

POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

3D self-organized microvascular model of the human blood-brain barrier with endothelial cells, pericytes and astrocytes

Original

3D self-organized microvascular model of the human blood-brain barrier with endothelial cells, pericytes and astrocytes / Campisi, Marco; Shin, Yoojin; Osaki, Tatsuya; Hajal, Cynthia; Chiono, Valeria; Kamm, Roger D.. - In: BIOMATERIALS. - ISSN 0142-9612. - ELETTRONICO. - 180(2018), pp. 117-129.

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2716982 since: 2018-11-10T16:56:49Z

Publisher: Elsevier Ltd

Published DOI:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2018.07.014

Terms of use: openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright elsevier

(Article begins on next page)

3D Self-Organized Microvascular Model of the Human Blood-Brain Barrier with Endothelial Cells, Pericytes and Astrocytes

- 2 3
- 4 Marco Campisi^{1,2}, Yoojin Shin², Tatsuya Osaki², Cynthia Hajal², Valeria Chiono¹, Roger D.
 5 Kamm^{2,3,4 *}
- ¹ Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129
 Turin, Italy.
- ² Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 500 Technology Square, MIT
 ⁹ Building, Room NE47-321, Cambridge, MA, 02139, United States of America.

³ Department of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 500 Technology Square, MIT Building,
 Room NE47-321, Cambridge, MA, 02139, United States of America.

12

⁴ Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research&Technology (SMART), BioSytems and Micromechanics (BioSym), Singapore,
 Singapore.

15

16 *Corresponding author: Roger D. Kamm: rdkamm@mit.edu

<u>Keywords</u>: Human Blood-Brain Barrier; *In Vitro* Modeling; Microfluidic Device; Self-Assembled
 Microvascular Network; Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Endothelial Cells; Drug Delivery
 Test Platform.

20

22

21 Abstract

The blood-brain barrier (BBB) regulates molecular trafficking, protects against pathogens, and 23 24 prevents efficient drug delivery to the brain. Models to date failed to reproduce the human anatomical 25 complexity of brain barriers, contributing to misleading results in clinical trials. To overcome these limitations, a novel 3-dimensional BBB microvascular network model was developed via 26 vasculogenesis to accurately replicate the in vivo neurovascular organization. This microfluidic 27 system includes human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived endothelial cells, brain pericytes, and 28 astrocytes as self-assembled vascular networks in fibrin gel. Gene expression of membrane 29 transporters, tight junction and extracellular matrix proteins, was consistent with computational 30 analysis of geometrical structures and quantitative immunocytochemistry, indicating BBB maturation 31 and microenvironment remodeling. Confocal microscopy validated microvessel-pericyte/astrocyte 32 dynamic contact-interactions. The BBB model exhibited perfusable and selective microvasculature, 33

with permeability lower than conventional *in vitro* models, and similar to *in vivo* measurements in rat brain. This robust and physiologically relevant BBB microvascular model offers an innovative and valuable platform for drug discovery to predict neuro-therapeutic transport efficacy in pre-clinical applications as well as recapitulate patient-specific and pathological neurovascular functions in neurodegenerative disease.

40 Introduction

41 The blood-brain barrier (BBB) and blood-spinal cord barrier help maintain brain homeostasis [1] by 42 regulating the transport of necessary nutrients, ions, and hormones, while preventing the entry of 43 44 neurotoxins or pathogens into the brain owing to a complex membrane transport mechanism [2]. The BBB consists of specialized endothelial cells (ECs) interconnected by junctional complexes including 45 tight junctions (TJs) and adherens junctions, surrounded by pericytes (PCs) and astrocytes (ACs), and 46 ensheathed in a basal lamina. Each of these specialized features contributes to BBB integrity, and to 47 48 the control of transport processes [3]. Loss of BBB integrity is associated with Alzheimer's disease [4][5], Parkinson's disease [6], and multiple sclerosis [7], as well as with brain cancer [8]. 49 Furthermore, the BBB regulates active and passive transport of solutes into the brain [9][10], posing 50 an obstacle to drug delivery for the treatment of neurological diseases and brain tumors [11][12].

52

51

For these reasons, preclinical models of the BBB are developed to understand its role in the 53 pathogenesis of neurological diseases as well as to evaluate drug permeability. For years, in vivo 54 animal models have been used to model the BBB and study drug delivery [13]. Although these 55 techniques are considered the gold standard, 80% of successful drug candidates in animal models 56 later failed in clinical trials [14][15]. 57

58

To optimize the design of innovative therapies and drug carriers, a robust, reliable, and cost-effective 59 in vitro BBB model that adequately reflects human in vivo conditions is required [16][17]. For several 60 decades, transwell assays have been widely adopted to assess drug permeability by culturing a 61 confluent monolayer of ECs in the absence or presence of PCs or ACs [18]. Although this system is 62 reproducible and easy to use, it has limitations in mimicking fundamental BBB features and 63 microenvironmental complexities such as cell-cell or cell-matrix interactions, compromising its 64 65 ability to accurately model brain capillaries in terms of junctional proteins and membrane transporter 66 expression [17][19][20]. Recently, BBB spheroids have been developed to study organogenesis and the transport of brain penetrating agents [21][22]. Although these systems are cost-effective, they are 67 limited in their ability to recreate a realistic and relevant BBB morphology. As an alternative to 68 simple culture models, microfluidic technology offers a promising tool for reconstituting the BBB 69 with several advantages: microfluidic systems allow for precise control of the 3D cellular and 70 71 extracellular matrix (ECM) microenvironment, while providing a platform for the study of cellular and structural responses to various stimuli. These systems mimic the complex cellular interactions 72 73 and structures found in many tissues or organs in vivo, and are thus referred to as 'organ-on-a-chip' 74 [23][24]. Recently, efforts to reconstitute a 3D BBB model within a microfluidic system have 75 accelerated with the development of organ-on-a-chip assays to study immune cell transmigration [25], metastatic cancer extravasation to the brain [26], as well as vessel formation in a tubular shape [27]. 76 However, systems to date have relatively large diameters (~ 600-800 µm) [27] compared to the 77 dimension of human BBB vasculature in vivo (arterioles and venules 10-100 µm; capillaries 7-10 78 μ m) [28][29], and fail to recapitulate BBB microvasculature morphology and development in terms 79 of mature cell-cell interactions via natural biological processes, as well as physiological blood flow 80 81 rates and wall shear stresses needed to activate mechanosensing/mechanotransduction pathways, thus 82 altering realistic transport exchange mechanisms at the level of brain capillaries [30][31].

83

Two microfluidic models have been recently reported using a co-culture of human ECs and rat neurons and ACs. One incorporated a compartmentalized 3D monolayer of human cerebral microvascular ECs in co-culture with primary rat ACs and neurons [32]. In a separate study, similar to the previous model [33], a BBB microvascular network (μ VN) platform created by a vasculogenesis-like process, culturing human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) in a 3D ECM-mimetic hydrogel showed that direct interaction with neural tissue from the rat cortex was responsible for the low permeability values measured [34].

However, while co-cultures with cells from different species are advantageous in terms of accessibility and ease of genetic manipulations, cross-species compatibility remains a concern regarding the relevance of these results to human physiology [35]. Moreover, HUVECs offer a poor model for cerebral vasculature, while PCs, recognized to be a key component of the BBB [35], have not been considered in these models [32][34].

97

To address the main limitations of the current state-of-the-art models, we reasoned that a BBB model 98 99 developed from human cells co-cultured in a 3D microenvironment would better replicate the human 100 BBB, based on the hypothesis that the co-culture arrangement could support the maturation and differentiation of human iPS cell-derived endothelial cells (iPSC-ECs) into BBB microvascular cells. 101 Hence, a 3D BBB microfluidic model was designed consisting of self-assembled μVNs from human 102 iPSC-ECs as well as human primary brain PCs, and human primary ACs, where all cell types 103 104 spontaneously assembled into a modular organization reproducing the BBB structure being in dynamic and direct contact with each other. 105

106

BBB functionality was evaluated by progressive increase of co-culture complexity up to a tri-culture of iPSC-ECs, PCs, and ACs. Confocal imaging and immunocytochemistry, permeability measurements and gene expression analysis were used to quantitatively assess BBB characteristics. Such human 3D BBB model has unique biological features, representing a promising platform for *in vitro* preclinical experimentation.

