# THE INFLUENCE OF TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP STYLES ON KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT: THE MODERATING ROLE OF ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE AMONG ADMINISTRATORS

By

# ZARINA BINTI ZAINAL ABIDIN

A Master Paper Submitted to the Centre for Graduate Studies,
Universiti Utara Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the
Degree of Master of Human Resource Management

**MAY 2012** 

# PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes maybe granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of College of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or to take other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

**Dean of College of Business** 

**University Utara Malaysia** 

06010 UUM Sintok

**Kedah Darul Aman** 

# **ABSTRAK**

Objektif kajian ini ialah menentukan hubungan antara kepimpinan transformasi dan dimensi-dimensinya dengan pengurusan pengetahuan. Antara dimensi kepimpinan transformasi adalah pengaruh ideal, motivasi inspirasi, merangsang secara intelek dan pertimbangan individu. Dalam kajian ini juga melihat kesan moderator iaitu struktur organisasi terhadap hubungan kepimpinan transformasi dan pengurusan pengetahuan. Selain itu, objektif kajian turut mengenalpasti perbezaan antara tahap pengurusan pengetahuan pentadbir berdasarkan tahap pendidikan dan pengalaman responden bekerja di UiTM. Seramai 255 responden dalam kajian ini yang terdiri dikalangan pentadbir Gred 41 hingga Gred 54 di UiTM Shah Alam, Perlis, Perak, Terengganu, Johor dan Sarawak. Perisian SPSS versi Windows 16 digunakan untuk Ujian 'Pearson's Correlation', 'Multiple Regression', menganalisis data. 'Hierarchical Multiple Regression' dan One-way ANOVA telah dijalankan. Hasil ujian 'Pearson's Correlation' mendapati terdapat hubungan dimensi-dimensi kepimpinan transformasi dengan pengurusan pengetahuan. Walau bagaimanapun, dapatan hasil dari analisis 'Multiple Regression' menunjukkan terdapat perhubungan pengaruh ideal dan pertimbangan individu dengan pengurusan pengetahuan. Disamping itu, faktor pengaruh ideal dan pertimbangan individu memberi kesan positif terhadap pengurusan pengetahuan. Dalam hasil analisis 'Hierarchical Multiple Regression' menunjukkan struktur organisasi adalah sebagai moderator di antara kepimpinan transformasi dan pengurusan pengetahuan. Hasil ujian 'One-way ANOVA' pula menunjukkan tahap pendidikan dan pengalaman bekerja di kalangan pentadbir tidak menunjukkan sebarang perbezaan dengan tahap pengurusan pengetahuan.

# **ABSTRACT**

The objective of this study is to determine the relationship between transformational leadership and its dimensions and knowledge management. The dimensions of transformational leadership are idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation and individualized consideration. In this study intends to look at the moderating effect of organizational structure between transformational leadership styles and knowledge management. Furthermore, the study also to examine whether any differences in knowledge management level among administrators in UiTM based on level of education and length of services. Respondents of this study were 255 administrators of Grade 41 until Grade 54 who at UiTM Shah Alam, Perlis, Perak, Terengganu, Johor and Sarawak. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 16 Windows. The tests conducted were Pearson's Correlation, Multiple Regression, Hierarchical Regression and One-way ANOVA. The Pearson's Correlation showed that transformational leadership, as well as each of its dimensions was correlated to knowledge management. However, multiple regression tests showed that idealized influence and individualized consideration were significant with knowledge management. On the other hand, the results showed that knowledge management significantly influenced by only two dimensions; idealized influence and individualized consideration. Besides that, the hierarchical regression was found that organizational structure was moderate the relationship between transformational leadership and knowledge management. The findings of the One-way ANOVA showed that there were no significant differences in respondents' knowledge management level based on level of education and the length of services.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First of all, my praise and gratitude to Allah, who has blessed me with a great strength, patience, ability and courage to complete this project paper.

I would like to take this opportunity to extend my utmost gratitude and sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Amer Hj Darus for his guidance and comments. . I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Subramaniam a/l Sri Ramalu (UUM) and Puan Ida Rosmini (UiTM) for their statistical knowledge that helped in analyzing the data and the outcomes.

