

---



---

IJMMS 2004:49, 2641-2648  
 PII. S0161171204304084  
<http://ijmms.hindawi.com>  
 © Hindawi Publishing Corp.

---



---

## CONTINUUM MODEL OF THE TWO-COMPONENT BECKER-DÖRING EQUATIONS

ALI REZA SOHEILI

Received 3 April 2003

The process of collision between particles is a subject of interest in many fields of physics, astronomy, polymer physics, atmospheric physics, and colloid chemistry. If two types of particles are allowed to participate in the cluster coalescence, then the time evolution of the cluster distribution has been described by an infinite system of ordinary differential equations. In this paper, we describe the model with a second-order two-dimensional partial differential equation, as a continuum model.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65M20, 82C80, 70F45.

**1. Introduction.** The Becker-Döring equations model the behavior of clusters of particles when the coagulation and fragmentation done by only one particle may leave or join a cluster at a time [2]. The time evolution of the cluster distribution  $c_r(t)$  has been described by the infinite system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) [1]

$$\dot{c}_r = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} J_{r-k,k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J_{r,k}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $J_{r,k} = a_{r,k}c_r c_k - b_{r,k}c_{r+k} = J_{k,r}$ , and for  $r = 1$ , the first sum is omitted.  $J_{r,k}$  is the net rate of converting the clusters with  $r$ -particles ( $r$ -clusters) to  $(r+k)$ -clusters, with nonnegative symmetric constants  $a_{r,k}$  and  $b_{r,k}$  which determine the coagulation and fragmentation rates, respectively. If two different types of particles participated in the cluster coalescence, then the two-component Becker-Döring system is defined based on the following hypotheses:

- (1) the number of particles of each type over all clusters is constant;
- (2) the clusters are distributed uniformly in space;
- (3) the cluster size distribution changes when clusters coagulate or fragment by gaining or losing only monomers of each type.

**2. Two-component Becker-Döring system.** The concentration of clusters containing  $r$  type-I particles and  $s$  type-II particles at time  $t$  is denoted by  $c_{r,s}(t)$ . There are two net rates for converting the clusters. Hence,  $c_{1,0}(t)$  and  $c_{0,1}(t)$  are the concentrations of type-I and type-II monomers, respectively.  $J_{r,s}$  is the rate at which  $(r,s)$ -clusters change to  $(r+1,s)$ -clusters, and  $J'_{r,s}$  is the rate at which  $(r,s)$ -clusters alter to  $(r,s+1)$ -clusters.

They are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{r,s} &= a_{r,s}c_{r,s}c_{1,0} - b_{r+1,s}c_{r+1,s}, \\ J'_{r,s} &= a'_{r,s}c_{r,s}c_{0,1} - b'_{r,s+1}c_{r,s+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $a_{r,s}, b_{r,s}$  are the kinetic coefficients (coagulation and fragmentation rates) for the particle of type I and  $a'_{r,s}, b'_{r,s}$  are the coagulation and fragmentation rates of particles of type II. All coefficients are nonnegative constants with  $b_{1,0} = 0, b'_{0,1} = 0$ . The equations can be formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{c}_{r,s} &= J_{r-1,s} + J'_{r,s-1} - J_{r,s} - J'_{r,s}, \quad r \geq 1, s \geq 1, \\ \dot{c}_{r,0} &= J_{r-1,0} - J_{r,0} - J'_{r,0}, \quad r \geq 2, \\ \dot{c}_{0,s} &= J'_{0,s-1} - J_{0,s} - J'_{0,s}, \quad s \geq 2, \\ \dot{c}_{1,0} &= -J_{1,0} - J'_{1,0} - \sum_{(r,s) \in I_f} J_{r,s}, \\ \dot{c}_{0,1} &= -J_{0,1} - J'_{0,1} - \sum_{(r,s) \in I_f} J'_{r,s}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$I_f = \{(r,s), r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} - \{(0,0)\}. \tag{2.3}$$

The densities of the system are defined by

$$\rho_I = \sum_{(r,s) \in I_f} r c_{r,s}, \quad \rho_{II} = \sum_{(r,s) \in I_f} s c_{r,s}. \tag{2.4}$$

The two-component Becker-Döring system is formulated as an infinite system of ODEs and so for numerical approximation, the system has to be truncated [4, 5].