113 Materials and methods

114

115 Fabrication of the microfluidic device (micro-device/macro-device)

The 3D microfluidic systems were composed of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS; Sylgard 184; Dow 116 Corning, MI, USA) with a single layer microchannel and two fluid channels, fabricated by soft 117 118 lithography [36] (Fig. 1c, Supplementary Fig. 1a-b). Elastomer and curing agent were mixed (10:1 119 volume ratio), degassed and poured onto a silicon master and cured overnight at 60°C. I/O holes were created with biopsy punches, then the device was taped to remove dust and sterilized as previously 120 described [37]. The PDMS micro and macro-devices were treated with oxygen plasma (Harrick 121 122 Plasma), then bonded to a glass coverslip (Fisher Scientific) coated with poly(D-lysine hydrobromide) (PDL, Sigma-Aldrich) solution (1 mg/ml) and, finally, placed in an incubator for 3 h 123 at 37°C, rinsed 3 times and dried overnight. 124

125

126 Cell culture and device seeding of BBB self-assembled vascular network model

Human iPSC-ECs (Cellular Dynamics International, CDI) were subcultured on flasks coated with human fibronectin (30 μg/ml, Millipore) in vascular medium (VascuLife VEGF Medium Complete Kit, icell media supplement, CDI). Pericytes and astrocytes isolated from human brain (ScienCell), were cultured in growth medium (ScienCell) on a poly-l-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich) coated flask, and maintained in a humidified incubator (37 °C, 5% CO2), replacing the medium every 2 days. Cells were detached using TrypLE (for iPSC-ECs) and 0.025% trypsin/EDTA for other cell types (Thermo Fisher). Experiments were performed between passages 3 and 5 for all cells.

134

Fibrinogen (6 mg/ml) and thrombin (100 U/ml) from bovine plasma (Sigma-Aldrich) were separately dissolved in sterile PBS. Then, thrombin was mixed with 1ml of EGM-2 MV (Lonza) and placed on ice. Cells were detached and spun down at 1200 rpm for 5 min and cell pellet was resuspended in EGM-2 MV 4 U/ml thrombin. Cell suspension was mixed with fibrinogen (final concentration 3 mg/ml) at 1:1 volume ratio. The mixture was quickly pipetted into the gel filling ports. Devices were placed in a humidified enclosure and allowed to polymerize at room temperature (RT) for 15 min before the fresh medium was introduced to fluidic channels. iPSC-ECs medium was supplemented with 50 ng/ml of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF, Peprotech), for the first four days of culture. Medium for the tri-culture condition was supplemented with 1% (vol/vol) Astrocyte Growth factor (AGF, astrocyte growth supplement, ScienCell).

145

146 Three different cell combinations were tested: 1) iPSC-ECs mono-culture (6×10⁶ cells/ml), 2) co-147 culture iPSC-ECs+PCs (add 2×10⁶ cells/ml PCs) and 3) tri-culture of iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs (add 148 2×10^6 cells/ml ACs). After fibrin polymerization, medium channels were coated for 30 min in an incubator (37°C 5% CO2) with human fibronectin (60 µg/ml) to promote endothelial cell adhesion. 149 In each case, iPSC-ECs were subsequently seeded at 2x106 cells/ml in EBM-2 (Lonza) into the fluidic 150 channels to reduce diffusion of fluorescent dyes into the gel. Non-adherent cells were removed after 151 2 h. The device was kept in an incubator for 7 days (37 °C, 5% CO2), 200 µl of medium was replaced 152 every 24 h. Devices prepared in this manner were used for both permeability measurements and 153 154 immunocytochemical staining. PC conditioned medium was collected after 3 days, from a T75 flask 155 of PCs culture, mixed 1:1 volume ratio with fresh medium and replaced every 24 h in the iPSC-ECs mono-culture in the microfluidic device. 156

157

The *in vitro* BBB model was developed by co-culturing human iPSC-ECs, and human brain PCs and ACs to mimic certain aspects of the organization and structure of the brain microcirculation observed *in vivo* (Fig. 1a,b). The BBB model formed by a vasculogenesis-like process, consisted of a wellconnected and perfusable μ VN in a microfluidic device (Fig. 1c, Supplementary Fig. 1a), interacting via paracrine, juxtacrine and mechanical signaling[38][39]. iPSC-ECs seeded in the side media channels reduced leakage through the side walls of the central gel region and promoted the formation of patent vessel connections to the media channels, facilitating flow into the network (Fig. 1d,e).



Figure 1: Blood-brain barrier and in vitro microvascular network model. (a) Schematic 166 representation of the blood-brain barrier (BBB), composed of brain Endothelial cells (ECs) vessels 167 overlapped by pericytes (PCs) and astrocytes (ACs) endfeet. (b, (i)) Schematic representation of 168 169 proposed 3D BBB microvascular network (µVN) model that mimics the microvascular structure 170 present in the brain environment. (b, (ii)) Confocal image of self-assembled BBB µVN model 171 including iPSC-ECs (CD31, green), PCs (F-actin, red) and ACs (GFAP, magenta), and nuclei (DAPI, 172 blue). (c) Microfluidic device fabrication: (c, (i)) PDMS mold with patterned channels were produced 173 by soft lithography and bonded to a glass coverslip. The central gel region contained cells and 174 hydrogels, side channels and reservoirs were filled with cell culture medium. (c, (ii)) A photo of the 175 microfluidic device. (d) Timeline of the experiments. (e) Cell seeding configuration and experimental steps of vasculogenesis process of BBB µVN model including iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs as self-176 177 assembled microvascular network and 3-dimensional ECs layer covering top, bottom and side surfaces of the fluidic channel. Scale bar (b, (ii)) indicates 100 µm. 178

180 Immunocytochemistry and confocal imaging

The 3D BBB μ VNs were cultured for 7 days followed by rinsing in PBS and fixation in 4% 181 paraformaldehyde (PFA, Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 15 min at RT. Cell membranes were 182 permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min at RT and washed twice with PBS. 183 184 Primary antibodies (1:100, volume ratio) against CD31, Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein (GFAP), (Abcam), F-actin (Rhodamine Phalloidin, Molecular Probes), 4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenylindole (DAPI 185 186 Thermo Fisher Scientific), were used to identify, respectively, iPSC-ECs, ACs, PCs, and nuclei. 187 F-actin is strongly expressed in all cells present in our model, whereas only iPSC-ECs highly express CD31 and only astrocytes express GFAP. We therefore used double staining of CD31/F-actin to 188 identify iPSC-ECs and GFAP/F-actin to identify ACs, which enabled us to clearly identify the PC 189 population as those cells that only express F-actin. 190 191 To characterize the presence of TJs and ECM proteins by immunocytochemistry, primary antibodies 192 were used against: ZO-1 (Invitrogen), occludin, claudin-5, laminin and collagen IV (Abcam). 193 Secondary antibodies (1:200, volume ratio) were anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG conjugated with Alexa Fluor (488-555, or 647) (Invitrogen). Detail on primary and secondary antibodies are listed in 194 195 Supplementary Table 2. Devices were incubated with primary and secondary antibodies overnight at 4°C, placed on a shaker. After PBS washing, devices were imaged using a confocal laser scanning 196 microscope (FMV-1000, Olympus, Japan) (aspect ratio 1024×1024) high resolution images at 197 198 10us/pixel scan velocity. Phase contrast imaging was used for morphological observations at different culture time-points (Axiovert 200, Zeiss, Germany). Post-processing and stitching for tiled images 199 were performed using Imaris (Bitplane, Switzerland) and Fluoview (Olympus, Japan). Fold change 200 201 average immunofluorescent (IF) intensity (relative to iPSC-ECs) was calculated by dividing total immunofluorescent intensity by cell boundary length (ZO-1, occludin, and claudin-5) or by 202 203 vascularized area (laminin, collagen IV). ROIs were selected to contain only microvascular portions such that no part outside the vessels were included in the computations. 204

206 Characterization of BBB microvascular parameters

207 To characterize microvascular parameters, confocal images were analyzed using ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) and plugins (Trainable Weka Segmentation 3D, 3D geometrical measure). 208 209 Briefly, raw images were prepared by enhancing contrast and removing noise. An automatic threshold was used to produce binarized images. From 2D projections, lateral vessel area (Alateral), and total 210 211 branch length (Lbranch) were computed by ImageJ. Percentage of area coverage was calculated dividing Alateral by the entire area of the region of interest. Taking advantage of the observation that 212 most vessels are oriented in a plane parallel to the glass substrate, lateral diameters, parallel to the 213 glass substrates of the devices, were computed as the ratio of the projected lateral vessel area to the 214 total branch length. Transverse diameters, perpendicular to the glass substrate, were computed using 215 216 the 3D vessel volume (V) and the surface area of the vessels in 3D (Asurface). Average cross-section area and circularity were computed using lateral and transverse diameters. The sequences of 217 instructions and equations used to compute both diameters, cross-section, lateral and surface areas 218 219 and circularity are shown in Supplementary methods.

