q + u^q \right) dx \le C_1 \int_{\Omega} f \, dx + C_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} f \, dx \right)^q, \tag{2.2}$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are constants independent of u and f.

Proof. Testing (2.1) with the constant function 1 we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \, dx. \tag{2.3}$$

It is clear that equality (2.3) yields (2.2) if q = 1. To proceed further we use a decomposition $W^{1,2}(\Omega) = V \oplus \text{span 1}$, where

$$V = \{ v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega); \int_{\Omega} v \, dx = 0 \}.$$

Then u = v + t, with $v \in V$ and $t = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u \, dx > 0$, because u is positive. From (2.3) we deduce

$$t \le \frac{1}{\lambda |\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} f \, dx. \tag{2.4}$$

We now observe that the Poincaré inequality is valid in V, that is, there exists a constant $C(\Omega) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^q \, dx \le C(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q \, dx$$

for every $v \in V$. Consequently, using (2.4), we can estimate the norm of u in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ as follows

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^q + u^q \right) dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q \, dx + 2^{q-1} \int_{\Omega} \left(v^q + t^q \right) dx \le$$
$$\le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q \, dx + 2^{q-1} C(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^q \, dx + 2^{q-1} |\Omega| t^q.$$

This combined with (2.4) and (2.3) implies (2.2).

We are now in a position to formulate the first existence result.

Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \le q \le 2$ and f be a positive function in $L^1(\Omega)$. Then problem (1.1) admits a positive solution in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$.

Proof. The proof will be given in 2 steps. **Step 1.** Assume $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta -\Delta u + \lambda u &= f(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega, \\ u &> 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega. \end{aligned}$$
(2.5)

This problem has a unique positive solution $v \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (see [1]). We now use some ideas from papers [5] and [6]. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w_n + \frac{|\nabla w_n|^q}{1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla w_n|^q} + \lambda w_n = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial w_n}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ w_n > 0 & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(2.6)

It is clear that v is a super-solution to problem (2.6) and 0 is a sub-solution. Thus problem (2.6) admits a solution $0 \le w_n \le v$. This fact is known for equation (2.6) with the Dirichlet boundary conditions (see [5]). The result from [5] can be easily extended to the Neumann problem (2.6). The sequence $\{w_n\}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Testing (2.6) with w_n we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla w_n|^2 + \lambda w_n^2 \right) dx \le \|f\|_{L^2} \|w_n\|_{L^2},$$

which shows that the sequence $\{w_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. We may assume that $w_n \to w$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $w_n \to w$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $w_n \to w$ a.e. on Ω . We now show that $w_n \to w$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. We put $\phi(s) = s \exp(\frac{s^2}{4})$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We introduce notation $H_n(s) = \frac{|s|^q}{1+\frac{1}{n}|s|^q}$. The function ϕ satisfies $\phi'(s) - |\phi(s)| \ge \frac{1}{2}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Testing (2.6) with $\phi(w_n - w)$ we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla w_n \phi'(w_n - w) \nabla (w_n - w) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} H_n(|\nabla w_n|) \phi(w_n - w) \, dx + \\ + \lambda \int_{\Omega} w_n \phi(w_n - w) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \phi(w_n - w) \, dx.$$
(2.7)

It is easy to check that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla w_n \phi'(w_n - w) \nabla (w_n - w) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (w_n - w)|^2 \phi'(w_n - w) \, dx + o(1).$$
(2.8)

To estimate the second term on the left side of (2.7) we use the inequality: if $1 \le q < 2$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $C_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that

$$s^q \le \epsilon s^2 + C_\epsilon$$
 for every $s \ge 0.$ (2.9)

We then have

$$\int_{\Omega} H_n(|\nabla w_n|) |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx \leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^2 |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx + C_\epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx =$$

$$= \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (w_n - w)|^2 |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx -$$

$$- \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx +$$

$$+ 2\epsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla w_n \nabla w |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx +$$

$$+ C_\epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx.$$
(2.10)

Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx \to 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla w_n \nabla w |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx \to 0$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \phi(w_n - w) \right| dx \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$, we derive from (2.10) that

$$\int_{\Omega} H_n(|\nabla w_n|) |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx \le \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n - \nabla w|^2 |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx + o(1).$$
(2.11)

If q = 2, then instead of (2.10) we have

$$\int_{\Omega} H_n(|\nabla w_n|) |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^2 \phi(w_n - w) \, dx$$

and (2.11) holds with $\epsilon = 1$. We also have

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x)\phi(w_n - w) \, dx \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} w_n \phi(w_n - w) \, dx \to 0 \tag{2.12}$$

as $n \to \infty$. If $1 \le q < 2$ we derive from (2.7), (2.8), (2.11) and (2.12) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(w_n - w)|^2 \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} \left(\phi'(w_n - w) - \epsilon |\phi(w_n - w)| \right) |\nabla(w_n - w)|^2 \, dx = o(1).$$

Thus $w_n \to w$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. If q = 2, the above inequality continues to hold with $\epsilon = 1$. In this case we also have that $w_n \to w$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Since $1 \le q \le 2$, $\nabla w_n \to \nabla w$ in $L^q(\Omega)$. For each $\phi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and for each n we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla w_n \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla w_n|^q}{1 + \frac{1}{n} |\nabla w_n|^q} \phi \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} w_n \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \phi \, dx.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla w \nabla \phi \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^q \phi \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} w \phi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \phi \, dx.$$

So $w \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (1.1).

Step 2. First we consider the case $1 \leq q < 2$. Let $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ and let $\{f_n\} \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $f_n \to f$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. By Step 1 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a solution $u_n \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to problem (1.1) with $f = f_n$. For each k > 1 we put $T_k(s) = \min(s, k)$ for $0 \leq s$. Taking $T_k u_n$ as a test function in (1.1) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k u_n|^2 \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} |T_k u_n|^2 \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} f_n T_k u_n \, dx \le k \|f_n\|_{L^1}.$$

Consequently, $\{T_k u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 2.1 we may assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$. We may also assume that $T_k u_n \rightharpoonup T_k u$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and $T_k u_n \rightarrow T_k u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Let $G_k(s) = s - T_k(s)$ and put $\psi_{k-1}(s) = T_1(G_{k-1}(s))$. Thus

$$\psi_{k-1}(u_n)|\nabla u_n|^q \ge |\nabla u_n|^q \chi_{(u_n>k)}$$

Using $\psi_{k-1}(u_n)$ as a test function in (2.1) (with $f = f_n$) we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi_{k-1}(u_n)|^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \psi_{k-1}(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^q \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_n \psi_{k-1}(u_n) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f_n \psi_{k-1}(u_n) \, dx$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega)$ for each $p \leq q^* = \frac{Nq}{N-q}$ we see that

$$|\{x \in \Omega; k - 1 < u_n(x) < k\}| \to 0 \text{ and } |\{x \in \Omega; k < u_n(x)\}| \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$ uniformly in *n*. So

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{u_n > k} |\nabla u_n|^q \, dx = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

uniformly in *n*. Using as a test function $\phi(T_k u_n - T_k u)$ and repeating the argument from Step 1 we show that $T_k u_n \to T_k u$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. We now use this to show that the sequence $\{|\nabla u_n|^q\}$ is equi-integrable. This follows from (2.13) and the following inequality: for every measurable subset $E \subset \Omega$ we have

$$\int_{E} |\nabla u_n|^q \, dx \le \int_{E} |\nabla T_k u_n|^q \, dx + \int_{(u_n \ge k) \cap E} |\nabla u_n|^q \, dx$$

Indeed, given $\epsilon > 0$, according to (2.13), we can find k large enough such that

$$\int_{u_n \ge k} |\nabla u_n|^q \, dx < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for all n. Since $\nabla T_k(u_n) \to T_k(u)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{E} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^q \, dx < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

provided $|E| \leq \delta$ and for all *n*. By Vitali's theorem $\nabla u_n \to \nabla u$ in $L^q(\Omega)$. Thus *u* is a weak solution of (1.1). If q = 2, then by Lemma 2.1 the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. An obvious modification of Step 2 completes the proof.

