

Quadriceps Endurance Increases Following Cycling Exercise With Non-Invasive Ventilation In Moderate-To-Severe COPD Patients. A Non-Randomized Controlled Study

P. Labeix, M. Berger, I. C. Fortune, L. Feasson, S. Verges, Frédéric Costes

► **To cite this version:**

P. Labeix, M. Berger, I. C. Fortune, L. Feasson, S. Verges, et al.. Quadriceps Endurance Increases Following Cycling Exercise With Non-Invasive Ventilation In Moderate-To-Severe COPD Patients. A Non-Randomized Controlled Study. International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease, Dove Medical Press, 2019, 14, pp.2461-2468. 10.2147/copd.s216347 . hal-02396247

HAL Id: hal-02396247

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02396247>

Submitted on 5 Dec 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Quadriceps Endurance Increases Following Cycling Exercise With Non-Invasive Ventilation In Moderate-To-Severe COPD Patients. A Non-Randomized Controlled Study

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Pierre Labeix^{1,2}
Mathieu Berger³
Isabelle Court Fortune⁴
Léonard Feasson^{2,5}
Samuel Verges⁶
Frédéric Costes^{7,8}

¹Centre VISAS, Service de Physiologie Clinique et de l'Exercice, CHU St Etienne, France; ²Univ Lyon, UJM Saint-Etienne, Laboratoire Interuniversitaire de Biologie de la Motricité, EA 7424, Saint-Etienne F-42023, France; ³Univ Lyon, UJM Saint-Etienne, laboratoire SNA-EPIS, EA4607, Saint-Etienne, France; ⁴Service de Pneumologie et d'Oncologie Thoracique, CHU St Etienne, France; ⁵Unité de Myologie, CHU St Etienne, France; ⁶Université Grenoble Alpes, Inserm, Laboratoire HP2, Grenoble, France; ⁷Université Clermont Auvergne, INRA, UNH Clermont Ferrand, France; ⁸Service de Médecine du Sport et des Explorations Fonctionnelles, CHU Clermont Ferrand, France

Purpose: Application of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) during exercise improves exercise tolerance in severe COPD patients; however, the underlying mechanism is only partially unraveled. As part of its known effect to unload the respiratory muscles, we looked for the influence of NIV on post-exercise quadriceps muscle endurance.

Patients and methods: We included 25 severe COPD patients entering an outpatient pulmonary rehabilitation program. They performed, on successive days, three quadriceps endurance tests at 70% of the maximal strength (1RM) to task failure (TlimQ); 1) control condition; 2) following constant load cycling exercise to exhaustion without Inspiratory Pressure Support (TlimQ IPS-); 3) following the same cycling exercise with IPS (TlimQ IPS+).

Results: Dyspnea Borg score was significantly reduced at the end of the constant load cycling exercise with IPS+ compared to IPS- (3.5 ± 2.6 to 4.3 ± 2.3 , $p < 0.05$). Compared to controlled condition, TlimQ was reduced from 78.9 ± 22.7 s to 64.7 ± 22.1 s ($p < 0.01$) with IPS+ and to 48.9 ± 13.7 s ($p < 0.001$) with IPS-. Sensitive analysis revealed a positive effect of NIV on TlimQ in only 15 of the 25 included patients (60%) and was unpredictable from exercise tolerance or maximal quadriceps strength.

Conclusion: Using a simple muscle endurance test, we showed the protective effect of NIV on the exercise-induced quadriceps dysfunction. This beneficial effect is inconstant in our small series of patients and could not be predicted by exercise capacity or endurance to exercise. However, measuring quadriceps muscle endurance following a session of exercise could determine in which patient NIV would improve the benefit of pulmonary rehabilitation.

Keywords: limb muscle, endurance, non-invasive ventilation, exercise, COPD

Introduction

Exercise tolerance is markedly impaired in severe patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases (COPD) due to dyspnea and peripheral muscle weakness which affects up to one-third of patients.¹ In most disabled patients, application of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) was shown to increase exercise endurance and to enhance the benefit of pulmonary rehabilitation.² By decreasing the work of breathing, NIV alleviates exercise-induced dyspnea and allows the patients to prolong exercise. However, this improved exercise tolerance was observed in only 60% of the patients evaluated by van't Hul et al.³ It is not clear from the available literature which patients would benefit the most from NIV and what are the mechanisms

