

# TRANSITION FRONTS FOR INHOMOGENEOUS MONOSTABLE REACTION-DIFFUSION EQUATIONS VIA LINEARIZATION AT ZERO

TIANYU TAO, BEITE ZHU, AND ANDREJ ZLATOŠ

**ABSTRACT.** We prove existence of transition fronts for a large class of reaction-diffusion equations in one dimension, with inhomogeneous monostable reactions. We construct these as perturbations of corresponding front-like solutions to the linearization of the PDE at  $u = 0$ . While a close relationship of the solutions to the two PDEs has been well known and exploited for KPP reactions (and our method is an extension of such ideas from [16]), to the best of our knowledge this is the first time such an approach has been used in the construction and study of fronts for non-KPP monostable reactions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We study transition fronts for the one-dimensional reaction-diffusion equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, u), \quad (1.1)$$

with an inhomogeneous non-negative reaction  $f \geq 0$  satisfying  $f(x, 0) = f(x, 1) = 0$ , and with  $u \in [0, 1]$ . Such PDEs model a host of natural processes such as combustion, chemical reactions, population dynamics and others, with  $u$  representing (normalized) temperature, concentration of a reactant, or population density.

Both  $u \equiv 0$  and  $u \equiv 1$  are equilibrium solutions of (1.1) and one is interested in the study of propagation of reaction in space, that is, invasion of the state  $u = 0$  by the state  $u = 1$ . An important class of solutions modeling the propagation of reaction are transition fronts. A (*right-moving*) *transition front* is any entire solution  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of (1.1) which satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} u(t, x) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(t, x) = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . In addition, we also require that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $L_\varepsilon < \infty$  such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \text{diam}\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \varepsilon \leq u(t, x) \leq 1 - \varepsilon\} \leq L_\varepsilon. \quad (1.3)$$

The definition of a left-moving transition front is similar, with the limits in (1.2) exchanged. We will only study right-moving fronts here because the treatment of both cases is identical, up to a reflection in  $x$ . We note that the above definition is from [3, 6, 12].

We will consider here the case of *monostable reactions*, for which  $u \equiv 1$  is an asymptotically stable solution while  $u \equiv 0$  is unstable. We assume that  $f$  is Lipschitz,

$$f(x, 0) = f(x, 1) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$a(x) := f_u(x, 0) > 0 \quad (1.5)$$

exists for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and

$$a(x)g_0(u) \leq f(x, u) \leq a(x)g_1(u) \quad \text{for } (x, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1], \quad (1.6)$$

where  $g_0, g_1 \in C^1([0, 1])$  satisfy

$$g_0(0) = g_0(1) = 0, \quad g'_0(0) = 1, \quad g_0(u) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g'_0(u) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } u \in (0, 1), \quad (1.7)$$

$$g_1(0) = 0, \quad g'_1(0) = 1, \quad g'_1(u) \geq 1 \quad \text{for } u \in [0, 1], \quad (1.8)$$

$$\int_0^1 \frac{g_1(u) - g_0(u)}{u^2} du < \infty. \quad (1.9)$$

Finally, we let

$$a_- := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} a(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} a(x) =: a_+. \quad (1.10)$$

When the reaction  $f(x, u) = f(u) \geq 0$  is homogeneous, a special case of transition fronts are *traveling fronts*. These are of the form  $u(t, x) = U(x - ct)$ , with some front speed  $c$  and front profile  $U$  such that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} U(s) = 1$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} U(s) = 0$ , and their study goes back to the seminal works of Kolmogorov, Petrovskii, and Piskunov [5], and Fisher [4]. They considered *KPP reactions*, a special case of monostable reactions with  $g_1(u) = u$ , and found that for each  $c \geq c_0 := 2\sqrt{f'(0)}$  there is a unique traveling front  $u(t, x) = U_c(x - ct)$ . A simple phase-plane analysis argument (see Aronson and Weinberger [1]) shows that this turns out to be the case for general homogeneous monostable reactions, although with a different  $c_0 \geq 2\sqrt{f'(0)}$ . In contrast, *ignition reactions*, satisfying  $f(u) = 0$  for  $u \in [0, \theta] \cup \{1\}$  and  $f(u) > 0$  for  $u \in (\theta, 1)$  (for some *ignition temperature*  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ), give rise to a single speed  $c_0 > 0$  and a single traveling front [1].