A special thank you to state administration officers of UiTM branch campuses; Encik Zulkefli Sohaimi, Encik Ahmad Lotfi Hj Ariffin, Puan Hanita Yusof and Puan Asiah Saleh for their cooperation and supported in this study.

My appreciation goes to my parents; Hj Zainal Abidin Ismail, Hjh Sapiah Kamin, Hj Jalil Baginda and Hjh Junaidah Shufaat and siblings for their encouragement and consideration. To my sons; Amir Asyraf and Amir Aizat thanks for your understanding. To my dear husband; Mohd Azlin, thank you for the patience, love, support and always is being there for me.

I also thank those who I have not mentioned here but in many ways drive me to struggle toward greater heights.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Permission to Use		
Abstrak		iii
Abstract		iv
Acknowledgement		
Table of Contents		
List	of Tables	xi
List of Figures		
СНА	PTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Background of the Study	1
1.3	Background of the Organization	8
1.4	Problem Statement	9
1.5	Research Questions	12
1.6	Research Objectives	12
1.7	Significance of the Study	13
1.8	Scope and Limitations of the Study	14
1.9	Definition of key Terms	16
1.10	Organization of the Thesis	17

# CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	on	19
2.2	Transformational Leadership Theory		19
2.3	Leadershi	p Styles in Knowledge Organization	23
2.4	The Influe	ence Between Idealized Influence and Knowledge ent	29
2.5	The Influe	ence Between Inspirational Motivation and Knowledge ent	30
2.6	The Influe	ence Between Intellectual Stimulation and Knowledge ent	31
2.7		ence Between Individualized Consideration and ge Management	33
2.8	Knowledg	ge and Knowledge Management	34
2.9	Knowledg	ge Management Process and Flow	37
2.10	Knowledg	ge Management and Learning Organizational	40
2.11	Knowledge Management in Higher Education		42
2.12	Moderating Role of Organizational Structure		47
2.13	Conclusio	n	53
СНА	PTER 3: M	ETHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	on	54
3.2	Research Framework		54
3.3	Hypotheses Development		55
3.4	Research 1	Design	
	3.4.1	Type of Study	57
	3.4.2	Unit of Analysis	58

	3.4.3	Population	58
	3.4.4	Sampling Techniques	58
3.5	Operational Definition		62
3.6	Measure	ement and Instruments	63
	3.6.1	Questionnaire Design	64
3.7	Pilot Stu	udy	65
3.8	Data Collection and Administration		
3.9	Reliabil	ity Test	70
	3.9.1	Main Study	70
3.10	Normali	ity Test	71
3.11	Techniq	ques of Data Analysis	72
	3.11.1	Descriptive Statistics	73
	3.11.2	Correlational Statistics	73
3.12	Summar	ry of Test of Hypotheses	75
3.13	Conclus	sion	76
СНА	PTER 4:	RESULTS AND FINDINGS	
4.1	Introduc	ction	77
4.2	Sample Characteristics		77
4.3	Profile of the Respondents		78
4.4	Goodne	ess of Measure	
	4.4.1	Reliability Test	80
4.5	Descrip	tive Analysis	82
4.6	Testing the Hypotheses		83
	4.6.1	Hypotheses 1	86

	4.6.2	Hypotheses 2	87
	4.6.3	Hypotheses 3	87
	4.6.4	Hypotheses 4	88
	4.6.5	Hypotheses 5	88
	4.6.6	Hypotheses 6	89
	4.6.7	Hypotheses 7	90
	4.6.8	Hypotheses 8	91
4.7	Summ	ary of Results of the Tests	91
4.8	Conclu	usion	93
СНА	PTER 5	: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.1	Introd	uction	94
5.2	Recap	itulation of the Result	94
5.3	Discussion		
5.4	Object	tives Achievements	
	5.4.1	Research Objective 1	95
	5.4.2	Research Objective 2	97
	5.4.3	Research Objective 3	100
	5.4.4	Research Objective 4	102
	5.4.5	Research Objective 5	102
5.5	Implic	eations and Recommendations of the Study	103
5.6	Future Research 1		
5.7	Conclusion		108

