



**CORRIGENDUM TO MINIMAL PRIME IDEALS OF ORE  
EXTENSION OVER A COMMUTATIVE DEDEKIND  
DOMAIN AND ITS APPLICATION**

**AMIR KAMAL AMIR<sup>1,2</sup>, HIDETOSHI MARUBAYASHI<sup>3</sup>,  
PUDJI ASTUTI<sup>1</sup> and INTAN MUCHTADI-ALAMSYAH<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Algebra Research Division  
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences  
Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB)  
Jl. Ganesha 10 Bandung 40132, Indonesia  
e-mail: [pudji@math.itb.ac.id](mailto:pudji@math.itb.ac.id)  
[ntan@math.itb.ac.id](mailto:ntan@math.itb.ac.id)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Hasanuddin University  
Makassar 90245, Indonesia  
e-mail: [amirkalamir@yahoo.com](mailto:amirkalamir@yahoo.com)

<sup>3</sup>Faculty of Engineering  
Tokushima Bunri University  
Shido, Sanuki, Kagawa 769-2193, Japan  
e-mail: [marubaya@kagawa.bunri-u.ac.jp](mailto:marubaya@kagawa.bunri-u.ac.jp)

**Abstract**

Let  $R = D[x; \sigma, \delta]$  be an Ore extension over a commutative Dedekind domain  $D$ , where  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $D$  and  $\delta$  is a left  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $D$ . In [1], minimal prime ideals of  $R$  were described, but the description

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: **Kindly provide.**

Keywords and phrases: minimal prime, Ore extension, fractional.

The research of ITB's authors is supported by ITB Research Program 2010.

Received January 26, 2011

carried a flaw in the proof and it did not describe all minimal prime ideals as pointed out by one of the authors in this paper. In this corrigendum, we provide a corrected proof and an application to the group of fractional  $R$ -ideals.

In this corrigendum,  $D$  denotes a commutative Dedekind domain except for Lemma 1 and Remark, and  $R = D[x; \sigma, \delta]$  denotes an Ore extension over  $D$ , where  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $D$ , and  $\delta$  is a left  $\sigma$ -derivation of  $D$ .

In [1, Theorem 4], it was shown that:

Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  and  $P \cap D = \mathfrak{p} \neq (0)$ . Then  $P$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $R$  if and only if either  $P = \mathfrak{p}[x; \sigma, \delta]$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a minimal  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -prime ideal of  $D$  or  $(0)$  is the largest  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -ideal of  $D$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This result is not correct as it fails to describe all minimal prime ideals.

We now give a complete description of all minimal prime ideals of  $R$  as follows:

**Lemma 1** [5, Proposition 3.5]. *Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R = D[x; \sigma, \delta]$ , where  $D$  is a commutative Noetherian domain and let  $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap D$ . If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $D$  with  $\sigma(\mathfrak{p}) \neq \mathfrak{p}$ , Then  $P$  is not a minimal prime ideal of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** This implicitly follows from the proof of [5, Proposition 3.5]. However, we give the outline of the proof for reader's convenience by using Goodearl's notation: Let  $y$  be an indeterminate and  $Y = \mathcal{C}_{D[y]}^{\sigma}(\mathfrak{p}[y])$ . Set  $D^{\circ} = D[y]Y^{-1}$  and  $R^{\circ} = R[y]Y^{-1}$ . Goodearl showed that  $R^{\circ} = D[y]Y^{-1}[x; \sigma, \delta] = D^{\circ}[x^{\circ}; \sigma]$ , where  $x^{\circ} = x - b$  for some  $b \in D^{\circ}$  and  $P^{\circ} = \mathfrak{p}D^{\circ} + x^{\circ}R^{\circ}$  is a prime ideal of  $R^{\circ}$  such that  $R^{\circ}/P^{\circ}$  is a commutative domain. Hence  $P^{\circ} \cap R$  is a prime ideal with  $\mathfrak{p} = P^{\circ} \cap D$  and so, by uniqueness,  $P = P^{\circ} \cap R$ . Put  $P_1^{\circ} = x^{\circ}R^{\circ}$ , a completely prime ideal of  $R^{\circ}$  with  $P^{\circ} \not\supseteq P_1^{\circ}$  and thus  $P_1 = P_1^{\circ} \cap R$  is also a completely prime ideal of  $R$ , since  $R/P_1 \subset R^{\circ}/P_1^{\circ}$ . If  $P_1 = P$ , then  $P_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$  and so  $P_1^{\circ} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}D^{\circ} + x^{\circ}R^{\circ} = P^{\circ}$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $P$  is not a minimal prime ideal.  $\square$

Let  $S$  be a ring with quotient ring  $Q$  and let  $I(J)$  be a right (left)  $R$ -ideal of  $Q$ . We use the following notation:

$$(S : I)_l = \{q \in Q \mid qI \subseteq S\}, \quad (S : J)_r = \{q \in Q \mid Jq \subseteq S\},$$

and  $I_v = (S : (S : I)_l)_r$  is again a right  $S$ -ideal containing  $I$ . If  $I = I_v$ , then it is called a *right  $v$ -ideal*. Similarly  ${}_v J = (S : (S : I)_r)_l$ , and  $J$  is called *left  $v$ -ideal* if  $J = {}_v J$ . An  $R$ -ideal  $A$  is said a  *$v$ -ideal* if  $A_v = A = {}_v A$ . We denote by  $\text{Spec}(S)$  the set of prime ideals of  $S$ .

