

### POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

GNSS Reflectometry for land surface monitoring and buried object detection

*Original* GNSS Reflectometry for land surface monitoring and buried object detection / Pei, Yuekun. - (2014).

*Availability:* This version is available at: 11583/2586356 since:

*Publisher:* Politecnico di Torino

Published DOI:10.6092/polito/porto/2586356

Terms of use: openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

# POLITECNICO DI TORINO

Ph.D in Electronics and Telecommunications Engineering XXVII Cycle

# Ph.D Thesis

## GNSS Reflectometry forL and Surface Monitoring and Buried Object Detection

Supervisor. Prof. Riccardo Notarpietro Prof. Patrizia Savi Candidate: Yuekun Pei

Decembe<sub>2014</sub>

## Abstract

Global Navigation Satellite System Reflectometry (GNB) is attracting growing interest nowadays for several remote sensing applications. As a bistatic radar, the transmitter antide receiver are not elocated and in the special case of GNSSR, the GNSS satellites are acting as transmitters and the receiver can mounted either in a static position or onboardairacraft or low orbit satellite. Various information about the surfativem where the GNSS signals are reflected or scattered can be extracted by means of reflected signal strength, code delay, carrier phase delay, interference with direct GNSS signals and soPoorsible applications cover soil moisture retrieval, increased state monitoring such as sea wind and surface roughnessea salinit?

In this work, soil moisture retrieval weamostly focused on. Hardware including antennas and receiveweas studied and designe@ur first strategy of soil moisture retrieval is to apply a single Left Hand Circular Polarization (LHCP) anteofor reflected signal receptionThereforemultiple types of antennas such as the helix antenna, the patch antenna and several commercial antennas were designed, simulated or tested in themechoicchamber.Two receiver solutionswere used in our group and both of them apply the SiGe GPS frontEndfirst solution is a PC based one: the collection astbore of the raw icoming reflected GPS signals were done by the NGrab software (designed bWISAS Group of Politecnico di Torino) installed in a standard PC.he other solutionwas developed nour group and its operatedby a single Hackberry boardwhich consists of power supply, storage subsystem and customized Linux Debian operating sysTeme.light weight and small size enable thisompact receiver to perform flight measurement onboard UAVs.

Both of the **b**ove mentioned receivers on **sy** ore raw sampled data and no real time signal processing is performed on board. Post processing is done by Matlab program which makes correlations **b**roth time and frequency domain with incoming signals using the local generated GPS C/A code replices so-called

- | -

Delay Doppler Map (DDM) is therefore generated through this correlation. Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) can be calculated through Delay Warre(DW) which is extracted from DDM at the Doppler frequency where the correlation peak exists. Received signal power can be obtained knowing the noise power which is given in a standard equation. In order to better plan a static measurement and to georeference specular points in the surface, programs for georeferencing specular points on either Google Maps or serve plane centered at the receiver position were developed Fly dynamics in terms of roll, pitch and yaw influencing the antenna gain due to the visation of incident angles even also studied in order to compensate the gain to the received signal.

Two soil moisture retrieval algorithms were derived corresponding to two receiving schemes. The first one is for the receiving of only LHC efflected signals. In this case, the surface is assumed to be perfectly smooth and the received signal is seen to consist of only coherent component caused by specular reflection. Dielectric constant can be retrieven the processed SNR wo measurement campaigns wer carried out using this single LHCP systeme first campaign is a flight measurement overflown a big portion of rice fields when most of the fields were flooded. It was a test measurement on the SNR sensitivity to watevaluer surfaces and an attempt dielectric constant retrieval was also performed. R showed good sensitivity to the surface water content and dielectric constant was also checked to be reasonab. The second campaign is in static positions and it includes two experiments this campaign initially aimed at testing the sensitivity of the compact receiver to different surface moist. Results of both SNR and retrieved dielectric constant showed to be coherent with the surface moisture changes.

The other retrieval algorithms for the receiving of both LHCP and RHCP reflected signals concurrently he cross polarization power rat(bHCP/RHCP) is believed to be independent of surface rough by several previous studies and this idea was also verified during the deriving protess ither specular reflection case (only coherent component) or diffuse scattering condition (incoherent component). For diffuse scatterint previous were applied which are the Kirchhoff Approximation in stationap hase approximation (Kähhoff Geometrical Optics, KGO), Kirchhoff Approximation in Physical Optics

- 11 -

Approximation (KPO) and Small Perturbation Method (SPTM) ese threenodels cover different roughness surfaces from very rough (KGO) to slightly rough surfaces (SPM)All the derived results of corss polarization ratio for the three models were verified to be independent of surface properties expression only dielectric constant of soil and incident angle

A new application of GNSSR technique forthe possibility of detection of buried objects wasirstly investigated by our group It has the potential use for manmade mines detection in the military fiel Edwo measurement campaigns were carried out and the variation of the SNR level due to phresence of a metallic object was investigated. The first neasurement campaign was performed in a static condition on a sandy terrain to check the functionality of stystem. And the presence of the metallic object advected also in the case of wet terrain. In the second measurement ampaign, the antenna was moving along a given path the possibility of detecting the object dimension as highlighted. The results show the possibility of adopting this technique on board remotely controlled UAV for metal object and even idimension detection.

A measurement of snow depth attempting to relate it to reflected LHCP SNR is briefly presented and discussed in Chapter 7.

## Acknowledgement

I would like to acknowledge all the suppomave received during this research period, especially my supervisors: Riccardo Notarpietro and Patrizia Savi.

As my supervisor of Master of Science and Ph.D, Prof. Riccardo Notarpietro has given me the mostupportand guidanceand without whom, this work cannot be done.He is firstly an operhearted and energetique reson who is also set fortivated, and these persoalities encourage me and inspire me both for my study and life. Also as a scholar, he is creative and innovative and receptive of new ideas and advices.

Although Prof. Patrizia Savi has been myscopervisorfor only one year, I have known her since the doginning. She has a reputation for being kind and warm to students and I am fortunate to have the opportunity to carry on research with her. She is knowledgeable and resourceful and unlike the attitude to daily life, her requirement on research is high astroict. And her rigorous implementation of measurements is impressive abreachefitsme a lot.

I also want to show the deepest gratitude to my supervisors for their patience and forgivenesswith the careless mistakes I have made and with the abstracted period I had.

My appreciation is also given to China Scholarship Council (CSC), which has supported me for the whole Master period and the first half of Ph.D. It provided me the opportunity to study abroad and supported in the composition.

I am willing to extend the thanks to the regional project of SMAZ, which not only provided me the position of research fellowship, but also enables me to do the research in the relative field. And also to NAVSAS group, which has provided us several useful elements for the research instruments.

Last but not least, d like to thank all the excellent and intelligent members in our Lab: Sajid, Mubasshir, Yan and Muna, all my friends that care me and help me both here and home, all my families threatver fail me and always trust me, and especially my wife, whose appearance has made my whole life ever lightful. Finally, I love everybody and this world.

# Contents

AbstractI.			
Acknowledgement			
Contents	V	<b>'</b> 11	
List of figuresIX			
Chapter 1	Introduction	1	
1.1	Global Navigation Satellite System	1	
1.2	GNSSReflectometry	1	
1.3	Soil moisture remote sensing with GN8S	.2	
1.4	Other applications with GNS8	.6	
Chapter 2	Basic principles of GNS&	9.	
2.1	GNSS signals	9	
	2.1.1 GPS signals	.9	
	2.1.2 Other GNSS signals	11	
2.2	GNSS as a bistatic radar	12	
	2.2.1 Geometry	12	
	2.2.2 Bistatic radar equations	1.5	
2.3	Surface scattering	17	
	2.3.1 Statistical description of a rough surface	17	
	2.3.2 Scattering models	18	
Chapter 3	Hardware receiver	23	
3.1	Antennas	23	
	3.1.1 Helix antenna	23	
	3.1.2 Patch antenna	25	
	3.1.3 Commercial antennas	28	
3.2	SiGe frontends	31	
	3.2.1 PC based receiver	32	
	3.2.2 HackBerry board based receiver	33	
Chapter 4	Prediction and processing programs	37	
4.1	Satellite prediction and georeferencing	37	

	4.1.1 Skyplot	39
	4.1.2 Georeferencing specular points on Google Maps	40
	4.1.3 Specular points on-y plane with Fresnel zone	41
	4.1.4 Flight dynamics influence on antenna gain	42
4.2	Signal post processing of raw data	44
	4.2.1 Basic principles	44
	4.2.2 Delay Doppler Maps and Delay Waveforms	46
	4.2.3 Non coherent integration	48
	4.2.4 SNR and received signal power	49
Chapter 5	Soil moisture retrieval and measurements	53
5.1	Dielectric constant	53
5.2	Dielectric constant retrieval through LHCP	56
	5.2.1 Retrieval process	56
	5.2.2 Fight measurement	60
	5.23 Static measurements	68
5.3	Dielectric constant retrieval through cross polarization ratio.	76
	5.3.1 Power and bistatic radar cross section	77
	5.3.2 Retrieval process for specular reflection	83
	5.3.3 Retrieval process for diffuse scattering	84
	5.3.4 Conclusions on cross polarization ratio retrieval	87
Chapter 6	Buried object detection and results	91
6.1	Theoretical background and introduction	91
6.2	Buried object detection measurements	94
	6.2.1 Piazza d•Armi experiment	95
	6.2.2 Montoro experiment	99
	6.2.3 Conclusion	103
Chapter 7	Snow depth measurements	105
7.1	Description	105
7.2	Results and Data Analysis	106
Chapter 8	Summary and future work	1.11
8.1	Summary	111
8.2	Future work	113
References	S	115

# List of figures

Figure 11	System schemes for different observing strategies5
Figure 21	Signal structures of GPS L1 and L2 signals10
Figure2-2	GNSSR bi-static radar geometry13
Figure 23	Delay and Dopplespreading across the surface1.4
Figure 24	Surface scattering patterns versus different surface roughnesd7
Figure 25	Geometry of scattering19
Figure 31	Built helix antenna with 4 turns24
Figure 32	Radiation patterns of heliantenna24
Figure 33	Simulated and measured AR of helix antenna25
Figure 34	Structure of the dual polarization patch antenna
Figure 35	S parameters of hybrid model26
Figure 36	Axial ratio (a) in LH channel, (b) in RH channel27
Figure 37	Radiation patterns in (a) LH channel, (b) RH channel27
Figure 38	Antcom dual polarization RHCP/LHCP L1/L2 GPS (a) antenna, (b)
<b>F</b> in 100	
Figure 39	(b) data sheet
Figure 310	(a) Radiation pattern for LHCP channel in the upper part and cross
	polarization level in the lower part. (b) Radiation pattern for RHCP
	channel inthe upper part and cross polarization level in the lower part
Figure 311	SiGe GN3S Sampler v2 (left) and its structure sch <b>@igb</b> t)32
Figure 312	Structure of PC based receiver
Figure3-13	Characteristics of the Hackberry A10 Development board34
Figure 314	Integration of Hackberry board and SiGe frontend in a single
	compact box

Figure 41	Height, geoid, ellipsoid and terrain	
Figure 42	Skyplot of GPS satellites on July 25, 2014, from 10.00am to 11.00a	m,
	with time interval of 10min	
Figure 43	Specular points mapped <b>Gr</b> oogle Maps40	
Figure 44	Specular points mapped any plane with Fresnel zones and antenn	а
	footprint4.1	
Figure 45	Flight dynamics roll, pitch and yaw [Glenn Research Center, 2014	1]
Figure 46	Nimbus UAV43	
Figure 47	Antenna gain compensation results for Nimbus test flight44	
Figure 48	Flow diagram of basic correlation process for 1ms45	
Figure 49	Flow diagram of correlation using parallel search	
Figure 410	Delay Doppler Map. (a) 3 Dimensional DDM. (b) 2 Dimensiona	al
	DDM of (a) observedrom z axis47	
Figure 411	Delay Waveform47	
Figure 412	Diagram of non coherent integration over consecutive .1.ms49	
Figure 413	Average noise floor $\overline{P_{N}}$ in Delay Waveform	
Figure 51	Measured a) real part and b) imaginary part of diletectric constant	
0	as a function of frequency with volumetric wetness as a parame	eter
	[Dobson and Ulaby, 1986]54	
Fiaure 52	The dielectric constants versus volumetric water content for	5
5	different types of soil at 1.4 GHz56	
Figure 53	The aircraft usedleft panel) and downboking LHCP antenna (right	
5	panel)	
Figure 54	Flight measurement geometry	
Figure 55	Flowchart of system configuration	
Figure 56	Entire flight plan: the red box marks the overlaw rice fields62	
Figure 57	Predicted skyplot of GPS satellites positions during the flight period	od
<b>-</b>		
Figure 58	SNR values (in dB) measured from three different availab	le
Figuro 50	Processed SNR from specular tracks overflying rice fields.	ho
i iyuic 33	Trocessed onter norm specular tracks overnying nee news. I	116

unbroken line is the projected flight path (colours provide information on flight altitude)......65 Figure 510 SNR time series superimposed on an image taken by the stated video camera. Yellow inset corresponds to ground portions shown in Figure 59 (b) and (c).....66 Figure5-11 Estimated relative dielectric constant of the ground parcels shown in Figure 512 Estimated relative dielectric constant of rice fields corresponding to Figure 514 Experiment setup in static positions using the Hackberry board based receiver......7.0 Figure 515 Relative positions of specular points and wet soil area for the a] experiment. (a) First time slot: dry soil, (b) second time slot: wet soil, Figure 516 SNR time series of a] experiment, red line indicates signal from PRN 15 and green for PRN 24.....71 Figure 517 Retrieved dielectric constant from averaged SNR in each time 3lot Figure 518 Relative positions of specular points and wet soil area for the b] experiment. (a) First time slot (b) second time slot (c) third time slot. Figure 519 SNR time series of b] experiment, red line indicates signal from PRN 25 and black for PRN 29.....75 Figure 520 Retrieved dielectric constant from averaged SNR in each time 6lot Figure 521 System setup for cross polarization of reflected LHCP and RHCP measurement......77  $|\delta G|$  and  $|\delta G|$  in function of incident angle and dielectric cons Figure 522 Figure 523 Sensitivities of power ratio df/rr to the soil moisture content for different types of soil: (a) Sandy Loam, (b) Loam, (c) Silt Loam, (d) Figure 61 Penetration depth in function of wavelength for different soil

Figure 62	Dielectric constant behavior (real and imaginary part) in function o			
	frequency for different moisture contents [Njoku, 1977]93			
Figure6-3	Experiment A: Static measurement setup. Tripod and woodd			
	support for the receiver and the antenna			
Figure 64	Experiment A: Prediction of reflection points on xay plane97			
Figure 65	Experiment A: Prediction of reflection points on Google Maps.97			
Figure 66	Experiment A: SNR time series for PRN 25			
Figure 67	Moving measurement setup for experiment.B100			
Figure 68	Experiment B: Prediction of reflection points wy plane			
Figure 69	Experiment B: Tine series of SNR101			
Figure 610	Experiment B: SNR time series evaluated for the object on the soil			
	(green line in Figure-9)103			
Figure 71	Measurement setup on the roof top105			
Figure 71 Figure 72	Measurement setup on the roof top			
Figure 71 Figure 72 Figure 73	Measurement setup on the roof top			
Figure 71 Figure 72 Figure 73 Figure 74	Measurement setup on the roof top			
Figure 71 Figure 72 Figure 73 Figure 74 Figure 75	Measurement setup on the roof top			
Figure 71 Figure 72 Figure 73 Figure 74 Figure 75 Figure 76	Measurement setup on the roof top			
Figure 71 Figure 72 Figure 73 Figure 74 Figure 75 Figure 76 Figure 77	Measurement setup on the roof top			
Figure 71 Figure 72 Figure 73 Figure 74 Figure 75 Figure 76 Figure 77 Figure 78	Measurement setup on the roof top			

# Chapter 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Global Navigation Satellite System

Global Navigation Satellite System, also known as GNisSa system with satellites that is used to provide autonomous-sgreatial positioning of a usesr receiver anywherein the world. It can be characterized as a highly precise, continuous, alweather and neareal-time microwave (L band) technique with signals through the Eareth atmosphere. Each GNSS satellite continuously broadcasts radio signals in two or more frequeesn in L-band (12GHz) with wavelength around 20m, the direct signals are used to great navigation, positioning and timing[Jin, 2014]

Up to 2014, only the US Global Positioning System (GPS) and Ruessias GLONASS are global operational GNSSs. Chinaliss developing its own GNSS named Beidou Navigation System (BDS) to realize the global coveryative year 2020. EUs Galileo positioning system is a GNSS that is scheduled to be fully operational by 2020 at the earliest. Besides, France, India and alaepiam the process of developing regional navigation systems.

## 1.2 GNSSReflectometry

GNSS Reflectometry is usually called for short as GMRS \$ main principle is to receive and further extract information from the GNSS signals reflected off the Earth surface. It works as a bistatic radar, in which the transmitter and the receiver are separated by significant distance, comparable to the expected distance to the target. The concept can be expanded that the receiver receives multiple signals simultaneously from different transmitters, in which case, it result static radar. General descriptions of GNS and its principles and current applications are reported in these work (Gleason, 2006), [Jin, 2010], [Cardellach, 2011], [Jin, 2011].

Comparing with the other existing remote sensing tools, GNBS has several

advantages:

- ðl No additional transmitters are used, therefore the whole system consisting of only the receiver enables to be small size and low cost.
- ðl Plenty of availablesignal sources, considering all the mass transmitted by satellites belonging to the above mentioned GNSSs.
- ðI Applied L band signals have good penetration through atmosphere and are optimal for several applications, and the global coverage of GNSS satellites provides the technique to be nearlytimale and all weather condition operational.
- ðl Wide range of applications can be achieved, such as soil moisture retrieval, sea state monitoring, ictepography and thickness detection and snow coverage study.

### 1.3 Soil moisture remote sensing with GNBS

Several active and passive remote sensing techniques operating in the microwave region of the electromagnetic spectrum have been developed monitor different important geophysical landarameters such alse soil moisture and the vegetation biomass the importance of the soil moisture information as a desired input for several applications such as hydrology, climatology and agriculture has been well regnoized. Soilmoisture is a keycomponent of the water cycle. It directly influences the amount efvaporation infiltration, runoff, and the amount of water uptake by plants. Somibisturecreatesenergy fuxes between the land and the atmosphere/hich impacts weather systemand mayaffect large populated areasMoreover the accurate monitoring of soil moisture serves as a factor in hydrological and vegetation monitoring and for better seasonal forecasting. However, it is often a lack parameter for neuronus whether prediction models since the monitoring of large area surface water resources is generally impractical via in situ observation, specaus of the large number of sites required and the high cost of monitoring equipmen Soil moisture influence the dielectric constant. relative permittivity) of the soil medium and thereforet can be measurethrough signal reflection and surface emissionue to these reasonspond based, airborne and spaceborne remote sensors such randsiometers and rada systems

(scatterometers) pr soil moisture investigation have been widely studied based on measuring dielectric constant the soil. The monostatic radar systems measure rough surface backscattered signals **anis** derive soil moisture from the backscater cross section, which is a function of the **sim** lectric constant, incident angle and roughness. However, monostatic radars are generally less sensitive to soil moisture than radiometers due to the surface roughness affects.

Several airborne campaigns and ground based field experiments have proven that radiometers operating at L band are highly sensitive to soil moisture due to the large contrast between the dielectric constant of soil minerals and Watework done by [Njoku, 1982] and [Wang, 1980] showed that L band frequencies are optimal for sensing soil moisture in the top2 @m surface layers hanks also to the reduced atmospheric attenuation and better penetration

The Soil Moisture and Ocean Salin(SMOS) mission of the European Space Agency (ESA) is an unprecedented initiative to globally monitor surface soil moisture using a novel-D L band interferometric radiometer concepthich sensesthe soil emissivity [Piles, 2010]NASA also launchedits own Soil Moisture Active Passive mission (SMAP), with onboard a radiometersyntheticaperture radar operating at L band (1-2041 GHz). The instrument aredesigned to make coincident measurements of surface emission and backsdatterver with the ability to sense the soil conditions through moderate vegetation cover.

GNSS-R as an Earthes surfaceemote sensingpol has beenwidely studied for various applications. More recently, soil dielectric constant of moisture retrieval have started to porduce some result. Three different observing strategies were implemented for this kind of application. 2012]

(1) Multipath effect and its relation to some oisture (see Figure -11 (a)) it uses a standard pround based nearly hemispherical RHCP ramate receive directs ignal. The reflected signal originated from ground creater multipath effects, since it interferes with the direct signal The total received signal amplitude has a sinusoid behavior with the change of sine of elevation angle (s)n(E) measuring the received signal to noise ratio its possible retrieve soil moisture formation [Zavorotny, 2010], [Larson, 2010]

(2) Interference Pattern Technique (IPT); ee Figure -11 (b)): usually a horizontal pointing vertically polarized VP) antenna is employed. his technique

- 3 -

consists of the measurement of the power fluctuations to the signal resulting from the simultaneous reception and interference of the direct and the reflected GNSS signals is something similar to a multipath effect. In this case the two rays approach is adopted be moisture retrieval is based on finding a specifiotch point from the interference attern, versus satellite elevatio (Mironov, 2012(a)], [Mironov, 2012(b)], [Rodriguez Alvarez, 2009], [Rodriguez Alvarez, 2011(a)].

