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Abstract

Global $N = 2$ supersymmetry in four dimensions with a gauged central charge is formulated in superspace. To find an irreducible representation of supersymmetry for the gauge connections a set of constraints is given. Then the Bianchi identities are solved subject to this set of constraints. It is shown that the gauge connection of the central charge is a $N = 2$ vector multiplet. Moreover the Bogomol’nyi bound of the massive particle states is studied.
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In this paper, global $N = 2$ supersymmetric theories in four dimensions are discussed. Although these theories are not directly related to physics at the electroweak scale, they have been extensively studied in the last years. It started with an analysis of the vacuum structure of $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories with gauge group $G = SU(2)$ by Seiberg and Witten, who showed that these theories exhibit physical phenomena like asymptotic freedom, chiral symmetry breaking and confinement of the electric charge [1]. Moreover a version of Olive-Montonen electric-magnetic duality appears [2]. Their results have been extended to other gauge groups [3,4] and to the case of effective string theories in four dimensions [5,6].

In the context of the electric-magnetic duality the central charge plays an important role, because the electric and the magnetic charges can appear as a complex central charge in the algebra. Technically these central charges enter a supersymmetric theory because certain surface terms, usually discarded in deriving the algebra, are non-vanishing [7].

The generator of the central charge is defined to commute with all the other generators of the theory [8]. From the point of view of the $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra the appearance of a central charge leads to new torsion terms. As a consequence the Bianchi identities differ from the ones without central charge. The solution of the latter is well known [9].

In this paper the solution of the Bianchi identities with gauged central charge will be given in the context of global $N = 2$ supersymmetry. In $N = 2$ supergravity the central charge must be gauged anyway [10]. Clearly the central charge can only be gauged by a $U(1)$ gauge group, because the generator of the gauged central charge has still to commute with all the other generators of the algebra. To solve the Bianchi identities one has to find an appropriate set of constraints. These constraints yield an irreducible representation of supersymmetry for the $U(1)$ gauge connections.

It is well known that the central charge can determine the quantum mechanical mass spectrum by the use of the Bogomol'nyi bound [7]. It is shown that the gauging of the central charge can change this mass bound, if the lowest component of the gauge connection of the central charge (Higgs field) has a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value.

The paper is organized as follows: First of all the $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra with gauged central charge is introduced. For simplicity only the case where the central charge is gauged by one $U(1)$ gauge group is considered. Then the set of constraints on the field strengths is given and the solution of the Bianchi identities subject to these constraints is shown. In particular the gauge connection of the central charge is discussed in detail. Moreover the possible Higgs-effect, which is a consequence of the gauging of the central charge, is studied. The whole discussion is given in the framework of rigid $N = 2$ superspace.

After the formulation of $N = 1$ supersymmetric theories an extended version with two supersymmetry generators has been formulated [11,12,13]. The corresponding $N = 2$
supersymmetry algebra with a gauged central charge is given as follows\(^1\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\{D_{\alpha}^i, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}j}\} &= -2i \delta_{\dot{j}}^i \sigma^m_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} D_m \\
\{D_{\alpha}^i, D_{\beta}^j\} &= -2i g^{ij} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} D_z \\
\{\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}j}\} &= -2i g_{ij} \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} D_{\dot{z}} \\
[D_m, D_{\alpha}^j] &= i F_{\alpha}^{j(r)} T_{(r)} \\
[D_m, \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i}] &= i F_{\dot{\alpha}i}^{(r)} T_{(r)} \\
[D_m, D_{\dot{\alpha}i}] &= i F_{mn}^{(r)} T_{(r)} \\
[D_{\dot{z}}, D_{\alpha}] &= 0 \\
[D_{\dot{z}}, D_{\dot{A}}] &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Here the covariant derivatives are given as \( D_A = D_A + iA_A \) with the index \( A \sim (m, \alpha i, \dot{\alpha}j, z, \dot{z}) \). The generators \( T_{(r)} \) of the \( U(1) \) gauge group satisfy \( [T_{(r)}, T_{(s)}] = 0 \) and the superfields \( A_A = A_A^{(r)} T_{(r)} \) represent the \( U(1) \) gauge connections. They transform in the adjoint representation under gauge transformations:

\[
A_A \rightarrow e^{-i\Lambda} A_A e^{i\Lambda} - i e^{-i\Lambda} D_A e^{i\Lambda}
\]