Since  $\text{gl.dim } R \leq 2$ , each  $v$ -ideal  $A$  is an invertible ideal by [4, p. 324]. We denote by  $\text{Spec}_0(R) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid P \cap D = (0)\}$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $R = D[x; \sigma, \delta]$  be the Ore extension over a commutative Dedekind domain  $D$ . Then  $\{\mathfrak{p}[x; \sigma, \delta], P \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is a non-zero } (\sigma, \delta)\text{-prime ideal of } D \text{ and } P \in \text{Spec}_0(R) \text{ with } P \neq (0)\}$  is the set of minimal prime ideals of  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $T = K[x; \sigma, \delta]$ , where  $K$  is the quotient ring of  $D$  which is a field, and let  $C = D - \{0\}$ . Then  $C$  is a regular Ore set of  $R$  such that  $T = R_C$ . Hence there is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\text{Spec}_0(R)$  and  $\text{Spec}(T)$  (cf. [6, Theorem 9.22]) given by  $P \rightarrow P' = PT$  and  $P' \rightarrow P' \cap R$ , where  $P \in \text{Spec}_0(R)$  and  $P' \in \text{Spec}(T)$ . Let  $P \in \text{Spec}_0(R)$  with  $P \neq (0)$ . Then since  $R$  is Noetherian and  $T$  is a principal ideal ring, we have

$$P' = P'_v = (T : (T : P')_l)_r = (T : T(R : P)_l)_r = (R : (R : P)_l)_r T = P_v T$$

and so  $P = P_v$  follows. Similarly, we have  $P = {}_v P$  and hence  $P$  is a minimal prime ideal (cf. [7, Proposition 5.1.9]). Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$  with  $\mathfrak{p} = P \cap D \neq (0)$ . According to Goodearl's classification, there are two cases: either  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -prime ideal or  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal with  $\sigma(\mathfrak{p}) \neq \mathfrak{p}$ . In the second case,  $P$  is not a minimal prime ideal by Lemma 1. In the first case, by [5, Proposition 3.3],  $\mathfrak{p}[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is a prime ideal. Thus if  $P$  is a minimal prime ideal, then  $P = \mathfrak{p}[x; \sigma, \delta]$ . Conversely, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -prime ideal of  $D$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is a prime ideal and invertible, because  $\mathfrak{p}$  is invertible. Hence  $\mathfrak{p}[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is a  $v$ -ideal and so it is a minimal prime ideal. This completes the proof.  $\square$

As an application of Proposition 1, we have the following: we denote by  $G(R) = \{A \mid A \text{ is an invertible } R\text{-ideal}\}$ . It is well known that  $G(R)$  is an abelian group generated by prime invertible ideals, i.e.,  $P$  and  $\mathfrak{p}[x; \sigma, \delta]$ . Hence any invertible  $R$ -ideal is of the form:

$$(\mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1}[x; \sigma, \delta] \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k^{e_k}[x; \sigma, \delta])(P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_l^{n_l}),$$

where  $e_i$  and  $n_i$  are integers,  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -prime ideals, and  $P_i \in \text{Spec}_0(R)$  with  $P_i \neq 0$ .

Similarly, let  $G_{\sigma, \delta}(D) = \{\mathfrak{a} \mid \mathfrak{a} \text{ is an invertible } D\text{-ideal which is } (\sigma, \delta)\text{-ideal}\}$ . Then it is an abelian group generated by  $(\sigma, \delta)$ -prime ideals. Hence, we have the following:

**Proposition 2.**  $G(R) \cong G_{\sigma, \delta}(D) \oplus D(T)$ . The correspondence is given by

$$(\mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1}[x; \sigma, \delta] \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k^{e_k}[x; \sigma, \delta])(P_1^{n_1} \cdots P_l^{n_l}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k^{e_k}) \oplus ((P_1')^{n_1} \cdots (P_l')^{n_l}),$$

where  $P_i' = P_i T$  for any  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

**Remark.** Let  $D$  be a Krull ring in the sense of [2] and  $R = D[x; \sigma, \delta]$ . In [3], the structure of  $v$ -ideals has been studied in the case either  $\sigma = 1$  or  $\delta = 0$ .

## References

- [1] A. K. Amir, P. Astuti and I. Muchtadi-Alamsyah, Minimal prime ideals of Ore over commutative Dedekind domain, JP J. Algebra, Number Theory and Applications 16(2) (2010), 101-107.
- [2] M. Chamarie, Anneaux de Krull non Commutatifs, J. Algebra 72(1) (1981), 210-222.
- [3] M. Chamarie, Anneaux de Krull non Commutatifs, These, 1981.
- [4] J. H. Cozzens and F. L. Sandomierski, Maximal orders and localization I, J. Algebra 44 (1977), 319-338.
- [5] K. R. Goodearl, Prime ideals in skew polynomial ring and quantized Weyl algebras, J. Algebra 150 (1992), 324-377.
- [6] K. R. Goodearl and R. B. Warfield, Jr., An introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian rings, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 16, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [7] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, Noncommutative Noetherian Rings, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987.

Paper # PPH-1101073-ANT

Kindly return the proof after correction to:

*The Publication Manager  
Pushpa Publishing House  
Vijaya Niwas  
198, Mumfordganj  
Allahabad-211002 (India)*

along with the print charges\*  
by the fastest mail

**\*Invoice attached**

Proof read by: .....

Copyright transferred to the Pushpa Publishing House

Signature: .....

Date: .....

Tel: .....

Fax: .....

e-mail: .....

Number of additional reprints required

.....

Cost of a set of 25 copies of additional reprints @ Euro 12.00 per page.

(25 copies of reprints are provided to the corresponding author ex-gratis)