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## A NOTE ON VIZING'S GENERALIZED CONJECTURE


#### Abstract

In this note we give a generalized version of Vizing's conjecture concerning the distance domination number for the cartesian product of two graphs.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple and finite graph. A set $D \subseteq V$ is a dominating set of $G$ if each vertex in $V-D$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in $D$. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $G$. For further details on domination in graphs, see the monographs by Haynes, Hedetniemi, and Slater [4,5].

The cartesian product $G \times H$ of two graphs $G, H$ is the graph with $V(G \times H)=$ $V(G) \times V(H)$ and two vertices $(u, v)$ and $(w, t)$ being adjacent in $G \times H$ if either $u=w$ and $v t \in E(H)$ or $u w \in E(G)$ and $v=t$. In [11] Vizing conjectured that for any graphs $G$ and $H, \gamma(G \times H) \geq \gamma(G) \times \gamma(H)$. No positive or negative answer to Vizing's conjecture has been given yet. Jacobson and Kinch [6, 7] gave important results to support the conjecture.

The distance between two vertices $x$ and $y$, denoted by $d_{G}(x, y)$, is the length of a shortest path from $x$ to $y$. If $S$ is a set of vertices of $G$ and $v$ is a vertex of $G$, then the distance from $v$ to $S$, denoted by $d_{G}(v, S)$, is the minimum distance from $v$ to a vertex of $S$. The open $k$-neighborhood $N_{k}(x)$ of a vertex $x$ of $G$ is defined as $N_{k}(x)=\left\{y \in V: d_{G}(x, y) \leq k, x \neq y\right\}$ and the closed $k$-neighborhood $N_{k}[x]$ of $x$ is $N_{k}(x) \cup\{x\}$. The maximum $k$-degree of $G$ is given by $\Delta_{k}(G)=\max \left\{\left|N_{k}(v)\right|: v \in V\right\}$.

Meir and Moon [10] introduced distance $k$ concepts, i.e., the $k$-domination and the $k$-packing concepts. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer, a set $D_{k} \subseteq V$ is said to be $k$-dominating (resp. $k$-packing) set of $G$ if for every vertex $v$ of $G, d_{G}\left(v, D_{k}\right) \leq k$ (resp. $d_{G}(x, y)>k$ for all pairs of distinct vertices $x$ and $y$ in $D_{k}$ ). The $k$-dominating number $\gamma_{k}(G)$ (resp. the $k$-packing number $\rho_{k}(G)$ ) is the size of a smallest $k$-dominating (resp. a largest
$k$-packing) set of $G$. Note that $\gamma_{1}(G)=\gamma(G)$, also every $k$-packing set of $G$ is a $k$-dominating set of $G$, therefore $\rho_{k}(G) \geq \gamma_{k}(G)$.

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. For any graph $G$, the $k$-th power of $G$ is the graph denoted $G^{k}$ with $V\left(G^{k}\right)=V(G)$ and two vertices $u$ and $v$ are adjacent if $1 \leq d_{G}(u, v) \leq k$.

Remark 1. It is easy to show that for an arbitrary graph $G, \gamma\left(G^{k}\right)=\gamma_{k}(G)$ and $\rho_{2}\left(G^{k}\right)=\rho_{2 k}(G)$.

## 2. GENERALIZATION OF VIZING'S CONJECTURE

Consider two graphs $G$ and $H$. Since $G^{k} \times H^{k}$ is a spanning graph of $(G \times H)^{k}$, there is $\gamma\left(G^{k} \times H^{k}\right) \geq \gamma\left((G \times H)^{k}\right)$.
a)

b)


Fig. 1. $P_{4}^{2} \times P_{3}^{2}(\mathrm{a}) ;\left(P_{4} \times P_{3}\right)^{2}(\mathrm{~b})$

To see that the above inequality may be strict, consider the graphs shown in Figures 1 a and 1 b , where the domination numbers are 3 and 2, respectively.

Therefore, even if we suppose that Vizing's conjecture is verified for any graphs $G$ and $H$, we cannot conclude directly that also the $k$-domination number of the product of any two graphs is equal to at least the product of the $k$-domination numbers of these graphs. Hence, we state below a conjecture which generalizes Vizing's.

Vizing's Generalized Conjecture (VGC): for any graphs $G$ and $H$,

$$
\gamma_{k}(G \times H) \geq \gamma_{k}(G) \gamma_{k}(H)
$$

An immediate consequence of this conjecture is the following result.
Proposition 1. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. If $V G C$ is true for the product of $G$ and $H$, then Vizing's conjecture is also true for the product of $G^{k}$ and $H^{k}$.
Proof. Since $G^{k} \times H^{k}$ is a spanning graph of $(G \times H)^{k}$, it follows that $\gamma\left(G^{k} \times H^{k}\right) \geq$ $\gamma\left((G \times H)^{k}\right)=\gamma_{k}(G \times H) \geq \gamma_{k}(G) \gamma_{k}(H)=\gamma\left(G^{k}\right) \gamma\left(H^{k}\right)$.

