The Ramsey numbers for disjoint union of trees versus W_4

Hasmawati

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Hasanuddin Universitas (UNHAS), Jln. Perintis Kemerdekaan KM.10 Makassar 90245, Indonesia hasmawati@math.itb.ac.id

Abstract. The Ramsey number for a graph G versus a graph H, denoted by R(G, H), is the smallest positive integer n such that for any graph F of order n, either F contains G as a subgraph or \overline{F} contains H as a subgraph. In this paper, we investigate the Ramsey numbers for union of stars versus small cycle and small wheel. We show that if n_i is odd and $2n_{i+1} \ge n_i$ for every i, then $R(\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{n_i}, W_4) = R(T_{n_k}, W_4) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i$ for $k \ge 1$.. Furthermore, we show that

- 1. If n_i is even and $2n_{i+1} \ge n_i + 1$ for every *i*, then $R(\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_{n_i}, W_4) = 2n_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i$ for $k \ge 2$,
- 2. If n_i is odd and $2n_{i+1} \ge n_i$ for every i, then $R(\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_{n_i}, W_4) = R(S_{n_k}, W_4) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i$ for $k \ge 1$.

Keywords : Ramsey number, Cycle, Wheel

1 Introduction

For given graphs G and H, the Ramsey number R(G, H) is defined as the smallest positive integer n such that for any graph F of order n, either F contains G or \overline{F} contains H, where \overline{F} is the complement of F. Chvátal and Harary [6] established a useful lower bound for finding the exact Ramsey numbers R(G, H), namely $R(G, H) \ge (\chi(G) - 1)(C(H) - 1) + 1$, where $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of G and C(H) is the number of vertices of the largest component of H. Since then the Ramsey numbers R(G, H) for many combinations of graphs G and H have been extensively studied by various authours, see a nice survey paper [9]. In particular, the Ramsey numbers for combinations involving union of stars have also been investigated. Let S_n be a star of n vertices and W_m a wheel with mspokes.

For a combination of stars with wheels, Surahmat et al. [10] determined the Ramsey numbers for large stars versus small wheels. Their result is as follows. 2 Hasmawati

Theorem A.(Surahmat and E. T. Baskoro, [10]) For $n \ge 3$,

 $R(S_n, W_4) = \begin{cases} 2n+1, \text{ if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2n-1, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$

Parsons in [?] considered about the Ramsey numbers for stars versus cycles as presented in Theorem .

Theorem B. (Parsons's upper bound, [?]) For $p \ge 2$, $R(S_{1+p}, C_4) \le p + \sqrt{p} + 1$.

Hasmawati et al. in [?] and [?] proved that $R(S_6, C_4) = 8$, and $R(S_6, K_{2,m}) = 13$ for m = 5 or 6 respectively.

Let G be a graph. The number of vertices in a maximum independent set of G denoted by $\alpha_0(G)$, and the union of s vertices-disjoint copies of G denoted sG. S. A. Burr et al. in [3], showed that if the graph G has n_1 vertices and the graph H has n_2 vertices, then

$$n_1s + n_2t - D \le R(sG, tH) \le n_1s + n_2t - D + k,$$

where $D = \min\{s\alpha_0(G), t\alpha_0(H)\}$ and k is a constant depending only on G and H. Recently, Baskoro et al. in [2] determined the Ramsey numbers for multiple copies of a star versus a wheel. Their results are given in the next theorem.

Theorem C. [2] For $n \ge 3$, $R(kS_n, W_4) = \begin{cases} (k+1)n & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } k \ge 2, \\ (k+1)n-1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } k \ge 1. \end{cases}$

In this paper, we study the Ramsey numbers for disjoint union of stars versus small cycle and small wheel. The results are presented in the next two theorems.

Theorem 1. Let n_i is natural number for i = 1, 2, ..., k and $n_i \ge n_{i+1} \ge 3$ for every i. If n_i is odd and $2n_{i+1} \ge n_i$ for every i, then $R(\bigcup_{i=1}^k T_{n_i}, W_4) = R(T_{n_k}, W_4) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i$ for $k \ge 1$.