Correspondence: Frédéric Costes, Service de Médecine du Sport et des Explorations Fonctionnelles CHU Gabriel Montpied, 58 rue Montalembert, F63002 Clermont Ferrand cedex 1, France Tel +33473751665 Email fcostes@chu-clermontferrand.fr

underlying the improved exercise tolerance. As a potential consequence of respiratory muscle unloading, Borghi Silva et al showed an improved leg muscle oxygenation, measured non-invasively by near-infrared spectroscopy, when COPD patients exercised with NIV compared to a sham ventilation.⁴ This suggests a peripheral muscle effect of NIV on O₂ delivery by reducing the associated hemodynamic consequences of the respiratory work.⁵

Muscle fatigue is difficult to characterize objectively in humans. Maximal voluntary contraction of the quadriceps is largely influenced by the participation of the subject, especially after completion of a strenuous exercise. By using magnetic stimulation of the femoral nerve, several studies described the development of muscle fatigue after exercise in healthy subjects as well as in COPD patients.^{6,7} Not all COPD patients develop quadriceps muscle fatigue after symptom-limited cycling exercise, underlying the heterogeneity of the mechanisms limiting exercise performance in this population.^{7,8}

In COPD patients, reducing the work of breathing decreased post-exercise quadriceps fatigue.⁹ This mechanism could partly explain the beneficial influence of NIV on both acute exercise tolerance and on pulmonary rehabilitation outcomes, by improving both the central cardiorespiratory and the peripheral muscle components of exercise limitation.

Measuring endurance of the quadriceps could represent an alternative to maximal muscle force for assessing muscle function and the development of fatigue following an exhaustive exercise. Quadriceps endurance is more systematically affected than maximal force in COPD patients,¹⁰ emphasizing the relevance of evaluating muscle endurance in this population. During such isolated endurance test (e.g., isolated knee extensions), only a small muscle mass is active which prevents a ventilatory or cardiovascular limitation to exercise, and thus makes this kind of evaluation an attractive way to specifically test peripheral muscle function.

Hence, this study aimed to evaluate whether the reduced work of breathing during cycling exercise with NIV in moderate-to-severe COPD patients would limit the importance of muscle fatigue, as assessed by an improved post-exercise quadriceps endurance. We reasoned that the reduced diaphragmatic work with NIV would increase oxygen delivery to peripheral muscle and delayed quadriceps fatigue. Moreover, we looked for correlations between the post-exercise improvements in peripheral muscle function with NIV and pulmonary function or exercise capacity parameters.

Materials And Methods

Patients And Study Design

We included patients with moderate-to-severe COPD, according to the GOLD criteria,¹¹ referred to our center for a pulmonary rehabilitation program. All were in a stable condition. At inclusion in the program, they were evaluated for pulmonary function, exercise capacity and body composition by bioimpedance analysis (Nutriguard, Pöcking, Germany). The protocol was approved by our local ethics committee (CPP Sud Est I 2014-A00145-42). All participants provided written informed consent, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The flow chart of the study is given in Figure 1. All the experiments took place in the outpatient rehabilitation center of the University Hospital of Saint-Etienne, France.

Maximal power output (W_{max}) and peak oxygen consumption (peak VO₂) were determined during an incremental cardiopulmonary exercise test on a computer-electrically braked cycloergometer. After a 2-min resting period, a warm-up workload was set at 10 W, followed by 5 to 10 W increments every minute until exhaustion. Expired gases and volumes were measured breath by breath on an automated metabolic card (Ergocard, Medisoft, Sorinnes, Belgium) and averaged on 20-s periods. Electrocardiogram was recorded continuously with a 12-lead ECG. Oxygen saturation was monitored by pulse oximetry and arterialized ear capillary samples were withdrawn at rest and at peak exercise for




Figure 1 Study flowchart.




Figure 2 Design of the study.

Abbreviations: IPS, inspiratory pressure support; TlimQ, quadriceps endurance limit time; CWR, constant work rate cycling exercise.

blood gas measurements (ABL 800 Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark). A ventilatory limitation to exercise was defined at peak exercise as a ventilatory reserve ($[V_{E_{max}} \text{ pred} - V_{E_{max}} \text{ measured}] / V_{E_{max}} \text{ pred}$) below 20% and/or as a more than 5 mmHg increase of arterial CO_2 pressure. Then, the subjects were evaluated during three experimental sessions as illustrated in Figure 2. The patients performed, in the same order and separated by at least 48 hrs, three quadriceps endurance tests to exhaustion (TlimQ): 1) without a preceding cycling exercise (TlimQ control), 2) within 5 mins following a constant work rate cycling (CWR) test to exhaustion without inspiratory pressure support (TlimQ IPS-), 3) within 5 mins following a CWR test with inspiratory pressure support (TlimQ IPS+), stopped at isotime of the first CWR test.