(3) Bi-static method this is based on the separate reception of direct and reflected signals using two different antennas and on the separate measurement of signal powers. Depending on the antenna configuration three possible observing systems exploiting the i-static geometry can be urther identified

(3.a) A down-looking LHCP antenna and an **-up**oking RHCP antenn(Figure 1-1 (c)): a LHCP antenna receives reflected GPS signal from **threace**. The power reflectivity could be obtained either by using astalic radar equation [Masters, 2004] or from the power ratio between the reflected signal and the direct signal [Katzberg, 2005] The reflectivity is then a function of dielectric coast of the soil, the elevation angle and the surface roughness. By properlying the surface roughness parameter (elevation is known from the direct signal) for a certain scattering models for example[Ulaby, 1982] such as the Small Perturbation Method (SPM) and Kirchhoff Approach (KAthe dielectric constant canbe retrieved.

(3.b) One RHCRup-looking antenna and twodown-looking antennas with one RHCP polarized and the other LHCP polarized vorotny, 2000(a)], [Egido, 2012], [Pierdicca, 2011] Figure 11 (d)). With this configuration, its possible tomeasure both theco-polar component of the terraineflectivity, using LHCP signal and the crosspolar component, using the RHCP antenna The ratio of these two reflectivities was verified to be in good correlation with soil moisture and it was independent on the surface roughness

- 4 -

Politecnico di Torino PhD ffesis



Figure 1-1 System schemes for different observing strategies

(3.c) A similar configuration to the one described i(3.a) but with vertically and horizontally polarized(HP) antenna for both up and downlooking (Figure 1-1 (e)): this configuration was not specifically proposed for GNS®, it can be applied for a general bistatic radar measurement and there is raised available

here. Smulation studes were given by [Ceraldi, 2003], [Ceraldi, 2005] he ratio between the reflected and the direct power on **the** olarization and the same ratio on the VP depend on the soil reflectivity on both the bland VP and on surface roughness. If the power ratio between the two channels with orthogonal polarization is considered, the fluence of the surface roughness out. t was verified that the final expression holds under different scattering models which means that it could be applied under a wide range of surface roughness. This dielectric constant retrieval approach is based on the use of the ratio of power densities scattered ath and vv polarizations along the specular intection for different incidentangles. Since the ratio is a function of b**the** elevation angle and the dielectric constant minimum leastsquare technique was applied to better define the dielectric constant by measuring at two different elevation angles at least.

The retrieval othesoil moisture from the dielectric constant at microwave band (especially in L band) has been widely invegeted and several well accepted theoretical and empirical models have been established, such[Wasng, 1980], [Mironov, 2009], [Hallikainen, 1985] and [Dobson, 1985] he information of soil texture in terms of percentage of clay and sand should be known and provided in input to such models. A further ordel described b[/Topp, 1980] does not depend on any input information, since it models the relative permittivity as a third order polynomial function of soil moisture.

### 1.4 Otherapplications with GNSSR

Apart from the application of soil moisture retrievalsing GNSSR, the technique was actually first used for the sea state monitoring, [stillade and Cordey, 1988]proposed to use Earth reflected GNSS signals as a mesamstifing the ocean surface the concept has been put forward as an alternation of ocean altimetry by scientists at the European Space Agienary in-Neira, 1993]. Later, the same principle was demonstrated as a useful tool to sense ocean roughness b [Garrison et al, 1998].

Comparing with other conventional scatterometethe GNSSR technique allows the receiver hardware to be small size, low cost and simplicity of design.

- 6 -

The work carried out by Garrison, 2000 jis to collect GPS reflected data over ocean on aircraft and on a balloon at altitudes of up to 25 km, artddy the relationship between the sea wind speed and the cross correlation shape of the received signa [Zavorotny, 200(b)] also didresearchin sea wind and developed a theoretical model which is based on a bistatic radar equation derived using the geometric optics limit of the Kirchhoff approximation Other work has been done based on airborne instruments for sea wind and roughness [Study 2002], [Garrison, 2002], [Cardellach, 2003], [Gleason, 2005] algo the function of the fu

Altimetry is also an application that has been developed to provide measurements of ocean and ice sheet topografistatzberg, 1996]utilized GPS receiver and downwarploint antenna for receiving ocean scattered signal to remove the effects of ionospheric delay to statellite carried single frequency altimeter. [Martin-Neira, 2001] presented the PARIS concept and an original experiment on sea surface altimetry using GeffSected signals. The following study on sea surface altimetry was basically applying-GeffSected signal [Lowe, 2002], [Ruffini, 2004], [Cardellach, 2008] Helm, 2008], either based on code phase or carrier phase delay. Recenting applying carlied the altimetry measurement based on Galileo E1/E5a/E5b signals, and achieved very accurate surface heightesults, thanks to the unique Galileo signal modulation code.

Snow thickness monitoring is another topic which can apply GNSSs the snow thickness is related to the amplitude of the reflected signal as a function of the incident angle or relative amplides between different polarizations, the snow thickness can be retrieved from the GNSS reflected sig[falstman, 2012] applied a GPSnterferometric reflectometry nethod to monitor the snow depth, and the test results showed strong agreement between sow depth estimates, continuously operating scanning laser system and an airborne light detection and ranging (LIDAR) measurement. He work done [RodriguezAlvarez, 2011[b)] used the Interference Pattern Technique (IPT) and a ground based reflectometer to study the snow effects, and developed an algorithm for the snow thickness.

Vegetationcanopieshave properties tattenuatemicrowaves and this effect has been widely studeid for decades. Earlier studies such as [Ulaby, 1982] and [Ulaby, 1985] modeled the attenuation effect, and recent GRS6easurements were

- 7 -

carried out to study the relationship between the reflected signal strength and vegetation coverage attenuation inder to sense the vegetation growth. [Small, 2010] applied the GPS multipath technique and found out that vegetation height and water content are inversely correlated with the magnitude of ground reflected multipath. The work done by Rodriguez Alvarez, 201(c)] using the IP Technique and the measurement of [Egido, 2012] with the bistatic radar equation method also gave their contributions to the vegetation coverage research.

# Chapter 2 Basicprinciples of GNSSR

## 2.1 GNSS signals

The basic principle of GNSS signals is to allow the user (receiver) to calculate the range between the receiver and the satellite at the time the signal is transmitted, and also receive the navigation message that is modulated to the carrier, including the ephemeris data, used to calculate the individual **GMBS** lite in orbit at the time of signal transmission and the almanac **dvate** the information about the time and status dhe entire satellite constellation he receiver requires to receive at least four GNSS satellites ignals simultaneously and to calculate the 3 dimensional positions of these four satellites, in order to solve its own position consisting of three unknow coordinates of position and one unknown time error between the receiver and the GNST is method, known as Pseudorange, compares the transmission delay between the received code with its locally generated replica.

Generally, GNSS applies a CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) spreadspectrum technique where the low bit rate message data is encoded with a high rate, pseudo random nois (PRN) sequence which is unique for each satellite. The PRN is a signal similar tooise whichsatisfiedone or more of the standard tests for statistical randomne solutions is too lack any definite pattern, PRN consists of a deterministic sequence of pulses (1 and 0) that will repeat itself after its period. Therefore, to conclede GNSS signal usually consists of these three main components: Carrier, a radio frequency sinusoidal signal at a given frequency in L band; Ranging code, also called as PRN codes, allowing the receiver to determine the travel time of microwave from slittee to receiver; Navigation data, a binary coded message on the satellite ephemeris, clock bias parameters, almanac, satellite health status and other complementary information.

#### 2.1.1 GPS signals

GPS satellites continuously broadcast two L band signals medulatth

- 9 -

ranging codes and navigation messages, which are L1 at 1.57542 GHz and L2 at 1.2276 GHz. Recently, new civil signals are being added to the satellite constellation as a major focus of the GPS modernization program, which are L2C, L5 and L1C.Figure 2-1 is a brief description of GPS L1 and L2 signal structures. The L1 carrier is modulated by coareceduisition(C/A) ranging code for civilian use and by a pseudo random precision range code (P **Code**)? code has higher chipping rate and is longert, only repeats once a weelt.can be encrypted as a so-called P(Y) code which is only available to military equipment with a proper decryption key. L2 signals are only modulated by P code. L1 and L2 signals received from each GPS satellite can be exercised as follows [Jir2,014]:

 $S_{L_{1}}(t) \ \tilde{0} = \sqrt{2 P_{C/A}} D(t) C_{C/A}(t) \sin(2\rho \ ft \ \delta_{7}) \sqrt{\tilde{0} 2 P_{P_{1}}} D(t) C_{P_{1}}(t) \cos(2 \ ft \ f_{1} \ d(2-1))$ 

$$S_{L_2}(\mathfrak{t})\,\tilde{\mathfrak{O}}=\sqrt{2\,P_{P_2}}\,D(\mathfrak{t})\,C_{P_1}(\mathfrak{t})\cos(\mathfrak{P}\,\mathfrak{f}_2\,\mathfrak{t}\,\mathfrak{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}) \tag{2-2}$$

Where  $P_{C/A}$  and  $P_{P1}$  are received signal powers of pinhase component modulated by C/A code and quadrature component modulated by P code of L1 signal.  $P_{P2}$  is the signal power received of L2 signab(t) is amplitude modulation forL1 and L2 containing navigation informationc(t) is ranging code modulation with either C/A code or P code, denoted by the subscripts C/A and P.

Figure 2-1 Signal structures of GPS Land L2 signals

#### 2.1.2 Other GNSS signals

Other GNSS systems such as GLONASS, Galileo and Compass share some characteristics in common with GPS, but also have their unique properties. Considering that this work is done mainly based on GPS signals, the other GNSS systems are going to be described briefly here.

Like the GPS, GLONASS provides both high accuracy signal for military use and standard accuracy signal for civil use. Applied carrier frequencies are L1 (1602-1615.5 MHz) and L2 (1246256.5 MHz). The not signe carrierfrequency implies that GLONASS uses frequency division multiple access (FDMA) technique. The signals use similar direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) encoding and Binary phase shift keying (BPSK) modulation as in GPS signals, but all satellites transmit the same code and each transmits on a different frequency. FDMA is applied on both L1 and L2 band. New launched GLONACSS atellite in 2011 introduced L30C signal with carrier frequency 1202.25MHz and CDMA modulation [Jrlichich, 2011].

Galileo transmits 10 different navigation signals across three frequency bands: E5, E6 and E-L1-E2 [Groves, 2008]Basically it uses CDMA scheme for the ranging code and BPSK modulation. However, to increase the accuracy and to avoid the interference with other NESS signals in the same band, binary offset carrier (BOC) modulation and alternate binary offset carrier (AltBOC) modulation scheme are also applied to some bands.

Compass is a Chinese navigation system **and still** in its development phase. It is foresen to provide global navigation service upon its completion in 2020. Three carrier frequencies are used known as B1, B2 and DB voud 2012]. CDMA is also applied as GPS and Galileo and mainly QPSK modulation is used on all the three bads [Grelier, 2007]

Table 21 shows a comparison between GPS, GLONASS, Galileo and Compass systems [Davoud, 2012]

Characteristic	GPS	GLONASS	Galileo	Compass
First launch	February, 197	October, 198	December, 200	April, 2007
Full operational	February, 199	Jan, 1 <b>1966</b> , 201	Up to 2020	Up to 2020
Funding	public	public	public & priva	public
Nominal number	2 4	24	27	27
Orbital plane:	6	3	3	3
Orbit inclinati	55 degree	64.8 degree	56 degree	55 degree
Semmiajor axis	26,560 km	25,508 km	29,601 km	21,500 km
Orbit plane sep:	60 degree	120 degree	120 degree	-
Revoluptieomiod	11h 57.96 mi	11h 12.73 mi	14h 4.75 min	12h 35 min
Geodetic referen	W G- <b>8</b> 4	P <b>E</b> 9 0	GTRF	C G S 2 0 0 0
Time system	GPS time(,U <b>SNC</b>	GLONASS time,	Galileo system	Bei Dou System
Signal separat	CDMA	FDMA	CDMA	C D M A
Number of frequ	3-L1, L2, L5	One peratrwikopoda	3(4B)1,E6,E5(E5a	3-B1, B2, B3
Frequency [MH	L1: 1575.420	G1: 1602.000	E1: 1575.420	B1: 1575.420
	L2: 1227.600	G2: 1246.000	E6: 1278.750	B2: 1191.795
	L3: 1176.450	G3: 1204.704	E5: 1191.795	B3: 1268.520
Number of rangir	1 1	6	10	-

Table 21 Comparison of GPS, GLONASS, Galileo and Compass

## 2.2 GNSS as a bistatic radar

Bi-static radar is a name ferring to a radar system with transmitter and receiver not collocated. The transmitter and receiver are separated by a distance that is comparable to the expected target distance the contrary, radar in which the transmitter and receiver are collocated is exclamone static radar. As for GNS8, GNSS satellites usually act as transmitters and receiver is mounted on board aircraft, low orbit satellite or statically. As GNSFS is used for surface remote sensing, the surface is therefore the designed get...and the reflected signals contain information of the surface condition.

#### 2.2.1 Geometry

The GNSSR geometry as a bitatic radar is shown in gigure 22. Here GNSS

satellite issubstituted by GPS satellite specifically since the whole work is done considering the GPS signals. As can be seen from the figure, the receiver with a certain distance above the surface can be equipped with two antennas: one up-looking RHCP antenna aiming at receiving the direct signal link and one down-looking LHCP antenna used for the refeater signal receiving, since the incident RHCP GPS signal is predominantly LHCP after specular reflection.

#### Figure 2-2 GNSSR bi-static radar geometry

The specular point is in the place where specular reflection happens, characterized hat the incident angle and the reflection angle are equal and in the same plane of the transmitter and receiven the surface is perfectly flat, the power is reflected by an active region called Fresnel zoordenently, and its size is determined that the ifferential phase change across the surface comparing with the specular point is limited top radians (half the wavelength of transmittechalgin length) [Beckmann, 1963]The area of the Fresnel ellipse can be calculated when the distance between the surface and transmitter is much larger than the distance between the surface and the receiver (or vice veTshe)s, the seminajor axis a and the semininor axis b are determined by the incident angle and the minimum height of the transmitter or receiver in the form [Katzberg and Garrison, 196]:

#### Chapter 2 Basic principles of GNS®

$$a \tilde{o} = \frac{\sqrt{2dH\cos(q)}}{\cos^2(q)}$$
  $b \tilde{o} = \frac{2dH\cos(q)}{\cos(q)}$  (2-3)

When the surface is rougher, more power will be scattered incoherently by an expanded active region surrounding the Fresnel zone, which is **thellesd** "glistening zone. Therefore, for a moderately rough surface, received reflected signal consists of two components generally: a coherent part due to the specular reflection and an incoherent part caused by diffuse scatterin two components are discussed in the forming section.

As the reflection or scattering happens, the time delay comparing with the direct link and the frequency due to DopplEffect change, in which case isrange ellipses (lines of equal delay across the surface) anDoispoler hyperbolas (linse of equal Doppler frequencycrossthe surface) can be mapped across the Earth. Figure 23 shows the delay and Doppler spreading across the surface.

#### Figure 2-3 Delay and Doppler spreading across the serfac

In the figure, lines of constant layare those ellipses that are centered at the specular point, and lines of constant frequency result in hyperbolic shaped iso-Doppler lines of equal frequency cutting through the Fresnel zone (or glistening zone in darger scale).

#### 2.2.2 Bistatic radar equations

Unlike the monostatic radar, the bistatic radar has two or more routes for signal propagation form the transmitter to the receiver. However, the bistatic radar equation can be derived in the same way as the monostatin equation, and its general expression [Griffiths, 2004]:

$$P^{r} \tilde{O} = \frac{P^{t} G^{t} G^{l} S^{0} A}{(4p)^{3} R_{1}^{2} R_{2}^{2}}$$
(2-4)

where P<sup>r</sup> is received signal powerP<sup>t</sup> is transmitted signal powerG<sup>t</sup> and G<sup>r</sup> are antenna gains of transmitter and receiver, respectivelys signal wavelength (about 19cm for GPS L1 signal)R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> represent the distances between specular point and transmitter or receiver. As  $R_1$  is the scattering coefficient per unit area of the surface.

Eq. 2-4 is a general equation referring to the incoherent straring, and it can be written in a form of integral of s<sup>o</sup> within the glistening zone which is shown in Eq. 2-5 [De Roo and Jlaby, 1994]

where the superscriptindicates the incohereptowerand the subscriptip denotes the polarization stated polarization received and transmitted and p denote VP or HP. In the case of specular reflection, the power is reflected coherently to the receiver, and the bistativadar equation describing the cohereon in ponent in the GPS bistatic radar (RHCP transmitted, LHCP receives [De Roo and Ulaby, 1994]:

$$P_{qp}^{c} \, \tilde{O} = \frac{P^{t} G^{t}}{4p \left(R_{1} \, \tilde{O} + R_{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{G^{1}}{4p} R_{qp}$$
(2-6)

where the superscript denotes the coherent component  $R_{q_p}$  is the power reflectivity of the surface. As Eq.-52, q and p denote vertical or horizontal polarization. The coherent power only exists for-product scattering:  $P_{q_p}^c \delta = R_{q_p} \delta = if p$   $q_p^c$ . The power reflectivity  $R_{q_p}$  is further a function of Fresnel reflection coefficient of the equivalent smooth surface attrehuation factor due to the surface roughness effect:

$$R_{qp} \tilde{o} = \tilde{q} \tilde{\phi} \tilde{f} P(z)$$
 (2-7)

where  $\delta \rho$  is the Fresnel reflection coefficient nd P(z) is surface height distribution function which can be expressed in several ways. If a surface can be modeled well by a Gaussian height distribution with zero mean and variance the reflectivity becones[Beckmann 1963]:

$$R_{qp} \tilde{O} = \tilde{Q}_{p} \tilde{G}^{2} \exp \tilde{O} \tilde{O} \frac{\tilde{O}}{\tilde{O}} \frac{\tilde{O}}{\tilde{O}} \frac{\tilde{O}}{\tilde{O}} \frac{\tilde{O}}{\tilde{O}} \frac{\tilde{O}}{\tilde{O}}$$
(2-8)

where q is the incident angle and is the wavelength. As has been discussed in the previous section, for a general surface, reflected signal constists of oherent power (specular reflection) and incoherent power (diffuse scattering), and it can be expressed:

$$\mathsf{P}_{qp}^{r}\,\tilde{\mathbf{\partial}} = \mathsf{P}_{qp}^{c}\,\,\tilde{\mathbf{\partial}} = \mathsf{P}_{qp}^{c}\,\,(2-9)$$

where  $P_{qp}^{r}$  is the total received power. When the surface is perfectly smooth, the diffuse scattering doestnexist and the total received power contains only the coherent specular reflection the determination of *a*smooth.surface is indicated by the Rayleigh criterion:

$$\tilde{O} D \stackrel{1}{\underset{8 \text{ sing}}{0}} I \qquad (2-10)$$

where  $\delta D$  is the mean height of the irregularities within the First Fresnel ellipse and g is the grazing angleThe quantity |/sing| is called the spacevavelength However, it has to be noted that the Rayleigh criterion supplies only a qualitative indication and uses only orders of magnitude, in reality there well defined dividing line between a smooth and a rough surface and the ites meaningless to find a more precise definition of the parame[Beckmann, 1963].

The relationship between surface roughness and surface scattering isinshown Figure 24 as an example. For the specular surface show Frigure 24 (a), the angular radiation pattern of the reflected wave is a delta function centered about the specular direction. For the (slightly) rough surface which is showinging 24 (b), the radiation pattern consists of both coherent component and incoherent component, the main power is again in the specular direction but with its magnitude smaller than the specular (a) case. For a very rough surface (F4gure 2 (c)), the total power is diffuse scattered incoherently and the coherent component becomes negligible.

Figure2-4 Surface scattering patterns versus different surface roughness [Japan Association of Remote Sensing96]

### 2.3 Surface scattering

#### 2.3.1 Statistical description of a rough surface

The shape of a random rough surface and be generally described by the surface height distribution function and the surface height correlation function functionese descriptions have been reported in many literatu[tetstb(y, 1982] [Pinel, 2013] and hence, a brief introduction is given here.

For a surface whose height is given  $b_{2}\phi=f(x, y)$ , and its mean surface height is given by  $z_0$ , the surface height standard deviations is. The type of surface height probability density function can be Gaussian, Lorentzian or exponential, etc.

Most of time, a rough surface can be characterized by a Gaussian height PDF, which gives the expression:

$$p_{h}(z) \,\tilde{0} = \frac{1}{s_{h}\sqrt{2p}} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{z\tilde{0}-z_{0}}{s_{h}}\right)^{2}\right]$$
(2-11)

where  $p_h(z)$  is the surface height probability density function for Gaussian distribution.