220

221 Microvascular network perfusion and fluorescent dextran-based permeability assay

To assess permeability of the 3D BBB model, solutions containing 10 or 40 kDa FITC-dextran (Sigma-Aldrich) were introduced as fluorescent tracers, and time-sequential images to assess leakage through the microvascular barrier were captured. Briefly, after 7 days of culture, each device was moved to the confocal conditioning chamber (37° C, 5% CO₂), culture medium was aspirated from all reservoirs in each side channel. Then, 5 µl of dextran solution in PBS was injected in one side, simultaneously with 5 µl of medium on the other fluidic channel to maintain equal hydrostatic pressures in the device. Confocal images were acquired every 3-5 min for 6 to 8 times to create the

entire 3D stack of the gel volume with microvascular formation at each time point. ROIs were selectedconsidering vascular networks with a clear boundary between vessel wall and gel regions.

231

To assess perfusability, fluorescent tracers (FITC-dextran) were introduced through the microvascular networks by imposing a hydrostatic pressure drop across the gel region between two medium channels. Videos were recorded using NIS-Elements software (NIKON) on a fluorescent microscope (Nikon, TI-E ECLIPSE.) at 30 frames per second.

236

237 Quantification of vessel permeability coefficient

The vascular permeability is evaluated as the flux of solute across the walls of the vascular network. Using mass conservation, the quantity of FITC-dextran crossing the vascular network equals the rate at which it accumulates outside the vessels in the tissue gel region. According to a previously described method[40], vascular network permeability, P_{ν} , was quantified by obtaining the average intensity of vessels I_{ν} and tissue (outside vessels) I_{T} at two different time points tI and t2 and using:

243
$$P_{v} = \frac{1}{(I_{v}^{t1} - I_{T}^{t1})} \frac{(I_{T}^{t2} - I_{T}^{t1})}{\Delta t} \frac{V}{A_{surface}}$$

244

Here, Δt is the time between two images, *V* is the tissue volume, $A_{surface}$ is the surface area of all vessels in the selected ROI, computed based on the assumption that the ratio $V/A_{surface}$ can be estimated as the tissue area $A_{lateral}$ divided by the perimeter of the vascular region L_{branch} in the projected 2D images from the 3D confocal stacks. Diffusion of fluorescent dextran into the gel was minimized by introducing an iPSC-ECs monolayer in both side channels. The fluorescence intensity values, vessel surface area and tissue/gel region area were computed using ImageJ.

252 RNA isolation and quantitative RT-PCR

253 Total RNA was isolated from different conditions using TRIzol reagent (Life Science) for dissolving 254 fibrin gel. Reverse transcription was performed using SuperScript VILO cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen). Quantitative Real-time RT-PCR (RT-PCR) using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara) or 255 256 Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix, was performed with a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). mRNA of endothelial cell adhesion molecule (PECAM-1) also known CD31, 257 258 glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and Ribosomal Protein S18 (RPS18) were used as housekeeping genes, set to 100% as the internal standard. RT-PCR experiments were repeated 259 at least 3 times for cDNA prepared from 6 devices. Primer sequences (Integrated DNA technology) 260 are listed in supplementary Table 1. RT-PCR was performed in a scaled up version a of the device 261 262 (Supplementary Fig. 1b) in order to collect higher amount of total RNA.

263

264 Statistical analysis

All data are plotted as mean \pm SD. One-way ANOVA with pairwise comparisons by the Tukey post hoc test was used to determine whether three or more data-sets were statistically significant. Statistical tests were performed using JMP pro (SAS Institutes, Inc.). At least four devices (≥ 2 regions per device) for each condition within 3 independent experiments were used for the imaging and data analysis. **** denotes p < 0.0001, *** denotes p < 0.001, ** denotes p < 0.01, * denotes p < 0.05. Non-paired student's t-test was used for significance testing between two conditions.

272 **Results**

273

274 Optimization of self-assembled microvasculature

Three models were established, as described in Methods, with progressively greater complexity: (i) iPSC-ECs (Fig. 2a,b (i)), (ii) iPSC-ECs + PCs (Fig. 2a,b (ii)), and (iii) iPSC-ECs + PCs + ACs (Fig. 2a,b (iii), Supplementary Figs. 4a-c). In each case, the iPSC-ECs elongated and intracellular intussusception and vacuoles appeared after 1 day followed by the formation of lumen structures after 2-3 days (Supplementary Figs. 2a and 3a,b). Further development of the μ VNs resulted in a highly interconnected microvasculature by day 7 of the culture (Fig. 2b).

281

With iPSC-ECs alone (Fig. 2a,b (i)), vascular networks formed in 4-5 days (Supplementary Fig. 2a), 282 however, the vessels fused, forming large, elliptical cross-section lumens, many of which contacted 283 284 the bottom coverslip (Fig. 3a) and gradually degraded and regressed after 7 days (Supplementary Fig. 285 5a). In contrast, co-culture of iPSC-ECs with PCs formed smaller and more highly branched vessels (Figs. 2a,b (ii), 3b). No significant difference could be observed when iPSC-ECs were cultured alone 286 287 or with PC conditioned medium (Supplementary Fig. 5b), suggesting that contact with PCs effectively 288 facilitated endothelial organization, by stabilizing a mature vasculature with a morphology more similar to that found in vivo. 289

290

The addition of ACs further assisted in the development of a complex inter-connected and branched architecture found in native vasculatures (Fig. 2a,b (iii), Supplementary Fig. 3a,b). In tri-culture with ACs, the µVNs exhibited distinctive behavior during formation, with increased tortuosity and vessels extending higher up in the 3D gel (Fig. 3c). A fundamental characteristic of the BBB is the stratified organization of cells around the vessels and their direct contact interactions. In 4 replicates with 10-12 high resolution confocal images, we observed a spontaneous self-organization into multicellular BBB structures. Indeed, PCs (F-actin, red, Fig. 2c) adhered to both sides of the endothelial cell

Commentato [CM1]: If reviewer would like to specify how many biological replicates/highly resolution confocal, numbers are more than 4 replicates with 10-12 images.

298	surface, surrounding the vessel (CD31, green, Fig. 2c,e, Supplementary Fig. 6a, Supplementary video
299	1). For example, tracing the intensity profiles of EC and PC fluorescence (Fig. 2d), F-actin expression
300	was observed outside the vessel, clearly delineating the presence of PCs. These results showed that
301	pericytes partially overlapped the outer surface of the EC layer exhibiting a BBB-like organization.
302	In addition, 3D rendering of vessel bifurcations showed PCs in contact with the endothelium at
303	multiple locations (Fig. 2e). Moreover, direct physical contacts were observed between AC endfeet
304	(Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein, (GFAP), violet) and the abluminal surface of the brain vessels (CD31,
305	green, Fig. 2f; Supplementary Figs. 6b,c).

307 Characterization of microvascular parameters

To determine the geometrical changes in the µVNs (Fig. 3a-c, Supplementary Fig.4a-c), lateral and 308 309 transverse vessel diameter distributions, percentage of image area containing vascular networks, and total branch length were each quantified (Fig. 3d-i). As expected, in the iPSC-ECs+PCs co-culture, 310 311 the lateral vessel diameters (30 to 100 µm, Fig. 3e (i)) were significantly lower than in mono-culture conditions (50 to 150 µm with a few outliers to 200 µm, Fig. 3d (i)). Lateral diameters were further 312 reduced by adding ACs (most values between 25 and 50 µm (Fig. 3f (i))). The overall transverse 313 diameter distributions were similar for all three conditions, ranging between 10 and 40 µm, and 314 centered around 30 µm (Fig. 3d-f (ii)). 315