The occurrence of the central charge leads to the new torsion \( T_{\alpha\beta}^{\dot{z}ij} = 2 g^{ij} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \) in (1.1). Note that the central charge index \( \dot{z} \) is used as an internal index.

Now we set the first constraint on the gauge connections: Any gauge connection is independent of the central charge, i.e.

\[
A_A = A_A (x^m, \theta^\alpha_i, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}j})
\]

So we have \( \Lambda = \Lambda(x^m, \theta^\alpha_i, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}j}) \), and therefore the gauge connection of the central charge transforms now as \( A_\dot{z} \rightarrow e^{-i\Lambda} A_{\dot{z}} e^{i\Lambda} \) under gauge transformations. The same holds for \( A_\dot{\alpha} \).

As usual the field strength is given in general as

\[
F_{AB} = D_A B - (-)^{ab} D_B A + i T_{AB}^C A_C
\]

and the corresponding Bianchi identities are

\[
\oint_{A,B,C} (-)^{ac} \{D_A F_{BC} + i T_{AB}^E F_{EC}\} = 0.
\]

\(^1\)Here the following conventions for complex conjugation and for the \( SU(2)_R \) metric \( g_{ij} \) is used: \( D_{\alpha}^i = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}i} \), \( g^{12} = 1, g^{ij} = - g^{ji} = -g_{ij}, g^{ij} g_{jk} = \delta^i_k \). Moreover the conventions of [18] are used.
In our specific case with one complex central charge we find 13 field strengths and 26 Bianchi identities after elimination of the trivial ones. To proceed we set constraints on the field strengths to eliminate superfluous component fields. First of all we have the natural constraints:

\[ F_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = F_{\alpha\beta}^{i\ j} = F_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = 0 \]  

(1.6)

These constraints are natural in the sense that they can be obtained by an appropriate redefinition of the gauge connections appearing in the \( N = 2 \) algebra. Note that these constraints survive the truncation to a \( N = 1 \) supersymmetric theory [18]. Second we have the central charge constraints: Some of them follow directly from (1.3).

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{z\bar{z}} &= F_{\alpha\bar{z}}^z = F_{\alpha z}^i = 0 \\
F_{az} - \partial_a A_z &= 0 \\
F_{\alpha\bar{z}} - \partial_\alpha A_{\bar{z}} &= 0 \\
F_{\alpha\bar{z}}^i - D_{\alpha}^i A_{\bar{z}} &= 0 \\
F_{\alpha z}^i - \bar{D}_{\alpha}^i A_z &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.7)

Now the number of non-trivial field strengths reduces to 7 and the number of non-trivial constrained Bianchi identities to 21. However, 10 bianchi identities are still fulfilled by the use of the algebra. The other 11 Bianchi identities must be solved subject to the set of constraints. The solution yields the following constraints on the connection of the central charge:

\[
\begin{align*}
D_{\alpha}^i A_z &= 0 \quad (1.8) \\
\bar{D}_{\alpha i} A_{\bar{z}} &= 0 \quad (1.9) \\
[D^\alpha_{\alpha i}, D^\alpha_{\alpha j}] A_{\bar{z}} &= [\bar{D}_{\alpha i}, \bar{D}_{\alpha j}] A_{\bar{z}} \quad (1.10) \\
[D^\alpha_{\alpha i}, D_{\alpha j}] A_z &= [\bar{D}_{\alpha i}, \bar{D}_{\alpha j}] A_z \quad (1.11)
\end{align*}
\]