REFERENCES	110
APPENDICES	
Appendix A: Official Letter from Registrar of UiTM	119
Appendix B: Questionnaire	120
Appendix C: Statistical Analysis Output	129

# LIST OF TABLES

Tables		Page
Table 3.1	Population of UiTM administrators based on each region.	58
Table 3.2	Distribution of variables	62
Table 3.3	The Cronbach Alpha for pilot test	64
Table 3.4	Date of questionnaires sent and returned	66
Table 3.5	Numbers of questionnaires sent and returned	66
Table 3.6	Coefficient Alpha (α) Scales	67
Table 3.7	Cronbach's Alpha for main study	68
Table 3.8	Interpretation of strength of correlation	71
Table 3.9	Statistical Analysis	72
Table 4.1	Response rate	74
Table 4.2	Demographic variables	75
Table 4.3	Reliability value	78
Table 4.4	Descriptive analysis for major variables	79
Table 4.5	Results of correlation analysis	81
Table 4.6	Multiple Regressions analysis	81
Table 4.7	Hierarchical Regression analysis	86
Table 4.8	Results of One-way ANOVA on level of education.	88
Table 4.9	Results of One-way ANOVA on length of service.	89
Table 4.10	The summary of hypotheses results.	89

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figures	Page	
Figure 2.1	The conceptual model of LO and KM	40
Figure 3.1	Research framework	54

# **CHAPTER 1**

# INTRODUCTION

# 1.1 Introduction

This chapter outlines the background of the organization in which the research was carried out. It also introduces the concept of knowledge management, the problem statement, the research questions, the objectives of the study, the significance of the study, scope of the study, limitations faced, definition of key terms and the organization of the thesis.

# 1.2 Background of the Study

Knowledge is a very important resource in the chaotic economy environment while the organizations face the high competition, new technology, and globalization. Knowledge is also a very significant asset in identifying, capturing, storing, and applicable to the organizational processes that can help organizations to achieve their goals and objectives. Therefore, organizations should take the efforts in creating the new knowledge among employees that will contribute to develop organization knowledge.

A study by Drucker (1993), had convincingly stated that the classical factors of production such as land, labor and capital had been replaced by knowledge. It is supported by Bahra (2001), in year 1998 the World Bank has noted that:

'The balance between knowledge and resources has shifted so far towards the former that knowledge has become perhaps the most important factor

# The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

# **REFERENCES**

- Aaltio-Marjolosa, I., & Takala, T. (2000). Charismatic leadership, manipulation and the complexity of organizational life. *Journal of Workplace Learning: Employee Counselling Today*, 12 (4), 146-158.
- Abdul Razak, M. N. (2006, September 12). Retrieved October 19, 2011, from www.pmo.gov.my/
- Abell, A., & Oxbrow, N. (2001). Competing with knowledge: The information professional in the knowledge management age. London: Library Association Publishing.
- Adhikari, D. R. (2010). Knowledge management in academic institutions. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 24 (2), 94-104.
- Aggestam, L. (2006). Learning organization or knowledge management: which come first, the chicken or the egg? *Information Technology and Control*, 35 (3), 295-302.
- Aguinis, H., Beaty, J. C., Boik, R. J., & Pierce, C. A. (2005). Effect size and power in assessing moderating effects of categorical variables using multiple regression: A 30-year review. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90 (1), 94-107.
- Ahmed, P. K., Kok, L. K., & Loh, A. Y. (2002). *Learning through knowledge management*. London: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Alavi, M., & Leidner, D. E. (2001). Review: Knowledge management and knowledge management systems: conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Quarterly*, 25 (1), 107-136.
- Amalia, M., & Nugroho, Y. (2011). An innovation perspective of knowledge management in a multinational subsidiary. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15 (1), 71-87.
- Amitay, M., Popper, M., & Lipshitz, R. (2005). Leadership styles and organizational learning in community clinics. *The Learning Organization*, 12 (1), 57-70.
- Andrews, M. C., & Kacmar, K. M. (2001). Discriminating among organizational politics, justice and support. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 22 (4), 347-366.
- Awang, M., Ismail, R., Flett, P., & Curry, A. (2011). Knowledge management in Malaysian school education: do the smart schools do it better? *Quality Assurance in Education*, 19 (3), 263-282.
- Bahra, N. (2001). Competitive knowledge management. New York: PALGRAVE.

- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *51* (6), 1173-1182.
- Barth, S. (2003, Jan 1). *KMWorld*. Retrieved Nov 13, 2011, from www.kmworld.com/.../Personal-toolkit-A-framework-for-personal-.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations*. New York: The Free Press.
- Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational Leadership (2nd Edition)*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Bhatt, G. D. (2000). Organizing knowledge in the knowledge development cycle. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 4 (1), 15-26.
- Bidault, F., & Cummings, T. (1994). Innovating through alliances: Expectations and limitations. *R&D Management*, 24 (1), 33-45.
- Birasnav, M., Rangnekar, S., & Dalpati, A. (2011). Transformational leadership and human capital benefits: The role of knowledge management. *Leadership & Organizational Development Journal*, 32 (2), 106-126.
- Birkinshaw, J. (2001). Why is knowledge management so difficult? *Business Strategy Review*, 12 (1), 11-18.
- Blackman, D., & Kennedy, M. (2009). Knowledge management and effective university governance. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 13 (6), 547-563.
- Bollinger, A. S., & Smith, R. D. (2001). Managing organizational knowledge as a strategic asset. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 5 (1), 8-18.
- Bryant, S. E. (2003). The role of transformational and transactional leadership in creating, sharing and exploiting organizational knowledge. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 9 (4), 32-44.
- Bukowitz, W. R., & Williams, R. L. (1999). *The knowledge management: Fieldbook*. Great Britain: Pearson Education Limited .
- Burns, J. M. (1998). Transasctional and transforming leadership. In G. R. Hickman, Leading organizations: Perspectives for a new era (pp. 133-134). United States: SAGE Publications.
- Chang, J.-C., Hsiao, H.-C., & Tu, Y.-L. (2011). Besides using transformational leadership, what should schools do to achieve innovation? *The Asia-Pasific Education Researcher*, 20 (1), 48-60.

- Chawla, D., & Joshi, H. (2010). Knowledge management practices in Indian industries: A comparative study. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 14 (5), 708-725.
- Chen, C.-J., & Huang, J.-W. (2007). How organizational climate and structure affect knowledge management- The social interaction perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, 27 (2), 104-118.
- Chen, C.-J., Huang, J.-W., & Hsiao, Y.-C. (2010). Knowledge management and innovativeness: The role of organizational climate and structure. *International Journal of Manpower*, 31 (8), 848-870.
- Chen, L. Y., & Barnes, F. B. (2004, January 12). *The Fourth of Asia Academy of Management*. Retrieved February 8, 2012, from jgxy.usx.edu.cn: http://scholar.google.com.my/scholar
- Cheung, M. F., & Wong, C.-S. (2010). Transformational leadership, leader support and employee creativity. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 32 (7), 656-672.
- Child, J. (1972). Organization structure and strategies of control: A replication of the Aston study. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17 (2), 163-177.
- Chong, S. C., Salleh, K., Syed Ahmad, S. N., & Syed Omar Sharifuddin, S.-I. (2011). KM implementation in a public sector accounting organization: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15 (3), 497-512.
- Claver-Cortes, E., Zaragoza-Saez, P., & Pertusa-Ortega, E. (2007). Organizational structure features supporting knowledge management processes. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 11 (4), 45-57.
- Coad, A. F., & Berry, A. J. (1998). Transformational leadership and learning orientation. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 19 (3), 164-172.
- Crawford, C. B. (2005). Effects of transformational leadership and organizational position on knowledge management. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 9 (6), 6-16.
- Crawford, C. B. (2004, February 20). www.leadershipeducators.org/Resources/Documents/.../crawford.pdf.

