

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Correlation between Vertical Dimension of Occlusion and Finger Length in Kashmiri Population

¹Shazana Nazir, ²Nazia Majeed Zargar, ³Syed Zameer Khurshaid, ⁴Asim Farooq Shah, ⁵Falak Naz, ⁶Mohsin Malik

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Measurement of accurate vertical dimension of occlusion (VDO) is of prime importance to the dentist especially a prosthodontist. Though many methods have been proposed in recording VDO in the past but none of them fulfills the criteria of accuracy, reproducibility and ease. This study employs the use of length of fingers to measure VDO in Kashmiri population.

Materials and methods: The study was conducted on 60 dentulous subjects which include 30 males and 30 females in the age range of 18 to 25 years. Measurement of VDO and length of fingers was recorded using a digital vernier caliper. Correlation between VDO and length of fingers was studied using Spearman's coefficient.

Result: Vertical dimension of occlusion was found to be almost the length of index finger in males and little finger in females in Kashmiri population.

Conclusion: The study revealed that length of index finger is almost equal to VDO in males and length of little finger is almost equal to VDO in females.

Keywords: Anthropometric measurement, Centric occlusion, Finger length, Index finger, Kashmiri population, Little finger, Vernier calliper, Vertical dimension of occlusion.

How to cite this article: Nazir S, Zargar NM, Khurshaid SZ, Shah AF, Naz F, Malik M. Correlation between Vertical Dimension of Occlusion and Finger Length in Kashmiri Population. *J Orofac Res* 2015;5(2):37-39.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

According to glossary of prosthodontics terms 8th edition Glossary of prosthodontic terms (GPT 8), vertical

dimension is defined as the distance between two selected anatomic and marked points (usually one on tip of nose and another upon the chin) one on a fixed and one on the movable member.¹ The accuracy of recording vertical dimension in occlusion (VDO) in edentulous patients is always a prime consideration for any dentist. Many methods have been proposed to determine VDO that include measuring vertical dimension at rest,² phonetic method,³ cephalometric radiographs,⁴ pre-extraction records.⁵

However, there is no accurate method of recording VDO. It is the responsibility of dentist to establish an appropriate lower facial height when it is lost in completely edentulous patients. A decrease in VDO does not allow the muscles of mastication to function at their normal length with adequate force, resulting in reduction of their efficacy, premature wrinkles, deep nasolabial furrows and folds at the angles of mouth leading to salivary retention causing angular cheilitis. If VDO is increased interocclusal rest space will be decreased leading to discomfort, incomplete muscle contraction, unesthetic appearance, clicking of teeth and resorption of ridge. Nowadays newer methods are being proposed for recording VDO. One of the easiest and reproducible method is recording VDO by comparison with finger lengths of an individual. The purpose of this study is to study correlation between VDO and finger length in Kashmiri population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted on 60 dentate subjects (30 males and 30 females) in the age range of 18 to 25 years, subjects were selected randomly from Government Dental College, Srinagar. Clearance from Institutional Ethical Committee was obtained. All subjects gave written consent. Anthropometric measurements of VDO and length of fingers of right hand were recorded using digital vernier caliper with an accuracy of ± 0.01 mm.

Subjects included had Angles class 1 molar relation, periodontally sound teeth in both upper and lower jaws and no deformity of fingers. Subjects with open bite and deep bite, missing teeth, attrition, restorations in the oral cavity, temporomandibular joint disorders, history of trauma, orthodontic treatment were excluded.

^{1,3}Assistant Professor, ²Lecturer, ⁴Registrar
^{5,6}Postgraduate Student

^{1,2,5,6}Department of Prosthodontics, Government Dental College, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

³Department of Orthodontics, Government Dental College Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

⁴Department of Community Dentistry, Government Dental College, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Corresponding Author: Nazia Majeed Zargar, Lecturer Department of Prosthodontics, Government Dental College Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, India, e-mail: nmzargar@hotmail.com




Fig. 1: Measurement of VDO using base of nasal septum and pogonion as reference points




Fig. 2: Measurement of length of finger using tip of finger and the farthest point on palmar digital crease as reference points

Method: To record VDO, subjects were instructed to bite lightly on the posterior teeth in centric occlusion. The lower tip of the caliper was then placed firmly below the chin at pogonion. The upper tip of the caliper was then raised until it lightly touched the base of nasal septum (Fig. 1). Length of fingers was measured on palmar aspect from tip of finger to the farther most point on palmar digital crease (Fig. 2). For all the parameters of study mean, standard deviation and range was calculated. Correlation was studied using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient method.

