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#### Abstract

The Ramsey number for a graph $G$ versus a graph $H$, denoted by $R(G, H)$, is the smallest positive integer $n$ such that for any graph $F$ of order $n$, either $F$ contains $G$ as a subgraph or $\bar{F}$ contains $H$ as a subgraph. In this paper, we investigate the Ramsey numbers for union of stars versus small cycle and small wheel. We show that if $n_{i}$ is odd and $2 n_{i+1} \geq n_{i}$ for every $i$, then $R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right)=R\left(T_{n_{k}}, W_{4}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$ for $k \geq 1$.. Furthermore, we show that 1. If $n_{i}$ is even and $2 n_{i+1} \geq n_{i}+1$ for every $i$, then $R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right)=$ $2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$ for $k \geq 2$, 2. If $n_{i}$ is odd and $2 n_{i+1} \geq n_{i}$ for every $i$, then $R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right)=$ $R\left(S_{n_{k}}, W_{4}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$ for $k \geq 1$.
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## 1 Introduction

For given graphs $G$ and $H$, the Ramsey number $R(G, H)$ is defined as the smallest positive integer $n$ such that for any graph $F$ of order $n$, either $F$ contains $G$ or $\bar{F}$ contains $H$, where $\bar{F}$ is the complement of $F$. Chvátal and Harary [6] established a useful lower bound for finding the exact Ramsey numbers $R(G, H)$, namely $R(G, H) \geq(\chi(G)-1)(C(H)-1)+1$, where $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of $G$ and $C(H)$ is the number of vertices of the largest component of $H$. Since then the Ramsey numbers $R(G, H)$ for many combinations of graphs $G$ and $H$ have been extensively studied by various authours, see a nice survey paper [9]. In particular, the Ramsey numbers for combinations involving union of stars have also been investigated. Let $S_{n}$ be a star of $n$ vertices and $W_{m}$ a wheel with $m$ spokes.

For a combination of stars with wheels, Surahmat et al. [10] determined the Ramsey numbers for large stars versus small wheels. Their result is as follows.

Theorem A.(Surahmat and E. T. Baskoro, [10]) For $n \geq 3$,
$R\left(S_{n}, W_{4}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}2 n+1, \text { if } n \text { is even, } \\ 2 n-1, \text { if } n \text { is odd. }\end{array}\right.$
Parsons in [?] considered about the Ramsey numbers for stars versus cycles as presented in Theorem .

Theorem B. (Parsons's upper bound, [?]) For $p \geq 2, R\left(S_{1+p}, C_{4}\right) \leq$ $p+\sqrt{p}+1$.

Hasmawati et al. in [?] and [?] proved that $R\left(S_{6}, C_{4}\right)=8$, and $R\left(S_{6}, K_{2, m}\right)=13$ for $m=5$ or 6 respectively.
Let $G$ be a graph. The number of vertices in a maximum independent set of $G$ denoted by $\alpha_{0}(G)$, and the union of $s$ vertices-disjoint copies of $G$ denoted $s G$. S. A. Burr et al. in [3], showed that if the graph $G$ has $n_{1}$ vertices and the graph $H$ has $n_{2}$ vertices, then

$$
n_{1} s+n_{2} t-D \leq R(s G, t H) \leq n_{1} s+n_{2} t-D+k,
$$

where $D=\min \left\{s \alpha_{0}(G), t \alpha_{0}(H)\right\}$ and $k$ is a constant depending only on $G$ and $H$. Recently, Baskoro et al. in [2] determined the Ramsey numbers for multiple copies of a star versus a wheel. Their results are given in the next theorem.

Theorem C. [2] For $n \geq 3$,
$R\left(k S_{n}, W_{4}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}(k+1) n \text { if } n \text { is even and } k \geq 2, \\ (k+1) n-1 \text { if } n \text { is odd and } k \geq 1 .\end{array}\right.$
In this paper, we study the Ramsey numbers for disjoint union of stars versus small cycle and small wheel. The results are presented in the next two theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $n_{i}$ is natural number for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $n_{i} \geq$ $n_{i+1} \geq 3$ for every $i$. If $n_{i}$ is odd and $2 n_{i+1} \geq n_{i}$ for every $i$, then $R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right)=R\left(T_{n_{k}}, W_{4}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$ for $k \geq 1$.

Theorem 2. Let $n_{i}$ is natural number for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ and $n_{i} \geq$ $n_{i+1} \geq 3$ for every $i$.

1. If $n_{i}$ is even and $2 n_{i+1} \geq n_{i}+1$ for every $i$, then $R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right)=$ $2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$ for $k \geq 2$,
2. If $n_{i}$ is odd and $2 n_{i+1} \geq n_{i}$ for every $i$, then $R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right)=$ $R\left(S_{n_{k}}, W_{4}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$ for $k \geq 1$.

Before proving the theorems let us present some notations used in this note. Let $G$ be any graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. The order of $G$, denoted by $|G|$, is the number of its vertices. The graph $\bar{G}$, the complement of $G$, is obtained from the complete graph on $|V(G)|$ vertices by deleting the edges of $G$. A graph $F=\left(V^{\prime}, E^{\prime}\right)$ is a subgraph of $G$ if $V^{\prime} \subseteq V(G)$ and $E^{\prime} \subseteq E(G)$. For $S \subseteq V(G), G[S]$ represents the subgraph induced by $S$ in $G$. If $G$ is a graph and $H$ is a subgraph of $G$, then denote $G[V(G) \backslash V(H)]$ by $G \backslash H$. For $v \in V(G)$ and $S \subset V(G)$, the neighborhood $N_{S}(v)$ is the set of vertices in $S$ which are adjacent to $v$. Furthermore, we define $N_{S}[v]=N_{S}(v) \cup\{v\}$. If $S=V(G)$, then we use $N(v)$ and $N[v]$ instead of $N_{V(G)}(v)$ and $N_{V(G)}[v]$, respectively. The degree of a vertex $v$ in $G$ is denoted by $d_{G}(v)$. Let $S_{n}$ be a star on $n$ vertices and $C_{m}$ be a cycle on $m$ vertices. We denote the complete bipartite whose partite sets are of order $n$ and $p$ by $K_{n, p}$.

