

# UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

# University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

#### How similar are biosimilars?

Aronson, Jeffrey K; Ferner, Robin E

DOI:

10.1136/bmj.i2721

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation for published version (Harvard):
Aronson, JK & Ferner, RE 2016, 'How similar are biosimilars?', British Medical Journal, vol. 353, pp. i2721. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i2721

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

**Publisher Rights Statement:** 

Checked 16/2/2017

General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- study or non-commercial research.

   User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.





## **EDITORIALS**

### How similar are biosimilars?

They are likely to be cost effective

Jeffrey K Aronson honorary consultant physician<sup>1</sup>, Robin E Ferner director<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Evidence Based Medicine, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK; <sup>2</sup>West Midlands Centre for Adverse Drug Reactions, City Hospital, Birmingham, UK

The United Kingdom has lagged behind other European countries in adopting biosimilars, said a recent article in the *Financial Times*, and a British Biosimilars Association has been launched "to promote medicines that could shave a third off NHS prices." The UK's chief pharmaceutical officer was quoted as saying that "biosimilar medicines have enormous potential to deliver increased patient access, as well as savings to the NHS, which can be reinvested elsewhere."

Biological products ("biologics") include vaccines, blood and blood components, somatic cells, tissues (such as corneas, skin, and spermatozoa), and recombinant proteins.<sup>3</sup> They can be composed of sugars (such as heparin), proteins (monoclonal antibodies), nucleic acids (antisense oligonucleotides), or combinations of these (fusion proteins), but their precise structures are often not easily characterised.

#### Not like generics

Biosimilars are defined as biologics that are similar to other biologics already authorised for use. When biosimilar proteins are synthesised, the primary amino acid sequence is likely to be preserved, but there can be differences in glycosylation, deamination, or oxidation and in the three dimensional structure, which can affect the interaction of the protein with other molecules. Because of such differences the World Health Organization introduced a nomenclature that involved qualifying with Greek letters the names of some compounds made recombinantly by different manufacturers: follitropin alfa, beta, and gamma and epoetin alfa, beta, theta, and zeta are examples. One highly glycosylated epoetin was given a different name altogether, darbepoetin.

Biosimilars should not be regarded as generic equivalents of originator medicinal products, because they are complex molecules, expected to differ more from the originator molecules than generic versions of non-biologics. One cannot be sure that two biosimilars will have similar benefits and harms. Head to head comparisons of biosimilars are infrequent, and indirect comparisons may be inadequate. For example, in a network meta-analysis of the effects of biosimilars of epoetin the authors reported that the comparative benefits and harms of the different compounds were very uncertain.<sup>6</sup>

Nevertheless, regulators and market authorisation holders generally take considerable care to ensure that biosimilars are (as the European Medicines Agency states) "highly similar to the reference medicinal product in physicochemical and biological terms," under principles laid down by the International Conference on Harmonization, the EMA, and the US Food and Drug Administration. These include, for example, demonstrably similar pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties and being used in the same dosage as the originator product. The UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) has provisions for recommending biosimilars when appropriate.

Prescribing cheaper biosimilars might save the NHS an estimated 10% of the cost of the relevant biologics, a probable worthwhile saving, as biologics are often very expensive. For example, the NHS spent over £140m (€178m; \$200m) on the tumour necrosis factor alfa inhibitor infliximab in 2013-14, some 15 years after it was first marketed as Remicade; NICE has since recommended the use of two infliximab biosimilars, Remsima and Inflectra, 11-13 both of which have been thoroughly evaluated. They are identical to the originator product in pharmaceutical form, strength, composition, and route of administration. The physicochemical and biological characters, possible contaminants and impurities, and stability of Remsima have been reported.<sup>14</sup> Comparison of its biological actions with those of the originator product showed only minor differences in relative binding affinities for the FcγRIIIa receptor subtype, for example, and these seemed to be biologically insignificant. The pharmacokinetics were almost identical, and clinical markers of disease activity responded equally well to the reference and biosimilar products in patients with rheumatoid arthritis or ankylosing spondylitis. Finally, in a 54 week comparative study the two products were therapeutically equivalent when combined with methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

When evidence of this kind is available, there should not be undue concern over starting treatment with a biosimilar rather than the originator drug, although switching between products might not be straightforward.