The normalized surface height correlation function is the **collesc** riptor of random rough surfacelt describes the degree to which the height at one location is correlated to the height at another location, with respect to their horizontal distance  $\Gamma_{d}^{01} \delta_{\pm_{2}}^{01} \delta_{\pm_{2}}^{01} \delta_{\pm_{2}}^{01}$ . The correlation comes to maximum  $H_{d}^{0} \delta_{\pm_{2}}$ . It is defined by:

where (X,Y) are the surface lengths with respect tendy axis, respectively. For a stationary surface,

$$\mathbf{r}_{h}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2})\overset{\mathbf{Or}}{\rightarrow}\mathbf{r}_{h}(\mathbf{r}_{d})\overset{\mathbf{Or}}{\rightarrow}\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{r}_{1})\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{r}_{1} \quad \mathbf{r}_{0}\overset{\mathbf{Or}}{\rightarrow}\mathbf{z})$$
(2-13)

is satisfied, with the property  $_{h}(\overset{Or}{r_{d}}\overset{O}{\circ} \oplus)$   $\overset{\circ}{\circ} = \overset{2}{\pi}$ , which is the RMS heighth(eight standard deviation) and can be used to normalize the spatial conrectation 212. The correlation length is a characteristic value of the autocorrelation function, representing the scale confughness of the surface, and idetermined by the length at which the normalized correlation function is equaleto

The root mean square (rms) slope is subsequently indicated, as  $m \tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\sigma}_{h}^2 r \rho \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\sigma})^2$ , when thenormalized autocorrelation function is Gaussian, the rms slope is equal tom  $\tilde{\sigma} = \sqrt{2} s_h/I$ 

#### 2.3.2 Scattering models

The studies of modeling scattering of electromagnetic field due to surface rough have been taken for decad@Be(ckman, 1963], [Ulaby 1982], [Fung 1994], [Ticconi, 2011]. Several well established models such as Kirchhoff Approximation, Small Perturbation model and the Integral Equation Method (IEM) have been

addressed inmany researchedn this thesis, soil moisture retrieval algo**nits** derived for either specular reflection or diffuse scattering are illustrated in detail in Chapter 5, hence, only concise descriptions are given here.

Knowledge of scattering geometry and definition of bistatic scattering coefficient is necessary before plying the scattering mode sigure 25 shows the geometry of scattering.

#### Figure 2-5 Geometry of scattering

 $P_t(q_i, j_i)$  and  $P_r(q_s, j_s)$  are transmitted and received power at direct( $q_i$ ,  $j_i$ ) and  $(q_s, j_s)$  respectively. Subscripts of and s denote incident and scattering. P(x, y, z) is the statistical property **cs** furface heightltes then possible to define the bistatic scattering coefficients  $_{qp}^{\circ}$  (subscriptsq and p denote scattering field at polarization q and incident field at polarizatiop), which is dependenton the microwave frequency, polarization, incidence observing directions and is in terms of the incident and scattering field  $E_q^s$  as follows[Ulaby, 1982]

$$s_{qp}^{o}(q_{i},j_{i}q_{j},s_{s}) \tilde{\partial} = \frac{4p R^{2} |E_{q}^{s}|^{2}}{A_{0} |E_{p}^{i}|^{2}}$$
(2-14)

where the bistatic scattering coefficient is given as the ratio of the total power scattered by an equivalent isotropic scatterer in direction  $_{s}$ ) to the product of the incident power density in direction  $_{i}$ ,  $j_{i}$ ) and the illuminated area.

The Kirchhoff Approximation (KA) model is one of the first models applied

and is employed for moderate to rough surfaces. In the Kirclahooffoach the total fields (incident and scattered) at any point on the surface are aparextiby the fields that would be present on an infinitely extended tangent plane at that point. The reflection is therefore considered to be locally specular.

Following this tangent plane approximation, the total field at a point on the surface is assumed equal to the incident field plus the field reflected by an infinite plane tangent to the point, and the detailed expression is giv[blhaby, 1982]. In order to get analytic solution from this approximation, further additional simplifying assumptions should be applied, such as the stationhase approximation (Geometric Optics, GO) for surface with large standard deviation of surface heights (with respect to avelength) and scalar approximation (Physical Optics, PO) for surfaces with solutions and a medium or small standard deviation of surface heights (Stetsang, 2000] and [Tsangend Kong, 2001]as review).

The small perturbation method (SMP) is based onformulating the scattering as a partial differential equation boundary value problem and to find a solution in terms of plane waves that matches the surface boundary conditions. It is a good model for small slopes statistics.

The bistatic scattering containing containin

$$s_{qp}^{\circ} \delta = 8 |k^2 s \cos \mathbf{s}_i \cos \mathbf{s}_i a_{qp}|^2 W (k_x \delta + \sin sin_i k_y)$$
 (2-15)

where

$$k_x \tilde{O} = \delta sinq_s \cos \beta_s$$
 (2-16)

$$k_v \tilde{O} = \delta sinq_s sinj_s$$
 (2-17)

$$W(k_{x}, k_{y}) \delta = \frac{1}{2p} \delta_{0}^{\flat k_{x}} \delta_{\delta}^{\flat k_{x}} \delta_{\delta}^{\flat k_{x}u \delta_{j}k_{y}v} dud \qquad (2-18)$$

s is the variance of surface heights an qu, v) is the surface correlation coefficient;  $a_{qp}$  are coefficients that depend on polarization, incident and scattering angle, and on complementative dielectric constante, of the

homogeneous medium below the interface.

The Integral Equation Method (IEM) is a relatively new method trying to provide good predictions for a wide range of surface profiles and to bridge the gap between KA and SPM, and thus it covers all roughness s[fales, 1994]. This method is relatively complicated insigeneral form and omputationally expensive, but quite accurate, and this is the reasony it has been used extensively in the microwave region in recent years.

The general expression is very mplicated and the bistatic scattering coefficient  $s_{\infty}^{\circ}$  is given by a sum of three terms:

$$S_{qp}^{\circ} \delta S_{qp}^{\kappa} \delta \delta + S_{qp}^{\circ} S \delta \delta_{pp}^{\ast}$$
 (2-19)

which are the Kirchhoff fields, the interaction between Kirchhoff and complementary fields (cross term) and complementary fieldsct expressions of these three terms are reported by  $[f_{\overline{g}} 1994]$ . A single equation of bistatic scattering coefficient is given in the case that the surface height is moderate in terms of the incident wavelengths ( $\delta a$ , as an indicative threshold valueported by [Fung, 1994]), and that only single scattering contributions are selected (multiple-scattering terms are neglected) in the expressions  $g_{qp}^{k}$  of s  $g_{qp}^{kc}$  and s  $g_{qp}^{c}$  by [Ticconi,2011]:

$$s_{qp}^{o} \delta = \frac{k^{2}}{2} e^{\delta s^{2}(k_{z}^{2} \delta q_{z}^{2})} \underbrace{\partial}_{n\delta \pm}^{\delta \mp} \frac{s^{2n}}{n!} | (k_{z} \delta q_{z})^{n} f_{qp} e^{s\delta^{2}k_{z}k_{sz}}$$

$$\delta + \frac{(k_{sz})^{n} F_{qp} (\delta k_{x} \delta q_{y})}{2} \underbrace{\delta + \frac{k_{z}^{n}}{2}}_{2} F_{q} (k_{z} \delta q_{z} - k_{z} \delta q_{z})^{2}} + W^{(n)} (k_{sx} \delta q_{x} k_{sy} \delta q_{z})^{2}$$

$$(2-20)$$

The Small Slope Approximation (SSA)was also brought in mid 1980s to unify KA and SPM [Voronovich, 1996]. The SSA is applicable regardless of the wavelength of radiation, on the condition that the slopes of the roughness are small compared to the angles of incidence and scattering [Jin, 2014]. The SSA is restricted to singlescattering phenomena and the **rhoc**al smallslope approximation is a modification of the SSA for situations in which multiple scattering from points situated each other at significant distance becomes important [Berginc, 2002]
# Chapter 3 Hardware receiver

# 3.1 Antennas

Antenna characterizations are important for GNRSStesearch. Therefore, carefully choosing or designing an appropriate antenna is highly req**Barsed** on the reflection theory shown in the previo**cts**apter, for moderate rough surface, reflected GNSS signals appedominately LHCP corresponding to its transmission polarization of RHCPHence, an L1 band (for GPS L1 signal) LHCP antenna is of our requirement for LHCP reflection signale asuremer(total polarization of both LHCP and RHCP is preferred for some advanced measurement) the other needs that should be met include the cross polarization level, which should be lower than -17dB [Egido, 2012], the half power beam width (HPBW), which should be ewid enough for signal collection of multiple satellites (for our research), and a purely circular polarization for either LH or RH, which requires the axial ratio (AR) to be lower than 3 dB.

A lot of studies and simulations have been taken for sever typesterfinas, including a prototype of helix antenna, simulations of patch antennas and choosing and testing of several commercial antennas.

## 3.1.1 Helix antenna

The design specifications of the helix antenna are:

- اه About 10 dB of maximum gain
- ة 20 MHz bandwidth centedeon 1575 MHz
- ðI LHCP
- ŏl HPBW is about 60 degrees

Both 4 turn and 5 turn helix antennas are simulated througing HHF requency Structure Simulator (HFSS), and a helix antenna with 4 turns was absilitown in Figure 31. The spacing equals to 50 mm, the diameteruals to 65 mm and the dimension of the ground plane is 150 mm\*150 [Refen, 2012]



The helix antenna was tested in anechoic chamber, the measured results comparing with simulation results of radiation patterns at 1575 MHz are shown i Figure 32.



Figure 3-2 Radiation patterns of helix antenna

Since for both simulated and measured radiation patterns, the lawes (A and B or E and H planes) are nearly symmetric, only one plane from each of simulation and measurement is plotted in the metric for comparison. The measured radiation patterns are in good agreement with simulated ones considering the main lobe and are coherent with the specifications in these aspects: about 11 dB of maximum gain, 50 degrees of HPBW and good cross polarization level (lower than -15 dB within HPBW). The measured AR with simulated one is show Figure 3-3, seen from which, the ircular polarization is achieved.



Figure 3-3 Simulated and measured AR of helix antenna

However, due to helix antenessabig dimension, it has limited use for our measurement campaigns especially for **tligte**asurements.

#### 3.1.2 Patch antenna

The main motivation of design and simulation of a dual port patch antenna is to meet the cross polarization requirement which is usually not satisfied by commercial one [suia, 2014]. The basic design idea is to use 6 dB branch coupler as feeding network to provide dual polarization will adding another patch for coupling above the radiaty patch antenna, which called the parasitic patch, it becomes a dual port stacked patch antenna. Two kinds of patchs made be

Hardware receiver

simulated and will be realized very soon. One is full Frieddel the three layers (feeding network layer, active patch layer and parasitic layer) are ind ER4 material. The otheone is called the hybrid model the parasitic layer use Roger 5880 and the reststill utilized FR4 material. The modestructure is show in Figure 3-4.

#### Figure 3-4 Structure of the duadolarization patch antenna

The simulation results of the hybrid model are shown in **the** wring as an example.One of these two models will be manufactured in the near future.

S parameterare shown in Figure 35. Return loss achieves 34 dB for port 1 and-37 dB for port 2. Port 1 achievets4 dB return loss with bandwidth 240 MHz (1.431.67 GHz) and its the same for port 2.

Figure 3-5 S parameters of hybrid model

Figure 36 shows the AR values for both LH and RH channelse blue line

indicates the 3 dB AR value and it can be seen that the range in which AR is below 3 dB is roughly from-90 degree to +50 degree the antenna has fairly good circular polarization.

(a) (b) Figure 3-6 Axial ratio (a) in LH channel, (b) in RH channel

The simulated radiatiopatterns for RH channel gain and LH channel gain in the theta pattern at center frequency 1.575GHz are shownure F3g. As shown the proposed antenna possesseadb pattern coerage and highross polarization at the low angles.

(a)

Figure 3-7 Radiation patterns in (a) LH channel, (b) RH channel

# 3.1.3 Commercial antennas

In the framework of the regional project SMAR2, we were also provided the possibility to look into market for antennas that meet the needs of GRNSS measurements, especially for the LHCP antennas. For most of the measurements presented in this thesis, ethantenna shown in Figure 38 (a) was applied. It is a dual polarization (LHCP/RHCP) antenna working in GPS L1/L2 band produced by Antcom Corporation[Antcom Corperation] with the data sheet presente Figure 3-8 (b).

(a)

(b)

Figure 3-8 Antcom dual polarization RHCP/LHCP L1/L2 GPS (a) antenna, (b) data sheet

Recently, as the need for making static measurements on both LHCP and RHCP reflected signals simultaneously grows, another two identical antennations were produced by Antcom were purchased the picture of the antennas and standard datasheet are showing Figure 39.

They are also dual polarization RHCP/LHCP L1/L2 GPS antennas, and are active antennasenclosed on a 2 inches squared radome (53 mm x 53 mma) reand equipped by a LNA capable to provide a 33 dB gabatasheets show slightly

Chapter3	Hardware receiver
----------	-------------------

different radiation patterns considering free space casgr(nuond plane (€, P)), a circular 3• G. P. (7.6 cm diærter), a circular 4 ft G. P. (1.2 m diameter). For our particular application a G. P. is necessabut it cannot be too big, because the antenna should be mounted below the receiver in one bay slot. Therefore, considering the 3• G. P. solution, we care streat the maximum gain is 4.7 dB, which provides an HPBW of 114°. In this case the antenna should be capable to collect reflected signals comg with an elevation anglegreater than 33°.

Cross polarizationisolation is a key parameter which makes suborthed of antenna very attractive. The datasheet indicaster hat a 2dB AR is available for both polarizations.

(a)

(b)

Figure3-9 Antcom dual polarization RHCP/LHCP L1/L2 GPS (a) twin antennas, (b) data sheet However, measurements that utilized these two antennas seemed to give unreasonable and random results, therefore, characterizations of one of these two antennas were done again in the anechoic charAlbeer.results demonstrated a disagreement with the odfial data sheet. Here only the radiation pattern measurement results on one cut (the other orthogonal cut has similar behavior) at L1 frequency are given as references, which are showFigure 310.

(a)

(b)

Figure 3-10 (a) Radiation pattern for LHCP channel in the upper part and cross polarization level in the lower part. (b) Radiation pattern for RHCP channel in the upper part and cross polarization level in the lower part

In Figure 310, the distance between the two vertical blue lines in the upper part of (a) and (b) indicates the HPBW aperture, and the red horizontal line in the lower part of (a) and (b) is the reference line **to** de dB of cross polarization isolation that the antennais required to achieve As shownin Figure 310, the cross polarization level is far different from the data sheet (barely meets the requirement) and in both channels the antenna is more directive (HREM00°) than what is described in data sheet. Therefe, it can be said that these antennas are not so ideal for our research, and further verification is to be continued.

# 3.2 SiGe frontends

Another important hardware choice concetine Radio Frequency non End

circuit (see Figure 3-11). The SiGe GN3S Sampler2 was developed by the [GNSS @ColoradoCenter for Astrodynamics Resea]rch

#### Figure 3-11 SiGe GN3S Sampler v2 (left) and its structure scheme (right)

It is composed of twomain integrated circuit. The first one is a Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC), which basily amplifies the incomingadio frequency(on the L1 GPS bandwidth) jilters it, down-convets it from the GPS carrier frequency to an intermediate frequency of 38.4 MHz and samples it (sampling rate 8.1838 MHz, which can provide up to 8 samples per each code chip of the modulating C/A code). Two bits for representing both the hase and the Quadraphase samples of the signal comprotnere used and are sent to the second circuit, the microcontroller which transfers in real time he ASIC generated samplesinto an USEbus.

Basically, the system we developed is a Software Defined Radio device. Even if the other steps of signatrocessing are performed following a pure software approach, a device to store and to **purst**cess all the samples of the raw signal available is necessary. In this case, we **bepased** two different solution, swhich are presented in the following sections.

#### 3.2.1 PC basedeceiver

This solution based on the use of a Laptop PC which is directly connected to the frontend through the USB port (see Figure 12). The raw data sampled and transferred by the frontend are collected and recorded by the rab software, which is developed and provided by AV igation Signal Analysis and Simulation group (NAVSAS) of Politecnicodi Torino.

#### Figure 3-12 Structure of PC based receiver

This configuration can be easily extended to support thifferent frontends, with one of themconnected to an uppoking RHCPantenna in orderto allow the collection also of the direct GPS signal for positioning purposes and for georeferencing specular reflection points into thereain, and even for the potential possibility to calibrate the reflected signal so in this case, a fully software GPS receiver solution can be easily adopted, implementing all the standard closed loop signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for signal the standard closed loop signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for possibility is the standard closed loop signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for possibility is possible to the digital samples of the received raw signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for possible to the standard possible to the digital samples of the received raw signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal processing steps to the digital samples of the received raw signal for possible to the standard possible

#### 3.2.2 HackBerry board based receiver

A more stand alone, compact and trendy solution, was based on a System on Chip (SoC) device able to the a large amount of raw sales pavailable during a single measurement: the HackbeArtyO DevelopmentBoard [DeveloperShopby Miniand], (see dtails of this board in Figure-13).

Figure 3-13 Characteristics of the Hackberry A10 Deorement board

The entire system was implemented **an** electronic boar(¢100mm x 80mm). Thanks to its lightness, small dimensions and independency from external power source, it can be easily used as a payload for a **sumal**annedAerial Systems (UAS), remotely and/or automatically controlled. Some internal subsystems including the storagedevice theoscillator, the power supply it can be powered by an external battery which is also able to provide the required current to the Antenna•s Low Noise Applifier), the Ethernet LANand the USB management were customized in order to better suit the performance offrometend A customized version of the operating systemsed on Linux Debian was also developed for the HackBerry boardprocessor The entiredevice wasable to operate via the SSH protocosing either the Ethernet LAN port or a wireless connection (an internal M7 transmitter is available). This extremely useful in order to acceste on boardfirmware which contains several user setup apaeters.

The board the frontend and the antenna wefenally integrated into a single box, as shown Figure 3-14.

- 34 -

Figure 3-14 Integration of Hackberry board and SiGe frontend in a single compact box

This second solution wasdopted from static position only in order to test its effectiveness. After the boardbeing switched on, the operating system boot takes around 40 sec. Data can be acquired for a certain user defined time interval (less than 40 sec) or up to when the on board RAM is full. The data acquired are then automatically downloaded into an -boo and SD card. The epformances of the Hackberry Dev 10 are not adequate for the processing steps explained the acquired data are currently possocessed by a standard PC.

In theframeworkof SMAT F2 and in cooperation of ISMB and NAVSAS group, another new compaceceiver is under development and it is to be used for flight measurement on soil moisture, its prototype has been produced and details are reported in [Gamba2013].

# Chapter 4 Prediction and processing progams

# 4.1 Satellite prediction and georeferencing

GNSSR applies the bistatic radar geometry. Therefore, the position of the specular point which is of our interest is determined by both the positions of the transmitter (GNSS satellite) and the receivence knowledge of a coordinate system and Earth representation is necessary for georeferencing specular heaving. Earth-centered Earthixed (ECEF) frame has its origin at the center of the ellipsoid modeling the Earthis surface, and it is roughly at the centermass. In this frame, all axes remain fixed with respect to the Earth and z axis always points along the Earthis axis of the rotation from the center to the North Pole. The ECEF frame is important in navigation because the user wants to know their prosetentive to the Earth, so it is commonly used as both a reference frame and a resolving frame [Groves, 2008].

The ECEF frame enables the user to know its position with respect to the center of the Earth.However, for most of the cases, users want towkthoe position relative to the Earth surface. A type of ellipsoid is usually used to model the irregular Earth surface and the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84) is one of the main standardsThe ellipsoid is the simplest geometric model which best fiels th entire Earth surface and Enge, 2001] ned ites also the reference for measurements of the geoid and terrain surfaces.

The geoid is a model of the Earsthsurface that extends the mean sea level equipotential to include the land masses. All pointistice geoid have the same gravitational potential and the force of gravity acts everywhere perpendicular to the geoid. The height of the geoid with respect to the ellipsoid is denixited are Figure 4-1). The current WGS84 geoid model is known as the Earsthvity Model 1996 (EGM96).

The height of a body above the geoid is known as the orthometric height (also known as elevation) or the height above mean sea level and is dehisthed in high Figure 41. h represents the terrain height with respect to the sellid. The

orthometric height is related to the geodetic height by [Groves, 2008]

Typical GPS measurements (initial results without correction and adjustment) give the receiver height with respect to the ellipsoid whict messgeodetic height, but orthometric height is more useful and often used for many applications. Maps tend to express the height of the terrain and features with respect to the geoid, for instance, the Google Ear[Stillman, 2009], which is used for planing our static measurements Knowing in advance whether the height provided by the GPS receiver or a certain map is geodetic height or orthometric height is important for georeferencing specular point on the Earthurface.

#### Figure4-1 Height, geoid, ellipsoid and terrain

For our measurement campaigns including flight measurement and static measurements which are demonstrated in Chapter 5, georeferencing of specular points was done in the ECEF coordinatement, by assuming the surface is locally flat (regardless of the curved Earth surface) and the surface height remains the same with that of the receiver projection position is method is accurate enough in our case since the receiver was relatively lows (Ithan 800m from the surface) with respect to the radius of the Earth and the specular points deprate far from the projection of the receive The flight measurement wasquipped with onboard GPS receiver which provided ECE for dinates for both transmitters (GPS PRNs) and receiver and georeference is easy to implement. For other static measurements, locations were planned by utilizing Google Eauthace elevation was given by the orthometric height along with longitude and latifulberefore,

the total receiver height (surface orthometric height + receiver height over surface, or in other words the receiver orthometric height) was converted to geodetic height first and then its latitude, longitude and altitude with respect to WGS84 were further converted to ECEF frame for georeferencing specular points.