Maximal Voluntary Contraction Of The Quadriceps (qMVC)

On the dominant thigh, we measured the quadriceps maximal isometric force on a quadriceps bench with a 90° knee flexion position. Peak force was determined by a fixed strain gauge (Globus, Codogné, Italy); 3 reproducible measurements ($\pm 5\%$) were performed and we recorded the highest value. Then, we determined one maximal repetition (1RM) as previously described.^{12,13}

CWR Cycling Test

Patients performed a CWR test on cycloergometer at 75% of their predetermined W_{max} . After 2 mins of unloaded cycling, the load was increased to 75% W_{max} and the patients were asked to exercise until exhaustion, without encouragements. Pulse oximetry, transcutaneous carbon dioxide partial pressure

(PtcCO_2) and heart rate (Sentec, Basel, Switzerland) were monitored continuously during the test. Symptoms (dyspnea and fatigue) were evaluated before starting exercise and at exhaustion, using a 10 points modified Borg scale.

Quadriceps Endurance Test

The quadriceps endurance test was performed on a quadriceps bench with a starting position of 90° knee and hip flexions, with arms crossed over the chest. The test was adapted from Serres et al and consisted of extending the dominant leg against weight corresponding to 70% of 1RM with a pace of 12 movements per minute until exhaustion (duty cycle 40%).¹⁴ The test was stopped when the subject could no longer follow the movement amplitude or frequency on two consecutive movements despite strong verbal encouragements. The duration was then recorded as the quadriceps endurance limit time (TlimQ, seconds).

Non-Invasive Ventilation

NIV was applied via a nasal mask in all but one patient (full face mask). We used spontaneous ventilation with an inspiratory assistance mode (AI-VS) (Elisée 150, ResMed Saime, France). Inspiratory and expiratory pressure levels were adjusted during a preliminary habituation test as follows. At rest, we first set inspiratory pressure support (IPS) to 10 cmH_2O and positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) to 4 cmH_2O . Then, PEEP was gradually increased up to the maximum value tolerated by the patient. Thereafter, IPS was adjusted during cycling exercise in order to maintain dyspnea score below 5 on the 10-points Borg scale. During the cycling exercise, we also adjusted the ramp and the expiratory trigger according to the patients' sensations (comfort to breathe and inspiratory time). No oxygen was added to the circuit. The set up of NIV was supervised by the same physiotherapist (PL).

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation. A positive response to NIV application was set to $>10\%$ increase in TlimQ between IPS- and IPS+ CWR tests, according to the reproducibility of similar endurance test reported by Ribeiro et al.¹⁵ No previous data were available to calculate precisely a sample size. The sample size estimation was determined according to CONSORT 2010 statement, extension to randomized pilot and feasibility trials¹⁶ and Cohen's recommendations who has defined effect-size bounds. So, with 25 participants, an effect-size around 0.8 ("grossly perceptible and therefore large") can be highlighted for a two-sided type I error at 5%, a statistical power greater than

90% and an intra-class correlation coefficient at 0.5 to take into account between and within participant variability. TlimQ after each exercise test was compared by ANOVA after verification of the normal distribution of the data by a Shapiro–Wilk test. Symptoms, heart rate, SpO₂ and PtcCO₂ were compared using paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Unpaired *t*-tests with Welsh correction were used for subgroup analyses. Correlations were performed using least square regression analysis between exercise capacity and muscle function and TlimQ changes with IPS. Differences were considered significant at the level of 5%. Statistical analysis was performed using PRISM V5 software (Graphpad software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

Study Population

We included 25 COPD patients. Their characteristics, pulmonary function, exercise capacity and body composition are given in Tables 1 and 2. Two of them were on long-term oxygen therapy and one other patient was treated with nocturnal NIV and supplemental O₂. According to GOLD classification, 5 were in stage II, 9 in stage III and 11 patients in stages IV. BODE index

was on average 4.6±1.5 points. Seven patients presented with muscle wasting (FFMI lower than 17 or 15 kg.m⁻², in males or females, respectively) and six were obese. The incremental exercise test revealed a reduced exercise tolerance with an obvious ventilatory limitation in most of the patients (n= 18).