For the truncated model, we set  $J_{r,s} = J'_{r,s} = 0$  for  $r \geq n_r$  and  $s \geq n_s$ , where  $n_r$  and  $n_s$  are the maximum numbers of particles of type I and type II that can be collected in the clusters. We can use the truncated formulation of the system and obtain

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n_s} \sum_{r=0}^{n_r} r \dot{c}_{r,s}(t) = J_{0,1} + 2J_{1,0} + J'_{1,0} + \sum_{s=0}^{n_s-1} \sum_{r=0}^{n_r-1} J_{r,s} = -\dot{c}_{1,0}(t), \quad r + s \geq 2. \tag{2.5}$$

Hence,  $\sum_s \sum_r r c_{r,s}(t)$  has a constant value of time  $t$ . The same holds for  $\sum_s \sum_r s c_{r,s}(t)$ . On the other hand, the first summation is the number of type-I particles in the overall clusters which we call density of type-I of particles in the system and is denoted by  $\rho_I$ ; so

$$\rho_I = \sum_{r,s} r c_{r,s}, \quad \rho_{II} = \sum_{r,s} s c_{r,s}. \tag{2.6}$$

**3. PDE formulation.** For both types of particles, the fragmentation coefficients are

$$b_{r,s} = \frac{a_{r-1,s} Q_{r-1,s}}{Q_{r,s}}, \quad b'_{r,s} = \frac{a'_{r,s-1} Q_{r,s-1}}{Q_{r,s}}. \quad (3.1)$$

In this paper, we have the following kinetic coefficients [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{r,s} &= a'_{r,s} = 1, \\ Q_{r,s} &= \exp \left[ -a(r-1)^{2/3} - b(s-1)^{2/3} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Now using the definitions of  $J_{r,s}$  and  $Q_{r,s}$ , we have

$$\frac{J_{r,s}}{a_{r,s} Q_{r,s} c_{1,0}^{r+1}} = \frac{c_{r,s}}{Q_{r,s} c_{1,0}^r} - \frac{c_{r+1,s}}{Q_{r+1,s} c_{1,0}^{r+1}}, \quad (3.3)$$

and similarly,

$$\frac{J'_{r,s}}{a'_{r,s} Q_{r,s} c_{0,1}^{s+1}} = \frac{c_{r,s}}{Q_{r,s} c_{0,1}^s} - \frac{c_{r,s+1}}{Q_{r,s+1} c_{0,1}^{s+1}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Thus, we can approximate  $J_{r,s}$ ,  $J'_{r,s}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{r,s} &\approx -a_{r,s} Q_{r,s} c_{1,0}^{r+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{c_{r,s}}{Q_{r,s} c_{1,0}^r} \right), \\ J'_{r,s} &\approx -a'_{r,s} Q_{r,s} c_{0,1}^{s+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{c_{r,s}}{Q_{r,s} c_{0,1}^s} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In this case, all the parameters of the system are functions of nonnegative continuous variables  $r, s$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(r,s) &= -a(r,s) Q(r,s) c(1,0)^{r+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{c(r,s)}{Q(r,s) c(1,0)^r} \right), \\ J'(r,s) &= -a'(r,s) Q(r,s) c(0,1)^{s+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left( \frac{c(r,s)}{Q(r,s) c(0,1)^s} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

The system formulation can be stated as follows (assuming  $\Delta r = \Delta s = 1$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{c}(r,s) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} J(r,s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} J'(r,s), \quad r \geq 1, s \geq 1, \\ \dot{c}(r,0) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} J(r,0) - J'(r,0), \quad r > 1, \\ \dot{c}(0,s) &= -J(0,s) - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} J'(0,s), \quad s > 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

