

CORE

REVIEW published: 27 June 2019 doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00387



Management of Women With an Unexpected Low Ovarian Response to Gonadotropin

Alessandro Conforti^{1*}, Sandro C. Esteves^{2,3,4}, Danilo Cimadomo⁵, Alberto Vaiarelli⁵, Francesca Di Rella⁶, Filippo Maria Ubaldi⁵, Fulvio Zullo¹, Giuseppe De Placido¹ and Carlo Alviggi¹

¹ Department of Neuroscience, Reproductive Science and Odontostomatology, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy, ² ANDROFERT, Andrology and Human Reproduction Clinic, Campinas, Brazil, ³ Department of Surgery, University of Campinas, Campinas, Brazil, ⁴ Faculty of Health, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark, ⁵ GENERA, Centre for Reproductive Medicine, Clinica Valle Giulia, Rome, Italy, ⁶ Medical Oncology, Department of Senology, National Cancer Institute, IRCCS Fondazione G. Pascale, Naples, Italy

POSEIDON groups 1 and 2 patients respond poorly (<4 oocytes retrieved) or sub-optimally (4-9 oocytes retrieved) to gonadotropin stimulation despite the presence of adequate ovarian parameters, which negatively affect their cumulative chances of delivering a baby using Assisted Reproductive Technology. A polygenic trait involving gonadotropins and/or their receptors seems to be the primary pathophysiology mechanism explaining this phenomenon. The clinical management is mainly focused on maximizing oocyte yield as to increase the likelihood of having at least one euploid embryo for transfer. Indices such as FORT (follicle output rate) and FOI (follicle-to-oocyte index) may be used to determine if the ovarian reserve was properly explored during a previous ovarian stimulation. Testing for the presence of common polymorphisms affecting gonadotropins and/or their receptors can also be considered to identify patients at risk of hypo-response. An individualized estimation of the minimum number of oocytes needed to obtain at least one euploid embryo can assist counseling and treatment planning. Among currently existing pharmacological interventions, use of recombinant FSH in preference over urinary gonadotropin preparations, FSH dosage increase, and use of rLH supplementation may be considered -alone or combined- for optimally managing POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 patients. However, given the recent introduction of the POSEIDON criteria, there is still a lack of studies examining the role of interventions specifically to patients classified as groups 1 and 2, thus making it an area for open research.

Keywords: hypo-response, ovarian stimulation, Assisted Reproductive Technology, ovarian reserve, follicleto-oocyte index, POSEIDON criteria, suboptimal response, ART calculator

INTRODUCTION

The primary goal of Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) is to provide effective and safe personalized solutions to help infertile couples obtain a live birth. This objective should be attained with the mindset of securing the shortest time to live birth while avoiding negative consequences for the mother and newborns. In this regard, the transfer of a single embryo at the blastocyst

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Robert Fischer, Fertility Center Hamburg (FCH), Germany

Reviewed by:

Thomas Strowitzki, Universität Heidelberg, Germany Giuliano Marchetti Bedoschi, University of São Paulo, Brazil

> *Correspondence: Alessandro Conforti confale@hotmail.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Reproduction, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

Received: 23 January 2019 Accepted: 29 May 2019 Published: 27 June 2019

Citation:

Conforti A, Esteves SC, Cimadomo D, Vaiarelli A, Di Rella F, Ubaldi FM, Zullo F, De Placido G and Alviggi C (2019) Management of Women With an Unexpected Low Ovarian Response to Gonadotropin. Front. Endocrinol. 10:387. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00387

1

stage provides a higher implantation rate than the transfer of a cleavage stage embryo and limits the occurrence of multiple pregnancies (1–4). ART failure is indeed a leading cause of treatment dropout and is associated with an impairment of the psychological wellness of treated couples (5–7). Furthermore, the higher the number of ovarian stimulation (OS) cycles the higher the financial burden on couples, with potential long-term effects on general well-being (8). Thus, ART programs should strive to obtain a single live-birth using the least number of OS cycles as possible.

