

# UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

## University of Birmingham Research at Birmingham

# Making homes and cars smoke-free: just a few minutes of your time

Jones, Laura; McEwen, Andy

License:

None: All rights reserved

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

Citation for published version (Harvard):

Jones, L & McEwen, A 2012, 'Making homes and cars smoke-free: just a few minutes of your time', *British Journal of School Nursing*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 389-393.

Link to publication on Research at Birmingham portal

#### **Publisher Rights Statement:**

Eligibility for repository checked February 2015

#### General rights

Unless a licence is specified above, all rights (including copyright and moral rights) in this document are retained by the authors and/or the copyright holders. The express permission of the copyright holder must be obtained for any use of this material other than for purposes permitted by law.

- Users may freely distribute the URL that is used to identify this publication.
- Users may download and/or print one copy of the publication from the University of Birmingham research portal for the purpose of private study or non-commercial research.
- User may use extracts from the document in line with the concept of 'fair dealing' under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 (?)
- Users may not further distribute the material nor use it for the purposes of commercial gain.

Where a licence is displayed above, please note the terms and conditions of the licence govern your use of this document.

When citing, please reference the published version.

#### Take down policy

While the University of Birmingham exercises care and attention in making items available there are rare occasions when an item has been uploaded in error or has been deemed to be commercially or otherwise sensitive.

If you believe that this is the case for this document, please contact UBIRA@lists.bham.ac.uk providing details and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate.

Making homes and cars smokefree: just a few minutes of your time

Dr Laura Jones<sup>1\*</sup> and Dr Andy McEwen<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Senior Research Fellow, UK Centre for Tobacco Control Studies, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham City Hospital, Nottingham, NG5 1PB

<sup>2</sup> Senior Research Nurse, Cancer Research UK Health Behaviour Research Centre, Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, 1-19 Torrington Place, London WC1E 7HB

\*Corresponding author: Dr Laura Jones, B116 Clinical Sciences Building, Nottingham City Hospital, Nottingham, NG5 1PB; t. 0115 823 138; e. laura.jones@nottingham.ac.uk

#### **Abstract**

Children's secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure remains a significant public health concern. In the UK, over half of children who live with smokers are regularly exposed to SHS at home. After quitting, the best way to reduce children's domestic exposure is to promote complete home smoking bans. School nurses are well placed to raise the issue of SHS exposure, explain the benefits of creating smokefree environments, and help with practical advice. A free online training module (www.ncsct.co.uk/SHS) is available to equip school nurses with the knowledge and skills required to intervene effectively with anyone who smokes and cares for children.

#### **Key words**

Secondhand smoke, smoke-free homes, very brief advice, online training, healthcare professionals, school nurses

### **Background**

#### What is secondhand smoke?

Secondhand smoke (SHS), also known as passive smoke or environmental tobacco smoke, is made up of two components: mainstream smoke that the smoker exhales, and side-stream smoke that comes from the burning end of the cigarette. Whilst both forms of smoke are harmful, side-stream smoke is more toxic than mainstream smoke (Schick and Glantz, 2005). Recently, the term thirdhand smoke (THS) has been used to describe the residual particulate matter and toxins that build up in layers on surfaces and furnishings after tobacco products have been extinguished.

#### Harm associated with secondhand smoke exposure

Exposure to SHS has been causally linked with a number of childhood conditions, including upper and lower respiratory tract infections, middle ear disease, sudden infant death syndrome, asthma and bacterial meningitis (Cook and Strachan, 1999, Jones et al., 2011b, Jones et al., 2012, Royal College of Physicians, 2010). Children are at greater risk from exposure to SHS compared with adults, given their higher respiration rate and less well-developed airways, lungs, and immune system. The Royal College of Physicians (Royal College of Physicians, 2010) estimates that childhood disease, related specifically to SHS exposure, generate an additional 300,000 general practice consultations and 9,500 hospital admissions in the UK each year. Children who regularly see their parents and carers smoke are also more likely to become smokers themselves and it is estimated that 17,000 young people take up smoking by the age of 15 each year as a direct consequence of exposure to smoking in the home (Leonardi-Bee et al., 2011). Exposure to SHS in cars has also been

associated with adverse health effects including an increased risk of allergic and respiratory symptoms, in particular wheeze and hay fever symptoms (Kabir et al., 2009, Sly et al., 2007), and an increased risk of never-smoking children reporting at least one symptom of nicotine dependence by the time they become adolescents (Belanger et al., 2008). Although there is currently no evidence to link THS exposure to morbidity outcomes in children, one recent study has suggested that tobacco smoke residue on indoor surfaces may react with other compounds in the air to form cancer causing agents (Sleiman et al., 2010).