**3.1. Truncated model.** Assume  $J_{r,s} = J'_{r,s} = 0$  for  $r > n_r$  or  $s > n_s$ , where  $n_r, n_s$  are the largest numbers of particles of type I and II which can be collected in one cluster. The truncated model is restricted on the area  $\mathbf{R} = \{(r,s), 0 < r < n_r, 0 < s < n_s\}$  and can be formulated as follows:

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t}(r,s,t) = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial r}(r,s,t) - \frac{\partial J'}{\partial s}(r,s,t) \quad (r,s) \in \mathbf{R}, t > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

The boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}(0, s, t) &= -J(0, s, t) - \frac{\partial J'}{\partial s}(0, s, t), \quad 0 < s < n_s, \\
 \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}(r, n_s, t) &= -\frac{\partial J}{\partial r}(r, n_s, t) - J'(r, n_s^-, t), \quad 0 < r < n_r, \\
 \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}(n_r, s, t) &= -J(n_r^-, s, t) - \frac{\partial J'}{\partial s}(n_r, s, t), \quad 0 < s < n_s, \\
 \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}(r, 0, t) &= -\frac{\partial J}{\partial r}(r, 0, t) - J'(r, 0, t), \quad 0 < r < n_r,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.9}$$

and for the area vertices,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}(n_r, 0, t) &= J(n_r^-, 0, t) - J'(n_r, 0, t), \\
 \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}(0, n_s, t) &= J'(0, n_s^-, t) - J(0, n_s, t), \\
 \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}(n_r, n_s, t) &= J(n_r^-, n_s, t) + J'(n_r, n_s^-, t).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.10}$$

The densities of the model are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{n_s} \int_0^{n_r} r c(r, s) dr ds &= \rho_I, \\
 \int_0^{n_s} \int_0^{n_r} s c(r, s) dr ds &= \rho_{II}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.11}$$

Thus, we have applied the density conservations (does not depend on time) instead of the monomer concentrations, and so the model is approximated by a differential algebraic equation [3].

The initial conditions of the system are

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(r, s, 0) &= 0, \quad r + s > 0, \\
 c(0^+, 0, 0) &= \rho_I, \\
 c(0, 0^+, 0) &= \rho_{II}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.12}$$

In the discrete form of the system, we assume

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(r^\pm, s, t) &\approx c(r \pm \Delta r, s, t), \\
 c(r, s^\pm, t) &\approx c(r, s \pm \Delta s, t).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.13}$$

Hence, the discrete model is initialized with monomers, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 c(\Delta r, 0, 0) &= \frac{\rho_I}{\Delta r}, \\
 c(0, \Delta s, 0) &= \frac{\rho_{II}}{\Delta s}, \\
 c(r, s, 0) &= 0, \quad r \neq \Delta r \text{ or } s \neq \Delta s.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.14}$$

**4. Numerical approximation.** The truncated model is discretized by central difference in spaces and backward difference in time, that is, for

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial r} - \frac{\partial J'}{\partial s}, \quad (r, s) \in \mathbf{R}, \tag{4.1}$$

the discrete form at the mesh point  $(r_i, s_j) \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $t_k = k\Delta t$  ( $c_{i,j}^k \approx c(r_i, s_j, t_k)$ ) is

$$\frac{c_{i,j}^{k+1} - c_{i,j}^k}{\Delta t} = -\left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial r}\right)_{i,j}^{k+1} - \left(\frac{\partial J'}{\partial s}\right)_{i,j}^{k+1}, \tag{4.2}$$