All the other component fields can be expressed in terms of the gauge connection of the central charge. In this context the following superfield-identities hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{\beta \alpha \bar{\alpha}}^j &= \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} \bar{D}_{\alpha i} g^{ij} A_z \quad (1.12) \\
F_{\beta \alpha \bar{\alpha}} \bar{\alpha} j &= \varepsilon_{\beta\alpha} D_{\alpha i} g_{ij} A_{\bar{z}} \quad (1.13) \\
F_{\alpha \bar{\alpha} \beta \bar{\beta}} &= \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} f_{(\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta})} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} f_{(\alpha\beta)} \quad (1.14)
\end{align*}
\]

with the definitions

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{(\alpha\beta)} &= -\frac{i}{4} D_{\alpha i} g_{ij} D_{\beta j}^* A_z \quad (1.15) \\
f_{(\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta})} &= -\frac{i}{4} \bar{D}_{\alpha i} g^{ij} \bar{D}_{\beta j} A_{\bar{z}}. \quad (1.16)
\end{align*}
\]
Collecting this information about the gauge connection of the central charge we find that it is a $N = 2$ vector multiplet [9,12, 13, 14,15]. Note that another set of constraints might yield a different multiplet.

The $8_B + 8_F$ vector multiplet contains a complex scalar, a vector, a left-handed $SU(2)_R$ spinor doublet and a real $SU(2)_R$ triplet, which represents three auxiliary fields:

$$A_{\bar{z}} \sim (X_{\bar{z}}, \ a_m | \lambda_{\alpha \bar{z}}^i || Y^{ij}) \quad (1.17)$$

In the following we will refer to the complex scalar as the Higgs field, whereas the spinor doublet represents the Higgsino and the Gaugino. The $SU(2)_R$ triplet obeys the constraint:

$$Y^{ij} = Y^{ji} = Y_{ij} \quad (1.18)$$

The vector multiplet is defined at component level as

$$A_{\bar{z}} \big| = X_{\bar{z}} (x) \quad (1.19)$$

$$\frac{i}{8} \epsilon^{\gamma \beta} \sigma_{mn} \alpha D_{\alpha} g_{ij} D_{\gamma} j A_{\bar{z}} + \frac{i}{8} \bar{\sigma}_{mn} \dot{\beta} \epsilon^{\dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}} i g^{ij} \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} j A_{\bar{z}} \big| = f_{mn} (x) \quad (1.20)$$

$$D_{\alpha}^i A_{\bar{z}} \big| = \lambda_{\alpha \bar{z}}^i (x) \quad (1.21)$$

$$[D^\alpha_i, D^\beta_j] A_{\bar{z}} \big| = Y_{ij} (x). \quad (1.22)$$

The field strength of the abelian component vector field is defined in the usual way: $f_{mn} = \partial_m a_n - \partial_n a_m$. The component fields transform under supersymmetry transformations generated by the operator $\delta = \xi^\alpha D^i_{\alpha} + \bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha i}} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha i}}$ as follows:

$$\delta X_{\bar{z}} = \xi^\alpha \lambda_{\alpha \bar{z}}^i \quad (1.23)$$

$$\delta a_m = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\sigma}_m \dot{\alpha} \xi^\alpha \lambda_{\alpha \bar{z}}^i + \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha} i} \lambda_{\alpha \bar{z}}^i \quad (1.24)$$

$$\delta \lambda_{\alpha \bar{z}}^i = \frac{1}{8} \xi^\beta j \xi_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} Y^{ji} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^\beta_j g^{ji} (\sigma^{mn} \epsilon_{\beta \alpha}) f_{mn} - 2i \xi_{\bar{\alpha} \beta} \dot{\gamma} g^{j} i g_{ji} \sigma^{m}_{\alpha \beta} \partial_m X_{\bar{z}} \quad (1.25)$$

$$\delta Y^{ij} = 4i \xi^\alpha_k (g^k i \sigma^{m}_{\alpha \beta} \partial_m \lambda_{i \bar{z}}^j + g^k i \sigma^{m}_{\alpha \beta} \partial_m \lambda_{j \bar{z}}^i)$$

$$- 4i \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha} k} (g^k i \sigma^{m}_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \lambda_{j \bar{z}}^i + g^k i \sigma^{m}_{\dot{\beta} \dot{\alpha}} \partial_m \lambda_{i \bar{z}}^j) \quad (1.26)$$