#### Extent of secondhand smoke exposure

Around two million children in the UK are regularly exposed to SHS (Royal College of Physicians, 2010). Smoking by parents and carers, and whether smoking is allowed in the home, are the two main determinants of a child's level of exposure to SHS (Jarvis et al., 2009, Sims et al., 2010). The home is the primary source of SHS exposure in children (Ashley and Ferrence, 1998, Wipfli et al., 2008) and, although cotinine (a metabolite of nicotine that can found in saliva of children exposed to SHS) validated exposure in England has declined markedly in recent years (Sims et al., 2010), 52% of children who live with one or more smokers are still regularly exposed to SHS in the home (Jarvis et al., 2012).

Exposure is highest for the most deprived children, even after controlling for parental smoking status (Sims et al., 2010), and although the biggest decline in exposure to SHS over the last 15 years has occurred in children from the most deprived backgrounds, their level of exposure is still greater than their more affluent peers (Royal College of Physicians, 2010, Sims et al., 2010). It has been suggested that children from deprived backgrounds have

higher levels of exposure to SHS as their parents and carers are more likely to smoke and smoke more heavily (Sims et al., 2010, Jarvis and Wardle, 2005). Disadvantaged smokers are also more likely to perceive smoking as a 'normal' (Wallace-Bell, 2003), smoke in the presence of children (Johansson et al., 2003) and smoke in domestic settings such as in homes and cars (Bolte and Fromme, 2009).

After homes, cars and other vehicles are potentially the second highest source of exposure to SHS for children (Akhtar et al., 2010, Holliday et al., 2009, Moore et al., 2011, Edwards et al., 2006, Jones et al., 2009, Sendzik et al., 2009). Data from the 2007-2008 wave of the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Four Country Survey showed that 29% of respondents from the UK reported smoking in cars in the presence of non-smokers, although this survey did not specify what proportion of these non-smokers were children (Hitchman et al., 2010). Fifteen percent of UK smokers have admitted to smoking in a car in the presence of children (Kabir et al., 2009). These studies were based on self-reported data and are not biochemically validated, so it is likely that they underestimate the true extent of children's exposure to SHS in cars.

#### **Relevance for school nurses**

The importance of school nursing has been recognised by the government in the public health strategy 'Healthy Lives, Healthy People' (Department of Health, 2010). The key role for school nurses is to ensure children, young people and families are offered a core programme of evidence based preventative health care with additional care and support for those who need it (DH CNO Professional Leadership Team, 2012). In addition to this, the

current increased emphasis on parental education as a preventative measure places school nurses in an ideal position to engage with smoking parents and carers and their children and support them to change their home smoking behaviours.

Children are often very keen for their parents to stop smoking (Department of Health, 2011) but this can sometimes put the school nurse in a difficult position as they have to respect the wishes of both the child and the parents. Being able to advise on ways to make homes and cars smokefree, without the need for parents to necessarily quit smoking, is a useful addition to the strategies at the school nurses disposal.

### Very brief advice on secondhand smoke

#### What parents do to protect their children from secondhand smoke

Of course the most effective measure to reduce SHS exposure in children is for their parents and carers to quit smoking altogether. However, for those carers who cannot or who are not yet ready to quit, the next best option is to promote homes and cars that are completely smoke-free. It is important that complete smoking bans are introduced in households with children, rather than partial bans (such as smoking in one room, out of the window or 'by the back door'), as stopping short of making the home completely smoke-free is unlikely to have a significant impact on children's exposure (Wakefield et al., 2000). There is also evidence to suggest that smokers who implement SFH smoke fewer cigarettes, are more likely to make a quit attempt and to go on to successfully quit (Farkas et al., 1999, Hyland et al., 2009, Mills et al., 2009, Shields, 2007). Caregivers in deprived areas perceive stopping

smoking in the home as a positive and manageable first step in the complex process of quitting (Jones et al., 2011a). Children growing up in a smoke-free home are also less likely to take up smoking as adolescents (Farkas et al., 2000, Rainio and Rimpela, 2008) and are more likely to have a preference for living in a smoke-free environment once they leave home and live independently of their caregivers (Albers et al., 2009).