Despite many developments for homogeneous and space-periodic reactions in the almost eight decades since [4, 5] (see the reviews [2, 15] and references therein), transition fronts in spatially non-periodic media have only been studied relatively recently. The first existence of transition fronts result, for small perturbations of homogeneous *bistable reactions* (the latter are such that  $f(u) < 0$  for  $u \in (0, \theta)$  and  $f(u) > 0$  for  $u \in (\theta, 1)$ ), was obtained by Vakulenko and Volpert [14]. Existence without a hypothesis of closeness to a homogeneous reaction, for ignition reactions of the form  $f(x, u) = a(x)g(u)$  with some ignition  $g$ , was proved by Mellet, Roquejoffre, and Sire [8], and by Nolen and Ryzhik [11] (see also [7] for uniqueness and stability results for these reactions). Existence, uniqueness, and stability of fronts for general inhomogeneous ignition reactions was proved by Zlatoš [17]. He also proved existence of fronts for some monostable reactions which are in some sense not too far from ignition ones (satisfying, in particular,  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} f_u(x, 0) \leq \frac{1}{4}c_0^2$ , with  $c_0$  the unique speed for some ignition  $f_0$  with  $f(x, u) \geq f_0(u)$  for all  $(x, u) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1]$ ). All these results are based on recovering a front as a locally uniform limit, along a subsequence, of solutions  $u_n$  of the Cauchy problem with initial data  $u_n(\tau_n, x) \approx \chi_{(-\infty, -n)}(x)$ , where  $\tau_n \rightarrow -\infty$  are such that  $u_n(0, 0) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Existence of a limit  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is guaranteed by parabolic regularity, and the challenge is to show that  $u$  is a transition front. We note that even in the monostable case in [17], when one expects multiple transition fronts, existence of only a single transition front was obtained.

A very different approach has been used by Nolen, Roquejoffre, Ryzhik, and Zlatoš [10], and by Zlatoš [16] to prove existence of multiple transition fronts for inhomogeneous KPP reactions. It is well known that when  $f$  is KPP, then there is a close relationship between the solutions of (1.1) and those of its linearization

$$v_t = v_{xx} + a(x)v \quad (1.11)$$

at  $u = 0$ . The reason for this is that all KPP fronts are *pulled*, with the front speeds determined by the reaction at  $u = 0$ , which is due to the *reaction strength*  $\frac{f(x,u)}{u}$  being largest at  $u = 0$  for any fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . This is in stark contrast with ignition fronts, which are always *pushed* because they are “driven” by the reaction at intermediate values of  $u$ .

One can therefore consider the simpler *front-like solutions* of (1.11), which are of the form

$$v_\lambda(t, x) = e^{\lambda t} \phi_\lambda(x). \quad (1.12)$$

Here  $\phi_\lambda > 0$  is a generalized eigenfunction of the operator  $\mathcal{L} := \partial_{xx} + a(x)$ , satisfying

$$\phi_\lambda'' + a(x)\phi_\lambda = \lambda\phi_\lambda \quad (1.13)$$

on  $\mathbb{R}$ , which exponentially grows to  $\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  and exponentially decays to 0 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . If we let  $\lambda_0 := \sup \sigma(\mathcal{L})$  be the supremum of the spectrum of  $\mathcal{L}$ , which satisfies  $\lambda_0 \in [a_-, a_+]$  because  $\sigma(\partial_{xx}) = (-\infty, 0]$ , then it is a well known spectral theory result that such  $\phi_\lambda$  exists precisely when  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ , and is unique if we also require  $\phi_\lambda(0) = 1$ .

For KPP reactions one can try to use these solutions to find transition fronts for (1.1) with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u_\lambda(t, x)}{v_\lambda(t, x)} = 1 \quad (1.14)$$

for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , at least for some  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ . This has been achieved in [10] for KPP reactions which converge to a homogeneous KPP reaction as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , and for more general KPP reactions in [16]. In both cases one needs  $\lambda_0 < 2a_-$  (otherwise it is possible that no transition fronts exist [10]) and  $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 2a_-)$ .