316

Figure 2: Microvascular network conditions iPSC-ECs - PCs/ACs contact interactions. (a) 317 Schematic representation and (b) confocal images of (a, b, (i)) iPSC-ECs mono-culture (CD31, 318 319 green), (a, b, (ii)) co-culture with PCs (F-actin, red), and (a, b, (iii)) tri-culture with PCs and ACs 320 (GFAP, magenta), after 7 days of culture in the microfluidic device. (c) Cross-sectional images of 321 blood microvessels showing hollow lumens. (c, (i)) PCs adhered to and partially enveloped brain 322 microvessel. (c, (ii)) Cross-sectional images of blood microvessels showing a lumen enclosed by 323 iPSC-ECs and PCs. PCs surround the blood vessel. Image shows how section was sampled using a 324 line scan measurement (yellow line) and generation of intensity profile histogram. (d) Intensity 325 profile analysis of CD31/F-actin in iPSC-ECs -PCs interaction corresponding to the yellow line scan. 326 Intensity profile shows distinct peaks (yellow arrow) at the position of contact interaction/overlapping 327 between ECs and PCs. CD31 expression (green) was low when F-actin expression (red) was high, further indicating that F-actin expression belonged only to brain PCs outside the vessels. Region of 328 329 low green intensity corresponds to the vascular bed of the vessel. (e) Contact interactions of PCs enveloping blood microvessel. PCs adhered to and partially enveloped brain microvessel. (f) 330 Confocal image of iPSC-ECs, PCs and ACs in the tri-culture condition. Images were analyzed using 331 Imaris 8.3. Scale bars indicate $100 \mu m$ (b) and $20 \mu m$ (c, e, f). 332



Figure 3: 3D BBB microvascular network parameter quantification. Confocal images of laminin 335 expression (red) and nuclei (DAPI, blue) of 3D BBB µVN maturation from (a) mono-culture of iPSC-336 ECs, (b) co-culture of iPSC-ECs+PCs and (c) tri-culture of iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs (scale bar: 100 µm). 337 338 Distribution of lateral and transverse vessel diameter measurements of 3D BBB µVNs formed by 339 vasculogenesis, for (d) mono-culture of iPSC-ECs, (e) co-culture with brain PCs, (f) tri-culture with 340 brain PCs and ACs. Additional image in supplementary Fig. 4. (g, h, i) Quantification of 341 microvascular network parameters: (g) average lateral and transverse vessel diameters in each 342 condition, (h) microvascular branches average length and (i) percentage ratio of microvascular network area coverage to the total area. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001. Error bars 343 ± SD, *n*=30. 344

Hence, lumens with nearly circular cross-section and consequently smaller cross-section area and higher circularity (Supplementary Fig. 6d-f) formed in the tri-culture condition (average lateral diameter: $42 \pm 13 \mu$ m, average transverse diameter: $25 \pm 6 \mu$ m, Fig. 3g), while lumens were flattened and had elliptical cross-section in mono-cultures (average lateral diameter: $108 \pm 14 \mu$ m, average transverse diameter: $29 \pm 10 \mu$ m, Fig. 3g), and in co-cultures (average lateral diameter: $64 \pm 13 \mu$ m, average transverse diameter: $27 \pm 7 \mu$ m, Fig. 3g).

351

352 Moreover, the cumulative average μ VN branch length decreased from mono-culture (226 ± 40 μ m), 353 to co-culture (179 \pm 31 μ m), and tri-culture (136 \pm 24 μ m) conditions, respectively (Fig. 3h), 354 demonstrating a highly complex and intertwined vascular network. Accordingly, the networks with iPSC-ECs, iPSC-ECs+PCs, and iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs conditions covered progressively less area in 355 the projected image (62%, 42%, and 28%, respectively (Fig. 3i). Indeed, in tri-culture conditions, the 356 357 μ VNs showed improved morphology provided by the co-culture with ACs and PCs, with reduced vessel diameters and average branch length. These results mirror similar observations that have been 358 attributed to the secretion of angiogenic growth factors by PCs and ACs [19][20]. 359

360

In summary, these results indicate that the networks formed with all three cell types --iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs -- contained more stable and shorter vessel branches, with more circular crosssections and smaller vessel diameters compared to the other conditions. These networks also exhibited more random interconnections and improved 3D structural orientation into the gel region: such structure is more similar to *in vivo* vessel morphology [30].

366

367 Protein synthesis and gene expression related to blood-brain barrier (BBB)

To analyze whether the engineered 3D brain microvascular model constitutes a functional barrier and exhibits physiological characteristics typical of the BBB present *in vivo*, we validated and compared 370 protein expression measured by immunocytochemistry assays and quantitative real-time RT-PCR, performed after 7 days. Firstly, immunocytochemistry images of vascular networks obtained under 371 372 different culture conditions were compared from multiple regions of interest (ROIs) within the vessels. The expression of endothelial-specific junction proteins ZO-1, occludin, and claudin-5 (Fig. 373 4a-c), and ECM constituents such as laminin (Fig. 4d) and collagen IV (Fig. 4e) was analyzed by 374 375 confocal microscopy (See also Supplementary fig. 7b-f). Interestingly, the increase of TJ protein expression in µVNs was observed by introducing PCs and ACs (Fig. 4a-c). Therefore, the BBB µVNs 376 377 obtained by iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs tri-culture (Fig. 4a (iii)) relatively expressed much higher level of 378 ZO-1, occludin and claudin-5 compared to mono-culture of iPSC-ECs and iPSC-ECs+PCs (Fig. 4a-379 c). Quantitative analysis of fold change average immunofluorescent (IF) intensity (relative to iPSC-380 ECs) confirmed qualitative observations (Fig. 4f). Average immunofluorescent (IF) intensity was calculated by dividing the total immunofluorescent intensity by the cell boundary length in each ROI 381 382 in the case of tight-junction proteins (ZO-1, occludin, and claudin-5). In the case of basement membrane protein deposition (laminin, collagen IV), average IF intensity was calculated by dividing 383 the total IF intensity by the vascularized area in each ROI. ROIs were selected to contain only 384 385 microvascular portions (Fig. 4f). Continuous cell-cell junctions lining the rhomboidal boundaries of 386 endothelial cells along lumens were observed in co-culture and tri-culture conditions, as demonstrated by the clear delineation of ZO-1 along the cell-cell border (Supplementary Fig. 7a). 387

388

Another sign of vessel maturation was the deposition of basement membrane proteins, exhibiting a similar trend to TJ expression. Laminin and collagen IV immunofluorescence intensity (Fig. 4d-f) approximately doubled in the case of the microvascular networks obtained by iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs tri-culture (Fig. 4d,e (iii)) compared to iPSC-ECs mono-culture (Fig. 4d,e (i)) and was significantly higher than for iPSC-ECs+PCs co-culture (Fig. 4d,e (ii)). 394 Figure 4: Immunocytochemistry 395 analysis of tight junctions and ECM deposition. Self-assembled 396 397 microvascular networks formed 398 after 7 days in microfluidic device culture for: (i) mono-culture of 399 400 iPSC-ECs, (ii) co-culture with PCs and (iii) tri-culture with PCs and 401 402 ACs (BBB microvascular network 403 model). (**a**-**e**) Microvascular networks were immunostained for 404 tight junctions (ZO-1, occludin 405 406 (OCCL) and claudin-5 (CLDN 5)), 407 and ECM production (laminin 408 (LAM) and collagen IV (COLL 409 IV)), and nuclei (DAPI) inside 410 microfluidic devices and imaged by 411 confocal microscopy. (a) Immunofluorescent (IF) intensities 412 of ZO-1 were well-defined in co-413 culture and tri-culture conditions. 414 415 ZO-1 expression was clearly 416 localized at the intersection between cells forming a rhomboidal grid, 417 characteristic of mature and well-418 organized 419 microvasculature. 420 Instead, monoculture exhibited low 421 expression of TJ proteins with no visible and defined accumulation at 422 cell boundaries. Similar behavior 423 was exhibited by (b) occludin and 424 (c) claudin-5. (d) Confocal images 425 of deposition of laminin and (e) 426 collagen IV showed production and 427 remodelling of a distinct ECM by 428 429 the different microvascular BBB 430 networks. microvascular model with PCs and ACs expressed 431 higher intensities of laminin and 432 433 collagen IV compared to 434 monoculture and co-culture, 435 providing evidence that PCs and ACs improved vascular function. 436 Qualitative image tests were 437

realized by ROI intensity analysis. (f) Fold change average IF intensity (relative to iPSC-ECs) quantify the protein expression according to the IF images. Computed image intensities were normalized by the selected area. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, **** p<0.0001. Error bars ± SD, n=8. Confocal image scale bar: 50 µm.