  Retrieved October 20, 2011, from www.leadershipeducators.org results: www.google.com
- Damanpour, F. (1991). Organizational innovation: A meta-analysis of effects of determinants and moderators. *Academy of Management Journal*, 34 (3), 555-590.

- Davenport, T. H., & Prusak, L. (1998). Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Donate, M. J., & Guadamillas, F. (2011). Organizational factors to support knowledge management and innovation. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15 (6), 890-914.
- Drucker, P. (1993). Post-capitalist society. New York: Harper Business.
- Du Toit, A. (2000). Knowledge management: An indispensable component of strategic plan of South African universities. *South African Journal of Education*, 20 (3), 187-191.
- Fairchild, A. J., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2009). A general model for testing mediation and moderation effects. *Public Medical Central*, 10 (2), 87-99.
- Fiol, M. C., & Lyles, M. A. (1985). Organizational learning. *Academy of Management Review*, 10 (4), 803-813.
- Frazier, P. A., Barron, K. E., & Tix, A. P. (2004). Testing moderator and mediator effects in Counseling Psychology Research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, *51* (1), 115-134.
- Gagne, M. (2009). A model of knowledge-sharing motivation. *Human Resource Management*, 48, 571-589.
- Gao, F., Li, M., & Clarke, S. (2008). Knowledge, management and knowledge management in business operations. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 12 (2), 3-17.
- Gao, F., Li, M., & Clarke, S. (2008). Knowledge, management, and knowledge management in business operations. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 12 (2), 3-17.
- Germain, R. (1996). The role of context and structure in radical and incremental logistics innovation adoption. *Journal of Business Research*, 35 (2), 117-127.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, B. (1992). *Multivate data analysis*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Hamid, J. A. (2008). Knowledge strategies of school administrators and teachers. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 22 (3), 259-268.
- Hicks, R. C., Dattero, R., & Galup, S. D. (2006). The five-tier knowledge management hierarchy. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 10 (1), 19-31.
- Hitam, M., Mahat, S., & Rajasegaran, K. (2008). The tacit knowledge dimension for knowledge management in higher education organizations. *Social Management Research Journal*, 5 (1), 9-21.

- Hitt, W. D. (1995). The learning organization: Some reflections on organizational renewal. *Leadership and Organizational Development Journal*, 16 (8), 17-25.
- Hong, J.-C., & Kuo, C.-L. (1999). Knowledge management in the learning organization. The Leadership & Organization Development Journal, 20 (4), 207-215.
- Inkpen, A. C., & Tsang, E. W. (2005). Social capital, networks and knowledge transfer. *Academy of Management Review*, 30 (1), 146-165.
- Jaccard, J., & Wan, C. K. (1996). LISREL approaches to interaction effects in multiple regression. Thounsand Oaks, Carlifornia: SAGE Publications.
- Jamaludin, A., & Mohd Yunus, A. (2005). The understanding and relevance of knowledge management in educational environment. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 1, 1-12.
- Janz, B. D., & Prasarnphanich, P. (2003). Understanding the antecedents of effective knowledge management: The importance of a knowledge-centered culture. *Decision Sciences*, 34 (2), 351-384.
- Jaussi, K. S., & Dionne, S. D. (2003). Leading for creativity: The role of unconventional leader behavior. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 14 (4/5), 475-498.
- Jayasingam, S., Ansari, M. A., & Jantan, M. (2010). Influencing knowledge workers: the power of top management. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 110 (1), 134-151.
- Jennex, M. E., & Olfman, L. (2005). Assessing knowledge management success. International Journal of Knowledge Management, 1 (2), 33-49.
- Jong, J. P., & Den Hartog, D. N. (2007). How leaders influence employees' innovative behaviour. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 10 (1), 41-64.
- Julsuwan, S., Srisa-ard, B., & Poosri, S. (2011). Transformational leadership of supporting-line administrators at public higher education institutions in Thailand. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 22 (3), 423-430.
- Kelloway, E. K., & Barling, J. (2000). What we have learned about developing transformational leaders. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 21 (7), 355-362.
- Kelloway, E. K., Barling, J., Comtois, J., Kelley, E., & Gatien, B. (2003). Remote transformational leadership. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 24 (3), 163-171.
- Kelly, M. L. (2003, January 1). *The Mentor: An Academic Advising Jurnal*. Retrieved Mac 15, 2012, from dus.psu.edu/mentor/old/articles/030101mk.htm.