Effect Of NIV During CWR Test

During CWR test, mean pressure support was 13 ±2 cmH₂O (mean PEEP of 4±1 cmH₂O). At isotime with IPS, dyspnea score decreased significantly compared to IPS- (3.5±2.6 versus 4.3±2.3, p<0.05) but fatigue was unchanged (3.2±2.3 versus 3.3±2.0, p=0.8). The changes in SpO₂, HR and PtcCO₂ were not significantly different between both CWR tests (-2.0±4.2 versus -3.4±4.6%, 25.6±15.2 versus 28.4 ±14.8 min⁻¹ and 1.2±7.4 versus 0.2±4.6 mmHg, for IPS+ and IPS- CWR tests, respectively, all non-significant).

Post Exercise Quadriceps Function

TlimQ control was on average 78.9±22.7 s, while TlimQ IPS- was significantly reduced to 48.9±13.7 s (p<0.001). TlimQ IPS+ was decreased compared to control to 64.7

Table 1 Patients' Characteristics And Pulmonary Function

	All Population (n=25)	Responders (n=15)	Non-Responders (n=10)	P-Value Between Groups
	Measured (%pred.)	Measured (%pred.)	Measured (%pred.)	
Age (years)	63 ± 9	65 ± 10	61 ± 6	0.32
Sex (M/F)	16/9	10/5	6/4	
Height (cm)	166 ± 9	166 ± 10	166 ± 8	0.95
Weight (kg)	73 ± 18	76 ± 16	68 ± 20	0.29
BMI (kg.m ⁻²)	26.6 ± 6.5	27.9 ± 6.6	24.5 ± 6.2	0.21
FFMI (kg.m ⁻²)	18.2 ± 3.8	18.8 ± 3.4	17.2 ± 4.3	0.40
		Pulmonary function		
FVC (l)	2.55 ± 0.81 (76 ± 18)	2.56 ± 0.68 (78 ± 16)	2.52 ± 1.02 (75 ± 22)	0.59 (0.56)
FEV1 (l)	1.08 ± 0.55 (41 ± 17)	1.09 ± 0.38 (42 ± 14)	1.08 ± 0.76 (39 ± 22)	0.63 (0.32)
FEV1/FVC (%)	43 ± 14	44 ± 15	41 ± 13	0.77
RV (l)	4.51 ± 1.18 (208 ± 63)	4.48 ± 1.25 (202 ± 60)	4.55 ± 1.14 (217 ± 70)	0.92 (0.50)
TLC (l)	7.02 ± 1.47 (124 ± 22)	6.89 ± 1.73 (122 ± 23)	7.23 ± 1.04 (127 ± 21)	0.53 (0.73)
RV/TLC (%)	62 ± 11	62 ± 8	63 ± 14	0.42
MIP (cmH ₂ O)	49.8 ± 20.4 (50 ± 19)	56.5 ± 20.2 (54 ± 18)	40.4 ± 17.4 (44 ± 19)	0.05 (0.23)
DLCO (mmol.min ⁻¹ .kPa ⁻¹)	3.51 ± 1.73 (47 ± 21)	3.97 ± 1.94 (53 ± 23),	2.67 ± 0.79 (37 ± 9)	0.13 (0.02)
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	62.7 ± 8.8	65.0 ± 7.1	59.2 ± 10.3	0.04
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	37.5 ± 5.7	36.6 ± 5.5	38.8 ± 6.1	0.23
SaO ₂ (%)	92.5 ± 4.1	93.5 ± 3.9	91.1 ± 3.9	0.15

Notes: Data are mean ± SD. Numbers between brackets correspond to predicted values, p-values ≤0.05 are noted in bold.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FFMI, fat-free mass index; FVC, forced vital capacity; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity; MIP, maximal inspiratory pressure; DLCO, lung diffusing capacity for CO; PaO₂, arterial oxygen partial pressure; PaCO₂, arterial CO₂ partial pressure; SaO₂, arterial oxygen saturation.