#### 4.1.1 Skyplot

Skyplot shows the positions of satellites in terms of elevation and azi**Thuth**. elevation is presented by the concentric rings nested within one an**Tither**. outside ring is 0 and the middle of the plot is a 90 elevation (see Figure 42) The azimuth is the direction angle with respect to Norff) (**D**easurectlockwisely

Figure4-2 Skyplot of GPS satellites on July 25, 2014, from 10.00am to 11.00am, with time interval of 10min

Figure 42 shows an example of skyplot of GPS satellites on a certain Edatory series of points represents a certain PRTNe points marked with triangle... indicate the first point of the desired time sequendiates the points marked with "diamond.are the last points.

This skyplot was plotted based on a series of text files (each for a given time) which are outputs of a Java program and are extracted filter stee

<u>www.calsky.com</u> Each text file consists of information of PRN number, azimuth and elevation angels, and ECEF coordinates. This information makes it useful not only for skyplot, but also for georeferencing splacupoints for static measurements.

## 4.1.2 Georeferencing specular points on Google Maps

Thanks to the Google Maps API [Google Maps API], specular points can be georeferenced on Google Maps **by**erlappingspecular points on the extracted Google Maps images at a given location and at a given **zbigm** 43 shows an example of specular points mapped on Google Maps.location is the meadow of Piazza **e**Armi of Turin, Italy and the time is the same as **that** igure 42. The receiver projection position is depicted by the big red triangle in the center of the figure and the receiver height above **tsuer** face is assumed to be 20m. The coordinate system app**t** in Figure 43 is Universal Transverse Mercator **T**(**M**).

Figure 4-3 Specular points mapped on Google Maps

The mapping of specular points on Google Maps is useful when the receiver is high for example for flight measurements, since it provides the posstbilktyow the surface condition or coverage such as rivers, lakes, fields and buildings in order to betterunderstandhe reflection results.

## 4.1.3 Specular points on-y plane with Fresnel zone

For some static measurements, especially when the receiver is ferrily meters high above the ground, to know only the specular point position is usually not sufficient, the Fresnel zone coverage is sometiones ial if the change of surface condition (soil moisture or roughness) is required or the measurement needs to well controlled.

Figure 4-4 Specular points mapped any plane with Fresnel zones and antenna footprint

Figure 44 shows an example of georeferencing specular points-yopplane with Fresnel zones and antenna footprint. The simulated time and location are the same as those for gigure 43 but with the only difference of a 2 m antenna height above the ground. The receiver projection is in the origin represented by the big red triangle. Specular points are similar with those show Figure 43, but with their corresponding Fresnel zones surrounding the antenna footprint is depicted by the light green circleThe x axis represents the distance in meter in Verest direction whilst they axis represents the distance in SeNtorth direction.

The antenna footprint will change accordingly if the antenna changes its pointing direction in azimuth or elevation, which makes it useful for planning a measurement aiming at receiving/lection signals from certain PRNs.

#### 4.1.4 Flight dynamics influence on antenna gain

Unlike the staticsituation where the incident angle to the antenna changes slightly and eventually during time, for a flight measurement, the incident angle of the reflected signal to the down looking antenna changes dramatically due to the flight dynamics in terms of yaw, pitch and roste/e Figure -45).

Therefore this affect should be taken into account and appropriate compensation of antenna gain should be implemented as to avoid introducing further errors to the post processing phase.

#### Figure 4-5 Flight dynamics roll, pitch and yaw[Glenn Research Center, 2014]

The whole process of aircraft rotation in pitch, **a**vid yaw can be considered to be coordinate transformation in the standard state (pitch, roll and yaw are); 0 vectors of roll (x), pitch (y) and yaw (z) axis can be defined in ECEF frame given the aircraft position. After the rotations, new vectors of y and z can be obtained by multiplying the rotation matrixes, which are:

where a, b and g represent the yaw, itph and roll rotation, respectively. The incident angle to the antenna is then stratighter and as the angle between the antenna to the specular point vector and the new yaw axis (only in the case that the antenna pointing direction is parallel to the yawis). Thanks to the Nimbus group who has provided a test flight data covering all the useful information including the flight dynamics, a trail of antenna gain compensation was implemedte Figure 46 shows the Nimbus UAV which performed the testight.

#### Figure4-6 Nimbus UAV

Figure 47 (a) shows traces of flight projection and specular points of PRN 25. Figure 47 (b) is the calculated incideratingles to the down looking antenna after taking into account flight dynamics. Figure74(c) depicts the actual gain the antenna provided for different incideratingles concerning the radiation pattern of the helix antenna shown in Figure13And Figure 47 (d) finally provides the gain compensation that should be given, considering the maximum gatme dfielix antenna to be 10 dB.



(b)

(c) (d)

Figure 4-7 Antenna gain compensation results formation test flight

# 4.2 Signalpost processing of raw data

(a)

#### 4.2.1 Basic principles

The structure of GPS signals is briefly described in Chapter 2 and the two types of receivers are demonstrated in Chapter T3he post processing is a softwaredefined radio approach and is all done by Matlab installed in a standard PC. No matter which receiver was applied, recorded data were the same format of binary raw data with sampling frequency of 8.1838MHz antermediate frequency of 38.4MHz since the same type of frontend was used.

Typically, to process a direct GPS signal, the incoming signal must be correlated with a locally generated replica C/A code with the appropriate phase offset and Doppler frequency shit and thiprocess has been expressed in many literatures such **a** [Misra and Enge2001]. In the case of reflected signal processing, it becomes sometimes simpler at least for this research by now, due to the reason that only the reflected signal power is of int**er**eand other information such as navigation messages, carrier phase, delay and Doppler frequency can be gnored

A flow diagram of thiscorrelation processfor 1ms (also known as coherent integration) is showin Figure 48.



Figure 4-8 Flow diagram of basic correlation process for 1ms

The flow diagram showin Figure 48 is known as serial search, it is easy to realize buttime consuming becaustegets a single value of correlation function at each trail and the total time required pisoportional to number of bins to explore. To speed up the processing and to reduce the cortyple fixserial search, the called parallel search is used in our practive bech is depicted.

Figure 4-9 Flow diagram of correlation using parallel search

The parallel search method showin Figure 49 uses Fast Fourier Transforms (FFT) to perform correlations at all delays for a givine quency in one step. The operations are illustrated as following.

† A set of 8184 samples of the incoming signal and of lobeal code is buffered

- † The FFT of the sample sets is evaluated
- † The transformed sequences are multiplied
- † The IFFT is evaluated in order to estimate the correlation

†The output of IFFT is quared in order to get the correlation power

To scan over all the steps of frequency shift, the correlation power for each delay and frequency bin can be generated and can be shownDnfiguBte which is the secalled Delay Doppler Map (DDM) illustrated thefollowing section.

# 4.2.2 Delay Doppler Maps and Delay Waveforms

The correlation power mapped in a grid including the delays and Doppler frequencies is called the Delay Doppler Map. An example is given in Figu@e 4 the peak correlation power has beerrmalized to 1, which is seen to be the signal power, and the surrounding blue noisy background is noise power distributed in other delays and frequencies.

(a)

(b)

Figure4-10 Delay Doppler Map. (a) 3 Dimensional DDM. (b) 2 Dimensional DDM of (a) observed from z axis

Delay Waveform (DW)'s the returned power profile as a function of delay only, with the frequency set to constant value (normally the value at the specular) poin A direct signal will have a sharp triang delaye, as a result of the GPS correlation process. However, a reflected signal wide metimes exhibit a spreading n delay as power is detected at different delays over the glistening. To Me can be obtained from DDM by extracting the delay row at the Doppler frequency where the correlation peak present Figure 411 gives an example of Delay Waveform.

#### Figure 4-11 Delay Waveform

The red lines in Figure 411 implies the average noise floor alculated in the region of 8 samples before and 24 samples after the peak, the two green lines show a region of noise floor plus and minus 3 times of the noise standard deviation, where nearly all the noise powerhould fall in theoretically assuming the noise is Gaussiamoise. The calculation of noise floor is used for evaluating the signal to noise ratio (SNR) which is illustrated in Section 4.2.4.

It should be noted that, in order to get more precise DW, the co**arse** SeDM shown inFigure 410 is not used directly for extracting DW.refined search DDM is calculated by reducing the frequency step to 100 Hz (21 or less frequency steps which are centered at the frequency where the peak appears in a coarse search DDM). DW is further extracted from this refined search DDM.

## 4.2.3 Non coherent integration

As shown in Section 4.2.1, a coherent integration (normally 1 ms, determined by the length of the GPS PRN code sequence) must be performed to get DDM and DW. Coherent means that the signalis processed using both its-phase and quadrature signate components, with the possibility of computing a carrier phase angle based on these two values. However, all phase information is here states and quadrature Qsignal components, as showing Figure 4-9. It is believed that the phase of the signal received has been observed to be unpredictable and not related to the transmitted GPS arrier phase and he signal power magnitude only is the primary observable.

Generally, due to the attenuation of signal power caused by surface reflection and the presence of fading noise introduced by surface scattering, 1 ms of integration is not enough to get the correlation peak, coutisec1 ms coherent correlations must be averageothis process is known as the non coherent integration. Figure 412 shows the diagram of this process.

This necessitates that the whole process show Figure 4-9 be repeated over several consecutive milliseconds of raw data. For every trial delay and Doppler frequency, consecutive milliseconds as usemmed together as illustration Figure 4-12. This has the effect of mitigating the fading or speck house caused by the random scattering and results airbetter estimate of the true signal power.

Politecnico di Torino PhD ffesis



Figure4-12 Diagram of non coherent integration over consecutive 1 ms

Carefully choosing of an appropriate non coherent integration time is important since SNR will be influenced by the choice of a too long or too short non coherent integration time. There is not a defined rugeoverning the choosing but it should only be determined by the specific application and by its own situation this thesis, 500 ms of mocoherent integration time is used since examined to be long enough to eliminate the effects of speckle noise and short enough to have good resolution of the surface by multiple experiments.

#### 4.2.4 SNR and received signal power

As shown from the DV in Figure 411, the total peak power is normalized to 1 and the average noise floor is evaluated represented by the reThlenprocessed absolute SNR(the ratio between the pure signal and the noise powerschen simply expressed as

$$\delta F_{\text{peak}} \quad \delta = \frac{1}{P_{\text{N}}} \qquad (4-3)$$

where  $\delta F_{peak}$  is the absolute Signal to Noise ratio  $a_{Pqd}$  is the average noise value (see Figure 413)

Figure 4-13 Average noise floor  $\overline{P_N}$  in Delay Waveform

The SNR of the received sign**fair** a given delay and a given Doppler frequency canbe written as:

$$\delta F \hat{O} \ddagger F \hat{O} = \frac{G_D \delta R_S \delta \delta \phi \Box \delta}{P_N}$$

$$(4-4)$$

where  $P_s$  and  $P_N$  are signal and orise power before despreding. Ambiguity functions  $\delta_L$  and s represent the "attenuation... due to power correlation misalignments, in delay and frequency is the so called processing in ( $\delta$ -30.1 dB) due to the despread of the GPS/  $\Delta$  code  $P_N$  is the input noise power. This can be expressed as

$$P_{N} \tilde{O} \neq T_{N} B_{w}$$
(4-5)

wherek is the Boltzmannes constant  $1.380 \times 1023 \text{ J/K}$ ,  $T_N$  is the estimate of the receiver noise equivalent temperature (which can be approximated as  $T_N \check{o} \check{=} (NF \check{o} \check{O} 290)$ , NF (dB) is the receiver noise figure (it can be estimated in the range of 1.02.5 dB) and  $B_W \check{o} \stackrel{=}{=} /T_1$  is the signal bandwidth determined by the coherent integration time  $T_1$  (1 ms in our case) The input noise power can be approximated as  $P_N \check{o} \stackrel{=}{=} \acute{o} 76.3 \text{ BW}$ .

For the case of peak SNR  $\mathbb{F}_{\text{peak}}$ , the attenuation factor due to the ambiguity function  $\delta \hat{\mathcal{L}} = \delta \hat{\mathcal{T}} + \delta \hat{\mathcal{T}} = \delta \hat{\mathcal{T}} + \delta \hat{$ 

$$P_{\rm S} \, \tilde{\partial} = \frac{\tilde{\partial} F_{\rm peak}}{G_{\rm D}} \tag{4-6}$$

As  $\delta F_{peak}$  can be calculated from DW unsgi Eq. 43,  $P_N$  and  $G_D$  are known parameters, the received signal powner can be obtained.

# Chapter 5 Soil moisture retrieval and measurements

# 5.1 Dielectric constant

The dielectric propeites of moist soils are important in determining the microwave scattering and absorption by a soil medium [Dobson and, Ulabog]. In general, a soil medium can be treated as a volocomesisting of valable fractions of soil solids, aqueot(sids, and air. Soil solids are characterized by the distribution of particle sizes (texture) and the mineralogy of the soin stituent particles (particularly the clay fraction) deally, an eloquent model formulation would account for the observed effects of various soil components on the complex dielectric behavior of the soil bulk density (compactions) il composition (particle size distribution and mineralogy), the volume fraction of societ components, the salinity of the soil solution, and temperat(Debson, 1985]

An example of the frequency response of soil dielectric properties isnsinow Figure 51.

There are two ways to describe the wetness of soil, which are determined by percentageby dry weight or by volume basis,

$$m_{v} \tilde{O} = \frac{V_{w}}{V_{t}} \tilde{O} = \frac{W_{w}}{V_{dry}} \frac{W_{w}r_{b}}{W_{dry}} cm^{3} cm^{3} \tilde{O}$$
(5-1)

$$m_{g} \tilde{\mathbf{O}} = \frac{W_{w}}{W_{dry}} \tilde{\mathbf{O}} 00\% \quad \tilde{\mathbf{O}} = 0 \frac{m_{v}}{r_{b}} \quad (\%) \quad (5-2)$$

where  $W_w$  is the weight of the water in the sample  $M_{dry}$  is the weight of the solid part of the sample  $V_w$  is the volume of the water  $V_{dry}$  is the volume of the solid part of the sample  $V_t$  is the total volume of the sample, assuming equal to the volume of the solid part as the water takes place of the air and to the increase the total volume  $r_{b}$  is the density of the sample mixture.

a)

b)

Figure 5-1 Measureda) real partandb) imaginary part of the dielectric constant as a function of frequency with volumetric wetness as a param [Debsonand Ulaby 1986]

Because the dielectric constant of moist soils is proportionable number of water dipoles per unit volumable preferred measure for soil moisture is volumetric which is expressed in Eq.1.

The empirical model showby [Hallikainen, 1985] is used to calculate the soil moisture staring from the dielectric in function codefficients depending on the frequency and on the soil compositions liknown as polynomial expressions as a generated fore d and e d as a function of m, for each frequency and soil type. At each frequency, the individual polynomials are then combined into a single polynomial that expresses as a function of m, SandC, where SandC are the sand and clay textural components of a soil in percent by weight, respectively. few soil types with different compositions of sand, silt and clay attendition Table 5-1.

Soil Type	Soil Texture (%)		
	Sand	Silt	Clay
Sandy Loam	51.51	35.06	13.43
Loam	41.96	49.51	8.53
Silt Loam	30.63	55.89	13.48
Silt	17.16	63.84	19
Silty Clay	5.02	47.60	47.38

Table 51 Soil texture composition of diffent soil samples

The general form of the polynomial is,

 $e \delta = (a_0 \delta + S \delta - b) (b \delta + b) \delta + b \delta + b$ 

Some research has been done to provide the coefficients of the polynomial above at each frequency and shown great agreement between the measured and the predicted on  $\mathbf{e}_{c}$  using Eq 5-3 with some given coefficients at each frequency.

For the study of remote sensing in the dand, we consider the coefficients in the row of frequency 1.4 GHz. The polynomials for the dielectric constant then are,

eð@t=(2.862 č9.0128 č0.000C) č(-4a) č(-4a) eč@č(0.356 č9.002S č0.008C) č(5-4b) č(5-4b)

Figure 52 is the different permittivity characteristics (both real part and imaginary part) obtained function of m, for different soil decomposition (Sand, Loam, Organic, FerromagnetizedClay)

Figure 5-2 The dielectricconstants/ersus volumetric water content fordifferent types of soil at 14 GHz.

It can be seen from igure 52 that at L band (1.4 GHz) both real and imaginary part of the dielectric constant grow with the increase of soil moisture for all the 5 types of soils. Moreover, the real part has very apparent increase while on the contrary, the imaginary paddbesn ted to change much.

# 5.2 Dielectric constant retrieval through LHCP

## 5.2.1 Retrieval process

The retrieval process basically aims to establish the link between received LHCP reflected signals and dielectric constant of the soil. As the dielectric constant is strongly influenced by soil moisture and there already several mature models which have built their relationship, such as the empirical modeHaflikainen, 1985] described in the previous section, the retrieval of dielectric constant is the key component to the retrieval of soil moisture. For specular reflection case, the reflected GPS signals are edominatelyLHCP [Masters, 2004]especially for higher elevation of satellite (smaller incident angle)Therefore, Section 5.2 only concerns the retrieval of dielectric constant from reflected LHCP signals, assuming

a perfectly smooth surface, regardless the surface roughness and incoherent components.

The retrieval starts from the ndamentatheories of electromagnetic waves electric fields of LHCP and RHCPap be expressed as a combination of two orthogonal linear polarizations, such as horizontal and vertical polarizations:

$$E_{i} \tilde{O} \neq E_{i} | (\tilde{x} \tilde{O} \neq y)$$
 (5-5)

where  $E_i$  and  $E_r$  are the electric field vectors of LHCP and RHSEbscripts I and r denote LHCP and RHCP, respectively. And the **sterfie**ition of subscripts is used in the following equation  $E_i$  and  $|E_r|$  are the magnitudes LHCP and RHCP fields.  $\stackrel{\circ}{x}$  and  $\stackrel{\circ}{y}$  are unit coordinate vectors and represent the two orthogonal linear polarization sdirections. In this thesis, they are fixed to be horizontal and vertical polarizations espectively. Since any field can be represented by two circularly polarized compone the CP and RHCP, the total reflected field of RHCP transmitted GPS signal is a combination of LHCP and RHCP fields, shown in:

$$\mathsf{E}\,\check{\mathbf{\partial}}_{+\!\!\!\mathbf{E}_{r}}|(\overset{\checkmark}{\mathbf{x}}\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{\partial}}_{+\!\!\mathbf{y}})\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{u}}_{+\!\!\!\mathbf{t}}^{+\!\!\!\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{x}\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{j}}\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{y}}_{+\!\!\!\mathbf{t}}) \tag{5-7}$$

which canberewrittenin another form:

$$\mathsf{E}\,\check{\mathsf{d}} = \{ \mathsf{E}_{i} \mid \check{\mathsf{d}} = \{ \mathsf{E}_{i} \mid \mathsf{d}, \mathsf{d} = \mathsf{E}_{i} \mid \mathsf{d} = \mathsf{d}, \mathsf{d} =$$

As  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  represent horizontal and vertical polarizations, the magnitudes of horizontal and vertical polarizations are obtained:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{h}} & \delta \neq \mathsf{E} \\ \begin{vmatrix} \delta \neq \mathsf{E} \\ \vdots \end{vmatrix} & \delta \neq \mathsf{E} \end{vmatrix} \qquad (5-9)$$
$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{h}} & \delta \neq \mathsf{E} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \qquad (5-10)$$

where the subscripts df and v denote horizontal and vertical polarizations. The equation of power density and field strength is expressed as:
$$\sqrt{\mathsf{P}} \, \check{\mathsf{O}}_{+\!\!\mathsf{E}} / \sqrt{\mathsf{Z}_{0}}$$
 (5-11)

Therefore, therelationship of power density between circular polarizations (RHCP, LHCP) and linear polarizations (horizontal and vertical polarizations) are:

$$\sqrt{P_{i}} \tilde{O} = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{P_{h}} \tilde{Q} + P_{v})$$
(5-12)

$$\sqrt{P_r} \, \check{O} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{P_h} \, \check{Q} / P_v \right) \tag{5-13}$$

Our interest and measurement are in redecLHCP signals, which can be expressed as:

$$\sqrt{P_{hr}^{r}} \tilde{O} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{P_{h}^{r}} \tilde{Q} + P_{v}^{r} \right)$$
(5-14)

where the subscript represent RHCP transmitted and LHCP received signal. The following subscripts are defined in the form which is coherent with the type anation of Eq. 2-5. The superscript denotes, received. power. Moreover, ademonstrated in [De Roo and Ulaby, 1994] for specular reflection, the coherent power exists only for copolarized scattering, which means:

$$P_{qp} \tilde{\partial} = \tilde{Q}_{pG} O \tilde{\partial} = p q \qquad (5-15)$$

where subscripts and q denote vertical or horizontal polarizations and is Fresnel reflection coefficient This means that crossolarization components of  $P_{hv}$  and  $P_{vh}$  don't exist and Eq. 514 can be written as:

$$\sqrt{P_{\rm lr}^{\rm r}} \, \check{O} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{P_{\rm hh}^{\rm r}} \, \check{Q} + \frac{P_{\rm vv}^{\rm r}}{P_{\rm vv}} \right) \tag{5-16}$$

The Fresnel reflection coefficients for and v are already well known as:

$$\tilde{O}_{\mathbf{G}} \tilde{O}_{\mathbf{Q}} \tilde{O} ) \stackrel{\text{sing } \tilde{O}_{-} \sqrt{e_{\mu}}}{\stackrel{\text{deos}}{\text{sing } \tilde{O}_{+} \sqrt{e_{\mu}}} \frac{\tilde{O}_{\mathbf{C}} \cos^2 g}{\sin g \delta_{+} \sqrt{e_{\mu}}}$$
(5-17)

$$\tilde{O}_{G} \tilde{O}_{Q} \tilde{O} = \frac{e_{r} \sin g \tilde{O}_{\sqrt{q}} \tilde{O}_{e} \tilde{O}_{e} \tilde{O}_{s} \tilde{G}}{e_{r} \sin g \tilde{O}_{+} \sqrt{q} \tilde{O}_{e} \tilde{O}_{s} \tilde{G}}$$
(5-18)

where oc is the Fresnel reflection coefficient, is the relative dielectric constant.

g ð \$0 ð \$4° is the grazing angle, and is the incident angle. Therefore, combining the definition of bistatic radar equations for coherent component show Frqb 26, Eq. 2-8 and power density relation shown in Eq165, the equation expressing the relation of received LHCP power and Fresnel coefficientshowind vis obtained:

Considering the specular reflection on a perfectly smooth surface, the surface roughness attenuation expressed  $d_{Q} \sqrt[6]{6} (p_{S} coq/l \ 0)}$  can be neglected and be approximated as 1Further, the incoherent component doesplay a role in specular reflection and therefore the total received LHCP power is the coherent component of LHCP (irEq. 29, the  $P_{qp}^{i}$  component is 0). As the total received signal power  $P_{S}$  can be obtained by post processing showrEq. 46, the final expression linking received signal power and dielectric constant is:

$$P_{lr}^{r} \check{0} = P_{S} \stackrel{i}{\overset{d}{\rightarrow}} = \frac{P^{t}G^{t}}{4 q_{P} (R_{1} \check{0} + R_{2})^{2}} \frac{G^{t}I^{2}}{4 p_{P}} \check{0} \left( \bigvee_{vv} \check{P}G_{vv} \stackrel{h}{\overset{h}{\rightarrow}} \check{0} \right)$$
(5-20)

where Fresnel reflection coefficients **holf** and vv are given in Eq. 5-17 and Eq. 5-18, which are functions of dielectric constant and grazing angle.