RESULTS

The coefficient of correlation(*r*) by Spearman’s method between the measured variables and VDO, at the probability level of 95% is presented in Table 1. From Table 1,

Table 1: Sex specific correlation between VDO and length of fingers

Fingers		VDO	
		Males	Females
Index	r-value	0.804	0.073
	p-value	0.000	0.702
Middle	r-value	0.363	0.155
	p-value	0.048	0.412
Ring	r-value	0.319	0.030
	p-value	0.086	0.876
Little	r-value	0.569	0.895
	p-value	0.001	0.018
Thumb	r-value	0.536	0.126
	p-value	0.002	0.509

it was observed that in males and females VDO is significantly and positively correlated with all the parameters studied. In males correlation of VDO was strongest for the parameter length of index finger (*r* – 0.804). In females, correlation of VDO was strongest for the parameter—length of little finger (*r* – 0.895).

Descriptive statistics of the parameters studied is presented in Table 2. From Table 2, it was observed that in males the mean value of VDO was 73.800 mm with a range from 66 to 81 mm whereas in females, the mean value was 66.700 with the range from 58 to 77 mm. Thus, VDO was more in males compared to females.

The p-value for index finger in males came out to be 0.000 (highly significant) and the p-value for little finger in females came out to be 0.018 (highly significant).

DISCUSSION

Many investigators have suggested various methods for determination of VDO. Niswonger suggested 4/32 inch interocclusal space as a guide to determine the VDO. But variations have been reported.⁶ Short-term variations occur in times of stress, respiration and head movements, whereas long-term variations occur in debilitated patients, mouth breathers and as a result of attrition. McGee found that methods which relied upon patients perception tend to register a reduced vertical dimension of occlusion because patients felt more comfortable in that position.⁷ Silverman placed tattoos on alveolar ridges prior to the removal of natural teeth to be used as

Table 2: Descriptive statistics of VDO, length of little finger and index finger

Sex	Number	Measurement	Minimum (in mm)	Maximum (in mm)	Mean	Standard deviation
Male	30	VDO	66	81	73.800	4.310
		Index	65	76	71.583	2.871
Female	30	VDO	58	77	66.700	4.403
		Little	53	66	60.267	3.667

reference points when the patient became edentulous.⁸ The distance between the markings when the teeth were in centric occlusion was measured with a pair of calipers and recorded for further use, however, patients may not accept placing of tattoos on gingiva. Smith stated that the Boos Biometer was the best device for recording vertical dimension, however, it has condemned because the closing power of patient is influenced by pain and apprehension.⁹ Clinically, we have observed that VDO varies in natural teeth in attrition cases and also when natural teeth contacts are lost. Clinical judgment plays a major role in the assessment of this important parameter in the construction of complete dentures and prosthodontic rehabilitation patients.

Considering the disadvantages of previously used methods, this study was undertaken to find a simple and a feasible test to estimate VDO by studying the relationship between VDO and length of fingers. This feature of human anthropometry has not yet been explored in dentistry. Our study revealed sexual differences with higher values for VDO in males compared to females.¹⁰

The studies conducted by Bhandari et al¹¹ have revealed a positive correlation between VDO and length of little finger in both males and females. In a similar study conducted by Ladda et al¹² a positive correlation has been found between VDO had length of index finger in males and that of little finger in females. In our study, a positive correlation was found between VDO and length of index finger in males and length of little finger in females in Kashmiri population. These differences in VDO could be related to growth differences in males and females in Kashmiri population.

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that length of index finger is almost equal to VDO in males and length of little finger is almost equal to VDO in females. The method is economical, reproducible and time saving and can be used reliably for recording VDO in Kashmiri population.

REFERENCES

1. Glossary of Prosthodontic terms. 8th ed. J Prosthet Dent 2005; 94:10-85.
2. Thompson JR, Brodie AG. Factors in the position of mandible. J Am Dent Assoc 1942;29(9):2-5.
3. Silverman MM. The speaking method in measuring vertical dimension. J Am Prosthet Dent 1953;3(19):193-925.
4. Pyott JE, Schaeffer A. Centric relation and vertical dimension by cephalometric roentgenograms. J Prosthet Dent 1954; 4(3):35.
5. Turner LC. The profile tracer method for obtaining accurate pre-extraction records. J Prosthet Dent 1969;21(3):364-370.
6. Niswonger ME. The rest position of the mandible and the centric relation. J Am Dent Assoc 1934;21(15):1572-1582.
7. Mc Gee GF. Use of facial measurements in determining vertical dimension. J Am Dent Assoc 1947;35(3):342-350.
8. Silverman MM. Pre-extraction records to avoid premature aging of the denture. J Prosthet Dent 1955;5(4):465-476.
9. Smith ES. Vertical dimension and centric jaw relation in CD construction. J Prosthet Dent 1958;8(3):31-34.
10. Jackson C. Prediction of hemispheric asymmetry as measured by handedness from digit length and 2D:4D digit ratio. Laterality 2008;13(3):34-50.
11. Bhandari AJ, et al. Correlation between VDO and length of little finger. Paravara Med Rev 2012;4(4):10-14.
12. Ladda R, et al. A new technique to determine VDO from anthropometric measurement of fingers. Ind J Dent Res 2013;24(3):316-320.