The novel Patient-Oriented Strategies Encompassing IndividualizeD Oocyte Number (POSEIDON) criteria (9-11) were introduced to help clinicians explore the possibility of using patient-oriented strategies to obtain the number of oocytes needed to achieve at least one euploid embryo for transfer in low prognosis women undergoing ART, as these patients represent the most vulnerable group concerning treatment failure and treatment dropout. A clear definition of the low prognosis patient population is, therefore, essential to avoid heterogeneity and allow the use of personalized management to achieve the intended goal. In brief, POSEIDON patients are subdivided into four subgroups based on a combination of factors including (i) age, (ii) results of functional and biological ovarian reserve markers, such as Antral Follicle Count (AFC) and Anti-Müllerian Hormone (AMH), and (iii) ovarian response concerning the number of oocytes retrieved in previous OS if this information was available (Figure 1). In practical terms, the POSEIDON criteria stratify the low prognosis patients in two main categories based on oocyte yield, namely, the "expected" low ovarian response (Group 3 and 4) and the "unexpected" low ovarian response (Groups 1 and 2).

POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 encompass women who had poor (<4) or suboptimal (4–9) number of oocytes retrieved after a conventional OS despite the presence of an adequate ovarian reserve, defined by an AFC of \geq 5 and/or an AMH \geq 1.2 ng/mL. Indeed, retrieval of fewer than 10 oocytes is associated with decreased cumulative live birth rates (CLBR) (12). Among women with normal ovarian reserve, 10–15 oocytes seem to be the optimal target for increasing the likelihood of live birth rate in fresh embryo transfer (ET) cycles (13). However, retrieval of more than 15 oocytes might be advantageous concerning CLBR, i.e., when all fresh and frozen-thawed ETs are considered (12, 14). Thus, given a patient who fits POSEIDON's groups 1 or 2, the final goal would be to find ways to maximize oocyte yield aiming at obtaining more than 9 oocytes at the end of stimulation.

In this paper, we review the pathophysiology and discuss the available treatment strategies of low prognosis women according to POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2.

UNEXPECTED SUBOPTIMAL OR LOW OOCYTE NUMBER AND ITS ASSOCIATION WITH OVARIAN HYPO-RESPONSE TO GONADOTROPIN STIMULATION

Patients who fit POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 criteria should be critically assessed by looking at two indices, namely, the

FORT (follicle output rate) and the FOI (follicle-to-oocyte index). The follicle output rate (FORT) measures the consistency between the pool of antral follicles at the beginning of OS and the number of pre-ovulatory follicles at the end of stimulation (15, 16). Along the same lines, the FOI assesses the consistency between the pool of antral follicles at the beginning of OS and the number of oocytes retrieved at oocyte pick-up (Figure 2). Thus, a discrepancy between the available antral follicle pool and the number of pre-ovulatory follicles at the end of stimulation (e.g., FORT<50%), or the number of retrieved oocytes (e.g., FOI <50%) is suggestive of hyporesponse to gonadotropin stimulation, albeit other contributory causes might exist as depicted in Figure 3 (17). The advantages and shortcomings of using FOI and FORT to identify hyporesponders to gonadotropin stimulation have been discussed in detail elsewhere (17).

The pathophysiology mechanisms explaining the hyporesponse to gonadotropin stimulation, also known as "ovarian resistance" to gonadotropin stimulation, are not fully understood. However, environmental contaminants, as well as specific genotypic traits have been hypothesized as possible contributory factors (17-21) (Figure 3). In particular, genetic polymorphisms affecting the gonadotropins and their receptors might impact OS outcomes (22, 23). Such polymorphisms include those affecting the FSH receptor genes, such as FSHR c.2039 A>G (rs6166) (24, 25), FSH β chain [FSHB–211 G>T (rs10835638)] (26), and FSH promoter region [FSHR-29 G>A (rs1394205)] (27, 28). Of particular interest is the FSHR polymorphism (rs6166), which has been implicated in ovarian resistance to exogenous gonadotropin (24). The single nucleotide polymorphism SNP (rs6166), known as Serine680 (Ser680) variant, causes the replacement of Asn with Ser at the 680 position and is located in the intracellular domain of the FSH receptor protein (29, 30).