The next proposition is easy to prove.

Proposition 2. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. For any graphs $G$ and $H$ with $\min \left\{\gamma_{k}(G), \gamma_{k}(H)\right\}=1, \gamma_{k}(G \times H) \geq \gamma_{k}(G) \gamma_{k}(H)$.
Proposition 3. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. For any graph $G$ and its complement $\bar{G}$,

$$
\gamma_{k}(G \times \bar{G}) \geq \gamma_{k}(G) \gamma_{k}(\bar{G})
$$

Proof. The case $k=1$ has been proved by Jaeger and Payan [8] as well as El-Zahar and Pareek [2]. Assume that $k \geq 2$, and let $S$ be a maximum $k$-packing set of $G$. If $|S|=1$, then $\gamma_{k}(G)=1$, and by Proposition 2, we obtain the result. If $|S| \geq 2$, then every vertex $v$ of $V(G)$ is at a distance not longer than two from $S$ in $\bar{G}$. Thus, each vertex of $S k$-dominates $\bar{G}$. Hence, $\gamma_{k}(\bar{G})=1$, and by Proposition 2, we obtain the result.

Our next results extend those of [6] and [7].
Proposition 4. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. For any graphs $G$ and $H$,

$$
\gamma_{k}(G \times H) \geq \max \left(\frac{|V(H)|}{1+\Delta_{k}(H)} \gamma_{k}(G), \frac{|V(G)|}{1+\Delta_{k}(G)} \gamma_{k}(H)\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $D$ be a minimum $k$-dominating set of $G \times H$ and let $i$ be a vertex of $V(H)$. We put:
$G_{i}=G \times\{i\}$,
$D_{i}=D \cap G_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots,|V(H)|$, and
$F_{i}=\left\{t \in V\left(G_{i}\right): d_{G \times H}\left(t, D_{i}\right)>k\right\}$.
Since the graphs $G_{i}$ and $G$ are isomorphic, $\gamma_{k}\left(G_{i}\right)=\gamma_{k}(G)$. Then for every $i$, $\left|F_{i}\right| \geq \gamma_{k}(G)-\left|D_{i}\right|$, as otherwise $F_{i} \cup D_{i}$ is a $k$-dominating set of $G_{i}$ of a size less than $\gamma_{k}(G)$, a contradiction. Consequently,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{k}(H)|D| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{|V(H)|}\left|F_{i}\right| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{|V(H)|}\left(\gamma_{k}(G)-\left|D_{i}\right|\right)=|V(H)| \gamma_{k}(G)-|D|, \text { and hence } \\
\gamma_{k}(G \times H) \geq \frac{|V(H)|}{1+\Delta_{k}(H)} \gamma_{k}(G) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The second part is obtained similarly.
Proposition 5. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. For any graphs $G$ and $H$,

$$
\gamma_{k}(G \times H) \geq \max \left\{\gamma_{k}(G) \rho_{2 k}(H), \gamma_{k}(H) \rho_{2 k}(G)\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $D$ be a minimum $k$-dominating set of $G \times H$. Clearly, for any vertex $i \in V(H)$, there is $\left|\left(V(G) \times N_{k}[i]\right) \cap D\right| \geq \gamma_{k}(G)$.

Now let $W$ be the maximum $2 k$-packing set of $H$. Then for any pair of vertices $i$ and $j$ in $W, N_{k}[i] \cap N_{k}[j]=\emptyset$. It follows that $\left(V(G) \times N_{k}[i]\right) \cap\left(V(G) \times N_{k}[j]\right)=\emptyset$, implying that

$$
|D| \geq \sum_{i \in W}\left|\left(V(G) \times N_{k}[i]\right) \cap D\right| \geq \sum_{i \in W} \gamma_{k}(G)=\gamma_{k}(G) \rho_{2 k}(H)
$$

Likewise, $\gamma_{k}(G \times H) \geq \gamma_{k}(H) \rho_{2 k}(G)$.

In [10], Meir and Moon showed that every tree $T$ satisfies $\gamma_{k}(T)=\rho_{2 k}(T)$. This equality is also true for strongly chordal graphs (which contain trees). Indeed, it is enough to combine the results of Lubiw and Farber with Remark 1. Lubiw [9] has proved that if $G$ is a strongly chordal graph, then for any positive integer $k, G^{k}$ is strongly chordal graph, while Farber [3] has established that $\gamma(G)=\rho_{2}(G)$. We note that Domke, Hedetniemi and Laskar [1] have proved the equality between $\gamma_{k}$ and $\rho_{2 k}$ for block graphs which are contained in strongly chordal class.

According to Proposition 5, the following holds true.
Proposition 6. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. If $G$ is a strongly chordal graph, then for any graph $H$,

$$
\gamma_{k}(G \times H) \geq \gamma_{k}(H) \gamma_{k}(G)
$$
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