Comment.Math.Univ.Carolin. 37,1 (1996)155–157

155

## A note on the non-emptiness of the limit of approximate systems

## M.G. CHARALAMBOUS

*Abstract.* Short proofs of the fact that the limit space of a non-gauged approximate system of non-empty compact uniform spaces is non-empty and of two related results are given.

*Keywords:* inverse systems, approximate inverse systems, compact and uniform spaces *Classification:* 54B35, 54E15

An approximate inverse system (AIS) of uniform spaces  $((X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}), p_{\alpha\beta}, A)$  consists of a directed set A with respect to a transitive and anti-reflexive relation <, a uniform space  $(X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha})$  for each  $\alpha$  in A and, for  $\alpha < \beta$ , a uniformly continuous function  $p_{\alpha\beta} : X_{\beta} \to X_{\alpha}$  satisfying the condition

For each  $\alpha$  in A and U in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ , there is  $\alpha'$  in A such that  $\alpha < \alpha'$ 

(AIS) and for  $\alpha' < \beta < \gamma$ ,  $|p_{\alpha\beta} p_{\beta\gamma} - p_{\alpha\gamma}| < U$ , i.e.  $(p_{\alpha\beta} p_{\beta\gamma}(x), p_{\alpha\gamma}(x)) \in U$ for each x in  $X_{\gamma}$ .

Here uniform spaces are not necessarily Hausdorff and entourages are taken to be symmetric. The definition of approximate systems just given was first considered in [1] and simplifies the original definition of approximate systems of compacta introduced by Mardešić and Rubin [3]. Their approximate systems satisfy two additional conditions, (A1) and (A3), and Mardešić in more recent papers such as [2] calls such systems gauged approximate systems.

In the sequel, we consider a fixed AIS  $((X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}), p_{\alpha\beta}, A)$ . Its limit space X is the subspace of the product  $\prod (X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A)$  consisting of all points  $x = (x_{\alpha})$  such that for each  $\alpha$  in A,  $x_{\alpha}$  is the limit of the net  $\{p_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\beta}) : \alpha < \beta\}$ . This means that for each U in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ , there is  $\alpha'$  such that  $\alpha < \alpha'$  and for  $\alpha' < \beta$ ,  $|p_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\beta}) - x_{\alpha}| < U$ . Here U can be taken to be open or even closed in  $X_{\alpha} \times X_{\alpha}$  as such entourages form a base of  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ . The restriction to X of the canonical projection from the product to  $X_{\alpha}$  will be denoted by  $p_{\alpha}$ . The purpose of this note is to give short proofs of the following results in their most general formulation, correcting thus the impression created by the review 93h:54009 of [1] in Mathematical Reviews, which contains the statement that "all these generalizations lead to situations ... with empty limits". **Theorem 1.** In an AIS  $((X_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}), p_{\alpha\beta}, A)$  consisting of compact spaces, consider an open set G of some  $X_{\alpha^*}$  containing  $p_{\alpha^*}(X)$ . Then there is  $\alpha'$  in A such that  $\alpha^* < \alpha'$  and for  $\alpha' < \beta$ ,  $p_{\alpha^*\beta}(X_{\beta}) \subset G$ .

**Corollary 1.** If each  $X_{\alpha}$  is compact and each  $p_{\alpha\beta}$  is surjective, then each  $p_{\alpha}$  is surjective.

**Corollary 2.** If each  $X_{\alpha}$  is compact and non-empty, then so is X.

Corollary 2 for gauged approximate systems of metric compacta appeared first in [3, Theorem 1], and for gauged approximate systems of compact Hausdorff spaces in [5, Theorem 4.1]. Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 for gauged approximate systems of metric compacta are proved in [4, Theorem 1 and Corollary 1], assuming Corollary 2. In all cases, the given proofs are lengthy and they appeal to both axioms (A1) and (A3). Finally, Mardešić [2, Theorem 6] derives Corollary 2 (as well as several other results) for Hausdorff spaces from a result that to each AIS of such spaces assigns a gauged AIS consisting of the same spaces and having the same limit space. As is well known, the inverse limit of non-empty, compact and Hausdorff spaces is not empty, but none of the assumptions on the spaces can be dropped.

**Example 1.** Let  $X_n = \{n, n + 1, n + 2, ...\}$  with uniformity consisting only of  $X_n \times X_n$  for each n in N and, for m < n, let  $p_{mn}$  denote the inclusion of  $X_n$  in  $X_m$ . Then  $(X_n, p_{mn}, N)$  is an inverse limit system with empty limit while its limit space as an AIS is  $\prod (X_n : n \in N)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on the following result.

**Lemma 1.** Let Y, Z be uniform spaces, U a closed entourage of Z and  $f, g : Y \to Z$  continuous functions. Then  $F = \{x \in Y : |f(x) - g(x)| < U\}$  is a closed subset of Y.