Interestingly, it has been reported that Ser680 carriers with polycystic ovarian syndrome show resistance to clomiphene citrate (31). Another variant in a promoter region of FSHR, namely FSHR, 29 G>A), was associated with negative effects during OS. In a study by Achrekar et al. involving patients undergoing OS for ART, women homozygous for the rs1394205 variant genotype AA had a lower number of oocytes and lower pregnancy rates than those with the GG genotype (32). This observation was confirmed in a more extensive study by Desai et al. (27). These authors retrospectively evaluated 100 normogonadotropic women with regular menses who were candidates for IVF. The carriers of AA genotype showed a lower number of oocytes retrieved and a higher consumption of exogenous gonadotropin than GG carriers. As for the FSH β chain polymorphism (rs10835638), a study involving 169 healthy women, and 186 infertile women suggested that this polymorphism is associated with significantly higher FSH and LH levels in both healthy and female infertility patients (33). In this report, the T-allele carriers were found more frequently among idiopathic infertility cases, a fact that could be explained by the influence of this particular polymorphism on FSHR function (26, 34, 35).

A systematic review and meta-analysis published in 2018 summarized the data of 33 studies regarding the clinical relevance





of FSHR polymorphism on OS. The authors showed that higher FSH consumption is expected in homozygotes for the A allele of the FSHR (rs1394205) polymorphism than in carriers of the G allele. Moreover, FSHR (rs6166) Serine carriers seem to be less responsive to OS treatment, with fewer oocytes retrieved at the end of OS than Asparagine carriers (22). In other words, both Serine carriers of FSHR (rs 6166) and A carriers of FSHR (rs1394205) are at increased risk of exhibiting ovarian resistance to OS, which in turn might lead to a suboptimal response concerning the number of retrieved oocytes at the end of OS.

Ovarian hypo-responsiveness to gonadotropin stimulation remains an undervalued issue in ART both in research and

in daily clinical practice. Not surprisingly, the prevalence of this condition is still unclear. However, preliminary data show that $\sim 10\%$ of women defined as normal responders by demographic characteristics and ovarian reserve testing requires a higher than expected total dosage of gonadotropin to promote adequate follicular development (36). Notably, a recent study indicated that approximately 45% of patients aged 18-40 years who underwent conventional OS using FSH doses of 150-225 IU/day retrieved <10 oocytes at their first stimulation cycle (12, 37). These data may be overestimated as the authors did not specify the ovarian reserve before stimulation. By examining the data from a group of 427 consecutive infertile women who underwent conventional OS in one of the authors' (SCE) clinic, 47% of the treated patients fitted the POSEIDON criteria. Among them, 5 and 35% were within groups 1 and 2 categories, respectively (38). Although larger studies are required, these preliminary data suggest that a remarkable number of women with adequate pre-stimulation ovarian reserve parameters exhibits an unexpected anomalous response to gonadotropin stimulation.

CLINICAL MANAGEMENT OF POSEIDON'S GROUPS 1 AND 2 PATIENTS

As highlighted above, there is a significant number of women classified as normal responders—based on ovarian markers who show resistance to exogenous gonadotropin stimulation. Unlike those with diminished ovarian reserve in which the



increment of gonadotropin dosage during ovarian stimulation appears to be of limited value (39), POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 patients seem to benefit from a pharmacological intervention concerning the OS protocol. Given the possibility of the existence of a polygenic trait in POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 patients, an option would be for clinicians to assess normal ovarian reserve patients concerning the most common polymorphisms in case of an unexpected poor (\leq 3 oocytes) or suboptimal response (4–9 oocytes) in previous IVF cycle. Ideally, if large randomized controlled trial confirmed the utility of pharmacogenomic approach, genotype screening could be potentially recommended even before ovarian stimulation avoiding inadequate OS attempts.

Patients with specific polygenetic traits would be at high risk of being classified as POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 after conventional OS using empirical approaches. Thus, such patients could be identified *a priori* and treated accordingly using a pharmacogenomic rather than a trial and error approach. Indeed, pharmacogenomic algorithms have been used to evaluate how genetic differences among individuals might affect drug response, thus ultimately leading to the development of personalized drug therapies to compensate for these differences (30). The individual genomic variation could influence sensitivity to antimicrobials and response to cancer strategies (40).

