Analyses from PALOMA-3

Overall survival (OS) with palbociclib plus fulvestrant in women

<u>M. Cristofanilli</u>¹, D.J. Slamon², J. Ro³, I. Bondarenko⁴, S-A. Im⁵, N. Masuda⁶, M. Colleoni⁷, A. DeMichele⁸, S. Loi⁹, S. Verma¹⁰, H. Iwata¹¹, N. Harbeck¹², S. Loibl¹³, F. André¹⁴, K. Puyana Theall¹⁵, X. Huang¹⁶, C. Giorgetti¹⁷, C. Huang Bartlett¹⁸, N.C. Turner¹⁹

Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA, ²Geffen School of Medicine, UCLA - School of

Republic of Korea, ⁴Dnipropetrovsk Medical Academy, City Multiple-Discipline Clinical

Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA, ⁹Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, ¹⁰Tom Baker Cancer Centre, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada, ¹¹Department of Breast Oncology, Aichi Cancer Center Hospital,

Nagoya, Japan, ¹²Dept. of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Brustzentrum der Universität

Nagyu, Japan, Dept. of Osstein's and Syntecology, Biotzenton der Onweinder München (LMU), Munich, Germany, ¹³German Breast Group, C/o GBG Forschungs GmbH, Neu-Isenburg, Germany, ¹⁴Department of Medical Oncology, Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France, ¹⁵Pfizer Oncology, Pfizer Inc, Cambridge, MA, USA, ¹⁶Pfizer Oncology, Pfizer Inc, San Diego, CA, USA, ¹⁷Pfizer Oncology, Pfizer Inc, Milan, Italy, ¹⁰Cology, Pfizer Inc, San Diego, CA, USA, ¹⁰Pfizer Oncology, Pfizer Inc, Milan, Italy, ¹⁰Cology, ¹¹Cology, Pfizer Inc, Milan, Italy, ¹⁰Cology, ¹¹Cology, ¹¹Cology, ¹¹Cology, ¹¹Cology, ¹¹Cology, ¹¹Cology, ¹¹Cology, ¹¹Cology, ¹²Cology, ¹²C

Background: Endocrine therapy (ET)-resistant ABC is dependent on cyclin dependent

study, the CDK4/6 inhibitor PAL in combination with FUL significantly improved progression-free survival (PFS) vs placebo (PBO)+FUL (median PFS, 11.2 vs 4.6 mo; absolute difference, 6.6 mo; hazard ratio [HR] 0.50 [95% CI, 0.40–0.62]; P < 0.000001).

Methods: HR+/HER2-ABC (N = 521) patients (pts) who had relapsed or progressed on prior ET were randomized 2:1 to PAL (125 mg/d orally, schedule 3/1) + FUL (500 mg per standard of care) or PBO+FUL. Primary endpoint was investigator-

kinase (CDK) 4/6. In the prospective, randomized, double-blind, phase 3 PALOMA-3

¹⁸Pfizer Oncology, Pfizer Inc, Collegeville, PA, USA, ¹⁹Breast Cancer Now Research

Centre, Royal Marsden Hospital and Institute of Cancer Research, London, UK

Here, we report OS analysis with a median follow up of 44.8 mo.

¹Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Feinberg School of Medicine,

Medicine, Los Angeles, CA, USA, ³Oncology, National Cancer Center, Goyang-si,

Hospital #4, Dnipropetrovsk, Ukraine, ⁵Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea, ⁶Dept. of Surgery, Breast Oncology, NHO

Osaka National Hospital, Osaka, Japan, ⁷Divisione di Senologia Medica, Istituto Europeo di Oncologia, Milan, Italy, ⁸Abramson Cancer Center, University of

with hormone receptor-positive (HR+), human epidermal growth

factor receptor 2-negative (HER2-) advanced breast cancer (ABC):

ansuacts

LBA2_PR

assessed PFS. A key secondary endpoint was OS. OS analysis occurred when approximately 60% (n $\approx\!310)$ of the 521 pts died.