Table 2 Parameters Measured During The Incremental Exercise Test At Peak Exercise And Maximal Quadriceps Force

	All Population (n=25)	Responders (n=15)	Non-Responders (n=10)	P-Value Between Groups
	Measured (%pred.)	Measured (%pred.)	Measured (%pred.)	
Work rate (W)	46 ± 20 (36 ± 13)	49 ± 20 (36 ± 11)	43 ± 21 (36 ± 17)	0.45 (0.92)
Peak VO ₂ (mL.min ⁻¹ .kg ⁻¹)	11.5 ± 3.1 (50 ± 16)	11.0 ± 3.6 (47 ± 14)	12.2 ± 1.9 (53 ± 18)	0.31 (0.38)
V _E (l/min)	37.1 ± 15	36.3 ± 11	38.3 ± 20.3	0.78
Breathing Reserve (%)	2 ± 26	6 ± 28	-5 ± 23	0.32
Heart rate (beats/min)	115 ± 18 (70 ± 11)	114 ± 21 (69 ± 12)	118 ± 14 (72 ± 10)	0.49 (0.43)
Δ SaO ₂ (%)	-5 ± 6	-4 ± 6	-7 ± 6	0.33
Δ PaO ₂ (mmHg)	-6.6 ± 9.6	-6.2 ± 10.4	-7.2 ± 8.8	0.8
Δ PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	4.3 ± 4.8	4.1 ± 5.4	4.7 ± 3.9	0.75
Borg score limb fatigue	5.4 ± 2.9	5.5 ± 2.7	5.1 ± 3.4	0.81
Borg score dyspnea	6.6 ± 2.3	6.7 ± 2.4	6.6 ± 2.3	0.95
QMVF (kg)	24.1 ± 9.1 (49 ± 12)	24.0 ± 9.0 (48 ± 13)	24.3 ± 9.8 (53 ± 11)	0.93

Notes: Data are mean ± SD. Numbers between brackets correspond to predicted values.

Abbreviations: VO₂, oxygen consumption; SaO₂, arterial oxygen saturation; PaO₂, arterial oxygen partial pressure; PaCO₂, arterial CO₂ partial pressure; QMVF, maximal voluntary isometric quadriceps force; Δ, delta from rest to peak exercise.

±22.1 s (p<0.01), but to a lesser extent than IPS- (p<0.01). The larger the decrease in TlimQ after cycling without IPS, the larger the recovery with IPS (r=0.59, p=0.002). We found no significant correlations between TlimQ control and maximal power output, qMVC or CWR duration in ambient air. The effect of IPS on TlimQ is illustrated in Figure 3.

The significant differences between TlimQ under the different conditions were maintained in subgroups of patients when we excluded those with less severe disease (GOLD stage 2, n=5), those with Long-Term Oxygen Therapy and/or nocturnal NIV (n=3), those with preserved

inspiratory muscle force (MIP>60 cmH₂O, n=8) or those with muscle wasting (low FFMI, n=7).

Responders And Non-Responders To NIV

Individuals data demonstrated a beneficial effect of IPS on TlimQ in 15 patients (60%, responders group, with an averaged change between conditions of 25.4±11.4%), while IPS did not influence TlimQ in the 10 others (non-responders group) with a mean change 1.4±9.1%. Both groups differed for carbon monoxide diffusing capacity, maximal inspiratory pressure and resting arterial oxygen partial pressure (PO₂) (all p<0.05). All other pulmonary function and exercise capacity parameters were similar between groups (see Tables 1 and 2). Tlim cyclo (p=0.6) and TlimQ (p=0.07) tended to be shorter in non-responders group compared to responders group. In responders group only, we found a positive correlation between TlimQcontrol and the difference in TlimQ with IPS (r=0.80, p=0.0003).

Discussion

NIV Reduces Quadriceps Muscle Endurance Impairment

The main result of this study is the reduced quadriceps muscle endurance impairment following CWR exercise in COPD patients when inspiratory pressure support is applied, which occurs in 60% of the patients studied.