By combining Eq. 517, 518 and 520, theonly unknown parameter is, and it is solvable. However, the solved is a real number indicating theoremulus of the complex dielectric constant consisting real and imaginary parts:

To separate the real and imaginary parts, one possible solution is to consider one of the dielectric models, for instance the model[Hallikainen, 1985]shown byEq. 5-4a and 54b. By pre-choosing the soil composition parameters S and Eqin 5-4a and 54b, and combiningEq. 5-17, 5-18, 5-20, 5-21, 5-4a and 54b, three unknowns of eð, eð and soil moisturem, can be all solved.

#### 5.2.2 Fight measurement

#### 5.2.2.1 Description

A flight measurement campaign was perfedmin collaboration with the NAV SAS group of Politecnicodi Torino to acquie data from a rice field region of Piedmont, Italy. The aircraft and the dow looking LHCP antenna are shown i Figure 53. The receiver was mounted on an aircraft flying at about 700 m above ground level at aspeed of around 200 km/h. The geometry of this flight measurement is showinn Figure 54.

Figure 5-3 The aircraft used (leftane) and downlooking LHCP antenna (right panel)

Figure 5-4 Flight measurement geometry

As shownin Figure 54, The area of the first Fresnel zone (highlighted grey) due to a signal received from a GPS satellite with an elevation angle of 65° can be estimated at around  $510^2$  n following the equations given by Eq. 23 for the evaluation of semimajor and semiminor axis 6 the Fresnel ellipse The antenna

footprint dimension during the entire flight was estimated to be around <sup>2</sup>3 km which is depicted by the big black ellipse and the received power coming from the first snel zoe can be always detected.

During the flight, direct QPS signals were received byzænithpointing RHCP antenna and reflected PCS signals by a nadirpointing LHCP antenna The receiver system configuration is shown in Figure 55.

#### Figure 5-5 Flowchart of system configuration

The hardware descriptions are given in Chapter 3 and the PC based receivers were applied. The so called, Open Loop Approach represented by grebyox in Figure 55 is explained in Chapter and a 500 ms of non coherent integration time was chosen for this measurement.

A video camera for visual inspection of flood fields was also mounted on board the aircraft. Some rice fields were flooded during nt be aurements, creating an ideal scenar to study reflection signatures. Two flights were formed: a test flight and a final flight (denoted by yellow and red, restrictions), in Figure 5-6).

Figure 5-6 Entire flight plan: the red box marks the ovfierwn rice fields

In the northern portion of the flight pa(bhown by red line) a lake (Viverone Lake) was oveflown. Data taken from reflections occurring on the lake surface were used to calibrate threceived power, since not all the system parameters (transmitter and receiver termna s gain, transmitted powerceiver noise) were accuratelyknown. Fixing the dielectric constant of the water to be constant to 80 (in the L band for fresh water at 25° it is equal to 78.5U laby, 1986), Fresnel reflection coefficients and power reflectivity values were directly evaluated, allowing the system parameters be estimated and corrected, especially the receiver noise power (seeq. 46 and Eq. 520). The received signal power and dielectric constant over the rice fields were then calculated and derived referenced to the calibrated system parameters.

Prediction of the satellites in view during the flight is an important fask selecting theoptimal flight route. The predicted skyplot was analyzed and all satellites with elevation lower than 33° were discarded because, for these satellites, the specular reflections did not fall within the LHCP nadio oking antenna footprint (the halfpower beam width of the antenna used is about 11.47) s an

example, one of the splotsevaluated for the flight is showin Figure 5-7.

Figure 5-7 Predicted skyplot of GPS satellites positions during the flight period

In Figure 5-7, all predicted GPS satilite positions are shown, but only four satellites (PRN 5, 8, 26 and 28) roviding a potentially useful reflection reflection reflection reflection reflection reflection by solid black circle in the figure

#### 5.2.2.2 Results

SNR values obtained overflying several areas are shown in Ftigter and Figure 59. The solid line indicates the flight track, while dotted lines are the corresponding tracks of pecular points available from different satellites. During the overal flight, reflections from signals transmitted blyRN 5, 8, and 26 were continuously available an porovided useful reflected signatures.



Figure 5-8 SNR values (in dB) measured from three different availatiectionsoverflying Lake Viverone.

In particular, reflections occurred over the lask afface and used to calibrate the system are depicted. Figure 5-8. The four images show the SNR time series values (in dB) measured for each of the full reflected signals in view. An abrupt SNR change in proximity to the transition etween ground and wates rnoted. In fact, during the Lake Viverone overflight able (18 20 dB) and very similar SNR values were observed from the three availability mals, in contrast to occasions when specular reflection points were over land.

An example of the SNR time series ver rice fields is shown in Figure 5-9, co-located again on the Googheap Figures 5-9 (a) to (e) are representative of overflight of five adjacent rice fields. For allhese figures, quantitative values of SNR (dB)/flight height (m) are provided in the legent ds interesting to note the high sensitivity of the receive o different wet conditions: dynamic of 15 20 dB is recorded. Note also the high coherency between signals acquire two specular point tracks to the north). The change in position as placement of the specular

reflection points for signals coming from the three different ellites shown in Figure 5-9 (d) is due  $\phi$  the variation in flight height from about 800 to 1200 m.

(c)

(a)

(d)

(b)

(e)

Figure 5-9 Processed SNR from specular tracks overflying rice fields. The unbroken line is the projected flight path (colours provide information on flight altitude).

Figure 5-10 SNR time series superimposed on image taken by the docated video camera. Yellow inset corresponds to ground portions shown in Figure (b) and (c)

The evidence for different soil moisture levels is providedFigure5-10. The upper and/ower images showtwo adjacent images taken by the video camera co-located to theLHCP GPS antenna. Here, SNR time series arteocated and superimposed on the nage. Ground portions completely filled by water can easily be recognized in the image gun glitter is evident)Reflections over these parcels (darker in the image) are characterized higher SNR values in contrast to reflections occurring over dry parcels (brighteenckground in the image). The black dotted line denotes the flight route. The gropodion within the yellow inset is that shown in figure 5-9 (b) and (c).

On account of the calibration procedure applied to the collected daitaitiah attempt to estimate relative ielectric constantwas made through the retrieval methodillustrated in Section 5.2 for LHCP reflected signals lote that the goal of this measurement initiative was to investigate the sensitivity his ftechnique to water changes on the ground. The flight was performed over stark acces and rice fields almost completely covered by water. For this reason, the effects of soil roughness on received power were ignor Add also the retrieved dielectric constants were solved from equation f Eq. 517, Eq. 518 and Eq. 520 as areal value without separating the real and imaginary parts.

Results related to the ground portion/winit Figure5-10 (yellow inset) and to the wider overflown area identified n Figure 5-9 (a-e) are shown respectivelyn i Figures5-11 and Figure 5-12 (a-e).

Figure 5-11 Estimated relative dielectric constant of the ground parcels shrokinguire 510 (yellow inset).

In this case, colors are associated with both the dielectric constant a(heft figures in the legend) and flight height (right and figures). The numers obtained after inversion appear to too small. Since we were not sure of the water saturation level of the rice fields (ever brough sun glitter evidenced the presence of water), dielectric constants less than 80 apper betoe asonable. Variations between dielectric constant values and field flooding status was obtained.

All the SNR results show to be quite sensitive to the water content of the terrain and can readily be used **de**tect and monitor areas where water is present or not. Retrieved dielectric constant values are coherent with the surface moisture condition (flooded or not) and due to the lack o**situ** soil moisture measurements, only the trend of dielectric constant hange was verified to match the real time surface moisture and its accuracy **hase** n tested.

(b)

(d)

(e)

(a)

(c)

Figure 5-12 Estimated relative dielectric constant of rice fields correspond Figure 59

#### 5.2.3 Static measurements

#### 5.2.3.1 Description

As described inChapter 3 a more compact solution of the receiver previously described capable to be installed boorard UAVs was designed and developpend it to be the Hackberry board based receiver what follows, preliminary results of two test campaigns carried out from static positions will be described. In both cases, the receiver was placed at about 3 m from a sandy terTaire.measurement geomyet

was depicted in Figure 513

#### Figure 5-13 Static measurement geometry

These experiments were planned in order to verify the sensitivity of the receiver (in terms of estimated SNRs level) to different **so**tibisture levels relative dielectric constant values were also retrieved using the same method (shown in Section 5.2.1) as applied by the flight measurem**Sta**rting from a given condition (dry soil in the first experiment, wet soil in the second), the terrain was moistened. In these experiments, surf**a**/celectric constant/was also estimated with the assumption to neglect soil rough, constant/ace under investigate the two experiments were performed during the 2013 summer (a] 11st July and b] 16th July). The measurement setups/movinin Figure5-14.

Figure 5-14 Experiment setup in static positions using the Hackberry board based receiver

#### 5.2.3.2 Static measurement a]

During the a] experiment, three consecutive 40 s data acquisitions were performed. In the first time slot the terrainassvalmost dry, in the second time slot we started to moist it and in the thindret to scaking wet. Figure5-15 shows the relative positions of specular reflection pointesr each GPS satellite in viewand wet soil area (in blue region)

In Figure 515, the black circle is the LHCP receiving antenna footprint projected onto the ground Greencircles are the First Fresnel zone areas related to the first position of each specular reflection point. The wet area was between the points related to signals comingo fn GPSPRN24 (black dots) an PRN15 (green dots). The variation of the position of the specular points is shown with a sample time of 5 min, (the bold dot corresponds to the first specular point).

(a)

(b)

(C)

Figure 5-15 Relative positions of specular points and wet soil area for the a] experiment. (a) First time slot: dry soil, (b) second time slot: wet soil, (c) third time slot: soaking wet soil.

Figure 5-16 SNR time series of a] experiment, red line indicates signal from PRN 15 and green for PRN 24

Figure 5-16 shows the SNRs time series. Data find time intervast in which the soil conditions were changed are not over not be soil condition, SNRs were

low for both signals, while they were increasing coheyentith the terrain moistening. A good sensitivity of the receiver was reached, as it can be obtained from the data showin Table5-2. The statistics showed good complementarities of figures (in terms of mean values plus corresponding standard deviation) related to the three soil conditions. Changing from 'wet' to 'soaking wet' conditions, the area of interest was increased a lot. In the *f* wet• case, the First Fresnel Zone related to the PRN 24 reflection was entering into the wet area (a mixture of dry/wet conditions was present). In the *f* soaking wet• case, a bigger wet an explain the higherSNRs change estimated for the RN 24, in comparison the one characterizing the RN 15.

		DRY	WET	SOAKING WET
PRN15	median	-7.07	5.10	7.72
	mean	-6.87	4.91	8.05
	std	0.86	1.48	0.88
PRN24	median	-7.05	1.71	8.92
	mean	-7.06	1.72	8.82
	Std	0.53	1.50	1.08

Table 52 Statistics of observed SNR value (data are in dB) for the a] experiment

The retrieved dielectric constant from averaged SNR in each time slot is presentedri Figure 5-17.

Note here that in Figure 517, the obtained dielectric constant was retrieved directly from calculated SNR without the calibration as done in the flight measurement. Therefore, possible wrongly evaluated system parameters such as the receiver noise will influence the final accuracy of the **ressulf** lowever, as the aim of the static measurement is to verify the receiver sensitivity in terms of SNR, the retrieved dielectric constant is mainly used to check the dielectric constant variation caused by SNR sensitivity to different soil moisture, **astrol daue** to the reason that it was not well controlled and thesite measurement of soil moisture was missing, the results are shown as a reference and supplement. Anyway, the

- 72 -

changing trend of dielectric constant shokkyn Figure 517 is seen tobe matched with the SNR behavior show **n** Figure 516, except for the third time slot, where the dielectric constant difference between PRN 15 and 24 is much larger that the SNR difference It is because that the relationship between dielectric constant and signalpower expressed by Eq.-20 for the retrieval from LHCP reflected signals is not linear, which leads to an amplification of dielectric constant difference in higher SNR value region.

Figure 5-17 Retrieved dielectric constant from averaged SNR in each time slot

#### 5.2.3.3 Static measurement b]

The b] experiment was very similar to the first one. But, is dase, the data acquisition was started with wet soil condition. In the second time slot, the wet area was increased moistening close to the boundary, and finally, in the third time slot, water was added into the overall ar Figure 5-18 shows the estimated specular point positions (in this cassignals coming from the RN25, reddots and PRN29, yellow dots, were analyzed).

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure5-18 Relative positions of specular points and wet soil area for the b] experiment. (a) First time slot (b) second time slot (c) third time slot.

The corresponding SNRs time series areorected in Figure5-19.In the first time slot, reflection points of satellitePRN 25 were on the boundary of the wet area, while the Fresnel zones of the satellifeRN 29 was falling in the completely wet area (see Figure 518). During the second time slot, the wet areas increased mostly towardPRN25 reflection points maintaining the previous moisture level all over the other portion of the wet area (see SNR values associated ReN 29 signal reflections were not significantly varied). This explains the strong increase of SNRs of more than 10 dB, a similar result was obtained induithe a] experiment for the PRN 24 case. Finally, during the third time slot, more water was spilled in the overall area. In this case, an increase of SNR was ficturate RN29 signal only. The statistics figures provided in Table 5-3 demonstrate again that both the reflected signal red the receiver seem to be enough sensitive to variations in the soil moisture.

- 74 -

Figure 5-19 SNR time series of b] experiment, red line indicates signal from PRN 25 and black for PRN 29

		DRY	WET	SOAKING WET
SV25	median	2.05	15.19	12.72
	mean	1.89	15.13	12.75
	std	1.10	0.27	0.76
SV29	median	8.12	8.57	12.21
	mean	8.26	8.76	12.14
	std	1.56	0.65	0.58

Table 53 Statisticsof observed SNR alue (data are in dB) for the experiment

Similar with the a] experiment, dielectric constant was also retrieved and shown in Figure 520. Similar comments can be made Figure 520 as one b Figure 5-17, dielectric constant generally reflects the change of SNR (for the same incident angle), built is examined to be less sensitive to SNR change when SNR is lower and vice verse.

Figure 5-20 Retrieved dielectric constant from averaged SNR in each time slot

# 5.3 Dielectric constant retrieval throughross polarization ratio

One of the first studies about using cross polarization ratio for soil moisture remote sensing was carried out [Zavorotny, 200(a)], showing that the ratio of two orthogonal polarizationsh(/vv or lh/rh) does not depend on sacte roughness and is sensitive to the soil moisture content.

A recent research donley [Egido, 2012] be applied both receptions of reflected LHCP and RHCP by a piece of agriculture field was divided into two independently cultivated fields and he reflectivity of LHCP and RHCP from each field was averaged and recorded everyday during an entire crop growing season. As the two fields were worked and plowed in different ways for different crops, the roughness of these two fields was different **glure** rtain periods The reflectivity for single LHCP or RHCP was examined to be dependent on soil roughness and sensitive to roughness changes, but the ratio of LHCP over RHCP reflectivity showed to be independent of surface roughness and sensitive ilwith so moisture changes.

Therefore, the study of cross polarization ratio draws our interest and it could utilize the same system structure as described in the previous static measurements, except that an additional RHCP antenna plus one receiver is needed fiving both reflected LHCP and RHCP signals simultaneously. The system configuration is shown in Figure -221.

Figure 5-21 System setup for cross polarization of reflected LHCP and RHCP measurement

As shown inFigure 521, LHCP and RHCP antenna are fixed close to each other and pointing to the groundEach antenna is connected with a SiGe frontend which is further connected to one PTChe data collection is done concurrently for LHCP and RHCP. Eachiece of data (LHCP or RHCP) is post processed by the approach explained in Chapter 4 in order to get SNR time seTibe. remaining work is left to the derived dielectric constant retrieval algorithms shown in the following sections. However, due to the puredictable problem of these two antennas, no available data were obtained by now, but the simulation results of retrieval algorithms are given in Section 5.3.4

#### 5.3.1 Power and bistatic radar crossection

Radar cross section, as a scalar number, is a fundtitime polarization of the incident and received wave. A more complete description of the interaction of the incident wave and the target is given by the polarization scattering matrix (PSM),

which relates the scattered electric field vector to the incident field vector  $E^i$ . As E can be decomposed into two independent directions or polarizations, the polarization scattering matrix is a 2 x 2 complex matrix for the matrix for the polarization scattering matrix is a 2 x 2 complex matrix.

where  $E^s$  and  $E^i$  are the scattered and incident fields, each with independent vector components $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . The components of are related to the square root of cross section [Knott, 2006]:

$$S_{ij} \tilde{O} = \sqrt{\frac{S_{ij}}{4p R_2^2}}$$
 (5-23)

where  $R_2$  is the distance between the target (scattering point) and the receiver as a geneal definition in bistatic radar equationschuas in Eq. 25, Eq. 26, and the scattering coefficient S is therefore a measurement of scattered field at the receiver with respect to its incident field right before scattering taking.  $\sqrt{s}$  is recognized as a complex number that can be described through a certain amplitude and a certain phase. In circular polarization, the electric field vector rotates in the plane perpendicular to propagation must be defined in terms of horizontal and vertical polarizations, where circular polarization circulation view is from an observer located at the transmitter [Knott, 2004]:

where the superscriptlenotes, transmitted. The inverse ransform for transmitted linear in terms of transmitted circular is obtained by taking the matrix sever Eq. 5-24:

Received polarization can also be defined in a similar way, exbaptthe LHCP and RHCP definitions change because the viewer is now looking in the direction of propagation, which is from the target toward the receiver and the radar system has defined LHCP and RHCP as looking away. Therefore, the similar expression as Eq5-5 and Eq. 56 in matrix is:

The circular polarization PSM contains no mionfearmation that the linear PSM. If one has computed or measured a linear PSM, the corresponding circular PSM can be obtained by image Eq. 524, Eq. 525 and Eq. 526 to get:

Eq. 527 canbe expandeinto:

The crosspolarized scattering coefficient  $_{qp}$  is zeroby neglecting the multiple scattering and by considering only the first order of bistatic scattering coefficients This assumption holds for the 3 models of KGO, KPO and SPM, for scattering in the specular direction (see Section 5.3.3 for detailed expressions) [Ulaby, 1982], [Ticconi, 2011]. These chodels have been introduced in Chapter 2, and are used to derive the retrieval algorithms for different surface roughness conditions. Under this assumption and simplification, the condition,  $\delta s_{vh} = can be obtained, and by considering Eq5-23, Eq. 528 can be simplifieds:$ 

Taking the two elements of and rr which are of our interest, and coming Eq. 5-23, the equations of radar cross section of circular polarization expressed by HP and VP radar cross sections are:

$$\sqrt{s_{\text{lr}}} \tilde{O} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{s_{\text{hh}}} \tilde{Q} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_{\text{vv}}}} \right)$$
(5-30)

$$\sqrt{s_{rr}} \tilde{O} = \frac{1}{2} (\sqrt{s_{hh}} \tilde{Q} s_{vv})$$
(5-31)

The remaining problem is to relate the power density and rr which are our key outputs from posptrocessing to the radar cross section baho and vv, for the reason that these two radar cross sections have been extensively studied and modeled by several different scattering models such as KGO, KPO and SPM as mentioned in Chapter 2. Apping Eq. 522, for the LHCP and RHCP scattering the PSM is expressed:

$$\partial \mathbf{E}_{i}^{s} \partial \mathbf{\hat{\omega}} \mathbf{\hat{S}}_{i} \quad \mathbf{\hat{S}}_{i} \quad \partial \mathbf{E}_{i} \partial \mathbf{\hat{O}}_{i}$$
  