3. NONLINEARITY WITH A SIGN CONDITION

In this section we discuss the solvability of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + g(x, u, \nabla u) + \lambda u = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

We assume that the nonlinearity $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, that is, $g(\cdot, s, \xi)$ is measurable on Ω for every $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and $g(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Moreover, we assume that

 (g_1) there exist an increasing and continuous function $b: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with b(0) = 0and a positive function $a \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$|g(x,s,\xi)| \le b(|s|) (|\xi|^q + a(x))$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $(s,\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$. $(g_2) \ g(x,s,\xi) \text{ sgn } s \ge 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and for every $(s,\xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

A typical example of a nonlinearity satisfying (g_1) and (g_2) is $g(x, s, \xi) = s|\xi|^q$.

We now consider equation (3.1) without assumption that f is positive on Ω . Obviously, it is assumed that $f \not\equiv 0$ on Ω . We assume that $\frac{N}{N-1} < q < 2$. Then there exists $1 < m < \frac{2N}{N+q}$ such that $q = m^* = \frac{Nm}{N-m}$. In this case m is given by $m = \frac{Nq}{N+q}$. We also use notation $q^* = \frac{Nq}{N-q}$. With these notations we establish the estimates of norms $||u||_{L^{q^*}}$ and $||u||_{W^{1,q}}$ of a solution u of (1.1) in terms of the norm $||f||_{L^m}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\frac{N}{N-1} < q < 2$. If $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a solution of (3.1), then

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^{*}} dx \leq C_{1} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{q} + |u|^{q} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{q^{*}}{q}} \leq \\
\leq C_{2} ||f||_{L^{m}}^{\frac{q^{*}}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^{*}} dx \right)^{\frac{(1-r)}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(1 + u^{2} \right)^{\frac{q^{*}}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{r}{2}},$$
(3.2)

where $r = \frac{N(2-q)}{N-q}$ and $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ are constants independent of u and f.

Proof. We follow some ideas from [8], where the same estimate was proved for the linear problem. Put $\varphi(x) = \frac{u}{(1+u^2)^{\frac{r}{2}}}$. Since $\frac{N}{N-1} < q < 2$, we have 0 < r < 1. Since $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, φ is a legitimate test function. Upon the substitution we obtain

$$(1-r)\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+u^2)^{\frac{r}{2}}} dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{(1+u^2)^{\frac{r}{2}}} dx \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{|fu|}{(1+u^2)^{\frac{r}{2}}} dx \le \\ \le \|f\|_{L^m} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{(1-r)m'} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{m'}},$$
(3.3)

where $m' = \frac{m}{m-1}$. Here we used the fact that

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} \frac{ug(x,u,\nabla u)}{\left(1+u^2\right)^{\frac{r}{2}}} \, dx \ge 0$$

due to assumption (g_2) . In what follows we denote by C > 0 a constant which is independent of u and f and may vary from line to line. By the Sobolev inequality we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^{*}} dx\right)^{\frac{q}{q^{*}}} \leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{q} + |u|^{q}\right) dx = \\ = C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{q}}{(1+u^{2})^{\frac{rq}{4}}} \left(1+u^{2}\right)^{\frac{rq}{4}} dx + \\ + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q}}{(1+u^{2})^{\frac{rq}{4}}} \left(1+u^{2}\right)^{\frac{rq}{4}} dx \leq \\ \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{(1+u^{2})^{\frac{r}{2}}} dx\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u^{2})^{\frac{rq}{2(2-q)}} dx\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}} + \\ + C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{2}}{(1+u^{2})^{\frac{r}{2}}} dx\right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u^{2})^{\frac{rq}{2(2-q)}} dx\right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}.$$
(3.4)