IPS during exercise has been previously found beneficial in moderate-to-severe COPD patients by increasing exercise tolerance. Its main effect is the reduced burden on respiratory muscle work,¹⁷ leveling off minute ventilation




Figure 3 Effect of a constant work rate cycling exercise without (IPS-) or with (IPS+) inspiratory pressure support on quadriceps exercise endurance time (TlimQ) expressed as a percentage of control value. Mean ± SD. Compared to control condition; **p<0.001, *p<0.01, compared to IPS-. § p<0.01.

and dynamic hyperinflation.¹⁸ This noticeably results in reduced dyspnea sensation. Additional effects have also been reported which could affect exercise endurance. The application of IPS could restore normal cardiac output responses to exercise.¹⁹ IPS may also switch part of the blood flow from respiratory to limb muscles, which could limit peripheral muscle deoxygenation during exercise.⁴ Considering the respiratory metaboreflex theory,⁵ we reasoned that decreasing respiratory work with IPS could improve oxygen delivery through exercise-induced sympatholysis, and consequently increase muscle endurance. In the present study, we did not measure cardiac output or muscle oxygenation which would ascertain the increase in O₂ delivery with IPS and could explain the increase in TlimQ. However, a previous study demonstrated a reduced post-exercise quadriceps fatigue with proportional assist ventilation in COPD patients.⁹ Muscle fatigue is difficult to evidence in a clinical setting since it requires supramaximal nerve stimulation, which is technically demanding.²⁰ By contrast, measurement of the maximal duration a standardized task can be sustained, ie, endurance, is easy to perform for the quadriceps muscle with a resistance training quadriceps bench. As opposed to maximal force capacity, muscle endurance is mainly determined by type I aerobic fibers and oxygen delivery.²¹ Thus, it is not surprising that muscle endurance was found substantially reduced in COPD patients compared to controls.²² Although a reduced endurance time cannot be interpreted as a proxy of muscle fatigue, its variation after an intervention is directly linked with muscle function and the patient's perception of effort. We cannot exclude the influence of patient's motivation on the change in quadriceps endurance following cycling exercise but we believe its role was limited thanks to strong verbal encouragements in each condition.

Responders And Non-Responders To NIV

The number of patients increasing TlimQ when IPS was applied during exercise was similar to those increasing cycle endurance with IPS 10 cmH₂O in the van't Hul's study.³ Interestingly, this proportion remains similar in subgroups of patients with more consistent characteristics (i.e., COPD severity stages 3 and 4 of GOLD, no chronic respiratory failure, no muscle wasting or preserved maximal inspiratory force). The intrinsic variability of the quadriceps endurance test used in the present study has not been reported and we defined a cut-off value of 10% for a positive response to IPS, based on Ribeiro et al's

study on total isokinetic work at 90°/s in COPD patients.¹⁵ The 25% variation in endurance time we observed seems to be large enough to be out of the limits of reproducibility. Exercise capacity (maximal power output or endurance) or quadriceps muscle force could not predict the response of TlimQ to IPS. However, non-responders patients had a lower DLCO, arterial PO₂ and maximal inspiratory force compared to responders. This suggests that IPS could not fully compensate for more altered pulmonary gas exchanges, and muscle O₂ delivery would not improve to a similar extent than in responders patients. This interpretation deserves to be confirmed by measuring muscle oxygenation since such a difference in gas exchange capacity has never been reported as a predictive factor of NIV response to exercise tolerance.

The level of ventilator support could explain the extent of muscle function improvement. We found no difference in mean inspiratory or expiratory pressures which could have influenced breathing mechanics during CWR test with IPS. Then, the most relevant mechanism underlying the effect of IPS was an improved oxygen delivery to the locomotor muscles. Blood oxygenation (SpO₂ and PtcCO₂) and heart rate were similar during both CWR tests which could not explain an improved quadriceps muscle function. We did not measure blood lactate following both CWR exercises to demonstrate potential metabolic changes able to explain the better muscle function following exercise with IPS.

Novelty Of The Study And Clinical Implications

In patients entering a pulmonary rehabilitation program, muscle deconditioning represents a common feature. Maximal power output during cycling was low in these patients which may preclude stressing lower limb muscles to their maximal capacity. The isolated quadriceps endurance test allowed to assess quadriceps function while ventilation was not a limiting factor.²³ Our results outline an alteration of muscle endurance capacity following maximal cycling exercise in this population of moderate-to-severe COPD despite very low mechanical power output. Measuring the variation of CWR duration with and without IPS gives an overview of exercise tolerance but cannot differentiate between the beneficial effect of NIV on the respiratory system and on the peripheral muscle function. We assessed specifically post-exercise quadriceps endurance after the same duration of cycling exercise which allows to evidence the improvement

in muscle function. We set up a simple test which could help to personalize exercise training by increasing the intensity of cycling sessions according to the initial muscle response. For instance, training intensity would be more rapidly increased without discomfort in those who improve TlimQ with IPS, and consequently, training load would be higher compared to non-responders. One could thus expect higher quadriceps adaptations to exercise training which would translate into daily life activities. This remains to be demonstrated in a prospective controlled study.