442 To confirm immunocytochemistry results, total RNA was extracted from the total cell population in the microfluidic device (Fig. 5a) and purified from different conditions at several time points (day 0, 443 4, and 7). RT-PCR analysis was conducted considering gene markers of TJ proteins, ECM production 444 and several endothelial membrane transporters such as efflux-pumps, passive transports, solute 445 446 carriers, and receptor-mediated mechanisms. Vessel maturation was investigated in terms of the 447 expression of several markers and proteins, in the case of co-culture and tri-culture conditions, and was compared to the control condition (iPSC-ECs). The mRNA expression of each gene was 448 449 measured relative to the expression of CD31 and GAPDH (fold change). TJ proteins such as ZO-1, 450 occludin, and claudin-5 were highly up-regulated in the tri-culture condition at day 7 compared to 451 mono-culture and co-culture conditions. Interestingly, the expression of TJ markers in the tri-culture case increased as a function of culture time (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Fig. 10a-e). As expected, GFAP 452 was regulated exclusively in the presence of ACs. PDGFR gene expression was slightly higher in the 453 tri-culture condition while alpha-smooth muscle actin (α SMA) expression was reduced, possibly due 454 to the increased proliferation of iPSC-ECs and PCs stimulated by ACs. Furthermore, basement 455 membrane proteins (collagen IV, laminin) were highly expressed over time in the tri-culture condition 456 457 compared to the mono- and co-culture cases. In addition, gene expression of several BBB-specific 458 membrane transporters and receptors which exploit several transport mechanisms (passive diffusion, ATP-binding efflux transporter, solute carriers and receptor-mediated transcytosis), such as P-GP, 459 460 MRP1, MRP4, TF-R, LRP1, LAT-1, GLUT-1, CAT1, MCT1, ABCA1, and BCRP widely increased 461 over time in the tri-culture BBB model (iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs), compared to iPSC-ECs+PCs and 462 iPSC-ECs microvascular network conditions. Overall after 7 days, the tri-culture condition displayed a constantly increased maturation and upregulation of all examined genes (Fig. 5b, Supplementary 463 464 Fig. 10a-e, Primer sequences in Supplementary Table 1).

Figure 5: Quantitative relative RT-PCR of 3D BBB µVNs in microfluidic device. (a) Schematic 466 representation of vascular network and gel extraction from a microfluidic device, purification of total 467 RNA and conduct of RT-PCR experiments. (b) Heatmap of RT-PCR results of mono-culture of iPSC-468 469 ECs, co-culture with PCs, and tri-culture with PCs and ACs at 0, 4 and 7 days. Relative comparison of mRNA expression of factors relating to microvascular maturation and other typical BBB features. 470 471 Gene analysis considered markers 1) expressed in ECs, 2) expressed in PCs, 3) expressed in ACs, 4) ECM protein RNA, and 5) genes expressed predominantly by ECs, but also in smaller amounts by 472 473 the other two cell types. Fold change was relative to control (mono-culture of iPSC-ECs, day 0). The 474 internal standard housekeeping gene was CD31. 0.01 , <math>n = 3.

475 Distinct cell contributions to BBB permeability

476 The permeability of the microvessels in our BBB µVN models was computed to assess the practical 477 potential to use our system to mimic solute transport in vivo. In all culture conditions, vessels comprising the entire vascular network were well formed and completely perfusable at day 7 478 479 (Supplementary Fig. 8d, Supplementary video 2, 3). Permeability coefficients were measured by introducing solutions containing FITC-dextran tracers in the vasculature (10 & 40 kDa), and 480 481 capturing confocal images at 5 min intervals and computing themed as explained in Methods (Fig. 6a-d, Supplementary Fig. a-c). With side-channels seeded with iPSC-ECs, permeability to 40 kDa 482 FITC-dextran of the µVN obtained under mono-, co-, and tri-culture conditions progressively 483 484 decreased: 6.6, 2.5, and 0.89 ×10⁻⁷ cm/s, respectively. A similar trend was observed for the 10 kDa FITC-dextran: 12, 4.8 and 2.2×10^{-7} cm/s, respectively (Fig. 6e, f). When iPSC-ECs were not added 485 486 to the side channels, leakage of tracer across the side-walls of the gel region gave rise to higher permeability values, roughly a two-fold increase, due to the artifact associated with the additional 487 488 source of tracer influx. Side channel seeding helped in several ways. It improved coverage of the exposed side gel surface with an endothelial monolayer, filled gaps that sometimes formed at the gel-489 490 post borders, and increased the number and patency of connections between the networks and the main channel (Fig. 7a and Supplementary Fig. 9a-e). 491

Figure 6: Permeability assay in BBB model. (a) Timeline of permeability experiments and 494 495 computational analysis. After cell culture medium was removed, dextran solution was injected and 496 image stacks were captured every 3-5 mins for 30 mins. Workflow of image analysis by ImageJ and 497 permeability coefficient calculation. (b) Confocal and bright field images at time 0. (c) Image 498 binarization after thresholding to identify vessel borders. (d, (i-iv)) Maximum image projections and 499 cross-sections including xy, xz and yz planes at 4 time-points. The graphs show permeability 500 coefficients for 3 different conditions (with and without ECs seeding in side channels) using (e) 40 kDa and (f) 10 kDa FTIC-dextrans in mono-culture of iPSC-ECs, co-culture of iPSC-ECs+PCs, and 501 tri-culture of iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs. The data show mean value, error bars ± SD, n=10, * p<0.05, ** 502 *p*<0.01, *** *p*<0.001, **** *p*<0.0001, scale bars 50 μm. 503

Figure 7: BBB microvascular network model. (a) Confocal images of xy and xz (cross-section)
 planes of the 3D BBB microvascular *in vitro* model with iPSC-ECs+PCs+ACs, including EC layers
 in the side channel. Scale bars 200 μm.

508 Discussion

509

In this work, we developed a new *in vitro* human BBB microvascular model consisting of a selfassembled μ VN of iPSC-ECs co-cultured with brain PCs and ACs. Novelty of our microfluidic platform arises from simultaneous seeding of three human cell types into a single gel region, producing a perfusable vascular network, with permeabilities lower than those of other published microfluidic models [23][24][27][32][34].

515

516 iPSC-ECs were selected as they are immature endothelial cells, capable of organizing into a complex and perfusable vascular network [41], with lower permeability values compared to other non-brain 517 EC models [42][43][44]. The potential features of iPSC-ECs may contribute to a coherent and 518 519 relevant replacement of "brain" endothelial cells to establish a 3D BBB microvascular model. Moreover, iPS cells may be potentially derived from patients who suffer from specific 520 neurodegenerative diseases [45], thereby producing a pathological model to study disease progression, 521 to screen for drugs appropriate for patients' sub-groups, or even for precision medicine applications 522 523 to select optimal, personalized therapies.

524

Our 3D BBB µVN model incorporating three cell-types (Fig. 1b and Fig. 7a) expressed both 525 526 functional and morphological characteristics present in the human BBB, with stable and perfusable 527 µVNs, comprising small lumens with circular cross-section comparable with in vivo human microcirculation (arterioles and venules <100 µm; capillaries <10 µm) [28][29]. It also defined 528 529 microvascular branch length similar to segments in close proximity to the third ventricle (caudate, 530 putamen, and thalamus with an average of 70 µm) [46] and characterized by low permeability and transport selectivity (Fig. 6e,f and Supplementary movie 2, 3). It draws upon the intrinsic nature of 531 ECs interacting with other neural cell types to recapitulate brain vascular morphogenesis during 532 developmental process via vasculogenesis [47][48], in which immature ECs recruit PCs and ACs to 533

534 form new vessels through PDGFR and Sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling pathways [47][49]. In particular, PCs played an important role to create a robust and stable vessel network with significant 535 lateral diameter reduction (Fig. 3d,g). It has been previously demonstrated that ECs need a 536 combination of juxtacrine and paracrine signaling to create a stable and physiologically-relevant 537 microvasculature on a chip [38][39]. Hence, the resulting formation of a physiologically-relevant 538 539 microvasculature, was facilitated by juxtacrine interactions and paracrine signaling between iPSC-ECs and PCs (enveloping the endothelium) (Fig. 2c-e), along with the increase of TJ expression and 540 541 appropriate concentration of growth factors (Fig. 4a-c, Supplementary Figs. 7a-d, 10a-d). Indeed, 542 improvements were associated with the presence and secretion of vascular endothelial growth factor 543 VEGF (50 ng/ml in the supplemented medium), angiopoietin-1 (ANG-1) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) by stromal cells, especially PCs [50]. However, as VEGF could modulate vascular 544 permeability through the disruption of tight junctions and consequent break down of the BBB [51], 545 cell culture medium was supplemented with VEGF up to day 4. 546

547

548 We hypothesize that this morphological change in the final structure of the BBB μ VNs was induced by not only the presence and cell-secretion of pro-angiogenic and vasculogenic growth factors and 549 550 ECM proteins, but also by juxtacrine signaling, consistent with previous findings [35][52][53]. Our 551 results also suggest that PCs not only influence the creation of vascular networks but also induce the differentiation of iPSC-ECs into brain-specific endothelial cells, as determined by the RT-PCR results 552 (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Fig. 10a-d). Indeed, it has already been shown that co-culture of ECs with 553 PCs is required for BBB formation and the maintenance of homeostasis by contact and paracrine 554 555 interactions [54].