Inserting (3.3) into (3.4) we derive

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^*} dx\right)^{\frac{q}{q^*}} \le C \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^q + |u|^q \right) dx \le \\ \le C \|f\|_{L^m}^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{(1-r)m'} dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2m'}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u^2)^{\frac{rq}{2(2-q)}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}$$

Since $r = \frac{N(2-q)}{N-q}$, we have $\frac{rq}{2-q} = q^*$ and $(1-r)m' = q^*$. Therefore the above inequality becomes

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^*} dx \le C \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^q + |u|^q \right) dx \right)^{\frac{q^*}{q}} \le \\ \le C \|f\|_{L^m}^{\frac{q^*}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{q^*}{2m'}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(1 + u^2 \right)^{\frac{q^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{(2-q)q^*}{2q}}$$

Since $\frac{q^*}{2m'} = \frac{1-r}{2}$ and $\frac{(2-q)q^*}{2q} = \frac{r}{2}$, the result follows.

We are now in a position to formulate the second existence result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\frac{N}{N-1} < q < 2$ and $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $m = \frac{Nq}{N+q}$. Suppose that assumptions (g_1) and (g_2) hold. Then problem (1.1) admits a solution in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2 except some technical modifications. First we assume that $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$g_n(x, s, \xi) = \frac{g(x, s, \xi)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|g(x, s, \xi)|}$$

and consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + g_n(x, u, \nabla u) + \lambda u = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

Then the functions $v_1 = \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\lambda}$ and $v_2 = -\frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\lambda}$ are a super-solution and a sub-solution to problem (3.5), respectively. For every *n* problem (3.5) has a solution w_n satisfying $v_1 \leq w_n \leq v_2$ on Ω . Hence the sequence $\{w_n\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, that is, $\|w_n\|_{\infty} \leq M$ for some constant M > 0 and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Testing (3.5) with w_n we show that $\{w_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. So we may assume that $w_n \to w$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $w_n \to w$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $w_n \to w$ a.e. on Ω . Let ϕ be a function introduced in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Testing (3.5) with $\phi(w_n - w)$ we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla w_n \phi'(w_n - w) \nabla (w_n - w) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} g_n(x, w_n, \nabla w_n) \phi(w_n - w) \, dx + \\ + \lambda \int_{\Omega} w_n \phi(w_n - w) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \phi(w_n - w) \, dx.$$
(3.6)

It is clear that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla w_n \phi'(w_n - w) \nabla (w_n - w) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla (w_n - w)|^2 \phi'(w_n - w) \, dx + o(1).$$
(3.7)

385

We use inequality (2.9) and assumption (g_1) to estimate the second integral on the left side of (3.6)

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |g_n \phi(w_n - w)| \, dx &\leq b(M) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^q |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx \leq \\ &\leq b(M) \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^2 |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx + C_{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega} |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx + \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx. \end{split}$$

Since $\phi(w_n - w) \to 0$ a.e. on Ω and $\sup_n |\phi(w_n - w)| < \infty$ by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |g_n \phi(w_n - w)| \, dx \le b(M) \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n|^2 |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx + o(1).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we deduce from this that

$$\int_{\Omega} |g_n \phi(w_n - w)| \, dx \le b(M) \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n - \nabla w|^2 |\phi(w_n - w)| \, dx + o(1). \tag{3.8}$$

Taking $\epsilon b(M) \leq 1$ we deduce from (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_n - \nabla w|^2 \, dx \le \int_{\Omega} \left(\phi'(w_n - w) - \epsilon b(M) |\phi(w_n - w)| \right) |\nabla w_n - \nabla w|^2 \, dx = o(1).$$