In the present paper we show that this linearization approach can be extended to general *non-KPP monostable reactions*. Our method is an extension of the (relatively simple and robust) approach from [16]. There it was discovered that while  $v_\lambda$  is obviously a super-solution of (1.1) when  $g_1(u) = u$  (i.e., in the KPP case), one can also use  $v_\lambda$  to find a sub-solution of the form  $\tilde{w}_\lambda(t, x) = \tilde{h}_\lambda(v_\lambda(t, x))$ , for  $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 2a_-)$  and an appropriate  $g_0$ -dependent increasing function  $\tilde{h}_\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  with

$$\tilde{h}_\lambda(0) = 0, \quad \tilde{h}'_\lambda(0) = 1, \quad \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}_\lambda(v) = 1, \quad \tilde{h}_\lambda(v) \leq v \quad \text{on } [0, \infty). \quad (1.15)$$

It follows that  $\tilde{w}_\lambda \leq v_\lambda$ , and one can then find a transition front  $u_\lambda$  between the two using parabolic regularity (see below).

Since this  $\tilde{w}_\lambda$  depends on  $g_0$  but not on  $g_1$ , it remains a sub-solution even for  $g_1(u) \geq u$  (the latter follows from (1.8)). On the other hand,  $v_\lambda$  need not be anymore a super-solution. However, we prove here that one can still construct a super-solution of the form  $w_\lambda(t, x) = h_\lambda(v_\lambda(t, x))$ , for an appropriate increasing  $h_\lambda : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$h_\lambda(0) = 0, \quad h'_\lambda(0) = 1, \quad h''_\lambda(v) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } h_\lambda^{-1}([0, 1]). \quad (1.16)$$

Once again, we then find a transition front  $u_\lambda$  between  $\tilde{w}_\lambda$  and  $\min\{w_\lambda, 1\}$ .

Moreover, a result of Nadin [9] (see also [12]) shows that once some front exists, then also a (time-increasing) *critical front* exists. The latter is a transition front  $u_C$  for (1.1) such that if  $u \neq u_C$  is any other transition front and  $u(t, x) = u_C(t, x)$  for some  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then

$$[u_C(t, y) - u(t, y)](y - x) < 0$$

for all  $y \neq x$ . That is, a critical front is the (unique up to time translation) “steepest” transition front for (1.1), and is the inhomogeneous version of the minimal speed front for homogeneous reactions. Indeed, if  $f$  is homogeneous, then  $u_C$  is precisely the traveling front with the minimal speed  $c_0$ .

Thus we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume (1.4)–(1.9), let  $\nu := \sup_{u \in (0,1]} \frac{g_1(u)}{u} \geq 1$ , and let the supremum of the spectrum of  $\mathcal{L} := \partial_{xx} + a(x)$  be  $\lambda_0 := \sup \sigma(\mathcal{L}) \in [a_-, a_+]$ . If  $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 2a_-)$  satisfies*

$$\lambda \leq 2a_- - \frac{2\sqrt{\nu-1}}{\sqrt{\nu} + \sqrt{\nu-1}}a_+, \quad (1.17)$$

*then (1.1) has a transition front  $u_\lambda$  with  $(u_\lambda)_t > 0$ , satisfying (1.14). In particular, if  $\lambda_0$  is smaller than the right-hand side of (1.17), then a critical front  $u_C$  also exists and  $(u_C)_t > 0$ .*

*Remarks.* 1. If  $a(x) = f_u(x, 0)$  is constant on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\lambda_0 = a_- = a_+$ , so the right-hand side of (1.17) is always greater than  $\lambda_0$ . Thus a transition front exists for any  $g_0, g_1$  in this case.

2. The front  $u_\lambda$  does not have a constant speed in general, but when  $f$  is stationary ergodic in  $x$ , then it almost surely has an *asymptotic speed*  $c_\lambda > 0$  in the sense that if  $X(t)$  is the rightmost point such that  $u(t, X(t)) = \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X(t)}{t} = c_\lambda.$$

This is because the same claim holds for  $v_\lambda$  [16] and  $\tilde{h}_\lambda(v_\lambda) \leq u_\lambda \leq h_\lambda(v_\lambda)$ .

3. The result also holds with  $v_\lambda$  replaced by more general solutions of (1.11) of the form  $v_\mu(t, x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} v_\lambda(t, x) d\mu(\lambda)$ , with  $\mu$  a finite non-negative non-zero Borel measure supported on a compact subset of  $(\lambda_0, 2a_- - 2\sqrt{\nu-1}(\sqrt{\nu} + \sqrt{\nu-1})^{-1}a_+]$  (or of  $(\lambda_0, 2a_-)$  if  $\nu = 1$ ).