Subscribe: http://www.bmj.com/subscribe

#### Naming problems

Naming and prescribing biosimilars also create problems. An estimated 30 biologics, with combined sales of \$51bn (£35bn; €45bn), came off patent in 2015,¹⁵ opening the door to biosimilars. The task of naming them has therefore become crucial, and countries have adopted different methods. WHO has proposed a voluntary scheme in which most biologics would be given a "biological qualifier," a random four letter code and an optional two digit check sum, tied to the place of manufacture. However, the system is highly controversial, partly because the codes are meaningless.¹⁵ ¹⁵

In the meantime, the advice on prescribing biosimilars is to use the brand name of your preferred product. After treatment has begun, the same product should continue to be used, if possible, because of potential small differences between biosimilars, which cannot be considered to be completely interchangeable.<sup>18</sup>

Competing interests: We have read and understood BMJ policy on declaration of interests and declare: JKA is a member of a NICE technology appraisal committee, a member of the Advisory Board of the British National Formularies, and a president emeritus of the British Pharmacological Society; however, the opinions stated in this article are his own and do not necessarily reflect the views of those organisations or of anyone associated with them. REF is NHS member of NICE's Appeal Panel, and his unit receives funding from MHRA; however, the opinions stated in this article are his own and do not necessarily reflect the views of those organisations or of anyone associated with them. Both authors have from time to time prepared medicolegal reports relating to licensed and unlicensed products. Neither has any financial or other interests in any company that manufactures the medicinal products discussed, licensed or unlicensed.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

- Ward A. Copycat drugmakers team up to help UK make more use of cheaper drugs. Financial Times 17 Apr 2016. www.ft.com/cms/s/0/398f5e6a-0324-11e6-99cb-83242733f755.html#axzz48FGMZe6E.
- 2 Murray S. British Biosimilars Association launches in UK. www.pharmafile.com/news/ 503969/british-biosimilars-association-launches-uk.
- 3 US Food and Drug Administration. What are "biologics": questions and answers. www. fda.gov/AboutFDA/CentersOffices/OfficeofMedicalProductsandTobacco/CBER/ucm133077. htm
- 4 European Medicines Agency. Biosimilar medicines. www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp? curl=pages/special topics/document listing/document listing\_000318.jsp.
- 5 World Health Organization. Biological qualifier: an INN proposal. INN Working Doc 14.342. Revised draft Jul 2014. www.who.int/medicines/services/inn/bq\_innproposal201407.pdf.
- Palmer SC, Saglimbene V, Mavridis D, et al. Erythropoiesis-stimulating agents for anaemia in adults with chronic kidney disease: a network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;12:CD010590.pmid:25486075.
- 7 New EMA guidelines for biosimilars. www.drugregulations.org/2013/05/new-emaquidelines-for-biosimilars.html.
- 8 International Conference on Harmonization. ICH Q5E: comparability of biotechnological/biological products subject to changes in their manufacturing process. 13 Nov 2003. ICH Q5E. www.fda.gov/OHRMS/DOCKETS/98fr/2004d-0118-gdl0001.pdf.
- 9 US Food and Drug Administration. Scientific considerations in demonstrating biosimilarity to a reference product: guidance for industry. www.fda.gov/downloads/ DrugsGuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM291128.pdf.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. NICE's biosimilars position statement. https://www.nice.org.uk/Media/Default/About/what-we-do/NICE-guidance/NICE-technology-appraisals/biosimilars-statement.pdf.
- 11 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. NICE issues draft guidance recommending drugs for rheumatoid arthritis. 2 Sep 2015. https://www.nice.org.uk/news/ press-and-media/nice-issues-draft-guidance-recommending-drugs-for-rheumatoid-arthritis.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. TNF-alpha inhibitors for ankylosing spondylitis and non-radiographic axial spondyloarthritis. 1 Feb 2016. https://www.niceorg.uk/guidance/ta383.
- 13 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Infliximab, adalimumab and golimumab for treating moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis after the failure of conventional therapy. 25 Feb 2015. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta329.
- 14 European Medicines Agency. Assessment report Remsima. EMA/CHMP/589317/2013. 27 Jun 2013. www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en\_GB/document\_library/EPAR\_-\_Public\_ assessment\_report/human/002576/WC500151486.pdf
- Murphy B. Debate over naming of biosimilars intensifies ahead of WHO meeting. www. pharmaceutical-journal.com/news-and-analysis/features/debate-over-naming-of-biosimilars-intensifies-ahead-of-who-meeting/20068663.article.
- 16 Biologics Prescribers' Collaborative. BPC statement on WHO biosimilar naming decision. http://biologicsprescribers.org/resources/bpc-statement-on-who-biosimilar-naming-decision.
- 17 American Society of Health-System Pharmacists. Letter to Raffaella Balocco Mattavelli www.ashp.org/DocLibrary/Advocacy/ASHP-Does-Not-Support-the-WHOs-Proposal-for-Biosimilar-Naming-Qualifiers.pdf.
- 18 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Biosimilar medicines. Feb 2016. https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/ktt15.

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/