Theorem 2. Let n_i is natural number for i = 1, 2, ..., k and $n_i \ge n_{i+1} \ge 3$ for every i.

- 1. If n_i is even and $2n_{i+1} \ge n_i + 1$ for every i, then $R(\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_{n_i}, W_4) = 2n_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i$ for $k \ge 2$,
- 2. If n_i is odd and $2n_{i+1} \ge n_i$ for every *i*, then $R(\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_{n_i}, W_4) = R(S_{n_k}, W_4) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i$ for $k \ge 1$.

Before proving the theorems let us present some notations used in this note. Let G be any graph with the vertex set V(G) and the edge set E(G). The order of G, denoted by |G|, is the number of its vertices. The graph \overline{G} , the complement of G, is obtained from the complete graph on |V(G)| vertices by deleting the edges of G. A graph F = (V', E') is a subgraph of G if $V' \subseteq V(G)$ and $E' \subseteq E(G)$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, G[S] represents the subgraph induced by S in G. If G is a graph and H is a subgraph of G, then denote $G[V(G) \setminus V(H)]$ by $G \setminus H$. For $v \in V(G)$ and $S \subset V(G)$, the neighborhood $N_S(v)$ is the set of vertices in S which are adjacent to v. Furthermore, we define $N_S[v] = N_S(v) \cup \{v\}$. If S = V(G), then we use N(v) and N[v] instead of $N_{V(G)}(v)$ and $N_{V(G)}[v]$, respectively. The degree of a vertex v in G is denoted by $d_G(v)$. Let S_n be a star on n vertices and C_m be a cycle on m vertices. We denote the complete bipartite whose partite sets are of order n and p by $K_{n,p}$.

Proof of Theorem 1

Let n_i be odd and $2n_{i+1} \ge n_i$ for every *i*. Consider $F = K_{-1+\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \cup K_{n_k-1}$. Clearly, the graph *F* has order $-2 + 2n_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i$, without containing $\sum_{i=1}^k T_{n_i}$ and \overline{F} contains no W_4 . Hence,

$$R(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_{n_i}, W_4) \ge -1 + 2n_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i = R(T_{n_k}, W_4) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i.$$
(1)

To obtain the Ramsey number we use an induction on k. For k = 1, we have $R(T_{n_1}, W_4) = 2n_1 - 1$ (by Theorem 1). For k = 2, we show that $R(T_{n_1} \cup T_{n_2}, W_4) = 2n_2 - 1 + n_1 = R(T_{n_2}, W_4) + n_1$.

Let F' be a graph with $|F'| = 2n_2 - 1 + n_1 = 2n_1 - 1 + 2n_2 - n_1$. Assume that \overline{F}' contains no W_4 . We show that F' contains $T_{n_1} \cup S_{n_2}$. Since $2n_2 \ge n_1$, then $|F'| \ge 2n_1 - 1$. By Theorem ?? and Theorem 1, F' contains T_{n_1} . Write $L = F' \setminus T_{n_1}$. Thus $|L| = 2n_2 - 1$, such that L contains T_{n_2} . Hence, F' contains $T_{n_1} \cup T_{n_2}$. Therefore, $R(T_{n_1} \cup T_{n_2}, W_4) \le 2n_2 - 1 + n_1$.

Suppose the theorem holds for every r < k. Let F_1 be a graph of order $-1+2n_k+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}n_i$. Suppose $\overline{F_1}$ contains no W_4 . By the assumption, F_1 contains $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}T_{n_i}$. Let $L' = F_1 \setminus \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}T_{n_i}$. Thus $|L'| = 2n_k - 1$. Since $\overline{L'}$ contains no W_4 , then by Theorem ?? and 1, $L' \supset T_{n_k}$.

4 Hasmawati

Hence, F_1 contains $\sum_{i=1}^k T_{n_i}$. Therefore, we have

$$R(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_{n_i}, W_4) \le -1 + 2n_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i = R(T_{n_k}, W_4) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i.$$
(2)

Proof of Theorem 2

We only prove the Theorem 2.2.