## Proof of Theorem 1

Let $n_{i}$ be odd and $2 n_{i+1} \geq n_{i}$ for every $i$. Consider $F=K_{-1+\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}} \cup$ $K_{n_{k}-1}$. Clearly, the graph $F$ has order $-2+2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$, without containing $\sum_{i=1}^{k} T_{n_{i}}$ and $\bar{F}$ contains no $W_{4}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right) \geq-1+2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}=R\left(T_{n_{k}}, W_{4}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the Ramsey number we use an induction on $k$. For $k=1$, we have $R\left(T_{n_{1}}, W_{4}\right)=2 n_{1}-1$ (by Theorem 1 ). For $k=2$, we show that $R\left(T_{n_{1}} \cup T_{n_{2}}, W_{4}\right)=2 n_{2}-1+n_{1}=R\left(T_{n_{2}}, W_{4}\right)+n_{1}$.
Let $F^{\prime}$ be a graph with $\left|F^{\prime}\right|=2 n_{2}-1+n_{1}=2 n_{1}-1+2 n_{2}-n_{1}$. Assume that $\bar{F}^{\prime}$ contains no $W_{4}$. We show that $F^{\prime}$ contains $T_{n_{1}} \cup S_{n_{2}}$. Since $2 n_{2} \geq n_{1}$, then $\left|F^{\prime}\right| \geq 2 n_{1}-1$. By Theorem ?? and Theorem $1, F^{\prime}$ contains $T_{n_{1}}$. Write $L=F^{\prime} \backslash T_{n_{1}}$. Thus $|L|=2 n_{2}-1$, such that $L$ contains $T_{n_{2}}$. Hence, $F^{\prime}$ contains $T_{n_{1}} \cup T_{n_{2}}$. Therefore, $R\left(T_{n_{1}} \cup T_{n_{2}}, W_{4}\right) \leq 2 n_{2}-1+n_{1}$.

Suppose the theorem holds for every $r<k$. Let $F_{1}$ be a graph of order $-1+2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$. Suppose $\overline{F_{1}}$ contains no $W_{4}$. By the assumption, $F_{1}$ contains $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} T_{n_{i}}$. Let $L^{\prime}=F_{1} \backslash \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} T_{n_{i}}$. Thus $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=2 n_{k}-1$. Since $\overline{L^{\prime}}$ contains no $W_{4}$, then by Theorem ?? and $1, L^{\prime} \supset T_{n_{k}}$.

Hence, $F_{1}$ contains $\sum_{i=1}^{k} T_{n_{i}}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right) \leq-1+2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}=R\left(T_{n_{k}}, W_{4}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof of Theorem 2

We only prove the Theorem 2.2.
Let $n_{i}$ be odd and $2 n_{i+1} \geq n_{i}$ for every $i$. Consider $F \simeq K_{-1+\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}} \cup$ $K_{n_{k}-1}$. Clearly, the graph $F$ has order $-2+2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$, without containing $\sum_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_{i}}$ and $\bar{F}$ contains no $W_{4}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right) \geq-1+2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the Ramsey number we use an induction on $k$. For $k=1$, we have $R\left(S_{n_{1}}, W_{4}\right)=2 n_{1}-1$ (by Theorem 1 ). For $k=2$, we show that $R\left(S_{n_{1}} \cup S_{n_{2}}, W_{4}\right)=2 n_{2}-1+n_{1}=R\left(S_{n_{2}}, W_{4}\right)+n_{1}$.
Let $F_{1}$ be a graph with $\left|F_{1}\right|=2 n_{2}-1+n_{1}=2 n_{1}-1+2 n_{2}-n_{1}$. Assume that $\bar{F}_{1}$ contains no $W_{4}$. We show that $F_{1}$ contains $S_{n_{1}} \cup S_{n_{2}}$. Since $2 n_{2} \geq n_{1}$, then $\left|F_{1}\right| \geq 2 n_{1}-1$. By Theorem $1, F_{1}$ contains $S_{n_{1}}$. Write $L=F_{1} \backslash S_{n_{1}}$. Thus $|L|=2 n_{2}-1$, such that $L$ contains $S_{n_{2}}$. Hence, $F_{1}$ contains $S_{n_{1}} \cup S_{n_{2}}$. Therefore, $R\left(S_{n_{1}} \cup S_{n_{2}}, W_{4}\right) \leq 2 n_{2}-1+n_{1}$.

Suppose the theorem holds for every $r<k$. Let $F_{2}$ be a graph of order $-1+2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}$. Suppose $\overline{F_{2}}$ contains no $W_{4}$. By the assumption, $F_{2}$ contains $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} S_{n_{i}}$. Let $L^{\prime}=F_{2} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} S_{n_{i}}$. Thus $\left|L^{\prime}\right|=2 n_{k}-1$. Since $\overline{L^{\prime}}$ contains no $W_{4}$, then by Theorem $1, L^{\prime} \supset S_{n_{k}}$. Hence, $F_{2}$ contains $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_{i}}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_{i}}, W_{4}\right)=-1+2 n_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i}=R\left(S_{n_{k}}, W_{4}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_{i} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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