4. The result also applies to the more general equation

$$u_t = (A(x)u_x)_x + q(x)u_x + f(x, u)$$

with

$$0 < A_- \leq A(x) \leq A_+ < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad |q(x)| \leq q_+ < \infty$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , provided that  $q_+ \leq 2\sqrt{(aA)_-}$  with  $(aA)_- := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [a(x)A(x)]$ , where

$$\lambda_0 := \sup_{\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R})} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}} [-A(x)\psi'(x)^2 + q(x)\psi'(x)\psi(x) + a(x)\psi(x)^2] dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x)^2 dx} \quad (\geq a_-)$$

and  $2a_-$  is replaced in (1.17) by

$$\lambda_1 := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ a(x) + \sqrt{(aA)_-} \left[ \sqrt{(aA)_-} - |q(x)| \right] A(x)^{-1} \right\} \quad (\leq 2a_-).$$

We indicate the proofs of Remarks 2–4 after the proof of the theorem.

Our construction of the super-solution  $w_\lambda$  is of independent interest and extends to more general equations in several dimensions, possibly with time-dependent coefficients. Hence we state it here as a separate result.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let the function  $f(t, x, u) \geq 0$ , positive definite matrix  $A(t, x)$ , and vector field  $q(t, x)$  be all Lipschitz, with  $(t, x, u) \in (t_0, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, 1]$  and some  $-\infty < t_0 < t_1 \leq \infty$ . Assume that  $a(t, x) \equiv f_u(t, x, 0) > 0$  exists, (1.4)–(1.9) hold with  $(t, x) \in (t_0, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}^d$  in place of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , and define  $\nu := \sup_{u \in (0, 1]} \frac{g_1(u)}{u} \geq 1$ . Let  $v > 0$  be a solution of*

$$v_t = \nabla \cdot (A(t, x)\nabla v) + q(t, x) \cdot \nabla v + a(t, x)v$$

on  $(t_0, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $\nu > 1$  and for some  $\alpha \leq (\sqrt{\nu} - \sqrt{\nu - 1})^2$  (or for some  $\alpha < 1$  if  $\nu = 1$ ),

$$\nabla v(t, x) \cdot A(t, x)\nabla v(t, x) \leq \alpha a(t, x)v(t, x)^2 \quad (1.18)$$

holds for all  $(t, x) \in (t_0, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ , then there exist increasing functions  $\tilde{h}$  satisfying (1.15) and  $h$  satisfying (1.16) such that  $\tilde{w} := \tilde{h}(v)$  is a sub-solution of

$$u_t = \nabla \cdot (A(t, x)\nabla u) + q(t, x) \cdot \nabla u + f(t, x, u) \quad (1.19)$$

on  $(t_0, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $w := h(v)$  is a super-solution on  $[(t_0, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}^d] \cap \{(t, x) \mid w(t, x) \leq 1\}$ . Therefore, if  $u$  solves (1.19) with

$$\tilde{w}(t_0, x) \leq u(t_0, x) \leq \min\{w(t_0, x), 1\} \quad (1.20)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then for all  $(t, x) \in (t_0, t_1) \times \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$\tilde{w}(t, x) \leq u(t, x) \leq \min\{w(t, x), 1\}. \quad (1.21)$$

**Acknowledgements.** All authors were supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-1056327. TT and BZ gratefully acknowledge the hospitality of the Department of Mathematics at the University of Wisconsin–Madison during the REU “Analysis and Differential Equations”, where this research was performed.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 (USING LEMMA 1.2)

Let  $\lambda \in (\lambda_0, 2a_-)$  and  $v = v_\lambda$  be from (1.12), with  $\phi = \phi_\lambda > 0$  from (1.13) with  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0$  and  $\phi(0) = 1$ . It is proved in [16, Proof of Theorem 1.1] that there exists a unique such  $\phi$  and it satisfies

$$\phi'(x)^2 \leq \alpha a_- \phi(x)^2 \quad (2.1)$$

for  $\alpha := 1 - (2a_- - \lambda)a_+^{-1} < 1$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , as well as

$$\phi(x) \geq 2\phi(y) \quad (2.2)$$

for some  $L < \infty$  and any  $y - x \geq L$ .