Thus $w_n \to w$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. It is clear that w is a solution of (3.1). In the final step we choose a sequence $\{f_n\} \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $f_n \to f$ in $L^m(\Omega)$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ problem (3.1) with $f = f_n$ admits a solution $u_n \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We now define a sequence of truncations $\{T_k(u_n)\}$ for every k > 0, where $T_k = \max(-k, \min(s, k))$. Let $G_k(s) = s - T_k(s)$ and put $\psi_{k-1}(s) = T_1(G_{k-1}(s))$. Thus

$$\psi_{k-1}(u_n)|\nabla u_n|^2 \ge |\nabla u_n|^2 \chi_{|u_n|\ge k}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we show that the sequence $\{T_k(u_n)\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Hence we can assume that $T_k(u_n) \to T_k u$ in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $T_k(u_n) \to T_k u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $T_k(u_n) \to T_k(u)$ a.e. on Ω . By Lemma 3.1 we may also assume that $u_n \to u$ in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$. Using as a test function $\psi_{k-1}(u_n)$ we show that $\nabla u_n \to \nabla u$ in $L^q(\Omega)$ and u is a weak solution of (3.1).

We now turn our attention to positive solutions of (3.1). If f > 0 on Ω , then a solution obtained in Theorem 4.3 is positive. In this case we can also consider the interval $1 \le q < \frac{N}{N-1}$. We commence with an apriori estimate.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-1}$, f > 0 on Ω and $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. If $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a positive solution of problem (3.1), then

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{q^*} dx \le C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^q + u^q \right) dx \right)^{\frac{q^*}{q}} \le \\ \le C_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{q^*} dx \right)^{\frac{(2-q)q^*}{2q}} \left(\|f\|_{L^1}^{\frac{q^*}{2}} + \|f\|_{L^1}^{\frac{(2-r)q^*}{2}} \right)$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are constants independent of f and u and $r = \frac{N(2-q)}{N-q}$.

Proof. The proof is a modification of the argument used in the proof of Lemma 2.5 in [8]. We take as a test function $\phi(x) = (1+u)^{1-r}$. Since $q < \frac{N}{N-1}$, we have r > 1. Also r < 2 because $N \ge 3$. Hence $\phi(x) \le 1$ on Ω and upon a substitution we obtain

$$(r-1)\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+u)^r} dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u)(1+u)^{1-r} dx + + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(1+u)^{1-r} dx - - \int_{\Omega} f(1+u)^{1-r} dx \leq \leq \int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u) dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \, dx.$$
(3.9)

Testing equation (3.1) with a constant function 1 we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \, dx.$$
(3.10)

From (3.9) and (3.10) we derive

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+u)^r} \, dx \le \frac{1}{r-1} \int_{\Omega} f \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} u \, dx \le \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\Omega} f \, dx. \tag{3.11}$$

By the Sobolev inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{q^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q^*}{2}} &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^q + u^q \right) dx = \\ &= C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^q}{(1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2}}} (1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2}} \, dx + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^q}{(1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2}}} (1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2}} \, dx \leq \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+u)^r} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2-q}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}} + \\ &+ C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{(1+u)^r} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2-q}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \leq \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+u)^r} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2-q}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}} + \\ &+ C \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{2-r} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2-q}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \leq \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+u)^r} \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2-q}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}} + \\ &+ C |\Omega|^{\frac{q(r-1)}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u| \, dx \right)^{\frac{(2-r)q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{rq}{2-q}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}}. \end{split}$$

We now observe that $q^* = \frac{rq}{2-q}$. Hence combining the above estimate with (3.11) the result follows.

It is clear that Lemma 3.3 leads to the following existence result.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that $1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-1}$, f > 0 on Ω and $f \in L^1(\Omega)$. The problem (3.1) has a positive solution $u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$.