556

In addition to the contribution of PCs, ACs also improved BBB formation and integrity. iPSC-ECs self-assembled into mature vascular networks forming complex structures when interacting with both cell types. The role of ACs was evidenced by an increase in the expression of BBB transporters and

560	TJ proteins, such as ZO-1, occludin, claudin-5, ECM deposition (Figs. 4a-e, 5b, Supplementary Fig.
561	7a-c), and the corresponding decrease in permeability, (Fig. 6e-f) similar to previous transwell and
562	microfluidic-based models incorporating ACs [55]. In particular, the upregulation of typical BBB
563	transporters such GLUT-1, LAT-1, PG-P, TF-R, LRP1 and MRPs is fundamental to obtain an in vitro
564	BBB model for drug design and testing. Indeed, these specific transporters were highlighted as
565	potential targets to enhance the penetration of drugs into the brain [56] (Supplementary Fig. 10d).

567 In our model, AC endfeet were directly attached to the surface of vascular networks in the 3D matrix 568 (Fig. 2f, Supplementary Fig. 6b,c). This morphological feature of ACs recapitulates their physiological arrangement in the brain and provides mutual biochemical support for those cells, 569 570 helping to maintain the integrity of the neurovascular networks [57]. Our findings suggest that the addition of ACs is in part responsible for the improved morphology of BBB anatomical structure. 571 572 They might also contribute through paracrine signals to the development of a BBB-like endothelial phenotype since ACs are known to regulate influx/efflux, vasodilatation/vasoconstriction by inducing 573 574 tightening of the endothelium [19], as well as cytokine and growth factor secretion such as basic FGF, 575 glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), and ANG-1 [58]. Further investigation is needed to 576 ascertain the relative importance of different biological pathways and factors improving BBB 577 integrity, however, direct adhesion of ECs, PCs and ACs might facilitate N-cadherin cell-cell 578 interactions [47].

579

As key features in assessing the value of BBB microvascular models for drug transport studies, vascular perfusability and permeability were measured using fluorescent probes. The vessel networks in our tri-culture BBB model attained permeability values of 8.9×10⁻⁸ cm/s and 2.2×10⁻⁷ cm/s for 40 kDa and 10 kDa FTIC-dextran, respectively (Fig. 6e,f), confirming barrier selectivity depending on their molecular weight [59].

585 Importantly, these values are comparable to those measured in vivo in rat cerebral microcirculation

(3.1 ± 1.3×10⁻⁷ cm/s for a 10 kDa FITC-dextran) [60], (1.37± 0.26×10⁻⁷ cm/s for a 40 kDa FITCdextran) [61], and similar to specific models that employ brain ECs derived from iPSCs (IMR90-4)
by co-culturing with astrocytes and/or neurons [62][63], and lower than previously reported 3D
[23][27][32][34], or 2D BBB models [24][64].

590

591 As a side note, inclusion of an iPSC-EC monolayer in the adjacent fluidic channels improved vascular perfusability and also reduced the artifacts associated with tracer leakage across the sidewalls of the 592 593 gel region (Fig. 7, Supplementary Fig. 9a-e). Consistent with the progressive reduction in 594 permeability with increasing model complexity, we observed a corresponding increase in the tightness 595 of junctional proteins and their regulation [53][65], evaluated by immunostaining and RT-PCR analysis. This contrasts with a previous study that reported an increase in permeability coefficient 596 when human brain endothelial cells (hCMEC/d3) were co-cultured with ACs isolated from rats [32], 597 possibly due to cross-species effects, as suggested by the authors of [29]. 598

599

It is important to note that our model lacked neurons and microglia, and these might have further 600 601 effects on barrier functionality. Recent literature has shown that the upregulation of BBB-specific 602 transporters and the differentiation of brain-specific ECs are induced by the co-culture of iPSC-ECs 603 with iPS derived neurons [66]. In the same model authors demonstrated the possibility of drug 604 screening using iPSC-ECs in combination with all human iPS derived cells using transwell methods. 605 Also, co-culture with neural iPSCs has been found to improve EC barrier integrity and decrease 606 vascular permeability [66]. Therefore, there appear to be additional advantages gained by an even 607 more comprehensive human patient-derived in vitro model [66], combining iPSCs and/or neural stem cells with the vascular networks, PCs, and ACs described here. Moreover, using iPS cells derived 608 609 from patients affected by neurological disorders [45], such as Alzheimer's disease, a BBB pathological model could be obtained. 610

Our 3D self-organized system has several advantages compared to the *in vitro* 2D membrane-based monolayer, including its more physiologically-relevant morphology. Permeability measurements, however, at this point are limited to quantifying concentrations of a fluorescent tracer. Similar measurements could be made by tagging the molecule of interest with a fluorescent marker using this same experimental protocol. Alternatively, samples of interstitial fluid could be directly collected from the gel filling ports in the device, and used to quantify transport into the matrix, but this could be problematic due to the low drug concentrations in the gel region.

Although PDMS is widely used for microfluidic applications, one of its disadvantages is non-specific
adsorption of proteins and small hydrophobic molecules during long-term interaction [67]. Even
though this would not have affected our current permeability study, in perspective of drug testing,
several treatments exist to prevent fouling of the PDMS surface. Accordingly, distinct surface
modifications that could reduce non-specific absorption include coating the PDMS surface with
bovine serum albumin (BSA) [68], grafting with anti-fouling molecules [69], or silanization [70].

625

626 Other possible improvements to the current model are the introduction of continuous perfusion to improve microvascular formation and reduce vascular permeability in the perspective of a long-term 627 culture system. Indeed, flow perfusion culture could advance the model in two important aspects. 628 629 Firstly, oxygen and glucose transport into the vessels will tend to modulate glycolytic metabolism in favor of the more efficient aerobic respiration useful for maintaining a long-term culture. Secondly, 630 631 flow-mediated shear stress is known to promote the differentiation of vascular endothelial cells into a more BBB-like phenotype with the highest expression of TJ proteins and membrane transporters, 632 633 producing further reductions in permeability [71]. Finally, it would be beneficial to assess the trans-634 endothelial electrical resistance (TEER) measurement as another metric of BBB function [24].

636 Conclusion

637

Here we present the first highly functional 3D BBB in vitro model produced by vasculogenesis that 638 639 incorporate human iPSC-ECs microvascular network in contact interaction with human brain PCs and ACs within a single 3D ECM/fibrin gel region. Our 3D BBB microvascular model exhibits 640 physiologically relevant structures and provides an effective and reproducible platform compared to 641 static models [16][17], useful in the study of dynamic transport of small and large molecules across 642 643 the BBB in a complex microenvironment [72]. We believe this is a reliable and valuable nextgeneration system that furthers the understanding of neurovascular function, enables the preclinical 644 development of effective CNS therapeutics [16], can be applied to probe metastatic cancer 645 646 extravasation [26][73] and evaluate reciprocal brain-systemic circulation interactions that occur in inflammatory and neurodegenerative diseases [4-9]. This translational model could be adapted for the 647 high-throughput pre-clinical screening of innovative therapies targeting specific BBB transporters, to 648 perform drug delivery studies and to investigate the transport of microengineered nanocarriers able 649 650 to cross the BBB.