Furthermore, genetic traits could influence fertility, including ovarian response to gonadotropin stimulation (41, 42), despite no obvious clinical signs or symptoms. These polymorphisms are widespread in population and women with infertile disorders (43). Considering that genotype analysis could be provided at lower cost compared with the past, it is plausible that a genotype mapping of women who showed an unexpected low response during OS could be of use to optimized management strategy in such women. Nevertheless, since the availability of polymorphism panel testing is still limited, another option would be to apply empirical pharmacological interventions to increase the oocyte yield—and eventually pregnancy outcomes—in such patients.

Estimating the Number of Oocytes Needed to Obtain at Least One Euploid Blastocyst for Transfer

In 2016, the POSEIDON group introduced a new marker of success in ART, namely, the ability to retrieve the number of oocytes needed to obtain at least one euploid embryo for transfer (38). This marker represents a logical endpoint to guide clinicians develop an individualized treatment plan for ART patients, including those of POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2. Indeed, availability of a euploid embryo for transfer may change the fate of the low prognosis patient, as \sim 50-60% euploid blastocysts implant across all age categories (44). Thus, the higher the number of oocytes retrieved, the higher the probability of obtaining an embryo cohort that may include at least one euploid blastocyst (45, 46). However, retrieval of an optimal number of oocytes may not be feasible in patients of groups 1 and 2 due to reasons discussed in previous sections. The matter is further worsened by female age, which is the most critical predictor of embryo euploidy. In a recent study, using preimplantation genetic testing data of patients undergoing ART, we showed that blastocyst euploidy not only markedly decreases with female aging but also that the magnitude of decrease is progressive with every year of age (46). It would be therefore useful to estimate the individualized minimum number of oocytes needed to achieve at least one euploid blastocyst for transfer. A pretreatment predictive model-the ART Calculatorhas been developed to assist clinicians to counsel and plan treatment regarding the number of oocytes required for at least one euploid blastocyst in IVF/ICSI procedures. Briefly, the model was constructed based on the results of the LASSO (Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator) regression analysis, which was utilized for both variable selection and regularization

to enhance the prediction accuracy and interpretability of the statistical model. It is out of the scope of this paper to discuss the technical aspects of the calculator, but detailed information is provided in other papers within this Frontiers Research Topic. The "ART Calculator" is available online at http://www.groupposeidon.com/ and is fully aligned with the POSEIDON marker of successful outcome.

Individualized Controlled Ovarian Stimulation

Based on limited data, five main strategies might be considered, which can be used alone or combined, namely (i) use of recombinant FSH in preference over urinary gonadotropin preparations, (ii) FSH dose increase, (iii) rec-LH supplementation, (iv) Dehydroepiandrosterone supplementation before OS, and (v) the combination of follicular and luteal phase stimulation in the same ovarian cycle. A flow chart listing the suggested management of Posedoin group 1 and 2 is illustrated in **Figure 4**.

Use of Recombinant FSH

The main problem behind suboptimal response or poor response is that the number of retrieved oocytes might not be consistent with the ovarian reserve. With the aim to retrieve more oocytes



at the beginning of stimulation, a more "potent" gonadotropin formulation should be considered. Several randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses demonstrated that the use of recombinant formulations is associated with significantly higher number of retrieved oocytes than with urinary formulations irrespectively of the pituitary suppression strategy (47–49). These findings seem to relate to the higher bio-potency of recombinant formulations (50). In conclusion, the use of more potent (rFSH) recombinant formulation might be suggested in Poseidon Group 1 and 2.