The Bogomol'nyi bound of massive particle states is associated with the central charge. Gauging the central charge can change the bound. To show this the inequality for the masses will be derived in a way described in [7]: First Majorana spinors $D^t = \begin{pmatrix} D^i_{\alpha} & \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha} i} \end{pmatrix}$ and $D^+_j = (\bar{D}_{\beta i}, D_{\dot{\beta} j})$ are introduced. Then the eigenvalues of the $8 \times 8$
matrix \( \{D^i, D^+_j\} \) must be calculated by the use of the algebra in the rest frame. With \( iD_{\alpha\beta} = -\delta_{\alpha\beta}M \) we find that the eigenvalues of the matrix are real if and only if

\[
M^2 \geq |\partial z + iX_z|^2 \quad (1.27)
\]

Of course the eigenvalues of the matrix must be real and that is why the inequality must hold for massive particle states. So a vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field can change the mass bound.

Changing the basis and introducing the \( Q^i_\alpha \) as supersymmetry generators with

\[
\{Q^i_\alpha, \bar{Q}^j_\beta\} = 2 \sigma^{m}_{\alpha\beta} \delta^i_j P_m \quad \text{and} \quad \{Q^i_\alpha, Q^j_\beta\} = 2 g^{ij} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} Z \quad (1.28)
\]

we recover the well-known result of Olive and Witten [7]. However, in this basis the effect of gauging the central charge is hidden.

To conclude, it is shown in this paper that the central charge appearing in the \( N = 2 \) algebra in four dimensions can be gauged by abelian gauge groups. The case for one \( U(1) \) gauge group has been studied explicitly by solving the Bianchi identities subject to a set of constraints. It turns out that the gauge connection of the central charge is a \( N = 2 \) vector multiplet with respect to these constraints. For more than one central charge one has additional constraints on the field strengths like \( F_{z_i z_j} = F_{\bar{z}_i \bar{z}_j} = 0 \), where the index \( i \) labels the number of different central charges. However, all the associated gauge connections of the central charges are \( N = 2 \) vector multiplets.

Then the effect of the gauging concerning the Bogomol’nyi bound of the massive particle states has been investigated. It is shown that a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field can change this mass bound. As a consequence one can understand from an algebraic point of view, why the breaking of a non-abelian gauge group down to \( U(1) \) can change the \( N = 2 \) central charge. Just because of the fact that any abelian field strength \( F^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \) appearing in the algebra

\[
\{D^i_\alpha, D^+_j_\beta\} = -2i g^{ij} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} D_z + i F^{ij}_{\alpha\beta} \quad (1.29)
\]

can enter the gauged central charge. This observation lead to the natural constraints. For non-abelian field strengths this cannot happen, because the central charge has to commute with all the other generators.

Finally, it should be mentioned that a \( N = 2 \) supersymmetric theory in four dimensions can be obtained by dimensional reduction of a \( N = 1 \) supersymmetric theory in six dimensions [16,17]. From this point of view the generator of the complex ungauged central charge is related to partial derivatives with respect to the two internal coordinates. Analogous the Higgs field represents two degrees of freedom of the six-dimensional \( U(1) \) gauge connection in four dimensions. And the constraint (1.3) makes
any four-dimensional gauge connection independent of the internal coordinates. The important difference between the six and the four dimensional theory is that the six-dimensional \( U(1) \) gauge connection cannot have a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value. This would violate Lorentz invariance. On the other hand in the four dimensional case the two degrees of freedom of the six-dimensional \( U(1) \) gauge connection, which enter the Higgs field, can have a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value.
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