- Kerr, S., & Jermier, J. M. (1978). Substitutes for leadership: Their meaning and measurement. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 22, 375-403.
- Kidwell, J. J., Vander Linde, K. M., & Johnson, S. L. (2000, November 4). EDUCAUSE QUARTERLY. *Applying corporate knowledge management practices in higher education*, pp. 28-33.
- Kuhnert, K. W., & Lewis, P. (1987). Transactional and transformational leadership: A constructive/ developmental analysis. *Academy of Management Review*, 12 (4), 648-657.
- Kuo, R.-Z., Lai, M.-F., & Lee, G.-G. (2011). The impact of empowering leadership for KMS adoption. *Management Decision*, 49 (7), 1120-1140.
- Lakshman, C. (2009). Organizational knowledge leadership: An empirical examination of knowledge management by top executive. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 30 (4), 338-364.
- Lehaney, B., Clarke, S., Coakes, E., & Jack, G. (2004). *Beyond knowledge management*. United States of America: Idea Group Inc.
- Liao, Y.-S. (2011). The effect of human resource management control systems on the relationship between knowledge management strategy and firm performance. *International Journal of Manpower*, 32 (5/6), 494-511.
- Little, S., Quintas, P., & Ray, T. (2002). *Managing knowledge: An essential reader*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Magnier-Watanabe, R., & Senoo, D. (2010). Shaping knowledge management: Organizational and national culture. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 14 (2), 214-227.
- Mahayuddin, H. (2010). Transformational leadership: Turning university vision into reality. *15th University Administrators Conference* (pp. 56-68). Shah Alam: UPENA.
- Mahoney, R. (2000). Leadership and learning organizations. *The Learning Organization*, 7 (5), 241-243.
- Martin, J. S., & Marion, R. (2005). Higher education leadership roles in knowledge processing. *The Learning Organization*, 12 (2), 140-151.
- Martinez-Leon, I. M., & Martinez-Gracia, J. A. (2011). The influence of organizational structure on organizational learning. *International Journal of Manpower*, 32 (5/6), 537-566.
- Mintzberg, H. (1979). *The Structuring of Organizations: A synthesis of the research*. Eaglewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

- Mishra, B., & Bhaskar, A. U. (2011). Knowledge management process in two learning organizations. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15 (2), 344-359.
- Mohamed, M. (2000, October 17). *Chief Executive Information and Management System (SMPKE)*. Retrieved January 17, 2012, from www.smpke.jpm.my: www.mahathir.com/malaysia/speeches/2000/2000-10-17.php
- Mohamed, M., Stankosky, M., & Murray, A. (2004). Applying knowledge management principles to enhance cross-functional team performance. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 8 (3), 127-142.
- Natarajan, G., & Shekhar, S. (2001). *Knowledge Management: Enabling Business Growth*. Singapore: MacGraw-Hill.
- Nejadhussein, S., & Azadbakht, P. (2011). Knowledge management readiness in a university in Iran: perceptions and factors for initiating. *Journal of Knowledge-based Innovation in China*, 3 (3), 172-183.
- Nemanich, L. A., & Keller, R. T. (2007). Transformational leadership in an acquisition: A field study of employees. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18 (1), 49-68.
- Nguyen, H. N., & Mohamed, S. (2011). Leadership behaviors, organizational culture and knowledge management practices: An empirical investigation. *Journal of Management Development*, 30 (2), 206-221.
- Niu, K.-H. (2010). Knowledge management practices and organizational adaptation: Evidences from high technology companies in China. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 3 (4), 325-343.
- Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (1995). The knowledge-creating company: How Japanese company create the dynamics of innovation. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Nonaka, I., Toyama, R., & Konno, N. (2002). SECI, Ba and Leadership: A Unified Model of Dynamic Knowledge Creation. In S. Little, P. Quintas, & T. Ray, *Managing Knowledge: an essential reader* (pp. 41-67). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory (2nd Edition)*. New York: MacGraw-Hill.
- Ogawa, R. T., & Scribner, S. P. (2002). Leadership: Spanning the technical and institutional dimensions of organizations. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 40 (6), 576-588.