Since  $\alpha \leq (\sqrt{\nu} - \sqrt{\nu - 1})^2$  is, by the definition of  $\alpha$ , equivalent to

$$\lambda \leq 2a_- - \left[1 - (\sqrt{\nu} - \sqrt{\nu - 1})^2\right] a_+ = 2a_- - \frac{2\sqrt{\nu - 1}}{\sqrt{\nu} + \sqrt{\nu - 1}} a_+$$

(which is (1.17)), Lemma 1.2 applies to  $v$  and (1.1). Thus we have (1.21) and a standard limiting argument now recovers an entire solution to (1.1) between  $\tilde{w}$  and  $\min\{w, 1\}$ . We let  $u_n$  be the solution of (1.1) on  $(-n, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$  with  $u_n(-n, x) := \tilde{w}(-n, x)$ . Since  $\tilde{w}(t, x) \leq \min\{w(t, x), 1\}$  because  $h(v) \geq v$  for  $v \in h^{-1}([0, 1])$ , (1.20) is satisfied with  $t_0 := -n$  and we have (1.21) on  $(-n, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ . By parabolic regularity, there is a subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$  which converges, locally uniformly on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , to an entire solution  $u$  of (1.1). We obviously have

$$\tilde{w} \leq u \leq \min\{w, 1\}, \quad (2.3)$$

and (1.14) for  $u_\lambda := u$  follows from  $\tilde{h}'(0) = h'(0) = 1$ . We also have  $u_t \geq 0$ , because  $(u_n)_t \geq 0$  due to  $\tilde{w}_t = \tilde{h}'(v)v_t \geq 0$  and the maximum principle for  $(u_n)_t$  (which satisfies a linear equation and is non-negative at  $t = -n$ ). The strong maximum principle then gives  $u_t > 0$  because obviously  $u_t \not\equiv 0$ . Finally,  $u$  is a transition front because the second limit in (1.2) follows from  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0$  and (1.16), and (1.3) holds with

$$L_\varepsilon := L \left[ \log_2 \left( \tilde{h}^{-1}(1 - \varepsilon) - h^{-1}(\varepsilon) \right) \right]$$

due to (2.3) and (2.2) (with  $\tilde{h}, h$  from the lemma). The first limit in (1.2) is then obvious from  $u \leq 1$ , and the proof is finished by using the result from [9] for critical fronts.

The claim in Remark 2 is proved as an analogous statement in [16, Theorem 1.2].

The claim in Remark 3 holds because  $L$  can be chosen uniformly for all  $\lambda$  in the support of  $\mu$  [16] and so (2.2) holds with  $\phi(\cdot)$  replaced by  $v_\mu(t, \cdot)$ . Also,  $v_\mu$  satisfies (2.1) with  $\alpha$  corresponding to  $\lambda := \sup \text{supp } \mu$ .

The claim in Remark 4 holds because (2.1) and (2.2) continue to hold in that case, albeit with  $2a_-$  replaced by  $\lambda_1$  in the definition of  $\alpha$  [16].

### 3. PROOF OF LEMMA 1.2

Lemma 2.1 in [16] shows that there is an increasing  $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}_\lambda$  as in (1.15) such that  $\tilde{w}(t, x) := \tilde{h}(v)$  is a sub-solution of (1.1) with the reaction  $\min\{f(x, u), a(x)u\}$  (which is a KPP reaction). Then  $\tilde{w}$  is also a sub-solution of (1.1), which yields the first inequality in (1.21).

We will next find an increasing  $h = h_\lambda$  as in (1.16) such that  $w(t, x) := h(v(t, x))$  will be a super-solution on the space-time domain where  $w(t, x) \leq 1$ , which will yield the second inequality in (1.21) because  $u \leq 1$  by the hypotheses. Our proof will be a super-solution counterpart to the sub-solution argument in [16, Lemma 2.1]; it was a little surprising to us that such a counterpart argument can be found for non-KPP reactions.

