



# IMHOTEP

AFRICAN JOURNAL OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS

## Imhotep Mathematical Journal Volume 2, Numéro 1, (2017), pp. 1 – 6.

The relations between  $\varphi$ -amenability and some special ideals

**S. A. R. Hosseinioun**

University of Arkansas,  
Department of Mathematical  
sciences, Fayetteville, AR 72703,  
USA.  
shossein@uark.edu

**A. Valadkhani**

arezou.valadkhani@yahoo.com

University of Simon Fraser,  
Department of Education,  
Vancouver, Canada.

### Abstract

In this paper we determine  $\varphi$ -amenability of a Banach algebra  $A$  with some certain ideals in its second dual  $A''$ . We show that for an idempotent  $m \in A''$ , the set  $\mathbb{C} \cdot m = \{\lambda \cdot m : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$  is a left ideal in  $A''$  if and only if  $m$  is a  $\varphi$ -mean. We also give some results on the relationships between weak amenability and  $\varphi$ -amenability of Banach algebras.

# The relations between $\varphi$ -amenability and some special ideals

S. A. R. Hosseinioun and A. Valadkhani

**Abstract.** In this paper we determine  $\varphi$ -amenability of a Banach algebra  $A$  with some certain ideals in its second dual  $A''$ . We show that for an idempotent  $m \in A''$ , the set  $\mathbb{C} \cdot m = \{\lambda \cdot m : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$  is a left ideal in  $A''$  if and only if  $m$  is a  $\varphi$ -mean. We also give some results on the relationships between weak amenability and  $\varphi$ -amenability of Banach algebras.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** 46H25 (Primary), 43A07 (Secondary).

**Keywords.** Banach algebra, phi-amenability, Ideal,  $\varphi$ -mean,  $\varphi$ -amenability, extreme point.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra, and let  $X$  be a Banach  $A$ -bimodule. Then a linear map  $D : A \rightarrow X$  is a derivation if

$$D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + D(a) \cdot b \quad (a, b \in A).$$

For example, let  $x \in X$  and define  $\delta_x : A \rightarrow X$  by  $\delta_x a = a \cdot x - x \cdot a$  then  $\delta_x$  is a derivation which is called an inner derivation.

The set of all bounded derivations from  $A$  into  $X$  is denoted by  $Z^1(A, X)$ , and  $N^1(A, X)$  is the set of all inner derivations from  $A$  into  $X$ . Also,  $H^1(A, X) = Z^1(A, X)/N^1(A, X)$  is the first cohomology group with coefficients in  $X$ .

The dual space of a Banach  $A$ -bimodule with the following multiplications can be made into a Banach  $A$ -bimodule

$$a \cdot x'(x) = x'(x \cdot a) \quad , \quad x' \cdot a(x) = x'(a \cdot x)$$

for each  $x \in X, x' \in X', a \in A$ .

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $A''$  be its second dual, for each  $a, b \in A, f \in A'$  and  $F, G \in A''$  we define  $f \cdot a, a \cdot f$  and  $F \cdot f, f \cdot F \in A'$  by

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot a(b) &= f(a \cdot b) \quad , \quad a \cdot f(b) = f(b \cdot a) \\ F \cdot f(a) &= F(f \cdot a) \quad , \quad f \cdot F(a) = F(a \cdot f). \end{aligned}$$

Now we define  $F \cdot G, F \times G \in A''$  as follows

$$F \cdot G(f) = F(G \cdot f), \quad F \times G(f) = G(f \cdot F).$$

Then  $A''$  is a Banach algebra with respect to either of the products  $\cdot$  and  $\times$ , these products are

called respectively, the first and the second Arens products on  $A''$ . The original definitions of the two Arens products were given by Arens in 1951, see [1] and [2].  $A$  is called Arens regular if  $F \cdot G = F \times G$ , for all  $F, G \in A''$ .

A Banach algebra  $A$  is called amenable if  $H^1(A, X') = Z^1(A, X')/N^1(A, X') = \{0\}$  for each Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ . This concept was introduced by B. E. Johnson in [8].

The notion of weak amenability was introduced by W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis and H. G. Dales in [3] for commutative Banach algebras. Later Johnson defined weak amenability for arbitrary Banach algebras in [7], in fact a Banach algebra  $A$  is weakly amenable if  $H^1(A, A) = 0$ .

In [11] Lau introduced a large class of Banach algebras which are called  $F$ -algebras (or Lau algebras). Then E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and J. Pym in [9] and [10] investigated  $\varphi$ -amenability which is more general than left amenability for  $F$ -algebras.