 $\partial \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{s} \quad \partial \mathbf{\hat{\Theta}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{i} \quad \mathbf{\hat{O}} \quad (5-32)$   
 $\partial \mathbf{\hat{e}}_{i} \quad \partial \mathbf{\hat{\omega}} \mathbf{\hat{e}}_{i} \quad \mathbf{\hat{S}}_{i} \quad \partial \mathbf{\hat{U}} \partial \mathbf{\hat{O}}_{i}$ 

In our specific GNSSR case, incident LHCP electric field doetsexist since the GPS satellites only transmit RHCP signals, which is:

$$E_1^i \tilde{\partial} = 0$$
 (5-33)

Therefore, the scattered fieldsloandrr are given by:

$$\mathsf{E}^{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathrm{lr}} \,\, \check{\mathsf{O}} = \mathsf{S}_{\mathrm{r}} \, \mathsf{E}^{\mathrm{i}}_{\mathrm{r}} \tag{5-34}$$

Recalling Eq. 5-11, which expressed the relationship of power density and electric field, and taking into account Eq.36 and Eq. 535, scattered Ir and rr power density has these expires:

$$\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{lr}}^{\mathsf{s}}} \,\,\tilde{\mathsf{O}} = \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{r}} \, \left| \sqrt{\mathsf{P}} \right| \tag{5-36}$$

$$\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{rr}}^{\mathsf{s}}} \,\,\check{\mathsf{O}} \not= \mathsf{S}_{\mathsf{r}} \, \left| \sqrt{\mathsf{P}} \right| \tag{5-37}$$

Note here that the scattered power is the power density at the receiver point but before being received, incident power is the power density at the scattering point. Therefore, power after being received by the receiver is:

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{lr}}^{\mathsf{r}} \,\,\check{\mathsf{O}} = \frac{\mathsf{I}^{2}\mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{r}}}{4\mathsf{p}} \,\,\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{lr}}^{\mathsf{s}} \tag{5-38}$$

$$P_{rr}^{r} \stackrel{\circ}{=} \frac{1^{2}G^{r}}{4p} P_{rr}^{s}$$
(5-39)

where I is the wavelength and is the receiver gainThe incident power is related to the transmitted power by:

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{r}}^{\mathsf{i}} \,\check{\mathsf{O}} = \frac{\mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{t}}}{4\mathsf{p}\,\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{l}}^2}\,\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{r}}^{\mathsf{i}} \tag{5-40}$$

where  $G^t$  is the transmitter gain an  $\mathfrak{R}_1$  is the distance between transmitter and scattering point. Connecting these equations **show**Eq. 536 to Eq. 540, the received r and r power with respect to transmitted power can be expressed by:

$$P_{lr}^{r} \tilde{O} = \frac{G^{t}G^{r}I^{2} \$_{lr}}{(4p)^{3}R_{l}^{2}R_{2}^{2}} P_{r}^{t}$$
(5-41)

$$P_{rr}^{r} \tilde{O} = \frac{G^{t}G^{r}I^{2} \$_{rr}^{r}}{(4p)^{3}R_{1}^{2}R_{2}^{2}} P_{r}^{t}$$
(5-42)

These two equations have similar form as the general bistatic radar equation shown by Eq. 24, except that the bistatic radar cross sections a complex number here and has to breade modulus. This similarity has proven the correctness of retrieval process up to this point. Now that the relationships of circular polarization radar cross section and radar cross section and f h are given in Eq. 530 and Eq. 531, thesquare root of the ratioebween  $P_{lr}^{r}$  and  $P_{rr}^{r}$ are made and expressed as:

$$\frac{\sqrt{P_{lr}^{r}}}{\sqrt{P_{rr}^{r}}} \overset{\delta}{\to} \frac{\sqrt{P_{lr}^{s}}}{\sqrt{P_{rr}^{s}}} \overset{\delta}{\to} \frac{|S|}{|S_{rr}|} \frac{|\sqrt{S_{lr}}|}{|\sqrt{S_{rr}}|} \frac{|\sqrt{S_{hh}}}{|\sqrt{S_{rr}}|} \frac{\delta}{|\sqrt{S_{hh}}} \overset{\delta}{\to} \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{S_{vv}}}$$
(5-43)

Now, the link between the crosspanization power ratio and radar cross section of hh and vv has been established  $P_{\pi}^{r}$  and  $P_{\pi}^{r}$  are the power measurements that can be achieved from signal processing, and s<sub>vv</sub> are radar cross sections of hh and vv which depend on dielectric constant, incident and scattered angles, surface roughness parameters in terms of statistical properties of surface height, and are given in different ways for different models and surface roughness conditions. However, general/I the surface roughse parameters are polarization independent and are unique for and vv polarization state[Zavorotny, 200@a)], [Ulaby 1982]. The ratio shown in Eq. 543 can eliminate the surface roughness effect and leave only the effect of dielect constant and scattering geometry, which makes this equation much easier to be solved and makes the cross polarization measurement roughness independent.

Another noteto Eq. 543 is that P<sup>s</sup> can represent the power scattered by a signal scatterer know as a facet and the corresponding therefore the radar cross section of this scatterer. Off can be defined as the total scattered power and in this cases is seen as an average value over the eilltime inated area- the glistening zone. Thus, ext convenient to introduce the concept of the normalized radar cross section, which is defined as:

$$s_{qp}^{\circ} \tilde{\delta} \neq \left\langle \frac{s_{qp(i)}}{\tilde{\delta} \mathbf{P}} \right\rangle$$
 (5-44)

where  $s_{qp}^{\circ}$  is the normalized or averaged radar cross section, is the radar scattering cross section of times scatterer, and  $\delta \mathbf{p}$  is the small scattering area associated with the reflecting facets of the intervent scatterer. The symbol  $\langle \rangle$  represents the averaging procession can be given in the form of the product of normalized radar cross section and the illuminated area:

$$s_{qp} \tilde{O} s_{qp} \tilde{O} A$$
 (5-45)

where A is the total illuminated area, ianotherword, the glistening zone in GNSSR geometry. Taking Eq. 545 into Eq. 543, we obtained this relation:

$$\frac{\sqrt{P_{lr}^{r}}}{\sqrt{P_{rr}^{r}}} \tilde{O} \frac{\sqrt{s_{hh}^{\circ}} \tilde{O} \sqrt{s_{w}^{\circ}}}{\sqrt{s_{hh}^{\circ}} \tilde{O} \sqrt{s_{w}^{\circ}}}$$
(5-46)

Up to here, the power ratio of cross polarizations linked to the normalized radar cross sections doffn and vv. The evaluation of s° is difficult since the scattering geometry changes by means of incident and scattering angles with different scatterers. However, the special case  $s_{qp(spec)}^{\circ}$  in the specular direction can be used to used to use the normalized  $s_{qp}^{\circ}$  in Eq. 546 as agood approximation,

due to the reason that the specular point locates almost in the geometry center of the glistening zone. And  $g_{qp(spet}^{\circ}$  should be proportional to  $g_{qp}^{\circ}$ , the ratio operation made by Eq. 546 will cancel out the difference between  $s_{qp(spet}^{\circ}$  and  $s_{qp}^{\circ}$  and remain unchanged by substituting with  $s_{qp(spet}^{\circ}$ . In this case, the scattering geometry shown by Figure 25 turns out to be:  $q_i \delta = q_s$  and  $j_i \delta = s_s \delta e$ . This assumption extremely simplifies the retrieval process for different scattering models which are shown in the following sections.

#### 5.3.2 Retrieval process for specular reflection

Section 5.3.1 only deals with incoherent components caused by diffuse scattering, and the retrieval results have shown to be roughness independent. However, this cross polarization power ratio method can also be used to specular reflection case, even witthe attenuation factor due to slight surface roughness, without the need to neglect the term  $\exp \left( \frac{\delta e}{\delta e} \delta \left( \frac{1}{\delta e} \cos \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right)$ , as what has been done in the retrieval process of a single LHCP shown in Section 5.2.1aStumption of a perfectly smooth surfacesed in the retrieval in Section 5.2.1 is limited, since most of the time the surface height distribution doessatisfy the Rayleigh criterion as shown in Eq.-20, and t would cause errors for the retrieval of dielectric constant for a noperfectlysmooth.surface.

Similar with Eq. 519, the received power of rpolarization  $P_{rr}$  can be obtained:

$$\sqrt{P_{rr}^{r}} \tilde{\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{P^{t}G^{t}}{4p (R_{1} \tilde{\delta} + R_{2})^{2}}} \frac{G^{t}I^{2}}{4p} \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\delta} \tilde{e} \tilde{\delta} \tilde{e} \tilde{s} cos}{\tilde{e} \tilde{e} \tilde{c} \tilde{c}}} \frac{\tilde{\delta} \tilde{e} \tilde{u}}{\tilde{\delta} \tilde{e} \tilde{d} \tilde{u}} \tilde{d} G^{\dagger} \tilde{d} (5-47)$$

The term  $\exp \frac{\partial e}{\partial \phi} \exp \left( \log \frac{1}{0} \right)$  is identical with that in Eq. 5-19, since its defined by Eq.2-8 and is the same for both h and vv polarization states By making a ratio between Eq.19 and Eq5-47, the cross polarization power ratio is directly linked to h and vv Fresnel reflection coefficients:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{r}}}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{r}}}} \check{\mathfrak{d}} \overset{\tilde{\mathsf{q}}}{=} \check{\mathfrak{d}} \check{\mathfrak{p}} \overset{\tilde{\mathsf{q}}}{=} \check{\mathfrak{p}} + \overset{\tilde{\mathsf{q}}}{=} \check{\mathfrak{d}} \check{\mathfrak{p}} \overset{\tilde{\mathsf{q}}}{=} \check{\mathfrak{p}} + \overset{\tilde{\mathsf{q}}}{=} \check{\mathfrak{d}} \check{\mathfrak{p}} \overset{\tilde{\mathsf{q}}}{=} \check{\mathfrak{p}} + \overset{\tilde{\mathsf{q}}}{=} \check{\mathfrak{q}} {\tilde{\mathsf{q}}} \overset{\tilde{\mathsf{q}}}{=} \check{\mathfrak{q}} {\tilde{\mathsf{q}}} {\tilde{\mathsf$$

where oc is the Fresnel reflection coefficient giveny Eq. 517 and Eq. 518. Similar to the solving of Eq.-50, dielectric constant can be solved through Eq. 5-48 as areal number. In order to eparate the real and imaginary part of, the [Hallikainen, 1985] model can be applied, and togethietr Eq. 54a, 54b and Eq. 5-21 the complex e, can be obtained for a certain known type of soil.

#### 5.3.3 Retrieval process for diffuse scattering

In this section, three most used scattering models are studied and their expressions of radar cross sections are especially focused **Thery**. are the Kirchhoff Approximation in stationary hase approximation (Kirchhoff Geometrical Optics, KGO), Kirchhoff Approximan in Physical Optics Approximation (KPO) and Small Perturbation Method (SPTM) ese three models are representative since they cover different surface roughness conditions in a big range.

#### 5.3.3.1 KGO

The validity limits of KGO model used for Gaussian surfaceksi ð2, where k is the wave number and is the standard deviation of surface height, as has been defined in Section 2.3.1. Therefore, KGO is generally used for moderate to rough surfacesThe general reflected bistatic radar cross section for HP or VP is defined by [Ulaby, 1982]:

$$s_{qp}^{\circ} \tilde{\partial} = \frac{\tilde{\partial}(q|U_{qp}|\tilde{\partial})}{2q_{z}^{4}s^{2}|r \tilde{\partial}(\omega)|c} e^{\tilde{\partial}} e^{\tilde{\partial}} - \frac{q_{x}^{2}\tilde{\partial} - q_{y}^{2}}{\tilde{\partial}\hat{e}^{2} - q_{y}^{2}}$$
(5-49)

where the only polarization sensitive parameter Uis, the other parameters are roughness parameters which can be canceled aftegt Ekgi. 549 into Eq. 546:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{lr}}^{\mathsf{r}}}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{rr}}^{\mathsf{r}}}}\,\check{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\frac{\left\|\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{hh}}\right|\check{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\frac{1}{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{vl}}}{\left\|\mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{hh}}\right|\check{\boldsymbol{\delta}}\frac{1}{\mathsf{V}}_{\mathsf{vl}}}\right| \tag{5-50}$$

Using the equations given in [Ulaby, 1982] for  $U_{qp}$  and setting the geometry parameters  $q_i \delta = q_s$  and  $j_i \tilde{q} = s \delta t$  (see Figure 25), the  $U_{qp}$  for each polarization state is obtained:

$$|U_{hh}| \, \delta \neq 2 \cos q * \, \delta \Phi \qquad (5-51)$$

$$|U_{vv}| \, \eth \neq 2 \cos q * \, \eth (5-52)$$

$$\left| \mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{hv}} \right| \, \check{\mathsf{O}} = \mathsf{U}_{\mathsf{vh}} \, \check{\mathsf{O}} = \tag{5-53}$$

where  $\delta c$  is the Fresnel reflection coefficient with the same form aergin Eq. 5-17 and Eq. 518. Cross polarization terms<sub>hv</sub> and U<sub>vh</sub> are 0, which indicate that  $s_{hv}^{\circ}$  and  $s_{vh}^{\circ}$  are 0 for KGO model in the specular direction. Using Eq.15 and Eq. 552 to Eq. 550:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{lr}}^{\,\mathsf{r}}}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{rr}}^{\,\mathsf{r}}}}\,\check{\mathbf{\delta}}\frac{\|\check{\mathbf{\delta}}\mathbf{G}\|}{\|\check{\mathbf{\delta}}\mathbf{G}\|}\,\check{\mathbf{\beta}}_{-\sqrt{\mathbf{0}}}\,\check{\mathbf{0}}\,$$
(5-54)

The similar result is gotten with the specular reflection condition wellow Eq. 5-48. The only difference between Eq.594 and Eq. 548 is that in Eq. 548 the final ratio doesn•thave a modulus operation. However, these two equations are equal since  $|\delta_{G}|$  is always greater than  $\delta_{G}$  for different grazing angles and different e, as shown by the imulation Figure 522.

Figure 5-22 |ðG| and |ðG| in function of incident angle and dielectric constant

As shownin Figure 522,  $|\delta_{G}|$  always precedes  $\delta_{G}$  for any incident angle and any dielectric contrant value. The dielectric constants, used in the figure are real numbers for the simplification of the simulation ranging from 3 to 29 with interval of 2, and it can be seen as **the** dulus of the complexe, where the real part plays the dominant role nother point that should be paid attention to is for each  $e_r$ , theve polarization turns to be 0 at a certain incident angle, which is the so called Brewster Angle. Lower than this  $aeg[\delta G]$  tends to decrease while G always grows with the increase of incident angle.

#### 5.3.3.2 KPO

The validity limits of KPO model used for Gaussian surfaceksisot₄ and motel.25 (the rms of slope, see the definition in Section 2.3.1). This KPO model is therefore used for less rough surfaces incoherent part of bistatic radar cross section is [Ticconi, 2011]:

$$s_{qp}^{o inc} \tilde{\mathbf{\partial}} = \hat{\mathbf{\partial}} \left[ \mathbf{a}_{0} \right] | \mathbf{k} | / 2 \hat{\mathbf{\partial}} e^{\delta q_{z}^{2} s^{2}} \hat{\mathbf{\partial}} = \hat{\mathbf{\partial}}_{n\delta \pm}^{\delta \Psi} \hat{\mathbf{\partial}} \left( \frac{1}{2} s^{2} \hat{\mathbf{\partial}} \right) e^{\delta \frac{\delta}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} s^{2} \hat{\mathbf{\partial}} \right)^{2}} \frac{\delta}{2} e^{\delta q_{z}^{2} s^{2}} \hat{\mathbf{\partial}} \right]$$
(5-55)

The polarization dependent coefficients can be found [Ulaby, 1982]. The expressions of the coefficient, are reported below for the specular direction which are the key parametier Eq. 5-55.

For hh polarization:

$$a_0 \tilde{\mathbf{\partial}} = \tilde{\mathbf{\partial}} - \mathbf{\hat{D}} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}_1 \cos \mathbf{q}_1 \tag{5-56}$$

For vv polarization:

For vh and hv polarization:

where  $q_i$  is the incident angle  $\delta c$  is the Fresnel reflection coefficient given in Eq. 5-17 and Eq. 518. The coefficient  $a_0$  is 0 for cross polarization dfiv and vh, which again shows thas  $\delta_{hv}^{\circ}$  and  $\delta_{vh}^{\circ}$  are 0 for KPO modelin the specular direction. Taking Eq. 55, Eq. 556 and Eq. 557 into Eq. 546:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{lr}}^{\;\mathsf{r}}}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{rr}}^{\;\mathsf{r}}}}\check{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{||}\check{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{\mathsf{G}}||\check{\boldsymbol{P}}_{\mathsf{t}},\check{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}|$$
(5-59)

The same result comes out a keeg by Eq. 554.

#### 5.3.3.3 SPM

The SPM is applied with a surface height standard deviation much less than the incident wavelength (5 percent or less) and an average surface slope comparable to or less than the surface standard deviation times the wave number. For a surface with Gaussian correlation function, such two conditions can be expressed analytically as [Ticconi, 2011] ks  $\delta 0.3$  and  $\sqrt{2s}$  /I  $\delta 0.3$ . Therefore, the SPM is used for slightly rough surface to bistatic radar cross section is already ginen Eq. 215, 216, 217 and 218. The only parameter that depends on polarization is  $a_{qp}$  and the other surface roughness parameters are canceled takingy Eq. 2-15, 2-16, 2-17 and 218 into Eq. 546:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{lr}}^{\,\mathsf{r}}}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{rr}}^{\,\mathsf{r}}}}\,\check{\mathbf{\delta}}\frac{\|\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{hh}}\|\check{\mathbf{\delta}}\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{w}}\|}{\|\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{hh}}\|\check{\mathbf{\delta}}\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{w}}\|} \tag{5-60}$$

where  $a_{qp}$  for different polarization state can be calculated in specular direction considering the geometry parameter  $\mathfrak{s} =_{\mathfrak{s}} \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{s}}$  and  $j_{\mathfrak{s}} \mathfrak{g} =_{\mathfrak{s}} \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{s}}$  through expressions provided by[Ulaby, 1982]:

$$a_{hh} \tilde{0} = \frac{\tilde{0}(e_{r} \ \tilde{0}H)}{\tilde{0}(\cos q \ \tilde{0} + \sqrt{e_{r}} \ \tilde{0} \sin r^{2} q \ \tilde{0})}$$
(5-61)  
$$a_{vv} \tilde{0} = \frac{(e_{r} \ \tilde{0} + 1)\tilde{0}(e_{r} \ \tilde{0} \sin r^{2} q \ \tilde{0} e \sin r^{2} q \ \tilde{0})}{\tilde{0}(e_{r} \ \cos q \ \tilde{0} + \sqrt{e_{r}} \ \tilde{0} \sin r^{2} q \ \tilde{0})}$$
(5-62)

Combining Eq. 5-63 and Eq. 215, we haves <sup>o</sup><sub>hv</sub> ðs <sup>o</sup><sub>vh</sub> ðe for SPM in specular direction. Dielectric constant can be solved by putting Eq1 5and Eq. 562 into Eq. 5-60.

#### 5.3.4 Conclusions on cross polarization ratio retrieval

In Section 5.3, the retrieval of dielectric constant based on the power ratio of cross polaization is established for both specular reflection case and diffuse scattering case. Final expressions for these two cases are similar in the form of:

$$\frac{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{lr}}^{\,\mathsf{r}}}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{rr}}^{\,\mathsf{r}}}}\,\check{\mathsf{d}}=\mathsf{f}\left(\mathsf{q},\mathsf{e}_{\mathsf{r}}\right) \tag{5-63}$$

where f represents a function that is only potendent on incident angle and dielectric constante, the roughness effect is totally iminated no matter what the form it is. The function f has the same expression for spectrum lection, KGO model and KPO model given area. 548, Eq. 554 and Eq. 559, whilst f is expressed differently for SPM.

In the retrieval, two most important approximations are used.first is to use  $s_{qp(spet)}^{\circ}$  to substitute  $s_{qp}^{\circ}$  in Eq. 546, which makes the whole retrieval simplified. Based on concerning only the scattering geometry in the specular direction, the cross polarization components of bistatic radar cross sections for all reference th scattering models KGO, KPO and SPM are all calculated  $as_{pv} \otimes a_{vh} \otimes b_{r}$ , which has proven the other approximation during the simplification Eq. 528 to Eq. 5-29.

For the solving of the complex dielectric constant for the threesing models, the same approach can be applied as has been used to the specular reflection case: addressing the empirical dielectric constant of the given by Eq. 4 and Eq. 4 b described in Section 5.1 and choosing appropriately the soil composition parameters of S and C, the real and imaginary part of and also soil moisture  $m_v$  can all be solved. However, this is only one of the possible solutions, there are many widely used dielectric constant models and the only problem left is to choose a proper one that is fit for solving the equations.