FSH Dose Increase

Serine carriers of FSHR polymorphism undergoing OS for ART seem to benefit from increased recombinant FSH doses. In this regard, the first attempt to develop a pharmacogenomic approach to OS was conducted by Behre et al. (51). In their study, Ser680/Ser680 carriers were randomly allocated to two subgroups to receive a daily rec-FSH dose of 150 IU or 225 IU. The dose of 225 IU/day was able to restore the estradiol levels at the end of OS in Ser680/Ser680 carriers, which was similar to that of women with the wild-type genotype (51). Along the same lines, Genro et al. showed that when a high FSH dose (300 IU per day) was given, the FORT index was not significantly different in patients undergoing OS for ART, regardless of FSHR rs6166 genotype distribution (52). As for the FSHR rs1394205 polymorphism, we are unaware of any trials examining the effect of increased FSH doses. Furthermore, increasing FSH dosage appears to be a valid strategy in women with a history of suboptimal response per se. Specifically, a 2018 retrospective analysis evaluated the effect of FSH dose adjustment in women with a history of suboptimal response (4-9 oocytes retrieved) after conventional OS (37). In this study, 160 women <40 years with normal ovarian reserve undergoing their second ovarian stimulation cycle in a fixed gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) antagonist protocol with daily recombinant FSH (rFSH) were recruited. A dose increment of rFSH in the subsequent cycle carried out 4 months later on average lead to higher number of oocytes retrieved (9 vs. 6, p < 0.001) and good quality embryos (4 vs. 3, p < 0.001) than that of previous cycle. A regression analysis showed that an increase of 50 IU of the initial rFSH dose would lead to 1 more oocyte. Although there is evidence that resistance in term of the number of oocytes retrieved and follicle output rate could be associated with specific genotype anomalies, the suboptimal responders were not tested for genetic polymorphisms in this study.

Recombinant Luteinizing Hormone Supplementation

Several trials have examined the clinical utility of adding recombinant Luteinizing Hormone (rLH) in women with ovarian resistance to gonadotropin (53–58). In the larger ones, the ovarian resistance was identified in the form of an "initial slow response" in follicle growth (54, 55). In others, involving a lower number of cases, the hypo-response was retrospectively diagnosed in women who required higher-than-expected doses of gonadotropins considering their age, body mass index, and ovarian reserve (53, 56). Data from the more robust studies indicate that in slow responders, LH supplementation starting

from stimulation days 7–10 might be more efficient than increasing the dosage of rFSH to rescue the ongoing cycle.

In detail, Ferraretti et al. study was a single-center randomized trial involving a total of 126 women aged 37 or younger undergoing pituitary suppression with the agonist protocol. The number of oocytes retrieved was significantly higher in hyporesponders treated with the rFSH plus rLH step-up regimen than in those who received a higher dose of rFSH (11.1 vs. 8.2, p < 0.05). Along the same lines, higher pregnancy rates per embryo transfer (54 vs. 24.4%, p < 0.05), live birth rates (40.7 vs. 22%, p < 0.005) and implantation rate (36.8 vs. 14.1%, p < 0.05) were observed in women supplemented with rLH than in those who received only an increment of rFSH. Another study was a multicenter RCT involving 229 IVF/ICSI cycles (55). The population, definition of hypo-response, and OS regimen were similar to Ferraretti et al. study. In this trial, the number of oocytes retrieved was significantly higher in patients who received rLH supplementation (9.0 ± 4.3) than in those treated with increased rFSH dosage (6.1 \pm 2.6, *P* < 0.01). The use of rLH supplementation was able to restore both rescue implantation (14.2 vs.18.1%, p > 0.05) and ongoing pregnancy rates (32.5 vs. 40.2%, p > 0.05), which turned out to be resulted similar to that observed in normal responders. Regarding dosage, the use of 150 IU of rLH is apparently better than the use of 75 IU in the long GnRH agonist protocol (59). In a randomized trial, 46 hyporesponders identified by similar criteria as in Ferraretti et al. and De Placido et al. studies (54, 55) were randomized to receive a supplementation with 150IU or 75IU of rLH, respectively. Hyporesponders supplemented with 150 IU/day of rLH had higher number of oocytes retrieved (9.65 \pm 2.16 vs. 6.39 \pm 1.53, p < 0.05) and showed higher percentage of mature oocytes (79 vs. 65.7%, p < 0.05) than in those supplemented with 75 IU/day of rLH (59).