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi$  a homomorphism from  $A$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $A$  is called  $\varphi$ -amenable if there exists  $m \in A''$  satisfying  $m(\varphi) = 1$  and  $m(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)m(f)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $f \in A'$  and  $m$  is called a  $\varphi$ -mean.

In [12], Monfared introduced and investigated the notion of right character amenability. A Banach algebra  $A$  is right character amenable if it has a bounded right approximate identity and is  $\varphi$ -amenable for each  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ , where  $\Delta(A)$  is the space of all homomorphisms from  $A$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ . For a locally compact group  $G$ , the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  is right character amenable if and only if  $G$  is amenable whereas,  $A(G)$  is  $\varphi$ -amenable for each  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ , see [10] and [12]. Also, if for some  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ ,  $\ker \varphi$  has a bounded right approximate identity  $A$  is  $\varphi$ -amenable. Since every closed ideal of a  $C^*$ -algebra has a bounded approximate identity then every  $C^*$ -algebra is  $\varphi$ -amenable for every  $\varphi$ . For more details see [9] and [10].

In this paper the second dual  $A''$  of a Banach algebra  $A$  will always be considered with the first Arens product.

Now, we recall some theorems which are used in this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ . Then  $A$  is  $\varphi$ -amenable if and only if  $H^1(A, X') = \{0\}$ , for each Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$  with  $a \cdot x = \varphi(a)x$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $a \in A$ .*

**Proof.** See Theorem 1.1 of [9]. ■

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra then  $m \in A''$  is a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean if for each  $f \in A'$  and  $a \in A$ ,

$$m(f \cdot a) = m(a \cdot f) = \varphi(a)m(f).$$

**Theorem 1.2.**  *$m \in A''$  is a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean for a Banach algebra  $A$ , if and only if there exists a bounded net  $(u_\alpha)_\alpha$  in  $A$  such that  $m = w^* - \lim_\alpha \hat{u}_\alpha$  and*

$$\varphi(u_\alpha) = 1, \quad \|a \cdot u_\alpha - \varphi(a) \cdot u_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \|u_\alpha \cdot a - \varphi(a) \cdot u_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proof.** See Theorem 1.4 of [10]. ■

## 2. Determination of $\varphi$ -amenability with special ideals

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $m \in A''$  an idempotent. Then the subset

$$J_m = \mathbb{C} \cdot m = \{\lambda m : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

is a closed one-dimensional subalgebra of  $A''$ .

Now consider subsets  $L_m$  and  $R_m$  in  $A''$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_m &= \{n \in A'' : n \cdot m = \lambda m \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} \\ R_m &= \{n \in A'' : m \cdot n = \lambda m \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $L_m$  and  $R_m$  are closed subalgebras in  $A''$ .  $L_m$  is always  $w^*$ -closed, and  $R_m$  is  $w^*$ -closed when  $A$  is Arens regular.

It is easy to see that  $J_m$  is a left (right) ideal in  $A''$  if and only if  $L_m = A''$  ( $R_m = A''$ ). These subalgebras are examples of “residual quotients” of ideals, analagous to the concept of a “primitive ideal”.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra,  $m \in A''$  be an idempotent and  $\varphi$  be a multiplicative functional. Then*

- (1)  $J_m$  is weakly amenable;
- (2)  $Z^1(J_m, X) = \{0\}$ , for each symmetric Banach  $J_m$ -bimodule;
- (3) For each Banach algebra  $B$  with  $xy = \varphi(x)y$  ( $x, y \in B$ ),  $J_m \hat{\otimes} B^\#$  is weakly amenable where  $B^\#$  is the unitization of  $B$ ;
- (4) If  $m$  is a  $\varphi$ -mean, then  $H^1(A, J'_m) = \{0\}$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $D : J_m \rightarrow J'_m$  be a bounded derivation. Since  $m$  is an idempotent and  $J_m$  is commutative, then  $Dm = D(m \cdot m) = 2m \cdot Dm = 4m \cdot Dm$ . So  $Dm = 0$ .

(2) Use 2.8.63 of [5].