Simulations of cross polarization powertio in function of soil moisture content for SPM and other models are made and shown in Figure. The dielectric constant model of soil moisture applied the empirical model by [Hallikainen, 1985], and totally five different soil types are considered listed in Table -1. For each soil type, 4 incident angles are simulated?, 130°, 45° and 60°, and both results from SPM model and other models are plotted in the same figure, since the expressions of the power ratio of cross polarization for spreceffection and KGO / KPO scattering models are identical except for the one of SPM.ownsh in Figure 523, for each soil type, the SPM results are overlapped with the results of the other models, which means that the retrieval algorithms applying SPM

- 88 -

model generate the same results with the other models and therefore, all the retrieval algorithms are uniformed no matter what the model is used and what the surface roughness is an important conclusion which enables us to retrieve dielectric constant using the cross polarization method without considering the surface roughness and which model that should be used the retrieval algorithms have lead to a uniquelustion as shown by Eq. 59.

For a given soil moisture, the cross polarization wer ratio increases with the decrease of incident angelt has to be noticed that, the description is correct only when the incident angle is smaller than the Brewster Angle, herenithienum Brewster Angle is about 60 With the same incident angle, icatof lr/rr is shown to be sensitive with the soil moisture content, it has a changing range of about 6 dB to 7 dB from a dry soil (5% moisture content) to a wet soil (30% moisture content). These results are coherent with the results give[izavorotny,2000(a)].

Finally, simulated results among different soil types •tidiffer much between each other except for (e)•stbecause that for the Silty Clay condition shown by (e), the sand percentage is much smaller than the other types of soil whilsatyits cl percentage is extremely high comparing with the othernsd these two compositions strongly affect the dielectric constant value. Therefore, for a non-high-precisionretrieval process, the only knowledge needed for the measured soil is whether itcontains more clay or more and. If the answer is clay, then apply the dielectric model for (e). For the other conditions, whatever the dielectric model is chosen among (a) to (d), the retrieval results tend to be similar without much difference. (a)

(b)

(c)

(d)

(e)

Figure 5-23 Sensitivities of power ratio df/rr to the soil moisture content for different types of soil: (a) Sandy Loam, (b) Loam, (c) Silt Loam, (d) Silt and (e) Silty Clay.

## Chapter 6 Buried object detection and results

### 6.1 Theoretical background and introduction

As has been illustrated in Chapter 1, GNSSechnique has been widely studied as a remote sensingethod for various applications, such as soil moisture retrieval, snow depth detection, ice topography and depth monitoring, vegetation coverage and so on. Anew application based on the possibility of detecting presence of an object on the terrain ousit under it, exploiting the penetration capabilities of electromagneticenergy within the soil, which are inversely proportional the carrier frequency, is analyzed in this hapter One current application is in the military field, in particular, to detect the presence of improvised explosive devices (IEDs) and pressure activated mines. Mines and IED are often hidden on the terrain or inside the vegetation are buried within the first few centimeters below the surface, since their devastating effected pend of coursen their insertion depth.

L band signals (GNSS carrier frequencies are withhiss band) are not impacted by atmospheric attenuation normally have a good penetration through vegetation [Wang, 1980]. At 1.5 GHz, the penetration deptharies from approximately 10 cm to 1 m for soil condition ranging m saturated to dry. In practice, the L band signean interact with the first 10 cm, depending on the soil moisture level and incidence direction yigku, 1982] [Njoku, 1996]. In particular, in the case of almost dry soil, the penetratile pth of active systems like GPS or a SAR was found tobe around 10 cmL[arson, 2010] or 7 cm [Nolan, 2003] respectively. The penetration depthn function of wavelength for different soil moisture content given by [Njoku, 1996] is shown in Figure 16 Figure6-1 Penetration depth in function of wavelength for different soil moisture content [Njoku, 1996].

In Figure 6-1, the wavelength of 20 cm which is the GPS L1 wavelength is marked with a vertical line intersected with the penetration depths curves for different soil moisture content according to Figure 61, for passive L band remote sensing, the penetration depthraries from 10 cm to 1 m depending whether the soil is wet or dry. These values are perbound values that can be used when the soil is homogeneous, as in the case the static measurements shown in Chapter 5 (dry or wet sand). With a noniform moisture profile, a *f* so thoisture sensing depth definition [Njoku, 1996] could be used and its approximation of one tenth of a wavelength in the medium would lead to less than 2 cm at 1.4 CH davever, the penetration depth is strongly influenced the soil density, soil moisture, and composition, and many models of soil can be considered and more realistic evaluation can be performed.

The dielectric properties of wet soil have been stu**d**igesteveral authors (e.g. [Wang, 1980,][Dobson, 198]). These propreties dependent water content and soil texture and on the carrier frequencefy the signal used for monitoring purposes. The

- 92 -

high dielectric constant of water significantly increases the real and imaginary parts of the soil's dielectriconstant as the ater volumetric concentration increases. The dependence on soil type (or *f* texture) is to dute different percentages of water bound to the surface the different particles characterizing the sBibund water particles exhibit less freely moleculatationat microwave frequencies and hence are characterized smaller dielectric effects than the free watethie pore spaces. This is most evident in clay soils, which greater particle surface areas and affinities for bindingwater molecules and ence are capable of holdiggeater percentages of bound water. The dependen detectric constant for a sandy soil on the signal carriefrequency is reported [Njoku, 1977] (see Figure 62). The real part is almostonstant below 5 GHz, while the naginary part isstrongly frequency dependent. As reported Nijqku, 1996, this frequency dependence can be taken into account considerining penetration depth which depends on the moisture volumetric concentration and on the wavelength. At the carrier frequency of the GPS signal (1,575.42 MHz) netration depths decrease from 1 m to 10 cm, from drysoil to 30% water concentration. The penetration deptsb depends on the elevation angle of three ident microwave Since the nadir incidence is the test case, in our experimentate antenna boresight was aligned very close to the nadidirection (approximately 5° off the nadir).

Figure6-2 Dielectric constant behavior (real and imaginary part) in **funct** frequency for different moisture contents [Njoku, 1977].
For the detection of mines that are hidden instapperficial layer of the ground (explosive devices are hidden the first few cm below the surface in order to make their devastating effects effective as possible), is penetration capability is enough. Generally, complicated expensive devices are used to detect explosive objects Perrin, 2004], [Frezza, 20]).7 most of them work very well, but they ed the human presence on the field move the detector.

In this research the capability of GNSSR signals to detective metallic objects is investigated through these of the Hackberry board based ceiver. This receiver is relatively light and can be mounted on box and motely controlled unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), us avoiding the human presence in the field second measurement campaign involved indetermination of object dimension applied the PC based receiver. Eitheoreiverwas connected to LAHCP antenna to collect signals reflected from the ground. Surface roughness was not taken into account and the reflected signal power was estimated considering coherent power. The open-loop approach was used of relativing SNR time series related the reflected GPS signades been explained in Chapter 4.

A couple of measurement campaigns we arried out with and without a metal object (a metal plat). The first measurement campaign describet this work was performed in static conditions and y terrain to check the functiality of the system and the sensitivity to the presence of the tal obstacle. In the second measurement campaigner antenna moved along a given path, mimickifligg hat.

## 6.2 Buried object detection measurements

In this section, the results of two expredints performeduring the 2013 summer season are discussed:

A. Piazza d'Armi, Turin, Italy, 16 July, 2013, antenna an static position, Hackberry board baserelceiver, sandy terrain

B. Montoro, Avellino, Italy, 22 August, 2013, movingentenna, P@ased receiver, grass terrain

Both the experiments were carried out considering tearget a circular metal disk (28cm diameter) object. The dimensions of this object are comparable to those of an improvised explosive device or a pressact vablemine.

Every measurement was carefully planned using the georeferencing program described in Chapter 4T.he antennaused wasthe commercial antennashown in Figure 314. It is an active L1/L2 RH/LH antenna (P4A261215) characterized by a HPBW of 114° (maximumgain 35 dB). In the static measurement, et antenna was fixed on a plast-invood structure in order to perform the measurements at a constant height (3 m) from the ground and in far fixed ditions.

### 6.2.1 Piazza eArmi experiment

This experiment was performed onder to evaluate the ensitivity of the system to the presence of a metal object ove or just under a dry or a completely wet sandy terrain (the metal plate was buried 5 to 10 cm understhreace). The Hackberry board based receiver used. Then tenna was mounted at 3 m height from the ground ontop of a wooden rod fixed to a static tripod. The anternearm axis was moved 5° away from the nadir position or ider to avoid interference with the tripod structure and with the receiver itself, which was fixed to the same wooden rod (see Figure 6-3).

Figure 6-3 Experiment A: Static measurement setup. Tripod and **woods** upport for the receiver and the antenna.

The experiment geometry as carefully deigned considering the prediction of the specular reflection point positions (see Figure6-4). The positions of each specular reflection point/vere plotted in the y plane mapwith a sampletime of 5 min for an overall experiment length 500 min, are show for each reflected signal (coming from different GPS satellites). Blue ellipses depict filtest Fresnel zone boundaries evaluated from geometor the first specular point of each series (identified by the bold colored specular reflection point). The plot show is tances in meters, considering the receiving antenn(pink dot) in the origin of the reference system.

Figure 6-4 Experiment A: Prediction of reflection points on-g plane

The Google Maps is showin Figure 6-5, while the estimated positions of the specular points are shown Figure 6-4. The sample time of each point in Figure 6-4 was 5 min, and 10 positions for each specular reflection point were plotted, based on predicted orbits.

Figure6-5 Experiment A: Prediction of reflection points on Google Maps

We started the simulation at 2:40 p.m., and we endepretent to after 50 min (10 different specular reflection points are therefore shown). The experiment started at 2:55 p.m. and lasted 20 min. This means that the prediet feet tion

point positions during the experimentate from the third to the eighth poi(the Fresnelzones should be shifted). Five continuouss440aw datatime series were taken, and the configuration of the targented of the surrounding terrain was changed (leaving the metal plate always in the same position with restore the antenna's boresight). In particular, the following terms slots were considered:

A1 - from 2:55 to 2:56 p.m. (local time), the metal**bitate** was placed on dry soil far away from the expect**6** ddst Fresnel zone.

A2 - from 3:00 to 3:01 p.m., the metallic plate wassnoved from the antenna footprint.

A3 - from 3:09 to 3:10 p.m., the metallic plate weaksied under the dry soil.

A4 - from 3:12 to 3:13 p.m., the metallic plate wpalaced on dry soil.

A5 - from 3:14 to 3:15 p.m., the metallic plate was ried under comptely wet soil.

#### Figure6-6 Experiment A: SNR time series for PRN 25

The five SNR time series coming from GPBRN 25 (the satellite interacting with the metablate, as shown in Figure 6-6) were connected and theoverall trace is shown in Figure 6-6. For each time series some statistical indicators are summarized in Table 6-1. The first two measurements (A1 and A2) were performed to verify the correct operation of the software received terms of data equisition. In the first one (A1) the metal plate was not inside the first Fresnel zoneit twats in the antenna footprint. Therefore, the State into account of the power scattered out from the specula ctic by the metal plate. During the second time (A2), the object was removed, but an

unexpected eventic curred in the receiver hardware around the 260 thple. In this case, a more realistic statistical figure tfour estimated SNR would be around "1 dB (also the stotigure shown in Table-1 is not representative). The presence of the metallic plate over dry soil (A4) or just buried der it (A5) produces a significant increase in the eceived power (from aroun'd1 dB without any object to 5 or 7 dB). This increase in the SNR should be produble define metallic object only, since the ground ithe (coherent) Fresnel zone (and in the noncoherent glistening-zone) did not change. In conclusion, in these of dry terrain, where the penetration deptablows more electromagnetic energy to reach the metal plate and to be reflected back towards the receiver, a geoditivity of the receiver was observed. In fact, a level  $df.1 \pm 1 dB$  was measured when the metal plate was buried under the sand, while the was a stronger 6.91±3 dB when it was simply placed above the sand.

Table 61 Statistical characterization of the SNR estimates of Experiment A

A noticeable increase of a further 5 dB was observet deincase of completely wet sand (A5). This higger contribution to the received power is probably due to the increase of the dielectric constant of the terrain due to the present over the increase of the dielectric constant of the terrain due to the present over the increase of the real part the dielectric constant due to the water content strongly impacts the detection capability of the receiver.

### 6.2.2 Montoro experiment

Since the received signal was proved to be sensitive under the dimension of the metal plate was investigated by setting up a moving experiment. A kind of manned aircraft System (UAS), flying 2.5 m over a fin strip of terrain, was simulated as showin Figure 6-7.

Figure6-7 Moving measurement setup for experiment B

Two plastic boxes forming the receiver support wjeineed together and fixed to two pulleys between tworees on the terrain. A cable allowed the receiver support to be moved along a rectilinear path. In this second experimetine, PC-based configuration was use the prediction of the reflection points for this experiments shown in Figure 6-8.

Figure 6-8 Experiment B: Prediction or felection points on y plane.

The metal plate was positioned 5 m away from starting point (1 m away from the ending point) on portion of ground on which a contribution to the reflection of the signal coming from PRN 24 was expected this case the effects due to vegetation canopy angulass coverage should be taken into account. The estimation of the quantitative impact is very difficult, being combination of incident angle, wavelength, biomass volunting stalks and leaves. In addition the theoretical approach described [buylaby, 1982] [Ulaby, 1985], a detaied analysis is presented in Ferrazzoli, 2010] [Egido, 2012. As a first approximation, an average reduict of the SNR of 2 d Blue to the effect of vegetation will be taken into account.

Three *f* flights• were performed:

B1 - from 8:50 to 8:51 a.m.without the 28cm-diametermetal plate

B2 - from 8:52 to 8:53 a.m., with the metal plate placedhe soil

B3 - from 8:54 to 8:55 a.m., with the metal plate buriet b

As expected, a strong *f* dynamic• on the SNR siences (approximately 8 dB in this case, from 6 dB to around 2 dB) was estimated when reflections occurred with or without the metallic plate, for the signal correspondin PRN 24 shown in Figure 69

Figure 6-9 Experiment B: Time series of SNR.

#### Chapter6 Buried object detection and results

In Figure 6-9, the red plot is the ime series evaluated without any objecturing the first flight), while the green and the blue lines representresselts obtained when the metal plate was placed about and the terrain (second and third flight). A difference of approximately 2 dB between these two casesestimated. This result is coherent with the SNR differences perienced in the first experiment when the metablate was moved from the ground below it. The approximately 5-dB increase observed around the 20th samplese SNR, estimated when the object was placed othe soil (green line), was unexpected. Since the receiver manually moved, this signal could be related some strong oscillation caused by the payload fpullingAnother important aspect to be taken into accoutitate the signal due to the presencethe metallic platewas expected to rise between 30 and 40 s. In fact, amean velocity of 10 cm/scan was estimated (the end time track was completed in approximately 57 s for the experiments), and the object placed at approximately5 m from the staing point and the first Fresnel zone dimensions approximately equal to 1.5 m, as shorin Figure 6-8. The times when the signal started to rise and entified with the green and blue points in the tissed is shown in Figure 6-9. They were computed consideriting time when the signal increased by 3 dB from the background value. The rising time of 35 s is quite ect for the experiment performed with the metalate over the soil (green line). For the other case (bludine), the payload velocity during the first half of the experimentas probably greater than that during the secpad. Also in this second example, an approximately2-dB difference in the maximum signal available after reflection from the metal plate placed overgreen line) orburied in (blue line) the soil was detected.

Thanks to this experiment, an estimate of the dimension metal plate was also possible. Since the SNS related to the energy coherently reflected by the presence of a metal object inside moving first Fresnel zone be corresponding time series must be related to the space involution between the Fresnel Zone and the area of the arget. In fact, as expected, a trapezonial ped SNR timeeries was observed. As the metal plate was smaller the dimension of the first Fresnel zone, it is clear that the verall rising time is related to the object dimension (the diameter of the metal plate). This rising time can be empiricely pluated considering the time the SNR eds to increaster a minimum of +3 dB to a

- 102-

maximum of -3 dB.

Figure6-10 Experiment B: SNR time series evaluated for the object on the soil (green Figure 6-9).

In Figure 6-10, this concept is highlighted on the tinseries evaluated for the object over the soil (green line Figure 6-9), for which a rising time of approximately3 s can be identified. Considering an average payspeed of 0.1 m/s, the dimension of the target can be estimated approximately 30nc which is close to the diameter of the metal plate.

### 6.2.3 Conclusion

In this chapter a new application of GNSE technique for the detection of buried objects was investigated ALHCP antennawas used to collect reflected GPS signals by as of tware defined raid GPS receiver. The effects of surface roughness and vegetation canopy were neglected and the ted signal power estimated considering only coherepoter.

Two measurement campaigns were carried and the variation of the SNR level due to the presence of a metallic object was investigated. The first measurement campaign was performed in a static condition sandy terrain to check the functionality of the system. Note that the presence of the metallic object was detected also in the case of wetalier In this case the effect due to the increase of the dielectric constant aracterizing the ground may hide the effect

derived from the metallic object. In the second measure **noent** paign, the antenna was moving along a given pathand the possibility of detecting the object dimensions was highlighted. The results show the possibility and opting this technique on board an UAV, remotely controllied this case, the flying direction could be modified in order to better understand the position and shafter object.

# Chapter 7 Snow depth measurements

## 7.1 Description

In the spring of 2013, snow deptheasurement/vas done trying to figure out the relation between SNR and snow depth. The meadow in front of the GM building was covered by different depstbf snow duringFebruary20th to February 22nd. We made five periods of measurements in those three days toeathelyz signals reflected from the meadow covered by snow

Figure7-1 Measurement setup on the roof top

Figure 7-1 shows the setup used for the snow measurement on the roof. The commercial antenna is fixed on the front of the signal receiving equipwintenthe elevation of 45 while the box is the compact lackberry based receiver. The meteorological parameters corresponding to the five measurement periods are significant and are solven in Table 71.

Day	Time	Weather	Snow Level	Humidity
Feb 20th	16:35 17:05	Foggy	null	70%
Feb 21st	13:35 14:15	Snow	1cm	93%
Feb 22nd	09:55 11:05	Snow	2cm	97%
Feb 22nd	11:55 12:35	Snow	3cm	87%
Feb 22nd	16:05 17:05	Snow	0.5cm	78%

Table 7-1 Meteorological information of the experiment periods

## 7.2 Resultsand DataAnalysis

The SNR time series for each single measurement is shown in Figure 7 Figure 76. Due to the use of the comparetceiver, data were not recorded continuously but with a 40 s of recording time for each piece. Around 80 s of time interval between two pieces was expected for the writing of data from ram to SD card. Occasionally some piece was lost, but all the pieces avranged at the time when they were received.

Figure 7-2 SNR of the measurement on 20th Feb, from 16t3505

Figure 7-3 SNR of the measurement on 21st Feb, from 13:38:15

Figure 7-4 SNR of the measurement on 22nd Farb m 9:55 11:05

Figure 7-5 SNR of the measurement on 22Fieb, from 11:5512:35

### Figure 7-6 SNR of the measurement on 22nd Febm 16:0517:05

What are shown in Figure-27 to Figure 76 are consecutive 40 s SNR time series, every dot represents the SNR calcodate 500 ms non coherent integration time. Statistics evaluated by grouping each 40 s SNR for all the five measurements are shown in Figure 7,7which contain information of mean, standard deviation maximum and minimum value of each time slot.

#### Figure 7-7 Statistics of SNR for the five measurements

As the SNRs have sinuselide behavior which can be seen from Figur2 to 7-6, possible interference due to the multipath caused by the buildings was studied using parallel multipath model or tway model and the simulated interference frequency was verified to be close to that revealed by FFT of the SNR series. After that, signal amplitude A1 was tried to be extracted from each group of SNR assuming the interference signal had a rotating phase with respect to the specularly reflected signal which lead to this sinuselike SNR performance. The signal amplitude A1 was then madessecondorder polynomial fit with the measured snow depth as shown ingFure 78

Figure 7-8 Secondorder polynomial fit between reflected signal amplitude and snow depth

Chapter7 Snow depth measur
----------------------------

Comments should be made here that the study was not rigorous and the data availability was limited. It was just a triameasurement aiming at observing the effect of snow to the reflected LHCP SNR is sinusoidike behavior of SNR could either be caused by multipath of buildings as illustrated above or could be brought by the interaction of the GPS signal with the snowverl (see [RodriguezAlvarez, 201(b)], [Gutmann, 2012]). The polynomial fit shown in Figure 78 didnet seem well matched and the research sonow depth was interrupteddue to the insufficient theory exploration and limited data (there is very few snow events). The study of snow depth is not currently focused on and it is temporarily out of the scope of this research. Results shown here can be used as a reference and more rigorous study is expected for the possible future work.

## Chapter 8 Summary and future work

### 8.1 Summary

In this research, mainly two applications utilizing GNBS vere carried out: the soil moisture retrieval and buried objection detection.

For the soil moisture retrieval, two types of receivers were applied and the compact receiver solution wateveloped in our group. It was valided to work well through the static measurement resultible receiver was verified to be sensitive to the different soil moisture contents in terms of processed SNR from restored raw data. The first static experiment had three different moisture contents from dry to soaking wet by pouring water eventually to the surfable. second static experiment dealt with increasing wet surface areas both of these two experiments showed the received SNR had good sensitivity to different surface conditions also by considering the statistics of SNR, where the mean SNMPe +/ standard deviation of SNR for each condition is setiplaar ated from each other.

Antennas used for receiving reflected signals were also conce**Thech**elix LHCP antenna was designed and manufactured, and it was tested in the anechoic chamberResults showed that the helix antenna could reach the designledbgba due to its big dimension, it was barely used for measurements. Several patch antennas are still in designing phase in order to meet the requirement of cross polarization level. A couple of possible types have been simulated and to be manufactured in near future. Twin commercial antennas which were bought for the regional project of SMAF2 for the cross polarization power ratio (LHCP/RHCP) research on soil moisture were further examined their characterizations in the anechoic chamber since there suspected to be working in bad manners. Results demonstrated that the y-to detach the cross polarization level which is strongly required by our application, and the other characterizations are worse than what is reported in the official data sheet.