- Ooi, K.-B., Teh, P.-L., & Chong, A. Y.-L. (2009). Developing an integrated model of TQM and HRM on KM activities. *Management Research News*, 32 (5), 477-490.
- Pallant, J. (2010). SPSS survival manual (4th edition). Australia: McGraw-Hill Companies.
- Politis, J. D. (2001). The relationship of various leadership styles to knowledge management. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 22 (8), 354-364.
- Rafferty, A. E., & Griffin, M. A. (2004). Dimensions of transformational leadership: Conceptual and empirical extensions. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 15 (3), 329-354.
- Rai, R. K. (2011). Knowledge management and organizational culture: A theoretical integrative framework. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15 (5), 779-801.
- Ramachandran, S. D., Chong, S. C., & Ismail, H. (2009). The practice of knowledge management processes: A comperative study of public and private higher education institutions in Malaysia. *VINE: The journal of information and knowledge management systems*, 39 (3), 203-222.
- Ranjan, J., & Khalil, S. (2007). Application of knowledge management in management education: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 15-25.
- Roscoe, J. T. (1975). Fundamental research statistics for the behavioral sciences (2nd edition). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Rowley, J. (1998). Creating a learning organization in higher education. *Industrial* and Commercial Training, 30 (1), 16-19.
- Sandhawalia, B. S., & Dalcher, D. (2011). Developing knowledge management capabilities: A structured approach. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15 (2), 313-328.
- Saunders, M. N., Thornhill, A., & Lewis, P. (2009). Research methods for business students (5th edition). England: Prentice Hall.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2009). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Singh, S. K. (2008). Role of leadership in knowledge management: A study. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 12 (4), 3-15.

- Skakon, J., Nielsen, K., Berg, V., & Gazman, J. (2010). Are leaders' well-being, behaviours and style assoiated with the affective well-being of their employees? A systematic review of three decades of research. *Work & Stress*, 24 (2), 107-139.
- Skyrme, D. J., & Amidon, D. M. (2002). The Learning Organization. In S. Little, P. Quintas, & T. Ray, *Managing knowledge: An essential reader* (pp. 264-271). London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Sohail, M. S., & Daud, S. (2009). Knowledge sharing in higher education institutions: Perspectives from Malaysia. *VINE: The journal of information and knowledge management systems*, 39 (2), 125-142.
- Steyn, G. (2004). Harnessing the power of knowledge in higher education. *Education Journal*, 124 (4), 615-631.
- Stonehouse, G. H., & Pemberton, J. D. (1999). Learning and knowledge management in the intelligent organization. *Participation and Empowerment: An International Journal*, 7 (5), 131-144.
- Syed-Ikhsan, S. O., & Rowland, F. (2004). Benchmarking knowledge management in a public organization in Malaysia. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 11 (3), 238-266.
- Syed-Ikhsan, S. O., & Rowland, F. (2004). Bencmarking knowledge management in a public organization in Malaysia. *Benchmarking An International Journal*, 11 (3), 238-266.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statistics (5th edition)*. California: Pearson Education .
- Viitala, R. (2004). Towards knowledge leadership. *The Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 25 (6), 528-544.
- Xue, Y., Bradley, J., & Liang, H. (2011). Team climate, empowering leadership, and knowledge sharing. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 15 (2), 299-312.
- Yukl, G. A. (1998). *Leadership in organizations, 4th edition*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C., & Griffin, M. (2010). *Business research methods (eighth edition)*. Canada: South-Western, Cengage Learning.