To date, no strong predictor of the success of NIV to improve exercise tolerance and the benefit on pulmonary rehabilitation has been demonstrated. A reduced maximal inspiratory pressure would predict a beneficial effect of NIV during exercise in COPD patients though this has been recently challenged,²⁴ but the decision to use NIV during pulmonary rehabilitation remains rather empirical. The variation in quadriceps endurance following cycling exercise could evaluate the impact of reducing the work of breathing by NIV during exercise on muscle function and therefore could be used to determine the patients who could benefit from this intervention during pulmonary rehabilitation. This remains, however, to be demonstrated in future studies involving COPD in pulmonary rehabilitation program.

Study Limitations

CWR test with IPS was stopped at the same time sustained without IPS and we cannot demonstrate that IPS improved exercise tolerance in our patients. Therefore, we can only speculate that the longer TlimQ would translate in a longer maximal CWR cycling exercise duration. However, this effect of IPS on exercise endurance would certainly occur in our patients based on the significant reduction in symptoms at the end of CWR IPS+. It was not possible to randomize the order of the tests since determining first the duration of CWR without IPS was required, similar to previous studies with similar study design.⁹ Therefore, we cannot exclude that part of the effect of IPS on quadriceps muscle endurance was due to some potential training effect, although it is unlikely that repeating twice the exercise session would lead to a 25% improvement in muscle endurance as observed in the present study. Finally, we decided not to use any kind of sham procedure not able to blind appropriately the patients during the CWR test. It has been suggested that a level of inspiratory aid below 10 cmH₂O was ineffective to increase exercise tolerance in COPD patients.³ However, the patients could

not be totally blinded of the application of IPS since the preliminary habituation test with NIV setting up shows the patient to what extent the symptoms could be alleviated.

Perspectives

These data bring insight into the complex interplay between respiratory system and quadriceps function in COPD patients during exercise. In those patients with a poor exercise tolerance due to ventilatory limitation and candidate for NIV application during pulmonary rehabilitation, we propose to assess post-exercise quadriceps endurance with and without a ventilatory support. Combining the responses to cycling exercise endurance and to post-exercise quadriceps endurance could allow to adjust the training load in order to maximize quadriceps adaptations and would participate in the increased benefit of pulmonary rehabilitation with NIV.

Conclusion

We demonstrated that the application of NIV during exercise improved quadriceps endurance performed immediately after a CWR cycling test. This beneficial occurred in 60% of the patients evaluated and was unpredictable from exercise capacity or endurance to exercise. Therefore, measuring quadriceps muscle endurance with a relatively easy to perform protocol as in the present study could constitute a strategy to assess the benefit of NIV applied during exercise on peripheral muscle function of the patients.

Acknowledgment

This study was promoted by CHU St Etienne, France, and partly supported by a grant from Association Lyonnaise de Logistique Posthospitalière, Lyon, France.

Author Contributions

FC, PL and ICF made substantial contributions to the conception, design, and/or interpretation of data; PL conducted experiment; MB, LF and SV contributed to data analysis. FC and PL analyzed data and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to drafting and revising the article, gave final approval of the version to be published, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflict of interest related to this work.