The signal processing of reflected data for getting SNR (time series) was successfully achieved t was realized by a software defined radio approach, which

generates the DDM and DW and extracts information from the signal power can there computed given the noise power. Programs for georeferencing specular points on either Google Maps or say plane were proved to be useful for planning a static measurement and for showing the restilite.fly dynamics was taken into account and studietor a flight measurement, which can compensate the antenna gain variation due to the incident angle changes over time.

The first dielectric constant retrieval algorithm was derived from LHCP reflected signal power, which assumes **thue**faceto be perfected signal power and neglects the roughness effective flight measurement made for rice fields and neglects the roughness effective flight measurement made for rice fields and states detection has shown that processed SNR was sensitive to **wated** from conditions and even to soil moisture changes urredbetween flooded field and dry field, field and boundary or roate for deriving dielectric constant of fields, the system parameters were calibrated first by considering the pure water condition whose dielectric constant is known as around 80 when the signals were reflected by Lake Viverone. Results of dielectric constant obtained after the calibration process showed the similar results as been revealed by SNR. Dielectric constant was checked to be reasonable by comparing the results with the real time images taken by the onboard celocated video camera. However, due to the lack estitin measurement on soil moisture, the results could not be further evaluated.

The second dielectric constant retrieval algorithm applied the publicatization (LHCP and RHCP) receptions of reflected males simultaneously to make the ratio between the cross polarization powers. Retrieval process was done for specular reflection and diffuse scattering including three widely used models: KGO, KPO and SPM.All the final derived expressions clarified that cross polarization power ratio is dependent on only dielectric constant and incident angle, with the surface roughness terreliminated It proves the idea that by making the ratio between LHCP and LHCP, roughness effect can be neglected ther important conclusion obtained through the simulation results was that the expressions of cross polarization ratio for the three scattering models and for the specular reflection case turned out to be unified, which means that for the LHCP and RHCP receiving scheme, an identical equation can be used for solving dielectric constant no matter what the surface roughness is or what the scattering model is applied.

An innovative idea of detecting buried metal objessing GNSSR was brought

and tested in this researche receiver scheme is the same as the single LHCP solution for soil moisture retrieval, and the indicator is the processed SNR of reflected signalsThe first static measurement verified the sensitivity of SNR to the presence of metal object on or underew centimeters of the surface, even in a wet soil condition. The second measurement was a simulated flight measurement, which not only detected the presence of metal object, but also provided the possibility to evaluate the dimension of the object.

An attempt to relate the reflected LHCP signal SNR to snow depth was also carried out and a second order polynomial fit was implemented dever, due to the limited data and theory support, and even possible multipath interference, the research in this field asinterrupted

### 8.2 Future work

The future work relating to soil moisture covers hardware, software and algorithm aspects reliable LHCP and RHCP dual polarization patch antenna is to be manufactured and tested, which is expected to have low cross polarization level. Also in cooperation with ISMB and NAVSAS Group in the framework of SMAT-F2 project, a new scatterometer is **end**evelopment which is specifically designed to be small and lightweight, and to be mounted on board a UAV. It is characterized by the use of locost equipment, enabling, at the same time, a flexible and reconfigurable solution thanks to the use of aftsmare defined radio technology [Gamba, 2013]. Post processing programs are therefore required an update according to the on board signal processing leader of the retrieval algorithms for LHCP scheme and LHCP/RHCP scheme are going to be verified in a bettercontrolled condition, especially for the later one. For the cross polarization ratio method, multiple variables need to be changed condrolled such as incident angle, soil moisture content, surface roughness in order to compare with the simulation results. Several flight measurementsequipping the new scatterometer are foreseen to be done in the upcoming months, and retrieved dielectric constant will be compared with thesitu measurements.

The altimetry study utilizing GNS R is now starting. Theoretical research has begun and eventually altimetry measurements will be done using code delay and

carrier phase delay methods now depth detection is another research interest which can be done in the mountain region with a lot of snow coverage pand establish the relationship between received reflected signal and snow depth.

# References

Antcom Corperationwww.antcom.com

P. Beckmannand A. Spizzichino, "The scattering of electromagnetic waves from rough surfaces, Artech House Norwood, MA 1963.

G. Berginc, "Smallslope approximation method: further study of vector wave scattering from twodimensional surfaces and comparison with expentededata... Progress In Electromagnetics Researed ... 37, pp. 251-287, 2002.

E. Cardellach, G.Ruffini, D. Pino, A. Rius, A. Komjathy, and J. L. Garrison, "Mediterranean balloon experimentocean wind speed sensing from the stratosphere, using GPS re**tiecs**,..Remote Sensing of Environme**rd**I. 88, no. 3, pp. 351-362, 2003

E. CardellachandA. Rius, "A new technique to sense nonaussian features of the sea surface from -band bistatic GNSS reflection, s. Remote Sensing of Environmentvol. 112, no.6, pp. 2927-2937, 2008

E. Cardellach, F. Fabra, O. Noge@esrreig, S. Oliveras. S. Ribo, and A. Rius, "GNSS-R groundbased and airborne campaigns for ocean, land, ice, and snow technique: application to the GOLERTR data set..Radio Science vol. 46, RS0C04, doi:10.1029/2011RS004683, pp16, 2011

E. Ceraldi, G.Franceschetti, Alodice, D.Riccio, and GRuello, "On theuse of the speculardirection copolarised ratio for theretrieval of soil dielectric constant, in Proc. IGARSSpp. 41444146, 2003.

E. Ceraldi, G.Franceschetti, and DRiccio, "Estimating thesoil dielectric constant via scattering measurement solong the specular direction,...IEEE Trans. Geosci. Romote Sensvol. 43, no. 2, pp. 29505, 2005.

J. Dampf, T. Pany, N. Falk, B. Riedl, and J. Winkel, "Galileo altimetry, using AltBOC and RTK techniques Inside GNS Svol. 8, no.1, pp. 54-63. 2013.

S. Dawoud, "GNSS principles and compariso Potsdam University2012

R. D. De Rooand F. T. Ulaby, "Bistatic specular scattering from rou**gh**electric surfaces..IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagatized. 42, no. 2, pp. 220-231, 1994

Developer Shop by Miniand, Hackberry A10 Dev Boarbttps://www. miniand.com/products/Hackberry%20A10%20Developer%20Board

M. C. Dobsonand F. T.Ulaby, "Microwave Dielectric Behavior of Wet Soffart II:Dielectric Mixing Models, JEEE Trans. Geosci. Romote Servsol. GE-23, no. 1, pp. 3546, 1985.

M. C. Dobsonand F. T. Ulaby, "Active microwave soil moisture researchEEE Transactionson geosciences and remote sensing 1, pp. 23-36, 1986.

A. Egido, M. Caparrini, G. Ruffini, S. Paloscia, E. Santi, L. Guerriero, McdRica and N. Floury, "Globalnavigation satellite systems reflectometry as aremote sensingtool for agriculture, Remote Senso. 4, pp. 23562372, 2012.

M. Fantino, A. Molino, P. Mulassano, M. Nicola, and M. Pini, "N-Gene: A GPS and Galileofully software receiver...Proceedings of SD-Raly€09 Workshop From Software Defined Radio to Cognitive Netwo Risa, Itay, 2-3 July 2009

P. Ferrazzoli, L Guerriero, N Pierdicca, and R. Rahmoune, "Forest biomass monitoring with GNSSR: theoretical simulations Advances in Space Research 10.1016/j.asr.2010.04.022010.

F. Frezza, P Martinelli, L. Pajewski, and G. Schettini, "Shortpulse electromagnetic scattering by buried perfectly conducting cylind Here E Geosci. Remote Sens. Lettvol. 4, no.4, pp.611, 615, 2007.

A. Fung "Microwave scattering and emission models and their applications,... Artech House 1994

M. T. Gamba, S. Ugazio, Y. Pei, L. L. Presti, R. Notarpietro, M. Raimid, P. Savi. "A new SDR GNSS receiver prototype for reflectometry applications: ideas and design,...In proceeding of 4th International Colloquium Scientific and Fundamental Aspects of the Galileo ProgrammePrague, Czech Republic, pp.8,1 Dec 46, 2013.

J. L. Garrison, S.J. Katzberg, and M.I. Hill, "Effect of sea roughness on bistatically scatteredrange coded signals from the global positioning system,... Geophysical Research Letters 1. 25, pp. 22572260.1998

J. L. Garrison, and S. J. Katzberg, "The application of reflected GPS signals to ocean remotes ensing. Remote Sensing of Environmentation of Point 2000 Remote Sensing Point 2000 Rem

J. L. Garrison, A.Komjathy, V. U.Zavorotny, and S. J.Katzberg, Wind speed measurement using forward scattered GPS signalise Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensimol. 40, pp. 5965, 2002

S. Gleason, S. Hodgart, Y. Sun, C. Gommenginger, S. Mackin, M. Adjrad, and M. Unwin, "Detection and processing of bistatically reflected GPS signals from low earth orbit for the purpose of ocean remote sensing EE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 43, no.6, pp. 1229-1241, 2005

S.T. Gleason, "Remote sensing of ocean, ice and landfaces using bistatically scattered GNSS signals from low earth orb RhD Thesis. University of Surrey 2006

Glenn Research CenterAircraft rotations, body axes.<u>http://www.grc.nasa.gov</u> /WWW/K-12/airplane/rotation 2014.

GNSS @ Colorado Center for Astrodynamics Researbittp://ccar.colorado edu/gnss/

Google Maps APIhttps://developers.google.com/maps/

T. Grelier, A. Ghion, J. Dantepal, L. Ries, A. DeLatour, J. L. Issler, and G. W. Hein, "Compass signal structure and first measureme**Ints**ON GNS\$vol. 2007, pp. 30153024, 2007.

H. D. Griffiths, "Bistatic and multistatic radar, University College London Dept. Electronic and Electrical Engineering2004.

P. D. Groves, "Principles of GNSS, inertial and multisensory integrated navigation systems. Artech Houseboston Londor 2008

E. D. Gutmann, K. M.Larson, M. W.Williams, F. G.Nievinski, and V. Zavorotny, "Snow measurement by GPS interferometric reflectometry: an evaluation at Niwot Ridge, Colorado.Hydrological Processesol. 26, no.19, pp.2951-2961, 2012.

M. T Hallikainen, F.T. Ulaby, and M. C. Dobson, "Microwavdeelectric behavior of wet soil-part 1: empirical models and experimental observations, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Romote Sensol. GE-23, no. 1, pp. 2534, 1985.

C. Hall and R. Cordey, "Multistatic scatterometry, P.roc. IEEE Int. Geosci. Remote Sensing Sympidinburgh, Scotland, 136 Sept. pp561-562, 1988.

A. Helm, "Groundbased GPS altimetry with the L1 OpenGPS receiver using carrier phase delay observations of reflected GPS signadisentific Technical Report/Deutsches Geoforschungszentrum Potsdam 2008.

Japan Association of Remote Sensing, <u>http://wtlab.iis.utokyo.ac.jp/~wataru</u> /lecture/rsgiarsnote/cp3/cp34.htm, 1996

Y. Jia, "Characterizations of GNSIS antennas, SMATF2 Activity Report, 2014

S. JinandA. Komjathy, "GNSS reflectometry and remote sensing: New objectives and results. Advances in Space Research vol. 46, pp. 111117, 2010

S. Jin, G. P. Fengand S. Gleason, "Remote sensing using GNSS signals: Current status and future directions. Advances in Space Research vol. 47, pp.16451653, 2011.

S. Jin, E. Cardellachand F. Xie, "GNSS remote sensing: Theory, methods and applications. Springer, 2014.

S. J.Katzbergand J.L. Garrison Jr. "Utilizing GP**S**o determineionospheriœlay over the ocean... National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Langley Research Center, 1996.

S. J. Katzberg, O. Torres, M. S. Grant, and D. Masters, "Utilizing calibrated GPS reflected signals to estimate soil reflectivity and dielectronstant: Results from SMEX02,...Remote Sensef Environmentno. 100, pp. 1-128, 2005.

E. F. Knott, J. Shaeffer,and M. Tuley, "Radar cross sectionSciTech Publishing 2004.

- 118-

E. F.Knott, "Radar cross section measuremen StriTech Publishing 2006.

K. M. Larson, J. J. Braun, E. E. Small, V. U. Zavorotny, E. D. Gutmann, and A. L.
Bilich, "GPS multipath and ts relation tonear surfacesoil moisture content, IEEE
Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations an Remote Sensing vol.
3, no. 1, pp. 9199. Mar 2010.

S. T. Lowe, C. Zuffada, Y. Chao, P. Kroger, L. E. Young, and J. L. LaBrecque, "5-cm-precision aircraft ocean altimetry using GPS reflection Seophysical Research Letters vol. 29, no. 10, 131, 2002

J. F. MarchánHernández, NRodríguezÁlvarez, A. Camps, X. BoschLluis, I. RamosPérez, and E. Valencia, "Correction of the sea state impact in the abd brightness temperature by means of deDapppler maps of global navigation satellite signals reflected over the sea surfateEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensingol. 46, no.10, pp.29142923, 2008

M. Martin-Neira, "A passive reflectometry and interferometry system (PARIS): Application to ocean altimetry ESA Journalvol. 17, pp. 331355, 1993

M. Martín-Neira, M. Caparrini, J. Font-Rossello, S. Lannelongue, and C. S. Vallmitjana, "The PARIS concept: an experimental demonstration of sea surface altimetry using GPS reflected signals [EEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensingol. 39, no.1, pp. 142-150, 2001.

D. Masters, P.Axelrada, and S.Katzberg, "Initial results of -læfildected GPS bistatic radar measurements in SMEX022 emote Sensof Environment on 92, pp. 507-520, 2004.

V. L. Mironov, L. G. Kosolapova, and S. V. Fomin, "Physically and mineralogically basedspectroscopic dielectric model for moist soils,..IEEE Trans. Geosci. Romote Sensol. 47, no. 7, pp. 2052070, Jul 2009.

V. L. Mironov, S. V. Fomin, K. V. Muzalevskiy, A. V. Sorokin, and MI. Mikhaylov, "The use of navigation satellites signals for determination the characteristics of the soil and forest canopig. P.roc. IGARSSpp. 75277529, 2012(a).

V. L. Mironov and K.V. Muzalevskiy, "The new algorithm for retrieval of soil moisture ad surface roughness from GNSS reflectometing, P.roc. IGARS, Spp. 7530-7532, 2012b).

P. Misra and P. Enge. "Globabositioning system: signals, measurements, and performance. Ganga Jamuna PrestSBN 0-97095440-9, 2001.

E. G. Njoku and J. A. Kong, "Theory for passive microwave remote sensing of nearsurface soil moisture J. Geophys. Rescol. 82, pp. 3108-3118, 1977.

E. Njoku, and P. O•Neill, "Multifrequencyadiometer measurements of moisture, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Romote Servsol. GE-20, no.4, pp. 468475, Oct 1982.

E. G. Njoku and D. Entekhabi, "Passive microwave remote sensing of soil moisture...Journal of Hydrology, vol. 184, pp. 101-129, 1996

M. Nolan and D. R. Fatland, "Penetration depth as a DInSAR observable and proxy for soil moisture...IEEE Trans Geoscience and Remote Sensing 41, pp. 532-537, 2003

S. Perrin, EDuflos, P. VanheegheandA. Bibaut,... Multisensor fusion in the frame of evidence theory of landmines detection. IEEE Trans System, Man and CyberneticsPart C Appl Rev vol. 34, no.4, pp. 485-498, 2004

N. Pierdicca, L.Guerriero, R. Giusto, MBrogioni, A. Egido, and N. Floury, "GNSS reflections from bare and vegetated soils: Experimental validation of an endto-end simulator, in Proc. IGARSSpp.43714374, 2011.

M. Piles, M.ValHossera, A. Camps, M.Talone and A.Monerris, "Analysis of a leastsquaressoil moisture retrieval algorithm from L-bandpassiveobservations,... Remote Sensvol. 2, pp.352374, 2010.

N. Pinel and C. Boulier, "Electromagneticwave scattering from random rough surfaces asymptotic models, ISBN: 9781-118-579466, Wiley-ISTE, 2013

T. Ren, "GlobalNavigationSatelliteSystem (GNSS)Reflectometry formoisture retrieval applications: antennasdesign,..Master Thesis Politecnico di Torino, 2012 A. Rius, J. M. Aparicio, and E. Cardellach, "Sea surface state measured using GPS reflected signals,..Geophysical rese**a**h letters vol. 29, no. 23, 2122, doi:10.1029/2002GL015524, p**b**-4, 2002

N. RodriguezAlvarez, X. BoschLluis, and A. Camps, "Soimoisture retrieval using GNSSR techniquesexperimental results over abaresoil field,...IEEE Trans. Geosci. Romote Sensol. 47, no. 11, pp. 3613624, 2009.

N. RodriguezAlvarez, X. BoschLluis, A. Camps, A. Aguasca, M. Vallossera, E. Valencia, I. Ramoserez, and H. Park, "Review of crop growth and soil moisture monitoring from a groundbased instrument implementiating Interference Pattern GNSSR Technique, Radio Sciencevol. 46, pp.RS0C03, 201(a).

N. RodriguezAlvarez, A. Aguasca, E. Valencia, X. Bosch Lluis, I. Ramos Perez, H. Park, and M. Vall-Llossera, Snow monitoring using GNS & techniques. In 2011 IEEEGeoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGAPS 3) 754378 July, 2011(b).

N. RodriguezAlvarez, X. Bosch Lluis, A. Camps, A. Aguasca, M. Vall-Llossera, E. Valencia, I. RamosPerez, and H. Park, Review of crop growth and soil moisture monitoring from a groundbased instrument implementing the Interference Pattern GNS technique, Radio Sciencevol. 46, RS0C03, pp.-11, 2011(c).

N. G. Ruffini, F. Soulat, M. Caparrini, O. Germain, and M. Martín-Neira, "The Eddy experiment: accurate GNSSR oceanaltimetry from low altitude aircraft. Geophysical research lettersol. 31, no. 12, 2004.

E. E. Small, K. M. Larson, and J. J. Braußensing vegetation growth with reflected GPS signals, Geophysical research lettersol. 37, L12401, pp. -15, 2010.

D. Stillman, "Plotting surveying data in Google Earth, http://code.google.com/p/googeearth-plotter/, 2009

F. Ticconi, L. Pulvirenti, and N. Pierdicca, "Models for scattering from rough surfaces.Electromagnetic Wavesol. 10, pp. 203-226, 2011.

G. C. Topp, J. L. Davisand A. P. Annan, "Electromagnetic determination of soil water content: measurements in coaxial transmission lineater. Resour. Res. vol. 16, pp. 547582, 1980.

L. Tsang J. A. Kong, and K. H. Ding, "Electromagneticwaves, theories and applications, Jon Wiley and Son New York, 2000.

L. Tsangand J. A. Kong, "Scattering ofelectromagnetiowaves, advanced topics,... Jon Wiley and Son New York, 2001.

F. T. Ulaby, R. KMoore, and A. K. Fung, "Microwave remote sensing: Active and passive, vol. 2, AddisonWesley Reading, Massachusetts, 1982.

F. T. Ulaby and E. A. Wilson, "Microwave attenuation properties of vegetation canopies.IEEE TransGeoscience And Remote Sensingl. GE-23, no. 5, 1985

F. T. Ulaby, R. K.Moore, and A. K.Fung, "Microwaveremotesensing: active and passive. Addison Wesley, ol. 3. 1986

Y. Urlichich, V. Subbotin, G. Stupak, V. Dvorkin, A. Povalyaev, S. Karutin, and R. Bakitko, "GLONASS modernization...GPS World vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 34-39, 2011.

A. G. Voronovich, "Nonlocal smallslope approximation for wave scattering from rough surfaces. Waves in Random Mediacol. 6, no.2, pp. 151-168, 1996.

W. Wan, X. Chen, L. Zhao, J. Zhang, and XHao, "Nearsurface soil moisture content measurement by GNSS reflectometry: An estimation model using calibrated GNSS signalsin. Proc. IGARS, Spp. 75237526, 2012.

J. R. Wangand T. J. Schmugge, "Aempirical model for thecomplex dielectric permittivity of soils as afunction of water content, JEEE Trans. Geosci. Romote Sens.vol. GE-18, no. 4, pp. 28-295, Oct 1980.

V. U. Zavorotny and A. G. Voronovich, "Bistatic GBB nal reflections atvarious polarizations from rough land surface with moisture content,..In Proc. IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposition Dulu, HI, USA, 2428 July 2000, vol. 7, pp. 28522854, 2000(a).

V. U. Zavorotny and A. G. Voronovich, "Scattering of GPS signals from the ocean with wind remote sensing applicatio. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensingol. 38, no. 2, pp. 95964, March2000(b).

V. U. Zavorotny,K. M. Larson, J. J. Braun, E. E. Small, D. Gutmann, and A. L. Bilich, "A physical model for GPSmultipath caused byland reflections: toward bare soil moisture retrievals, ...I.EEE Journal of selected topics in applied earth observations and remote sensingl. 3, no. 1, pp. 10010, Mar 2010.