Let n_i be odd and $2n_{i+1} \ge n_i$ for every *i*. Consider $F \simeq K_{-1+\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \cup K_{n_k-1}$. Clearly, the graph *F* has order $-2 + 2n_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i$, without containing $\sum_{i=1}^k S_{n_i}$ and \overline{F} contains no W_4 . Hence,

$$R(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_i}, W_4) \ge -1 + 2n_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i.$$
(3)

To obtain the Ramsey number we use an induction on k. For k = 1, we have $R(S_{n_1}, W_4) = 2n_1 - 1$ (by Theorem 1). For k = 2, we show that $R(S_{n_1} \cup S_{n_2}, W_4) = 2n_2 - 1 + n_1 = R(S_{n_2}, W_4) + n_1$.

Let F_1 be a graph with $|F_1| = 2n_2 - 1 + n_1 = 2n_1 - 1 + 2n_2 - n_1$. Assume that \overline{F}_1 contains no W_4 . We show that F_1 contains $S_{n_1} \cup S_{n_2}$. Since $2n_2 \ge n_1$, then $|F_1| \ge 2n_1 - 1$. By Theorem 1, F_1 contains S_{n_1} . Write $L = F_1 \setminus S_{n_1}$. Thus $|L| = 2n_2 - 1$, such that L contains S_{n_2} . Hence, F_1 contains $S_{n_1} \cup S_{n_2}$. Therefore, $R(S_{n_1} \cup S_{n_2}, W_4) \le 2n_2 - 1 + n_1$.

Suppose the theorem holds for every r < k. Let F_2 be a graph of order $-1+2n_k+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}n_i$. Suppose $\overline{F_2}$ contains no W_4 . By the assumption, F_2 contains $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} S_{n_i}$. Let $L' = F_2 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} S_{n_i}$. Thus $|L'| = 2n_k - 1$. Since $\overline{L'}$ contains no W_4 , then by Theorem 1, $L' \supset S_{n_k}$. Hence, F_2 contains $\bigcup_{i=1}^k S_{n_i}$. Therefore, we have

$$R(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{n_i}, W_4) = -1 + 2n_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i = R(S_{n_k}, W_4) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} n_i.$$
(4)

References

- E. T. Baskoro, Surahmat, S. M. Nababan and M. Miller, On Ramsey numbers for tree versus wheels of five or six vertices, *Graph Combin.*, 18 (2002), 717-721.
- E. T. Baskoro, Hasmawati, H. Assiyatun, The Ramsey numbers for disjoint unions of trees, *Discrete Math.*, **306** (2006), 3297-3301.
- S. A. Burr, P. Erdös and J. H. Spencer, Ramsey theorem for multiple copies of graphs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **209** (1975), 87-89.

- Y. J. Chen, Y. Q. Zhang and K. M. Zhang, The Ramsey numbers of stars versus wheels, *European J. Combin.*, 25 (2004), 1067-1075.
- 5. V. Chvátal, Tree-complete graph Ramsey number, J. Graph Theory, 1 (1977), 93.
- V. Chvátal and F. Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, III: Small offdiagonal numbers, *Pacific. J. Math.*, 41 (1972), 335-345.
- Hasmawati, E. T. Baskoro and H. Assiyatun, Star-wheel Ramsey numbers, J. Combin. Math. Conbin. Comput., 55 (2005), 123-128.
- S. L. Lawrence, Cycle-star Ramsey numbers, Notices Amer. math. Soc., 20 (1973), Abstract A-420.
- S. P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, *Electron. J. Combin.*, July (2004) #DS1.9, http://www.combinatorics.org/
- Surahmat and E. T. Baskoro, On The Ramsey number of a path or a star versus W₄ or W₅, Proceedings of the 12-th Australasian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms, Bandung, Indonesia, July 14-17 (2001), 165-170.
- 11. Surahmat, E. T. Baskoro, and Ioan Tomescu, The Ramsey number of large cycle versus odd wheels. Accepted in Discrete Mathematics (2006).
- 12. Y. Q. Zhang and K. M. Zhang, On Ramsey numbers $R(S_n, W_8)$ for small n. Preprint.