4. HIGHER INTEGRABILITY PROPERTY FOR SOLUTIONS OF (1.1)

The method used in the proof of Lemma 2.1 allows only to estimate the norm $W^{1,q}$ of a positive solution, where q is the exponent appearing in the equation. In the case $1 \leq q < 2$, a question arises whether a solution to (1.1) belongs to $W^{1,\bar{q}}(\Omega)$ with $q < \bar{q}$. We distinguish two cases: (i) $1 \leq q < \frac{N}{N-1}$ and (ii) $\frac{N}{N-1} < q < 2$. In the case (i) assuming that $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ we show that a solution belongs to $W^{1,\bar{q}}(\Omega)$ or every $q < \bar{q} < \frac{N}{N-1}$. In the case (ii) we show that a solution belongs $W^{1,\bar{q}}(\Omega)$ for some $q < \bar{q} < 2$ under some additional assumption on f. According to Step 1 of the proof of Theorem 2.2, if $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then problem (1.1) has a solution $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. **Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that f > 0 on Ω , $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $1 \leq q < \bar{q} < \frac{N}{N-1}$. If $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a positive solution of (1.1), then there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$, independent of u and f such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}^*} dx \le C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{\bar{q}} + u^{\bar{q}} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{\bar{q}^*}{\bar{q}}} \le \\ \le C_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(1 + u^{\bar{q}^*} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{(2-\bar{q})\bar{q}^*}{2\bar{q}}} \left(\|f\|_{L^1}^{\frac{\bar{q}^*}{2}} + \|f\|_{L^1}^{\frac{(2-\bar{r})\bar{q}^*}{2}} \right),$$

where $\bar{r} = \frac{N(2-\bar{q})}{N-\bar{q}}$ and $\bar{q}^* = \frac{N\bar{q}}{N-\bar{q}}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3 we take as a test function $\phi(x) = (1+u)^{1-\bar{r}}$. Since $\bar{q} < \frac{N}{N-1}$, we have $\bar{r} > 1$. Also $\bar{r} < 2$ because $N \ge 3$. Hence $\phi(x) \le 1$ on Ω and upon a substitution we obtain

$$(\bar{r}-1)\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+u)^{\bar{r}}} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q (1+u)^{1-\bar{r}} dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(1+u)^{1-\bar{r}} dx - \int_{\Omega} f(1+u)^{1-\bar{r}} dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \, dx.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Testing (1.1) with a constant function 1 we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^q + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \, dx. \tag{4.2}$$

By the Sobolev inequality we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}^*} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q^*}} &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{\bar{q}} + u^{\bar{q}} \right) dx = \\ &= C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{\bar{q}}}{(1+u)^{\frac{\bar{r}\bar{q}}{2}}} (1+u)^{\frac{\bar{r}\bar{q}}{2}} dx + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{\bar{q}}}{(1+u)^{\frac{\bar{r}\bar{q}}{2}}} (1+u)^{\frac{\bar{r}\bar{q}}{2}} dx \leq \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+u)^{\bar{r}}} dx \right)^{\frac{\bar{q}}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{\bar{r}\bar{q}}{2-\bar{q}}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-\bar{q}}{2}} + \\ &+ C \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{(1+u)^{\bar{r}}} dx \right)^{\frac{\bar{q}}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1+u)^{\frac{\bar{r}\bar{q}}{2-\bar{q}}} dx \right)^{\frac{2-\bar{q}}{2}}. \end{split}$$

Combining the above inequality with (4.1) and (4.2) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}^{*}} dx\right)^{\frac{\bar{q}^{*}}{\bar{q}^{*}}} &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{\bar{q}} + u^{\bar{q}} \right) dx \leq \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} f \, dx\right)^{\frac{\bar{q}}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(1 + u\right)^{\bar{q}^{*}} dx\right)^{\frac{2-\bar{q}}{2}} + \\ &+ C \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(1 + u\right)^{\bar{q}^{*}} dx\right)^{\frac{2-\bar{q}}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{2-\bar{r}} \, dx\right)^{\frac{\bar{q}}{2}} \leq \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(1 + u\right)^{\bar{q}^{*}} \, dx\right)^{\frac{2-\bar{q}}{2}} \left[\|f\|_{L^{1}}^{\frac{\bar{q}}{2}} + \|f\|_{L^{1}}^{(2-\bar{r})\frac{\bar{q}}{2}} \right]. \end{split}$$

This yields the desired estimate.