where, for example, the first term on the right-hand side can be formulated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J(r, s, t) &= -a(r, s)Q(r, s)c(\Delta r, 0, t)r^{r+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{c(r, s, t)}{Q(r, s)c(\Delta r, 0, t)r^r} \right), \\ \frac{\partial J}{\partial r}(r, s, t) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} (a(r, s)Q(r, s)c(\Delta r, 0, t)r^{r+1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{c(r, s, t)}{Q(r, s)c(\Delta r, 0, t)r^r} \right) \\ &\quad - a(r, s)Q(r, s)c(\Delta r, 0, t)r^{r+1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \left( \frac{c(r, s, t)}{Q(r, s)c(\Delta r, 0, t)r^r} \right), \\ \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial r}\right)_{i,j}^{k+1} &= \frac{-1}{2\Delta r} \left( a_{i+1,j}Q_{i+1,j}(c_{1,0}^{k+1})^{r_i+\Delta r+1} - a_{i-1,j}Q_{i-1,j}(c_{1,0}^{k+1})^{r_i-\Delta r+1} \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{2\Delta r} \left( \frac{c_{i+1,j}^{k+1}}{Q_{i+1,j}(c_{1,0}^{k+1})^{r_i+\Delta r}} - \frac{c_{i-1,j}^{k+1}}{Q_{i-1,j}(c_{1,0}^{k+1})^{r_i-\Delta r}} \right) \\ &\quad - a_{i,j}Q_{i,j}(c_{1,0}^{k+1})^{r_i+1} \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \left[ \frac{c_{i+1,j}^{k+1}}{Q_{i+1,j}(c_{1,0}^{k+1})^{r_i+\Delta r}} - 2\frac{c_{i,j}^{k+1}}{Q_{i,j}(c_{1,0}^{k+1})^{r_i}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{c_{i-1,j}^{k+1}}{Q_{i-1,j}(c_{1,0}^{k+1})^{r_i-\Delta r}} \right]; \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$(\partial J' / \partial s)_{i,j}^{k+1}$  can be similarly evaluated.


For the boundary conditions, the discrete form of rates  $J_{i,j}^{k+1}$  or  $J'_{i,j}^{k+1}$  computed by the forward difference formula and the density conservation equations can be discretized as follows:

$$\sum_j \sum_i r_i c_{i,j}^{k+1} = \rho_I, \quad \sum_j \sum_i s_j c_{i,j}^{k+1} = \rho_{II}. \tag{4.5}$$



ooo  $n_r = n_s = 10$

(a)



ooo  $n_r = 5, n_s = 10$   
 +++  $n_r = 5, n_s = 15$

(b)

FIGURE 4.1. The monomer concentration of the two-component Becker-Döring system  $c(0,1)$  against time. The densities are  $\rho_I(0) = \rho_{II}(0) = 1.5$  and kinetic coefficients are as in (3.14).

We write the two-dimensional array  $c_{r,s}^{k+1}$  as a vector  $y_\ell^{k+1}$  using the formula

$$\ell = r \left( \left[ \frac{n_s}{\Delta s} \right] + 1 \right) + s; \tag{4.6}$$

so the variables are ordered from  $c_{0,1}^{k+1} = y_1^{k+1}$  to  $c_{n_r/\Delta r, n_s/\Delta s}^{k+1} = y_{(n_r/\Delta r + 1)(n_s/\Delta s + 1) - 1}^{k+1}$ .




FIGURE 4.2. The cluster concentrations  $c_{r,s}$  at time  $t = 3$  for  $n_r = n_s = 10$ .

The discrete form of the model creates a nonlinear system of equations. We have solved them by Newton's method. The Jacobian matrix is very big, sparse, and has a regular structure. Because of the Becker-Döring system, the cluster size distribution changes when clusters gain or lose only monomers of each type. So the monomer concentrations appear in all equations from the definitions of  $J_{r,s}$  and  $J'_{r,s}$ . Therefore, the Jacobian matrix is a pentadiagonal matrix with nonzero elements in the first row and the first column (because of  $\gamma_1$  and (2.4)) and similarly in the  $[n_s/\Delta s + 1]$ th row and column.