(3) Let  $\mathcal{U} = J_m \hat{\otimes} B^\#$  and  $D : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$  be a bounded derivation and let  $b_0 \in B$  with  $\varphi(b_0) = 1$ . Then  $\lambda \in \mathcal{U}'$  exists such that

$$\lambda(n \otimes b) = D(m \otimes b)(n \otimes b_0) \quad (n \in J_m, b \in B^\#).$$

For each  $n \in J_m$  and  $b, c \in B$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda(m \otimes b)(n \otimes c) &= ((m \otimes b) \cdot \lambda - \lambda \cdot (m \otimes b))(n \otimes c) \\ &= \lambda(n \otimes c \cdot b - n \otimes bc) \\ &= (\varphi(c) \cdot D(m \otimes b) - \varphi(b) \cdot D(m \otimes c))(n \otimes b_0) \\ &= ((m \otimes c) \cdot D(m \otimes b))(n \otimes b_0) \\ &= (D(m \otimes b))(n \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

So for each  $b \in B$ ,  $D(m \otimes b) = \delta_\lambda(m \otimes b)$ . Now we show that  $D(m \otimes e_B) = \delta_\lambda(m \otimes e_B) = 0$ . To this end we consider  $D_1 : J_m \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$  with the definition  $D_1(n) = D(n \otimes e_B)$ , ( $n \in J_m$ ). Then  $D_1$  is a bounded derivation. It is easy to see that under the following multiplications,  $\mathcal{U}'$  is a symmetric Banach  $J_m$ -bimodule

$$n \cdot f = (n \otimes e_B) \cdot f \quad , \quad f \cdot n = f \cdot (n \otimes e_B) \quad (f \in \mathcal{U}', n \in J_m).$$

Now by (2) we have  $D_1 = 0$ , i. e.  $D(m \otimes e_B) = 0$  and we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(m \otimes b) &= D((m \otimes e_B) \cdot (m \otimes b)) = (m \otimes e_B) \cdot D(m \otimes b) + D(m \otimes e_B) \cdot (m \otimes b) \\ &= (m \otimes e_B) \cdot D(m \otimes b) = (m \otimes e_B) \cdot \delta_\lambda(m \otimes b) = \delta_\lambda(m \otimes b). \end{aligned}$$

So  $D$  is an inner derivation and  $J_m \hat{\otimes} B^\#$  is weakly amenable.

Use Theorem 1.1 to prove (4). ■

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $m \in A''$  be a non-zero idempotent. If  $\hat{A} \subseteq L_m$ , then there exists  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$  such that  $A$  is  $\varphi$ -amenable.*

**Proof.** Let  $\hat{A} \subseteq L_m$  then  $m \neq 0$  and for each  $a \in A$  there exists  $\lambda_a \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a \cdot m = \lambda_a m$  and  $\lambda_a$  is unique. Now we define  $\varphi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\varphi(a) = \lambda_a$  ( $a \in A$ ) then  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ . For each  $f \in A'$  and  $a \in A$  we have  $m(f \cdot a) = am(f) = \varphi(a)m(f)$ . Moreover  $m(\varphi) = m(\varphi)(m\varphi)$  so  $m(\varphi) = 1$  and  $m$  is a  $\varphi$ -mean. ■

We denote the commutator of  $A$  in  $A''$  with  $A^c$  which is defined as follows

$$A^c = \{F \in A'' : a \cdot F = F \cdot a, \forall a \in A\}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $m \in A''$  be a non-zero idempotent. Then in each of the following cases, there exists  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$  such that  $m$  is a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean:*

- (1)  $\hat{A} \subseteq L_m$  and  $m \in A^c$ ;
- (2)  $\hat{A} \subseteq L_m \cap R_m$ .

**Proof.** (1) Use Lemma 2.2. For (2) Let  $\hat{A} \subseteq L_m \cap R_m$  then by Lemma 2.2 there exists  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$  such that  $m$  is a  $\varphi$ -mean.  $\hat{A} \subseteq R_m$  implies that for each  $a \in A$  there exists  $\alpha_a \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $m \cdot a = \alpha_a m$  and  $\alpha_a$  is unique. So there exists  $\psi \in \Delta(A)$  such that  $m \cdot a = \psi(a)m$  and  $m(\psi) = 1$ .