Lemma 4.2. Let f > 0 on Ω , $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\frac{N}{N-1} < q < \overline{q} < 2$. If $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap U^{1,2}(\Omega)$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a positive solution of (1.1), then

$$\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}^*} dx \le C_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u|^{\bar{q}} + u^{\bar{q}} \right) dx \right)^{\frac{q}{\bar{q}}} \le \\ \le C_2 \|f\|_{L^{\bar{m}}}^{\frac{q}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u^{\bar{q}^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1-\bar{r}}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(1 + u^2 \right)^{\frac{\bar{q}^*}{2}} dx \right)^{\frac{\bar{r}}{2}},$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are positive constants independent of u and f, and $\bar{r} = \frac{N(2-\bar{q})}{N-\bar{q}}$, $\bar{m} = \frac{N\bar{q}}{N+\bar{q}}.$

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1 and is omitted. These two lemmas yield the following result.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that f > 0 on Ω .

- (i) If f ∈ L¹(Ω) and 1 ≤ q < N/N-1, then problem (1.1) has a solution that belongs to W^{1,q̄}(Ω) for every q ≤ q̄ < N/N-1.
 (ii) If f ∈ L^{m̄}(Ω) with m̄ = Nq̄/N+q̄, N/N-1 ≤ q < q̄ < 2, then problem (1.1) has a solution belonging to W^{1,q̄}(Ω).

Higher integrability property can also be established to solutions of problem (3.1).

REFERENCES

[1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions I, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), 623-727.

- [2] B. Abdellaoui, I. Peral, A. Primo, Breaking of resonance and regularizing effect of a first order quasi-linear term in some elliptic equation, Ann. I.H. Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire (2007), online.
- [3] Ph. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, Th. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre J.L. Vazquez, An L¹-theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa **22** (1995) 2, 242–273.
- [4] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, L. Orsina, Existence and nonexistence of solutions for some nonlinear elliptic problems, J. d'Anal. Math. 73 (1997), 203–223.
- [5] L. Boccardo, F. Murat, J.P. Puel, Résultats d'existence pour certain problèmes elliptiques quasi-linéaires, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa 11 (1984) 2, 213–235.
- [6] L. Boccardo, F. Murat, J.P. Puel, Existence de solutions non bornées pour certaines équations quasi-linéaires, Portugaliae Math. 41 (1982), 507–534.
- [7] H. Brezis, W.A. Strauss, Semi-linear second-order elliptic equations in L¹, J. Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1973) 4, 565–590.
- [8] J. Chabrowski, On the Neumann problem with L^1 data, Coll. Math. **107** (2007) 2, 301–316.
- [9] J. Chabrowski, On the existence of solutions of the Dirichlet problem for nonlinear elliptic equations, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 37 (1988), 65–87.
- [10] T. Gallouët, J.M. Morel, On some linear problems in L^1 , Bollettino U.M.I. (6) 4-A (1985), 123–131.
- [11] Sergio Seguria de León, Existence and uniqueness for L^1 data of some elliptic equations with natural growth, Advances in Diff. Equations 8 (2003) 11, 1377–1408.
- [12] J.R. Ward Jr, Perturbations with some superlinear growth for a class of second order elliptic boundary value problems, Nonlin. Anal. TMA 6 (1982) 4, 367–374.

Jan Chabrowski jhc@maths.uq.edu.au

University of Queensland Department of Mathematics St. Lucia 4072, Qld, Australia

Received: July 29, 2009. Accepted: August 17, 2009.