Here we present the numerical approximation for square ( $n_r = n_s$ ) and rectangular ( $n_r \neq n_s$ ) models. They have different behaviors. In Figure 4.1, the monomer concentration of type-II particle ( $c(0,1)$ ) is plotted against time. In Figure 4.1(a), the system size is  $n_r = n_s = 10$  and  $\rho_I = \rho_{II} = 1.5$ , while in Figure 4.1(b), the system is  $5 \times 10$  and  $5 \times 15$  with the same densities. The numerical approximation of the system at time  $t = 3$  is plotted against  $r$  and  $s$  in Figure 4.2. The PDE approximation needs more theoretical work. In our numerical experiment,

- (1) when  $\Delta r = \Delta s = 1$ , the results have a good accuracy;
- (2) when  $\Delta r < 1$ ,  $\Delta s < 1$ , the approximation does not work good and the roots of the nonlinear system are complex numbers after a few iterations. It should be related to the stiffness of the model;
- (3) when  $\Delta r > 1$ ,  $\Delta s > 1$ , the numerical results have a good stability and can be used for reduced models of a big truncated system.

For the reduced model, we guess that the nonuniform mesh with successive nodes around the monomers gives good results. The adaptive methods such as moving-mesh methods especially for the initial period of time give a useful nodes' distribution for time-dependent solutions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Ball, J. Carr, and O. Penrose, *The Becker-Döring cluster equations: basic properties and asymptotic behaviour of solutions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), no. 4, 657-692.
- [2] R. Becker and W. Döring, *Kinetische Behandlung der Keimbildung in übersättigten Dämpfern*, Ann. Phys. **24** (1935), 719-752 (German).
- [3] K. E. Brenan, S. L. Campbell, and L. R. Petzold, *Numerical Solution of Initial-Value Problems in Differential-Algebraic Equations*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, vol. 14, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Pennsylvania, 1996.
- [4] D. B. Duncan and A. R. Soheili, *Approximating the Becker-Döring cluster equations*, Appl. Numer. Math. **37** (2001), no. 1-2, 1-29.
- [5] A. R. Soheili, *Numerical analysis of the coagulation-fragmentation equations*, Ph.D. thesis, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, 1997.

Ali Reza Soheili: Department of Mathematics, Sistan & Baluchestan University, Zahedan 98135, Iran

*E-mail address:* [soheili@hamoon.usb.ac.ir](mailto:soheili@hamoon.usb.ac.ir)

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada V5A 1S6

*E-mail address:* [asoheili@sfu.ca](mailto:asoheili@sfu.ca)



## Special Issue on Modeling Experimental Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaotic Scenarios

### Call for Papers

Thinking about nonlinearity in engineering areas, up to the 70s, was focused on intentionally built nonlinear parts in order to improve the operational characteristics of a device or system. Keying, saturation, hysteretic phenomena, and dead zones were added to existing devices increasing their behavior diversity and precision. In this context, an intrinsic nonlinearity was treated just as a linear approximation, around equilibrium points.

Inspired on the rediscovering of the richness of nonlinear and chaotic phenomena, engineers started using analytical tools from “Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations,” allowing more precise analysis and synthesis, in order to produce new vital products and services. Bifurcation theory, dynamical systems and chaos started to be part of the mandatory set of tools for design engineers.

This proposed special edition of the *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* aims to provide a picture of the importance of the bifurcation theory, relating it with nonlinear and chaotic dynamics for natural and engineered systems. Ideas of how this dynamics can be captured through precisely tailored real and numerical experiments and understanding by the combination of specific tools that associate dynamical system theory and geometric tools in a very clever, sophisticated, and at the same time simple and unique analytical environment are the subject of this issue, allowing new methods to design high-precision devices and equipment.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

### Guest Editors

**José Roberto Castilho Piqueira**, Telecommunication and Control Engineering Department, Polytechnic School, The University of São Paulo, 05508-970 São Paulo, Brazil; [piqueira@lac.usp.br](mailto:piqueira@lac.usp.br)

**Elbert E. Neher Macau**, Laboratório Associado de Matemática Aplicada e Computação (LAC), Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São José dos Campos, 12227-010 São Paulo, Brazil ; [elbert@lac.inpe.br](mailto:elbert@lac.inpe.br)

**Celso Grebogi**, Center for Applied Dynamics Research, King's College, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK; [grebogi@abdn.ac.uk](mailto:grebogi@abdn.ac.uk)