PROOF: If  $x \notin F$ , since U is closed in  $Z \times Z$ , there is an entourage V of Z such that  $B(f(x), V) \times B(g(x), V) \cap U = \emptyset$ , where B(y, V) denotes the set  $\{z \in Z : |y-z| < V\}$ . But then the neighbourhood  $f^{-1}(B(f(x), V)) \cap g^{-1}(B(g(x), V)))$  of x is disjoint from F. Hence F is closed.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Assume that  $B = \{\beta \in A : p_{\alpha^*\beta}(X_\beta) \notin G\}$  is cofinal in *A*. Let *M* consist of all triples  $(\alpha, \alpha', U)$  such that  $\alpha < \alpha', U$  is a closed member of  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$  and for  $\alpha' \leq \beta < \gamma$ ,  $|p_{\alpha\beta}p_{\beta\gamma} - p_{\alpha\gamma}| < U$ . Note that if  $(\alpha, \alpha', U)$  is in *M*, then so is  $(\alpha, \beta, U)$  whenever  $\alpha' < \beta$ . For each  $\mu = (\alpha, \alpha', U)$  in *M*, define

$$F_{\mu} = \Big\{ x = (x_{\alpha}) \in \prod X_{\alpha} : |p_{\alpha\alpha'}(x_{\alpha'}) - x_{\alpha}| < U \text{ and } x_{\alpha^*} \notin G \Big\}.$$

Since each  $p_{\alpha}$  is continuous, it follows from Lemma 1 that each  $F_{\mu}$  is closed in the product. Consider next a finite subset L of M. Then there is by assumption an element  $\beta$  of B that is greater than  $\alpha^*$  and the second coordinate of every member of L, and a point b of  $X_{\beta}$  such that  $p_{\alpha^*\beta}(b) \notin G$ . The cofinality of B implies

that each space of our AIS is non-empty, so that there is a member  $x = (x_{\alpha})$ of the product such that  $x_{\beta} = b$  and, for  $\alpha < \beta$ ,  $x_{\alpha} = p_{\alpha\beta}(b)$ . Now for each  $\lambda = (\alpha, \alpha', U)$  in L, as  $\alpha < \alpha' < \beta$ , we have  $|p_{\alpha\alpha'}(x_{\alpha'}) - x_{\alpha}| = |p_{\alpha\alpha'}p_{\alpha'\beta}(b) - p_{\alpha\beta}(b)| < U$ . Since evidently  $x_{\alpha^*} \notin G$ , then x belongs to  $F_{\lambda}$  for each  $\lambda$  in L. Thus, the closed family  $\{F_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  of the compact  $\prod X_{\alpha}$  has the finite intersection property. Hence there is a point  $y = (y_{\alpha})$  of the product that belongs to each  $F_{\mu}$ . Evidently,  $p_{\alpha^*}(y) \notin G$  and to complete the proof it suffices to show  $y \in X$ . By (AIS), for each  $\alpha$  in A and closed U in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ , there is  $\alpha'$  such that  $(\alpha, \alpha', U) \in M$ . Therefore, for  $\alpha' < \beta$ ,  $\mu = (\alpha, \beta, U) \in M$  so that  $y \in F_{\mu}$  and hence  $|p_{\alpha\beta}(y_{\beta}) - y_{\alpha}| < U$ . This shows that  $y \in X$  and completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Corollary 1.** If a, b have the same closure in  $X_{\alpha}$ , then for all U in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ , |a-b| < U, and a net converges to a iff it converges to b. Consequently, if  $x = (x_{\alpha})$  is in X with  $x_{\alpha} = a, y_{\alpha} = b$  and, for  $\alpha \neq \beta, y_{\beta} = x_{\beta}$ , then  $y = (y_{\alpha}) \in X$ . Thus, if a is not in  $p_{\alpha}(X)$  and G is the complement of the closure of a, then  $p_{\alpha}(X) \subset G$ . By Theorem 1,  $p_{\alpha\beta}(X_{\beta}) \subset G$  for eventually all  $\beta$ , contradicting the assumption that  $p_{\alpha\beta}$  is surjective.

**Proof of Corollary 2.** As a closed subspace of the product, X is compact. If  $X = \emptyset$ , for any  $\alpha$  in A,  $p_{\alpha\beta}(X_{\beta}) = \emptyset$  and hence  $X_{\beta} = \emptyset$  for eventually all  $\beta$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corrections.** In conclusion, we take the opportunity to note some minor corrections to our paper [1]. In Lemma 3, the map f need not be assumed to be locally finite, and h(x) lies in the carrier of f(x). In Lemma 4, the maps  $f_i$  need not be assumed locally finite. In Propositions 11 and 12, the bonding maps should not be claimed to be surjective.

## References

- Charalambous M.G., Approximate inverse systems of uniform spaces and an application of inverse systems, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 32 (1991), 551–565.
- [2] Mardešić S., On approximate inverse systems and resolutions, Fund. Math. 142 (1993), 241–255.
- [3] Mardešić S., Rubin L.R., Approximate inverse systems of compacta and covering dimension, Pacific J. Math. 138 (1989), 129–144.
- [4] Mardešić S., Segal J., *P-like continua and approximate inverse systems*, Math. Japonica 33 (1988), 895–908.
- [5] Mardešić S., Watanabe T., Approximate resolutions of spaces and mappings, Glas. Mat. 24 (1989), 583–633.

Department of Mathematics, University of the Aegean, Karlovassi 83200, Samos, Greece

(Received March 20, 1995, revised September 1, 1995)