If  $h$  is as in (1.16), then (1.18) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} w_t - \nabla \cdot (A \nabla w) - q \cdot \nabla w &= h'(v)[v_t - \nabla \cdot (A \nabla v) - q \cdot \nabla v] - h''(v) \nabla v \cdot A \nabla v \\ &= h'(v)av - h''(v) \nabla v \cdot A \nabla v \\ &\geq a[vh'(v) - \alpha v^2 h''(v)] \end{aligned}$$

when  $w(t, x) \leq 1$ . We can then conclude that  $w$  is a super-solution of (1.1) where  $w(t, x) \leq 1$  once we show that on  $h^{-1}([0, 1])$  we also have

$$vh'(v) - \alpha v^2 h''(v) \geq g_1(h(v)). \quad (3.1)$$

It therefore remains to find  $h$  satisfying (1.16) and (3.1). We let  $c := \alpha^{1/2} + \alpha^{-1/2}$  and notice that since  $\gamma + \gamma^{-1} \geq 2\sqrt{\nu}$  for all positive  $\gamma \leq \sqrt{\nu} - \sqrt{\nu - 1}$ , the hypothesis  $\alpha \leq (\sqrt{\nu} - \sqrt{\nu - 1})^2$  yields  $c \geq 2\sqrt{\nu}$ . Next let  $U$  be the unique solution to the ODE

$$U'' + cU' + g_1(U) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

on  $[s_0, \infty)$ , with

$$U(s_0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad U'(s_0) = -\sqrt{\alpha}g_1(1), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  will be chosen later. (This is the ODE that would be satisfied by the traveling front profile with speed  $c$  for the homogeneous reaction  $g_1(u)$  if we had  $g_1(1) = 0$ ; this profile would then also satisfy  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} U(s) = 1$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} U'(s) = 0$  instead of (3.3).)

Notice that  $U'(s_0) \geq -\frac{c}{2}$  because  $g_1(1) \leq \nu$  and

$$\sqrt{\alpha} \leq (\sqrt{\nu} + \sqrt{\nu - 1})^{-1} \leq \nu^{-1/2}.$$

Let  $V(s) := U'(s)$ , and consider the curve  $\theta := \{(U(s), V(s))\}_{s \geq s_0}$ . It is easy to see that  $\theta$  cannot leave the closed triangle  $T$  in the  $(U, V)$  plane with sides  $V = 0$ ,  $U = 1$ , and  $V = -\frac{c}{2}U$ . This is because  $(U(s_0), V(s_0)) \in T$  and on  $\partial T$ , the vector field  $(V, -cV - g_1(U))$  either points inside  $T$  or is parallel to  $\partial T$ . Here we use  $c \geq 2\sqrt{\nu}$  to obtain on the third side

$$\left(\frac{c}{2}, 1\right) \cdot \left(-\frac{c}{2}U, -c\left(-\frac{c}{2}U\right) - g_1(U)\right) = \frac{c^2}{4}U - g_1(U) \geq \nu U - g_1(U) \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

It follows that  $U'(s) < 0$  on  $[s_0, \infty)$ , and since  $g_1(U(s)) > 0$ ,  $U(s)$  cannot have local minima on  $[s_0, \infty)$ . Hence  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} U(s)$  exists and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} U'(s) = 0$ . Finally,  $g_1 > 0$  on  $(0, 1]$  yields  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} U(s) = 0$ .

We now define  $h(0) := 0$  and

$$h(v) := U(-\alpha^{-1/2} \ln v) \quad (3.5)$$

for  $v \in (0, e^{-\sqrt{\alpha}s_0}]$ , so  $h$  is increasing and continuous at 0, with  $h(e^{-\sqrt{\alpha}s_0}) = 1$  (we then extend  $h$  onto  $[0, \infty)$  arbitrarily, only requiring that it be increasing). Since  $c > 2\sqrt{g_1'(0)} = 2$  and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{g_1(u) - u}{u^2} du < \infty$$

by (1.7) and (1.9), a result of Uchiyama [13, Lemma 2.1] shows  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} U(s)e^{\sqrt{\alpha}s} \in (0, \infty)$ . (This result assumes  $g_1(1) = 0$  but we can extend  $g_1, U$  to  $[0, 2]$  so that  $g_1(2) = 0$  and  $U$  satisfies (3.2), and then apply [13] to  $\tilde{g}(u) := \frac{1}{2}g_1(2u)$  and the function  $\tilde{U}(s) := \frac{1}{2}U(s)$ .)