651 References652

052		
653	[1]	N. J. Abbott, "Dynamics of CNS barriers: Evolution, differentiation, and modulation," Cell. Mol.
654		Neurobiol., vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 5–23, 2005.
655	[2]	N. J. Abbott, A. A. K. Patabendige, D. E. M. Dolman, S. R. Yusof, and D. J. Begley, "Structure and
656		function of the blood-brain barrier," Neurobiol. Dis., vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 13-25, 2010.
657	[3]	Y. Serlin, I. Shelef, B. Knyazer, and A. Friedman, "Anatomy and physiology of the blood-brain
658		barrier," Semin. Cell Dev. Biol., vol. 38, pp. 2-6, 2015.
659	[4]	R. D. B. B. V. Zlokovic, "Neurovascular mechanisms and blood-brain barrier disorder in
660		Alzheimer's disease," Acta Neuropathol., vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 490-499, 2010.
661	[5]	B. V. Zlokovic, "Neurovascular mechanisms of Alzheimer's neurodegeneration," Trends Neurosci.,
662		vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 202–208, 2005.
663	[6]	R. Kortekaas et al., "Blood-brain barrier dysfunction in Parkinsonian midbrain in vivo," Ann. Neurol.,
664		vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 176–179, 2005.
665	[7]	E. Waubant, "Biomarkers indicative of blood-brain barrier disruption in multiple sclerosis.," Dis.
666		Markers, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 235–244, 2006.
667	[8]	R. K. Jain, E. Di Tomaso, D. G. Duda, J. S. Loeffler, A. G. Sorensen, and T. T. Batchelor,
668		"Angiogenesis in brain tumours," Nat. Rev. Neurosci., vol. 8, no. 8, pp. 610-622, 2007.
669	[9]	B. T. Hawkins and T. P. Davis, "The Blood-Brain Barrier / Neurovascular Unit in Health and
670		Disease," Pharmacol. Rev., vol. 57, no. 2, pp. 173–185, 2005.
671	[10]	W. M. Pardridge, "CSF, blood-brain barrier, and brain drug delivery.," Expert Opin. Drug Deliv., vol.
672		5247, no. May, pp. 1–13, 2016.
673	[11]	W. M. Pardridge, "Why is the global CNS pharmaceutical market so under-penetrated?," Drug
674		Discov. Today, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 5–7, 2002.
675	[12]	W. M. Pardridge, "Blood-brain barrier drug targeting: the future of brain drug development.," Mol.
676		Interv., vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 90–105, 51, 2003.
677	[13]	N. J. Abbott, "Prediction of blood-brain barrier permeation in drug discovery from in vivo, in vitro
678		and in silico models," Drug Discov. Today Technol., vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 407-416, Dec. 2004.
679	[14]	D. Pamies, T. Hartung, and H. T. Hogberg, "Biological and medical applications of a brain-on-a-
680		chip.," Exp. Biol. Med. (Maywood)., pp. 1096–1107, 2014.
681	[15]	P. S., "Preclinical research: Make mouse studies work," Nature, vol. 507, no. 425, 2014.
682	[16]	R. Cecchelli et al., "Modelling of the blood-brain barrier in drug discovery and development," Nat.
683		Rev. Drug Discov., vol. 6, no. 8, pp. 650-661, 2007.
684	[17]	M. W. van der Helm, A. D. van der Meer, J. C. T. Eijkel, A. van den Berg, and L. I. Segerink,
685		"Microfluidic organ-on-chip technology for blood-brain barrier research.," Tissue barriers, vol. 4, no.

686 1, p. e1142493, 2016.

- [18] K. Hatherell, P. O. Couraud, I. A. Romero, B. Weksler, and G. J. Pilkington, "Development of a
 three-dimensional, all-human in vitro model of the blood-brain barrier using mono-, co-, and tricultivation Transwell models," *J. Neurosci. Methods*, vol. 199, no. 2, pp. 223–229, 2011.
- [19] N. J. Abbott, L. Rönnbäck, and E. Hansson, "Astrocyte-endothelial interactions at the blood-brain
 barrier," *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 41–53, 2006.
- M. D. Sweeney, S. Ayyadurai, and B. V Zlokovic, "Pericytes of the neurovascular unit: key functions
 and signaling pathways," *Nat. Neurosci.*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 771–783, 2016.
- 694 [21] C. F. Cho *et al.*, "Blood-brain-barrier spheroids as an in vitro screening platform for brain-penetrating
 695 agents," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 8, pp. 1–14, 2017.
- E. Urich, C. Patsch, S. Aigner, M. Graf, R. Iacone, and P. O. Freskgård, "Multicellular self-assembled
 spheroidal model of the blood brain barrier," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 3, 2013.
- [23] J. D. Wang, E. S. Khafagy, K. Khanafer, S. Takayama, and M. E. H. Elsayed, "Organization of
 Endothelial Cells, Pericytes, and Astrocytes into a 3D Microfluidic in Vitro Model of the Blood-Brain
 Barrier," *Mol. Pharm.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 895–906, 2016.
- R. Booth and H. Kim, "Characterization of a microfluidic in vitro model of the blood-brain barrier
 (μBBB)," *Lab Chip*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 1784, 2012.
- [25] H. Cho *et al.*, "Three-Dimensional Blood-Brain Barrier Model for in vitro Studies of Neurovascular
 Pathology," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 5, p. 15222, 2015.
- [26] H. Xu *et al.*, "A dynamic in vivo-like organotypic blood-brain barrier model to probe metastatic brain
 tumors," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 36670, 2016.
- 707 [27] A. Herland, A. D. van der Meer, E. A. FitzGerald, T.-E. Park, J. J. F. Sleeboom, and D. E. Ingber,
 708 "Distinct Contributions of Astrocytes and Pericytes to Neuroinflammation Identified in a 3D Human
 709 Blood-Brain Barrier on a Chip," *PLoS One*, vol. 11, no. 3, p. e0150360, 2016.
- [28] I. M. Braverman, "The Cutaneous Microcirculation," *J. Investig. Dermatology Symp. Proc.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 3–9, 2000.
- A. D. Wong, M. Ye, A. F. Levy, J. D. Rothstein, D. E. Bergles, and P. C. Searson, "The blood-brain barrier: an engineering perspective," *Front. Neuroeng.*, vol. 6, no. August, pp. 1–22, 2013.
- T. Takano *et al.*, "Astrocyte-mediated control of cerebral blood flow.," *Nat. Neurosci.*, vol. 9, no. 2,
 pp. 260–267, 2006.
- [31] K. Kisler, A. R. Nelson, A. Montagne, and B. V. Zlokovic, "Cerebral blood flow regulation and neurovascular dysfunction in Alzheimer disease," *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 419–434, 2017.
- [32] G. Adriani, D. Ma, A. Pavesi, and R. D. Kamm, "A 3D neurovascular microfluidic model consisting
 of neurons, astrocytes and cerebral endothelial cells as a blood-brain barrier," *Lab Chip*, vol. 12, pp.
 169–182, 2017.
- 722 [33] J. A. Whisler, M. B. Chen, and R. D. Kamm, "Control of perfusable microvascular network

- morphology using a multiculture microfluidic system.," *Tissue Eng. Part C. Methods*, vol. 20, no. 7,
 pp. 543–52, 2014.
- [34] S. Bang *et al.*, "A Low Permeability Microfluidic Blood-Brain Barrier Platform with Direct Contact
 between Perfusable Vascular Network and Astrocytes," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 8083, 2017.
- [35] E. a Winkler, R. D. Bell, and B. V Zlokovic, "Central nervous system pericytes in health and disease.," *Nat. Neurosci.*, vol. 14, no. 11, pp. 1398–1405, 2011.
- Y. Shin *et al.*, "Reconstituting vascular microenvironment of neural stem cell niche in three-dimensional extracellular matrix," *Adv. Healthc. Mater.*, vol. 3, no. 9, pp. 1457–1464, 2014.
- [37] Y. Shin *et al.*, "Microfluidic assay for simultaneous culture of multiple cell types on surfaces or
 within hydrogels," *Nat. Protoc.*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 1247–1259, 2012.
- [38] A. Hasan *et al.*, "Microfluidic techniques for development of 3D vascularized tissue," *Biomaterials*, vol. 35, no. 26, pp. 7308–7325, 2014.
- [39] K. Haase and R. D. Kamm, "Advances in on-chip vascularization.," *Regen. Med.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 285–302, 2017.
- F. E. Curry, V. H. Huxley, and R. H. Adamson, "Permeability of single capillaries to intermediatesized colored solutes.," *Am. J. Physiol.*, vol. 245, no. 3, pp. H495-505, 1983.
- [41] D. G. Belair *et al.*, "Human vascular tissue models formed from human induced pluripotent stem cell derived endothelial cells," *Stem Cell Rev. Reports*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 511–525, 2015.
- [42] S. Kim, H. Lee, M. Chung, and N. L. Jeon, "Engineering of functional, perfusable 3D microvascular
 networks on a chip," *Lab Chip*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 1489–1500, 2013.
- [43] and R. D. K. Michelle B. Chen, Jordan A. Whisler, Jessie S. Jeon, "Mechanisms of tumor cell
 extravasation in an in vitro microvascular network platform," *Integr. Biol.*, vol. 144, no. 5, pp. 724–
 732, 2014.
- [44] J. S. Jeon *et al.*, "Generation of 3D functional microvascular networks with human mesenchymal
 stem cells in microfluidic systems," *Integr. Biol.*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 555–563, 2014.
- [45] T. Osaki, Y. Shin, V. Sivathanu, M. Campisi, and R. D. Kamm, "In Vitro Microfluidic Models for
 Neurodegenerative Disorders," *Adv. Healthc. Mater.*, vol. 1700489, p. 1700489, 2017.
- P. Kreczmanski *et al.*, "Microvessel length density, total length, and length per neuron in five
 subcortical regions in schizophrenia," *Acta Neuropathol.*, vol. 117, no. 4, pp. 409–421, 2009.
- [47] B. Obermeier, R. Daneman, and R. M. Ransohoff, "Development, maintenance and disruption of the
 blood-brain-barrier," *Nat. Med.*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 1584–1596, 2013.
- [48] N. Hagan and A. Ben-Zvi, "The molecular, cellular, and morphological components of blood-brain
 barrier development during embryogenesis," *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.*, vol. 38, pp. 7–15, 2015.
- [49] R. Daneman, L. Zhou, A. A. Kebede, and B. A. Barres, "Pericytes are required for blood-brain barrier
 integrity during embryogenesis," *Nature*, vol. 468, no. 7323, pp. 562–566, 2010.
- 758 [50] G. Bergers and S. Song, "The role of pericytes in blood-vessel formation and maintenance.," Neuro.