More recently, Yilmaz et al. (60) performed a singlecenter prospective study that corroborated the results of the randomized controlled trials mentioned above. In their study, hypo-responders were identified as in De Placido et al. study. A total of 137 patients were enrolled, 85 of whom had a hyporesponse to OS diagnosed on stimulation on day 7 (at least six follicles between 6 and 10 mm; no follicle over 10 mm, and Estradiol levels below 180 pg/mL), and 52 had a normal response (regular follicular growth and Estradiol level >180 pg/mL). In the hypo-response group, 50 women received 75 IU daily of rLH, whereas the rFSH dosage was increased by 75 UI in the remaining 35. Implantation rates were significantly higher in controls (34.7%) and in the rLH supplementation (36.1%) groups than in the increased-dose rFSH group (15%, P < 0.02). The pregnancy rates were also higher in the two former groups than in the latter group (64.7 and 57.8%, respectively vs. 32.4%, P <0.05). The findings of the studies mentioned above should be interpreted with caution because the GnRH agonist long protocol was utilized in all studies. Currently, there are no data concerning the use of rLH supplementation to hypo-responders undergoing OS under a GnRH antagonist regimen.

The mechanism by which rLH exerts its beneficial effect in hypo-responders is not fully understood. Although it was advocated that the excessive suppression of endogenous LH after

down-regulation with GnRH analogs may create the need for exogenous LH supplementation, neither Ferraretti et al. nor De Placido et al. found a significant association between serum LH levels during OS and the response to rLH supplementation (54, 55, 61). A more plausible hypothesis would be related to genetically determined characteristics of LH itself or its receptors. Indeed, Alviggi et al. (36, 41) demonstrated that carriers of LH β chain variant had ovarian resistance to exogenous gonadotropin and required a higher dosage of recombinant FSH during OS (36). This variant was initially discovered by Pettersson and Söderholm (62) as an immunologically anomalous form of LH caused by two-point mutations in the β subunit gene, both altering the amino acid sequence (Trp8Arg and Ile15Thr). The LH variant has elevated bioactivity in vitro but significantly shorter (5-9 min) half-life in circulation than the wild-type LH (12-22 min) (63). This variant is common worldwide, with carrier frequency varying from 0 to 52% in various ethnic groups. Its incidence in Italy ranges between 12 and 13%. Another polymorphism that might be implicated in impaired ovarian response relates to those altering the Luteinizing hormone/human chorionic gonadotropin receptors (LHCGR) (64, 65). Specifically, a prospective cohort study investigated the effect of multiple gonadotropin polymorphisms on ovarian response in 94 normogonadotropic Caucasian women who underwent OS with a starting dose of 150 IU of recombinant FSH daily. In this study, the presence of allele C on both FSHR-min29 and LHCGR-291 was associated with an increased ratio between the cumulative r-FSH consumption and the total number of oocytes as well as mature oocytes (RR: 5.47, CI 95%: 3.13-7.81, p < 0.001) (65).

Lastly, a 2018 systematic review and a further metaanalysis evaluating the role of rLH in ART concluded that adding rLH to the stimulation protocol could be beneficial in two subgroups of patients, namely, (i) women with adequate prestimulation ovarian reserve parameters and an unexpected hyporesponse to rFSH monotherapy, and (ii) those with 36– 39 years of age (57, 66). As discussed in the previous sections, many patients classified as POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 will fit in the former subgroup. It seems, therefore, sound to consider adding rLH to OS. For them, 75–150 IU rLH can be started at the mid-follicular phase in an attempt to rescue the ongoing cycle or at stimulation day 1 in a subsequent cycle.

Dehydroepiandrosterone

Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) supplementation before OS has been proposed to counteract the age-related fertility decline (67–69). A last Cochrane meta-analysis including 12 RCTs concluded that pretreatment with DHEA could significantly improve live birth rate in poor responders and in advanced age women (69). Two RCTs trials was conducted in women fitting Poseidon groups 2, namely those characterized by advanced age and good ovarian reserve (70, 71). In detail, Tartagni et al. conducted a RCT including 109 women between 35-40 years old with good ovarian reserve (i.e., AMH levels above 2 ng/mL). Patients recruited were assigned to DHEA supplementation (n = 53, 75 mg/die) or placebo (n = 56) eight weeks before OS.