If we now pick the unique  $s_0$  in (3.3) such that  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} U(s)e^{\sqrt{\alpha}s} = 1$  (notice that (3.2) is an autonomous ODE), we obtain  $h'(0) = 1$ . We also have (3.1) on  $[0, e^{-\sqrt{\alpha}s_0}]$  because on that interval, (3.2) immediately yields

$$\alpha v^2 h''(v) - v h'(v) + g_1(h(v)) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

It therefore remains to show that  $h''(v) \geq 0$  on  $[0, e^{-\sqrt{\alpha}s_0}]$ . Due to (3.6) and (3.5), this is equivalent to

$$-U'(s) \geq \sqrt{\alpha} g_1(U(s)) \quad (3.7)$$

for  $s \geq s_0$ . Thus we need to show that  $\theta$  stays at or below  $\psi := \{(U(s), -\sqrt{\alpha} g_1(U(s)))\}_{s \geq s_0}$ . This is true at  $s = s_0$  by the definition of  $U$ , so it is sufficient to show that on  $\psi$ , the vector field  $(V, -cV - g_1(U))$  points either below or is parallel to  $\psi$ . This holds because the normal vector to  $\psi$  pointing down is  $(\sqrt{\alpha} g_1'(U)V, V)$ , so on  $\psi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (V, -cV - g_1(U)) \cdot (\sqrt{\alpha} g_1'(U)V, V) &= \alpha^{1/2} g_1'(U) V^2 - (\alpha^{1/2} + \alpha^{-1/2}) V^2 - \alpha^{1/2} g_1(U) \alpha^{-1/2} V \\ &= \alpha^{1/2} (g_1'(U) - 1) V^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is non-negative due to (1.8) and  $U(s) \leq 1$  for  $s \geq s_0$ . It follows that  $h''(v) \geq 0$  on  $[0, e^{-\sqrt{\alpha} s_0}]$  and so  $h$  satisfies (1.16) and (3.1). The proof is finished.

## REFERENCES

- [1] D.G. Aronson and H.F. Weinberger, *Multidimensional nonlinear diffusion arising in population genetics*, Adv. in Math. **30** (1978), 33–76.
- [2] H. Berestycki, *The influence of advection on the propagation of fronts in reaction-diffusion equations*, Nonlinear PDEs in Condensed Matter and Reactive Flows, NATO Science Series C, 569, H. Berestycki and Y. Pomeau eds, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [3] H. Berestycki and F. Hamel, *Generalized transition waves and their properties*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math **65** (2012), 592–648.
- [4] R. Fisher, *The wave of advance of advantageous genes*, Ann. Eugenics **7** (1937), 355–369.
- [5] A.N. Kolmogorov, I.G. Petrovskii and N.S. Piskunov, *Étude de l'équation de la chaleur de matière et son application à un problème biologique*, Bull. Moskov. Gos. Univ. Mat. Mekh. **1** (1937), 1–25.
- [6] H. Matano, talks at several conferences.
- [7] A. Mellet, J. Nolen, J.-M. Roquejoffre and L. Ryzhik, *Stability of generalized transition fronts*, Commun. PDE **34** (2009), 521–552.
- [8] A. Mellet, J.-M. Roquejoffre and Y. Sire, *Generalized fronts for one-dimensional reaction-diffusion equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **26** (2010), 303–312.
- [9] G. Nadin, *Critical travelling waves for general heterogeneous one dimensional reaction-diffusion equation*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, to appear.
- [10] J. Nolen, J.-M. Roquejoffre, L. Ryzhik, and A. Zlatoš, *Existence and non-existence of Fisher-KPP transition fronts*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **203** (2012), 217–246.
- [11] J. Nolen and L. Ryzhik, *Traveling waves in a one-dimensional heterogeneous medium*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **26** (2009), 1021–1047.
- [12] W. Shen, *Traveling waves in diffusive random media*, J. Dynam. Differ. Equat. **16** (2004), 1011–1060.
- [13] K. Uchiyama, *The behavior of solutions of some non-linear diffusion equations for large time*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), 453–508.
- [14] S. Vakulenko and V. Volpert, *Generalized travelling waves for perturbed monotone reaction-diffusion systems*, Nonlinear Anal. **46** (2001), 757–776.
- [15] J. Xin, *Front propagation in heterogeneous media*, SIAM Rev. **42** (2000), 161–230.
- [16] A. Zlatoš, *Transition fronts in inhomogeneous Fisher-KPP reaction-diffusion equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **98** (2012), 89–102.
- [17] A. Zlatoš, *Generalized traveling waves in disordered media: Existence, uniqueness, and stability*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **208** (2013), 447–480.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI 53706, USA, EMAIL: ttao@wisc.edu

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720, USA, EMAIL: jupiter\_ju@berkeley.edu



DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI 53706, USA  
EMAIL: zlatos@math.wisc.edu