Results: Median OS improved with PAL+FUL vs PBO+FUL by an absolute difference of 6.9 mo (Table). In pts with sensitivity to prior ET, the absolute improvement in median OS was 10.0 mo with PAL+FUL vs PBO+FUL. In pts without visceral disease, median OS significantly improved with PAL+FUL vs PBO+FUL (11.5 mo). Time to end of the next-line treatment was 18.8 (PAL+FUL) and 14.1 (PBO+FUL) mo (HR 0.68 [95% CI, 0.56–0.84]; P < 0.0001). Improvements in median OS, although not statistically significant at the prespecified level, were shown with PAL+FUL vs PBO+FUL regardless of ESR1 mutation status or prior lines of therapy. Median time on subsequent therapy was similar in both arms; median time to chemotherapy was 17.5 (PAL+FUL) and 8.8 (PBO+FUL) mo (HR 0.58; P < 0.00001). No new safety signals were observed with longer follow-up.

Conclusions: In HR+/HER2– ABC pts, PAL+FUL showed a clinically meaningful improvement in OS (6.9 mo vs PBO+FUL), especially in pts with sensitivity to prior ET. The absolute difference of PFS gain was maintained in OS.

Clinical trial identification: NCT01942135.

Editorial acknowledgement: Editorial support was provided by Jennifer Fetting, PhD, and Kevin O'Regan, PhD, of Complete Healthcare Communications, LLC (North Wales, PA), a CHC Group company, and funded by Pfizer Inc.

Legal entity responsible for the study: Pfizer Inc.

Funding: Pfizer Inc.

Disclosure: M. Cristofanilli: Honoraria: Pfizer. D.J. Slamon: Consulting or advisory role: Bayer, Eli Lilly, Novartis, BioMarin. S-A. Im: Consulting or advisory role: AstraZeneca, Hanmi Corp., Novartis, Roche, Pfizer. N. Masuda: Honoraria: Chugai, AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Takeda; Research funds: Chugai, AstraZeneca, Kyowa-Kirin, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Daiichi-Sankyo. A. DeMichele: Consulting or advisory role: Pfizer, Novartis; Research funds: Pfizer, Novartis, Johnson & Johnson, Calithera, Incyte, Genentech. S. Loi: Research funds: Merck, Novartis, Roche-Genentech. S. Verma: Consulting or advisory role: Pfizer, Novartis, Roche, Astra Zeneca, Amgen, Eli Lilly. H. Iwata: Honoraria: AstraZeneca, Chugai, Eisai, Novartis; Consulting or advisory role: Chugai, Daiichi-Sankyo. N. Harbeck: Honoraria: Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer. S. Loibl: Research funds: Pfizer, Novartis. F. André: Research funds: AstraZeneca, Novartis, Pfizer, Eli Lilly. K. Puyana Theall, X. Huang, C. Huang Bartlett: Pfizer employee and shareholder. C. Giorgetti: Consulting role and shareholder: Pfizer. N.C. Turner: Honoraria: Pfizer; Consulting or advisory role: Pfizer; Research funds: Servier, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Roche, AstraZeneca. All other authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Subgroup	n (%)	HR (95% CI)	PAL+FUL median OS (95% CI)	PBO+FUL median OS (95% CI)	1-sided P value	Interaction P value
ITT, unstratified	521 (100)	0.79 (0.63-1.00)	34.9 (28.8–40.0)	28.0 (23.6–34.6)	0.025	
Sensitivity to previous er	ndocrine therapy					
Endocrine sensitive	410 (78.7)	0.72 (0.55–0.94)	39.7 (34.8–45.7)	29.7 (23.8–37.9)	-	0.124
Endocrine resistant	111 (21.3)	1.14 (0.71–1.84)	20.2 (17.2–26.4)	26.2 (17.5–31.8)	-	
Site of metastatic disease	e					
Visceral disease	311 (59.7)	0.85 (0.64-1.13)	27.6 (24.4–31.2)	24.7 (20.8–31.8)	-	0.442
Nonvisceral disease	210 (40.3)	0.69 (0.46-1.04)	46.9 (39.3–NE)	35.4 (24.6-NE)	-	
Menopausal status at stu	udy entry					
Postmenopausal	413 (79.3)	0.73 (0.57–0.95)	34.8 (28.8–40.1)	27.1 (22.8–32.1)	-	0.251
Pre/perimenopausal	108 (20.7)	1.07 (0.61-1.86)	38.0 (24.4–NE)	38.0 (22.2–NE)	-	