Parents and carers have been shown to employ numerous strategies in an attempt to protect their children from exposure to SHS in homes and cars. Qualitative work with 22 smoking caregivers (Jones et al., 2011a) highlighted that all carers reported having some form of rules around smoking in the home, typically restricting smoking to one or two rooms within the house. However, these rules were not rigidly enforced and when the situation within the home changed (e.g. the child was not there) then the rules would be broken (Phillips et al., 2007, Robinson and Kirkcaldy, 2007). This fluidity in home smoking rules is often accompanied by other strategies attempting to reduce or eliminate risks, such as using air fresheners and opening the windows, even though there is no evidence for their effectiveness (Wakefield et al., 2000).

#### How to help parents make their homes and cars completely smokefree

It is worth noting that smoking is a legitimate health topic and that parents and carers expect health professionals to raise this with them (Hinton et al., 2011). The fact that most smokers caring for children have some rules aimed at protecting their children from SHS underlines the fact that this is a discussion that they will be happy to engage in. Adapted from an evidence-based approach to deliver very brief advice on smoking by GPs (Aveyard

et al., 2012); very brief advice on secondhand smoke involves establishing if smoking occurs in the home, advising on the benefits of going smokefree and offering help and support (Figure 1).

Figure 1: Very brief advice on secondhand smoke



#### An online training module on how to intervene with smoking parents and carers

The National Centre for Smoking Cessation and Training (NCSCT) has developed a 30 minute online training module for health and social care professionals who work with children and families: *Very brief advice on secondhand smoke: promoting smokefree homes and cars*.

Designed with leading clinicians and academics this online training module has been developed to assist anyone working with children and families to raise the issue of secondhand smoke and promote action to reduce exposure in the home and car (www.ncsct.co.uk/SHS).

The training is based around short film clips demonstrating possible interactions with families, presenting key facts, figures and messages to help build knowledge and skills in this area. The module provides accessible information on:

- the harms caused by secondhand smoke;
- why it is important to raise the issue;
- how to Ask, Advise and Act;
- encouraging and supporting behaviour change.

There is also a short assessment (multiple choice questions) and certificate issued following successful completion. Over 5,400 people have accessed the NCSCT module since its launch on the 24<sup>th</sup> of April 2012, over 2600 have viewed the training film and over 940 have taken and passed the module assessment (data correct as of July 2012). An evaluation of the training module in terms of increased confidence and changes to practice is currently ongoing.

#### **Conclusion**

Making their homes and cars smokefree is one of the most important things that parents and carers who smoke can do to improve the health of their children and prevent them from developing into smokers themselves. School nurses are well placed to raise the issue of SHS exposure, explain the benefits of creating smokefree environments and helping with practical advice. A free online training module (www.ncsct.co.uk/SHS) is available to equip school nurses with the knowledge and skills required to intervene effectively with anyone who smokes and cares for children.

#### **Conflict of interest statement**

Laura Jones has received travel funding from manufacturers of smoking cessation products (Pfizer Ltd). Andy McEwen receives a personal income from Cancer Research UK via University College London. He has received travel funding, honorariums and consultancy payments from manufacturers of smoking cessation products (Pfizer Ltd, Novartis UK and GSK Consumer Healthcare Ltd). He also receives payment for providing training to smoking cessation specialists; receives royalties from books on smoking cessation and has a share in a patent of a nicotine delivery device.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank the families who took part in the promotional film, Eileen Streets and the Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation, and Exchange Supplies...

#### **Funding**

The training module was developed as part of the work of the National Centre for Smoking Cessation and Training that was funded by the Department of Health (DOH T336/BSS/M award number 49945).