If  $\varphi \neq \psi$ , since  $\varphi, \psi \in \Delta(A)$  then  $\ker \varphi$  and  $\ker \psi$  are two different maximal ideals in  $A$ . So  $a_0 \in \ker \psi$  exists for which  $a_0 \notin \ker \varphi$  and we can assume  $\varphi(a_0) = 1$ . Then  $\psi \cdot a_0(b) = \psi(a_0)\psi_b = 0$ , ( $b \in A$ ). Also,  $m$  is a  $\varphi$ -mean and  $m(\psi) = m(\psi)\varphi(a_0) = m(\psi \cdot a_0) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\varphi = \psi$  and  $m$  is a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean. ■

For a Banach algebra  $A$ ,  $Z(A'', \cdot) = \{m \in A'' : m \cdot n = m \times n, \text{ for all } n \in A''\}$  is called the center of  $A''$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then:*

- (1)  $m \in A''$  is a  $\varphi$ -mean if and only if  $m$  is a non-zero idempotent and  $J_m$  is a left ideal in  $A''$ ;
- (2)  $m \in Z(A'', \cdot)$  is a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean if and only if  $m$  is a non-zero idempotent and  $J_m$  is a two-sided ideal in  $A''$ .

**Proof.** (1) Let  $m$  be a  $\varphi$ -mean, then for each  $f \in A'$  and  $(x_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq A$  with  $m = w^* - \lim_\alpha \hat{x}_\alpha$  we have

$$m(f)m = m(m \cdot f) = \lim_\alpha m(f \cdot x_\alpha) = \lim_\alpha m f \varphi(x_\alpha) = m(f)(m\varphi) = m(f).$$

So  $m$  is a non-zero idempotent. For  $n \in A''$  and for each  $f \in A'$  we have  $n \cdot m(f) = m f \cdot n(\varphi)$ . So  $n \cdot m = n(\varphi)m \in J_m$ . For the converse let  $J_m$  be a left ideal in  $A''$  then  $\hat{A} \subseteq L_m$  and by Lemma 2.2,  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$  exists such that  $m$  is a  $\varphi$ -mean.

(2) Let  $m$  be a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean then  $J_m$  is a left ideal in  $A''$  and  $m$  is a non-zero idempotent. For each  $f \in A'$  and  $n \in A''$  with  $n = w^* - \lim_\beta \hat{b}_\beta$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} mn(f) &= m \times n(f) = n(f \cdot m) = \lim_\beta f \cdot m(b_\beta) = \lim_\beta m \cdot b_\beta(f) \\ &= \lim_\beta \varphi(b_\beta)(m f) = n\varphi \cdot m f. \end{aligned}$$

So  $m \cdot n = n(\varphi)m \in J_m$

For the converse let  $J_m$  be a two-sided ideal in  $A''$ . Then by Lemma 2.3,  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$  exists such that  $m$  is a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean. Also for  $n \in A''$  with  $n = w^* - \lim_\beta \hat{b}_\beta$  and each  $f \in A'$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} m \times n(f) &= n(f \cdot m) = \lim_\beta m \cdot b_\beta(f) = \lim_\beta b_\beta \cdot m(f) = n \cdot m(f) \\ &= n(\varphi)m(f) = m \cdot n(f) \end{aligned}$$

so  $m \in Z((A'', \cdot))$  and

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot n(f) &= m \times n(f) = n(f \cdot m) = \lim_\beta f \cdot m(b_\beta) = \lim_\beta m \cdot b_\beta(f) \\ &= \lim_\beta \varphi(b_\beta)m(f) = n(\varphi)m(f). \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $m \in A''$  be a non-zero idempotent. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $m$  is a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean;
- (2)  $\hat{A} \subseteq L_m \cap R_m$ ;
- (3)  $m \in A^c$  and  $\hat{A} \subseteq L_m$ .

**Proof.** Use Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4. ■

Let  $I$  be a closed ideal of a Banach algebra  $A$ , then  $I$  has the **trace extension property** if for each  $\lambda \in I'$  with  $a \cdot \lambda = \lambda \cdot a$  and each  $a \in A$  there exists  $f \in A'$  such that  $f|_I = \lambda$  and  $a \cdot f = f \cdot a$  ( $a \in A$ ).

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $m \in Z(A'', \cdot)$  be a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean. Then:*

- (1)  $J_m$  has the trace extension property;
- (2)  $A''$  is weakly amenable if and only if  $A''/J_m$  is weakly amenable.

**Proof.** For (1), use Theorem 2.4. For (2), since  $J_m$  has the trace extension property then  $A''/J_m$  is weakly amenable, see [6]. For the converse by Theorem 2.1  $J_m$  is weakly amenable and then  $A''$  is weakly amenable. ■

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $m$  be a two-sided  $\varphi$ -mean. If  $H^1(A, \ker m) = \{0\}$ , then  $A$  is weakly amenable.*

**Proof.** Let  $D : A \rightarrow A'$  be a bounded derivation, by Theorem 1.2 there exists a bounded net  $(u_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$  in  $A$  such that  $\varphi(u_\alpha) = 1$  and  $\|u_\alpha \cdot a - \varphi(a) \cdot u_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ .

For each  $a \in A$  and each  $\alpha$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} m \circ D(u_\alpha \cdot a) &= m(Du_\alpha) \cdot \varphi(a) + m(D(a)) \cdot \varphi(u_\alpha) \\ &= m \circ D(u_\alpha) \varphi(a) + m \circ D(a). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|m \circ D(a)\| &= \|(m \circ D)(u_\alpha \cdot a - \varphi(a)u_\alpha)\| \\ &\leq \|m\| \|D\| \left( \limsup_\alpha \|u_\alpha \cdot a - \varphi(a)u_\alpha\| \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, for each  $a \in A$  we have  $m(Da) = 0$  and  $\text{Im } D \subseteq \ker m$ . ■

**Remark 1.** Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. For  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ , consider  $S_\varphi = \{m \in A'' : m \text{ is a } \varphi\text{-mean}\}$ . If  $A$  is an Arens regular commutative Banach algebra then  $S_\varphi$  has at most one element. In the case  $A$  admits a  $\varphi$ -mean of norm 1, by using the Krein-Milman Theorem (see [13])  $S_\varphi \cap A''_{[1]}$  has an extreme point which also is the convex hull of its extreme points.

**Remark 2.** Let  $\varphi$  be the augmentation character (see [4]) on  $l^1(G)$ . If  $l^1(G)$  is  $\varphi$ -amenable then  $G$  is amenable. Since there exists  $m \in (l^1(G))''$  such that  $m(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)m(f)$  ( $f \in (l^1(G))'$  and  $a \in l^1(G)$ ). For  $f \in l^\infty(G)$ , similar the method used in chapter 43 of [4] define  $f' \in (l^1(G))'$  with

$$f'(a) = \sum_{x \in G} a(x) \cdot f(x) \quad (a \in l^1(G))$$

then  $(T_{x^{-1}}f)' = f'(\delta_x)$  where  $\delta_x(x) = 1$  and  $\delta_x(a) = 0$  ( $a \neq x$ ) and  $T_x f(a) = f(x^{-1}a)$  for each  $a, x \in G$ . Now, consider  $\psi \in (l^\infty(G))'$  by  $\psi(T_x f) = \psi(f)$ , for each  $f \in l^\infty(G)$  and  $x \in G$ . Let  $l_+^\infty(G) = \{g \in l^\infty(G) : g \geq 0\}$  and for each  $g \in l_+^\infty(G)$  take  $\theta(g) = \sup\{Re(\psi(f)) : f \in l^\infty(G) : |f| \leq g\}$ . Then the extension of  $(\theta(1))^{-1}\theta$  to  $l^\infty(G)$  is an invariant mean which means  $G$  is amenable.

## References

1. R. Arens. *Operations induced in function classes*. Monatsh. Math **55** (1951), 1–19.
2. R. Arens. *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*. Proc. Amer. Math Soc. **2** (1951), 839–848.
3. W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis and H. G. Dales, *Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **55** (1987), 359–377.
4. F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, Springer, Berlin, (1973).
5. H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, Oxford University Press, 2000.
6. N. Gronbak, *Weak and cyclic amenability for non-commutative Banach algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **35** (1992), 315–328.
7. B. E. Johnson, *Weak amenability of group algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **23** (1991), 281–284.
8. B. E. Johnson, *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Ame. Math. Soc. **127** (1972).
9. E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and J. Pym, *On  $\varphi$ -amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **144** (2008), 85–96.
10. E. Kaniuth, A. T. Lau and J. Pym, *On character amenability of Banach algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **344** (2008), 942–955.
11. A. T. Lau, *Analysis on a class of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis on locally compact groups and semigroups*, Fund. Math. **118** (1983), 161–175.
12. M. S. Monfared, *Character amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **144** (2008), 697–706.
13. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, New York, McGraw-Hill, (1973).

S. A. R. Hosseinioun

e-mail: [shossein@uark.edu](mailto:shossein@uark.edu)

University of Arkansas, Department of Mathematical sciences, Fayetteville, AR 72703, USA.

A. Valadkhani

e-mail: [arezou.valadkhani@yahoo.com](mailto:arezou.valadkhani@yahoo.com)

University of Simon Fraser, Department of Education, Vancouver, Canada.

Submitted: 9 Juin 2016

Revised: 26 November 2016

Accepted: 12 January 2017