References

- Maltais F, Decramer M, Casabury R, et al. An Official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society Statement: update on limb muscle dysfunction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2014;189:e15–e62. doi:10.1164/rccm.201402-0373ST
- Menadue C, Piper AJ, Van 't Hul AJ, Wong KK. Non-invasive ventilation during exercise training for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2014. John Wiley and Sons Ltd. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD007714.pub2
- van 't Hul A, Gosselink R, Hollander P, Postmus P, Kwakkel G. Acute effects of inspiratory pressure support during exercise in patients with COPD. *Eur Respir J*. 2004;23:34–40. doi:10.1183/09031936.03.00016903
- Borghi-Silva A, Oliveira C, Carrasosa C, et al. Respiratory muscle unloading improves leg muscle oxygenation during exercise in patients with COPD. *Thorax*. 2008;63:910–915. doi:10.1136/thx.2007.090167
- Dempsey JA, Romer L, Rodman J, Miller J, Smith C. Consequences of exercise-induced respiratory muscle work. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol*. 2006;151:242–250. doi:10.1016/j.resp.2005.12.015
- Mador MJ, Deniz O, Aggarwal A, Kufel TJ. Quadriceps fatigability after single muscle exercise in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2003;168:102–108. doi:10.1164/rccm.200202-080OC
- Saey D, Debigaré R, LeBlanc P, et al. Contractile leg fatigue after cycle exercise: a factor limiting exercise in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2003;168:425–430. doi:10.1164/rccm.200208-856OC
- Saey D, Michaud A, Couillard A, et al. Contractile fatigue, muscle morphometry, and blood lactate in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2005;171:1109–1115. doi:10.1164/rccm.200408-1005OC
- Amann M. Exercise-induced metabolic perturbation: all roads lead to Rome. *Exp Physiol*. 2010;95:765–766. doi:10.1113/expphysiol.2010.053520
- Coronell C, Orozco-Levi M, Méndez R, et al. Relevance of assessing quadriceps endurance in patients with COPD. *Eur Respir J*. 2004;24:129–136. doi:10.1183/09031936.04.00079603
- Vogelmeier C, Criner G, Martinez F, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease 2017 report: GOLD executive summary. *Eur Respir J*. 2017;49:1700214. doi:10.1183/13993003.00214-2017
- Levinger I, Goodman C, Hare D, et al. The reliability of the 1RM strength test for untrained middle-aged individuals. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2009;12:310–316. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2007.10.007
- Kraemer WJ, Ratamess N, Fry A, French D. Strength training: development and evaluation of methodology. In: Maud PJ, Foster C, editors. *Physiological Assessment of Human Fitness*. Champaign: Human Kinetics; 2006:119–150.
- Serres I, Gautier V, Varray A, Préfaut C. Impaired skeletal muscle endurance related to physical inactivity and altered lung function in COPD patients. *Chest*. 1998;113:900–905. doi:10.1378/chest.113.4.900
- Ribeiro F, Lépine P, Garceau-Bolduc C, et al. Test-retest reliability of lower limb isokinetic endurance in COPD: a comparison of angular velocities. *Int J of Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2015;10:1163–1172.
- Eldridge SM, Chan CL, Campbell MJ, et al. CONSORT 2010 statement: extension to randomised pilot and feasibility trials. *Pilot Feasibility Stud*. 2016;2. doi:10.1186/s40814-016-0105-8
- Maltais F, Reissmann H, Gottfried SB. Pressure support reduces inspiratory effort and dyspnea during exercise in chronic airflow obstruction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 1995;151:1027–1033. doi:10.1164/ajrcm.151.4.7697226
- Hussain O, Collins E, Adiguzel N, et al. Contrasting pressure-support ventilation and helium–oxygen during exercise in severe COPD. *Respir Med*. 2011;105:494–505. doi:10.1016/j.rmed.2010.08.008
- Oliveira C, Carrasosa C, Borghi-Silva A, et al. Influence of respiratory pressure support on hemodynamics and exercise tolerance in patients with COPD. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2010;109:681–689. doi:10.1007/s00421-010-1408-8
- Nyberg A, Saey D, Why MF. How limb muscle mass and function should be measured in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2015;12:1269–1277. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201505-278PS
- Bassett D, Howley E. Limiting factors for maximum oxygen uptake and determinants of endurance performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2000;32:70–84. doi:10.1097/00005768-200001000-00012
- Evans S, Watson L, Hawkins M, et al. Respiratory muscle strength in chronic heart failure. *Thorax*. 1995;50:625–628. doi:10.1136/thx.50.6.625
- Richardson RS. Skeletal muscle dysfunction vs. muscle disuse in patients with COPD. *J Appl Physiol*. 1999;86:1751–1752. doi:10.1152/jappl.1999.86.5.1751
- Koch R, Rapello GVG, de Tarso Müller P. Is inspiratory muscle weakness a determinant of endurance exercise tolerance during NIV-supported exercise in patients with COPD? *J Cardiopulm Rehabil*. 2018;Prev.38(6):E9–E11.

International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of COPD is an international, peer-reviewed journal of therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies and reviews in COPD. Special focus is given to the pathophysiological processes underlying the disease, intervention programs, patient focused education, and self management

protocols. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine and CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-journal>