#### References

- AKHTAR, P. C., HAW, S. J., LEVIN, K. A., CURRIE, D. B., ZACHARY, R. & CURRIE, C. E. 2010. Socioeconomic differences in second-hand smoke exposure among children in Scotland after introduction of the smoke-free legislation. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 64, 341-6.
- ALBERS, A. B., BIENER, L., SIEGEL, M., CHENG, D. M. & RIGOTTI, N. A. 2009. Impact of parental home smoking policies on policy choices of independently living young adults. *Tobacco Control*, 18, 245-8.
- ASHLEY, M. J. & FERRENCE, R. 1998. Reducing children's exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in homes: issues and strategies. *Tob Control*, **7**, 61-5.
- AVEYARD, P., BEGH, R., PARSONS, A. & WEST, R. 2012. Brief opportunistic smoking cessation interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis to compare advice to quit and offer of assistance. *Addiction*, 107, 1066-73.
- BELANGER, M., O'LOUGHLIN, J., OKOLI, C. T., MCGRATH, J. J., SETIA, M., GUYON, L. & GERVAIS, A. 2008. Nicotine dependence symptoms among young never-smokers exposed to secondhand tobacco smoke. *Addict Behav*, 33, 1557-63.
- BOLTE, G. & FROMME, H. 2009. Socioeconomic determinants of children's environmental tobacco smoke exposure and family's home smoking policy. *Eur J Public Health*, 19, 52-8.
- COOK, D. G. & STRACHAN, D. P. 1999. Health effects of passive smoking-10: Summary of effects of parental smoking on the respiratory health of children and implications for research. *Thorax*, 54, 357-66.
- DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH 2010. Healthy Lives, Healthy People White Paper: Our strategy for public health in England.: London.
- DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. 2011. Available: <a href="http://www.dh.gov.uk/health/2011/11/children-want-parents-to-quit-smoking/">http://www.dh.gov.uk/health/2011/11/children-want-parents-to-quit-smoking/</a> [Accessed 9th July 2012].
- DH CNO PROFESSIONAL LEADERSHIP TEAM 2012. Getting it right for children, young people and families.
- EDWARDS, R., WILSON, N. & PIERSE, N. 2006. Highly hazardous air quality associated with smoking in cars: New Zealand pilot study. *N Z Med J*, 119, U2294.
- FARKAS, A. J., GILPIN, E. A., DISTEFAN, J. M. & PIERCE, J. P. 1999. The effects of household and workplace smoking restrictions on quitting behaviours. *Tob Control*, 8, 261-5.
- FARKAS, A. J., GILPIN, E. A., WHITE, M. M. & PIERCE, J. P. 2000. Association between household and workplace smoking restrictions and adolescent smoking. *JAMA*, 284, 717-22.
- HINTON, D., ROBINSON, J., PEAK, M. & LAVERTY, L. 2011. Developing a clinician-led smoking intervention for families and carers of children attending routine outpatient clinics at Alder Hey Children's NHS Foundation Trust.: HaCCRU Research Report 120/11 University of Liverpool.
- HITCHMAN, S. C., FONG, G. T., BORLAND, R. & HYLAND, A. 2010. Predictors of smoking in cars with nonsmokers: findings from the 2007 Wave of the International Tobacco Control Four Country Survey. *Nicotine Tob Res*, 12, 374-80.
- HOLLIDAY, J. C., MOORE, G. F. & MOORE, L. A. R. 2009. Changes in child exposure to secondhand smoke after implementation of smoke-free legislation in Wales: a repeated cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health*, 9, 430.
- HYLAND, A., HIGBEE, C., TRAVERS, M. J., VAN DEUSEN, A., BANSAL-TRAVERS, M., KING, B. & CUMMINGS, K. M. 2009. Smoke-free homes and smoking cessation and relapse in a longitudinal population of adults. *Nicotine & tobacco research : official journal of the Society for Research on Nicotine and Tobacco,* 11, 614-8.
- JARVIS, M. J., MINDELL, J., GILMORE, A., FEYERABEND, C. & WEST, R. 2009. Smoke-free homes in England: prevalence, trends and validation by cotinine in children. *Tob Control*, 18, 491-5.