759 *Oncol.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 452–464, 2005.

- M. A. Proescholdt *et al.*, "Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) modulates vascular
 permeability and inflammation in rat brain.," *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.*, 1999.
- J. Kim, M. Chung, S. Kim, D. H. Jo, J. H. Kim, and N. L. Jeon, "Engineering of a biomimetic
 pericyte-covered 3D microvascular network," *PLoS One*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 1–15, 2015.
- R. C. Brown, A. P. Morris, and R. G. O'Neil, "Tight junction protein expression and barrier
 properties of immortalized mouse brain microvessel endothelial cells," *Brain Res.*, vol. 1130, no. 1,
 pp. 17–30, 2007.
- M. D. Sweeney, S. Ayyadurai, and B. V Zlokovic, "Pericytes of the neurovascular unit: key functions and signaling pathways.," *Nat. Neurosci.*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 771–83, 2016.
- [55] B. Prabhakarpandian *et al.*, "SyM-BBB: a microfluidic Blood Brain Barrier model," *Lab Chip*, vol.
 13, no. 6, pp. 1093–1101, 2013.
- [56] W. Löscher and H. Potschka, "Blood-brain barrier active efflux transporters: ATP-binding cassette
 gene family," *NeuroRX*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 86–98, 2005.
- [57] S. Banerjee and M. A. Bhat, "Neuron-Glial Interactions in Blood-Brain Barrier Formation," *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.*, vol. 30, pp. 235–258, 2007.
- [58] S.-W. Lee *et al.*, "SSeCKS regulates angiogenesis and tight junction formation in blood-brain
 barrier.," *Nat. Med.*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 900–906, 2003.
- D. J. Begley, "Delivery of therapeutic agents to the central nervous system: the problems and the
 possibilities.," *Pharmacol. Ther.*, vol. 104, no. 1, pp. 29–45, 2004.
- [60] W. Yuan, Y. Lv, M. Zeng, and B. M. Fu, "Non-invasive measurement of solute permeability in
 cerebral microvessels of the rat," *Microvasc. Res.*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 166–173, Mar. 2009.
- [61] S. Y. Shi L., Zeng M., "Quantification of Blood-Brain Barrier Solute Permeability and Brain
 Transport by Multiphoton Microscopy," vol. 136, no. March 2014, pp. 1–9, 2014.
- [62] Y. I. Wang, H. E. Abaci, and M. L. Shuler, "Microfluidic blood-brain barrier model provides in vivolike barrier properties for drug permeability screening," *Biotechnol. Bioeng.*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 184–
 194, 2017.
- [63] S. G. Canfield *et al.*, "An isogenic blood-brain model comprising brain endothelial cells, astrocytes
 and neurons derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells," *Neurochem. J. O F*, no. 140, pp.
 874–888, 2017.
- [64] J. M. Guanglei Li, Melissa J. Simon, Limary M. Cancel, Zhong-Dong Shi, Xinying Ji and and B. M.
 F. Tarbell, Barclay Morrison, "Permeability of Endothelial and Astrocyte Cocultures: In Vitro Blood–
 Brain Barrier Models for Drug Delivery Studies," *Annu. Biomed. Eng.*, vol. 38, no. 8, pp. 2499–2511,
 2010.
- [65] J. D. Huber, K. A. Witt, S. Hom, R. D. Egleton, K. S. Mark, and T. P. Davis, "Inflammatory pain
 alters blood-brain barrier permeability and tight junctional protein expression.," *Am. J. Physiol. Heart*

Circ. Physiol., vol. 280, no. 3, pp. H1241–H1248, 2001.

796	[66]	A. Appelt-Menzel et al., "Establishment of a Human Blood-Brain Barrier Co-culture Model
797		Mimicking the Neurovascular Unit Using Induced Pluri- and Multipotent Stem Cells," Stem Cell
798		Reports, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 894–906, 2017.
799	[67]	M. W. Toepke and D. J. Beebe, "PDMS absorption of small molecules and consequences in
800		microfluidic applications," Lab Chip, no. c, pp. 1484-1486, 2006.
801	[68]	E. Ostuni, C. S. Chen, D. E. Ingber, and G. M. Whitesides, "Selective Deposition of Proteins and
802		Cells in Arrays of Microwells," Langmuir, vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 2828–2834, 2001.
803	[69]	A. Gokaltun, M. L. Yarmush, A. Asatekin, and O. B. Usta, "Recent advances in nonbiofouling PDMS
804		surface modification strategies applicable to microfluidic technology," Technology, vol. 5, no. 1, pp.
805		1–12, 2017.
806	[70]	S. Jon, J. Seong, A. Khademhosseini, T. T. Tran, P. E. Laibinis, and R. Langer, "Construction of
807		Nonbiofouling Surface by Polymeric Self-Assembled Monolayers," Langmuir, vol. 19, no. 24, pp. 0–
808		4, 2003.
809	[71]	L. Cucullo, M. Hossain, V. Puvenna, N. Marchi, and D. Janigro, "The role of shear stress in Blood-
810		Brain Barrier endothelial physiology," BMC Neurosci., vol. 12, no. 1, p. 40, 2011.
811	[72]	C. Hajal et al., "In vitro models of molecular and nano-particle transport across the blood-brain
812		barrier In vitro models of molecular and nano-particle transport across the blood-brain barrier,"
813		Biomicrofluidics, vol. 42213, no. 12, 2018.
814	[73]	M. B. Chen, J. A. Whisler, J. Fröse, C. Yu, Y. Shin, and R. D. Kamm, "On-chip human
815		microvasculature assay for visualization and quantification of tumor cell extravasation dynamics,"
816		Nat. Protoc., vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 865–880, 2017.

819 Acknowledgements

820 M.C. was supported by Ermenegildo Zegna Founder's scholarship and then by the MIT-POLITO 821 grant (BIOMODE - Compagnia di San Paolo) under the joint "Doctorate of Bioengineering and 822 823 Medical-Surgical Sciences" of University of Turin and Politecnico di Torino. Y.S. and R.K. were supported by grants from the Cure Alzheimer's Fund. T.O. was supported by research fellow overseas 824 825 (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science). R.K. and T.O also acknowledge the support of National Science Foundation for a Science and Technology Center on Emergent Behaviors of Integrated 826 Cellular Systems, (CBET-0939511). C.H. and R.K. were supported by the National Cancer Institute 827 (U01 CA202177). M.C., V.C., and R.K. also acknowledge the support of the research collaborations 828 829 and exchanges program between MIT and POLITO (MITOR project NANOCAB).

830

832

831 Author contributions

833 All authors designed the experiments. M.C. performed majority of experiments, analyzed all data, and wrote the manuscript. Y.S designed the microfluidic device and highly contributed to perform 834 835 immunocytochemistry, permeability assays and vascular parameters analysis. T.O. designed and 836 contributed to RT-PCR experiments, schematic drawings of this paper, statistical tests, discussion and writing of the manuscript. C.H. and M.C. performed vascular parameters analysis. R.K. and V.C. 837 co-supervised the project. V.C. provided inputs in the writing of the manuscript. R.K. provided 838 839 critical inputs to the experimental design and writing of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and accepted the manuscript. 840

842 Additional information

- 843
- 844 Competing financial interests
- 845 R.K. is co-founder and has a significant financial interest in AIM Biotech, a company that
- 846 manufactures microfluidic systems.