- JARVIS, M. J., SIMS, M., GILMORE, A. & MINDELL, J. 2012. Impact of smoke-free legislation on children's exposure to secondhand smoke: cotinine data from the Health Survey for England. *Tobacco control*, 21, 18-23.
- JARVIS, M. J. & WARDLE, J. 2005. Social patterning of individual health behaviours: the case of cigarette smoking. *In:* MARMOT, M. & WILKINSON, R. G. (eds.) *Social Determinants of Health.* 2nd ed. Oxford: Open University Press.
- JOHANSSON, A., HALLING, A. & HERMANSSON, G. 2003. Indoor and outdoor smoking: impact on children's health. *Eur J Public Health*, 13, 61-6.
- JONES, L. L., ATKINSON, O., LONGMAN, J., COLEMAN, T., MCNEILL, A. & LEWIS, S. A. 2011a. The Motivators and Barriers to a Smoke-Free Home Among Disadvantaged Caregivers: Identifying the Positive Levers for Change. *Nicotine Tob Res*.
- JONES, L. L., HASHIM, A., MCKEEVER, T., COOK, D. G., BRITTON, J. & LEONARDI-BEE, J. 2011b.
  Parental and household smoking and the increased risk of bronchitis, bronchiolitis and other lower respiratory infections in infancy: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respir Res*, 12, 5.
- JONES, L. L., HASSANIEN, A., COOK, D. G., BRITTON, J. & LEONARDI-BEE, J. 2012. Parental smoking and the risk of middle ear disease in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine*, 166, 18-27.
- JONES, M. R., NAVAS-ACIEN, A., YUAN, J. & BREYSSE, P. N. 2009. Secondhand tobacco smoke concentrations in motor vehicles: a pilot study. *Tob Control*, 18, 399-404.
- KABIR, Z., MANNING, P. J., HOLOHAN, J., KEOGAN, S., GOODMAN, P. G. & CLANCY, L. 2009. Second-hand smoke exposure in cars and respiratory health effects in children. *Eur Respir J*, 34, 629-33.
- LEONARDI-BEE, J., JERE, M. L. & BRITTON, J. 2011. Exposure to parental and sibling smoking and the risk of smoking uptake in childhood and adolescence: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thorax*, 66, 847-55.
- MILLS, A. L., MESSER, K., GILPIN, E. A. & PIERCE, J. P. 2009. The effect of smoke-free homes on adult smoking behavior: a review. *Nicotine Tob Res*, 11, 1131-41.
- MOORE, G. F., HOLLIDAY, J. C. & MOORE, L. A. 2011. Socioeconomic Patterning in Changes in Child Exposure to Secondhand Smoke After Implementation of Smoke-Free Legislation in Wales. *Nicotine Tob Res*.
- PHILLIPS, R., AMOS, A., RITCHIE, D., CUNNINGHAM-BURLEY, S. & MARTIN, C. 2007. Smoking in the home after the smoke-free legislation in Scotland: qualitative study. *BMJ*, 335, 553.
- RAINIO, S. U. & RIMPELA, A. H. 2008. Home smoking bans in Finland and the association with child smoking. *European journal of public health*, 18, 306-11.
- ROBINSON, J. & KIRKCALDY, A. J. 2007. Disadvantaged mothers, young children and smoking in the home: mothers' use of space within their homes. *Health Place*, 13, 894-903.
- ROYAL COLLEGE OF PHYSICIANS 2010. Passive smoking and children. A report by the Tobacco Advisory Group. London: RCP.
- SCHICK, S. & GLANTZ, S. 2005. Philip Morris toxicological experiments with fresh sidestream smoke: more toxic than mainstream smoke. *Tobacco control*, 14, 396-404.
- SENDZIK, T., FONG, G. T., TRAVERS, M. J. & HYLAND, A. 2009. An experimental investigation of tobacco smoke pollution in cars. *Nicotine Tob Res*, 11, 627-34.
- SHIELDS, M. 2007. Smoking-prevalence, bans and exposure to second-hand smoke. *Health reports / Statistics Canada, Canadian Centre for Health Information = Rapports sur la sante / Statistique Canada, Centre canadien d'information sur la sante,* 18, 67-85.
- SIMS, M., TOMKINS, S., JUDGE, K., TAYLOR, G., JARVIS, M. J. & GILMORE, A. 2010. Trends in and predictors of second-hand smoke exposure indexed by cotinine in children in England from 1996 to 2006. *Addiction*, 105, 543-53.

- SLEIMAN, M., GUNDEL, L. A., PANKOW, J. F., JACOB, P., 3RD, SINGER, B. C. & DESTAILLATS, H. 2010. Formation of carcinogens indoors by surface-mediated reactions of nicotine with nitrous acid, leading to potential thirdhand smoke hazards. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 107, 6576-81.
- SLY, P. D., DEVERELL, M., KUSEL, M. M. & HOLT, P. G. 2007. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke in cars increases the risk of persistent wheeze in adolescents. *Med J Aust*, 186, 322.
- WAKEFIELD, M., BANHAM, D., MARTIN, J., RUFFIN, R., MCCAUL, K. & BADCOCK, N. 2000. Restrictions on smoking at home and urinary cotinine levels among children with asthma. *Am J Prev Med*, 19, 188-92.
- WALLACE-BELL, M. 2003. The effects of passive smoking on adult and child health. *Prof Nurse*, 19, 217-9.
- WIPFLI, H., AVILA-TANG, E., NAVAS-ACIEN, A., KIM, S., ONICESCU, G., YUAN, J., BREYSSE, P. & SAMET, J. M. 2008. Secondhand smoke exposure among women and children: evidence from 31 countries. *Am J Public Health*, 98, 672-9.