Higher live birth rate (22/53 vs. 18/56, p < 0.05) and lower miscarriage rate (0/53 vs. 5/56, p < 0.05) was observed in women supplemented with DHEA than in the placebo group. Similar findings was observed by Moawad et al. (71) in another RCT in which population study was randomized to receive DHEA (75mg/die) supplementation for 12 weeks before OS. Indeed, higher ongoing pregnancy rate (11/58 vs. 7/47, p < 0.05), was observed in women supplemented with DHEA versus no supplemented group. The rational behind the use of androgens could be related to the fact that an impaired theca function and androgens production is observed in advanced age women (72). Notably, it was observed that rFSH administration alone is not able to sustain androgens production in advanced age women (73). Furthermore, these findings corroborate the hypothesis that LH supplementation, which is the main regulator of theca cells, could be of use in advanced age women.

Double Stimulation in the Same Ovarian Cycle

A novel controlled ovarian stimulation approach has been proposed in women of low prognosis as a mean to increase the number of retrieved oocytes and the number of blastocyst available to biopsy for preimplantation genetic test for aneuploidies (PGT-A) in a single ovarian cycle (74). This method, referred to as "DuoStim," combines the conventional follicular phase stimulation (FPS) with luteal phase stimulation (LPS). In both the FPS and LPS, patients undergo co-treatment with a maximal dose of rFSH (300 IU/day) plus rLH (150 IU/day) using a GnRH antagonist regimen (75) (Figure 4). The final maturation of oocytes in FPS and LPS was triggered by a subcutaneous bolus of buserelin (dose 0.5 mL) to reduce the time of luteolysis and the second stimulation started five days after the first retrieval. The DuoStim protocol might be considered a putative strategy in patients classified as Poseidon's groups 2 and 4, who are characterized by advanced maternal age. In this regards, a case-control study included 188 poor prognosis patients undergoing DuoStim with PGT-A, fitting at least two of the following conditions: poor ovarian reserve (i.e., AMH < 1.5 ng/mg, AFC \leq 6), advanced maternal age (\geq 35 years) and history of few numbers of metaphase II (MII) oocytes (\leq 5) demonstrated that oocytes/embryos derived from LPS showed similar oocytes competence as FPS-derived ones. Moreover, the authors provided evidence that on average more MII oocytes can be retrieved after LPS than after FPS (75, 76). Therefore, in these patients maximizing the number of oocytes would result in a dramatically higher opportunity to obtain a competent embryo per menstrual cycle in comparison to conventional stimulation. DuoStim was then explored in a large multicentre experience involving 310 women indicated to PGT-A, which confirmed comparable fertilization, blastocyst, euploidy, and pregnancy rates after euploid single-embryo-transfer oocytes/embryos from the FPS and LPS. In turn, the rate of patients obtaining at least one euploid blastocyst significantly increased from 42.3% (n = 131/310) after FPS-only to 65.5% (n = 203/310) with the contribution of LPS (77). Nevertheless, these results should be interpreted with caution since the treated patients did not explicitly fulfill the Poseidon groups 1-2 criteria. Thus, since promising, the use of DuoStim in Poseidon Groups 2 patients should be investigated further.

CONCLUSIONS

Infertile patients undergoing ART may respond poorly (<4 oocytes retrieved) or suboptimally (4-9 oocytes retrieved) to gonadotropin stimulation despite the presence of adequate ovarian parameters. According to the new POSEIDON's criteria of low prognosis patients undergoing ART, they are classified as group 1 if younger than 35 years-old or group 2 if \geq 35 years-old. Both groups are likely to have lower cumulative live birth rates than normal or high responders, an effect that is modulated by age. The pathophysiology mechanisms explaining this phenomenon are not fully understood but seem to be mainly associated with a polygenic trait involving gonadotropins and their receptors. The primary goal of management in POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 patients is to maximize oocyte yield as to increase the likelihood of having at least one euploid embryo for transfer. For this, indices such as FORT (follicle output rate) and FOI (follicle-to-oocyte index) should be used to both identify the subset of hypo-responders and to determine if the ovarian reserve was adequately explored during a previous stimulation.

Moreover, testing for the presence of common polymorphisms affecting gonadotropins and/or their receptors might be considered in women belonging to Poseidon groups

REFERENCES

- 1. ACOG. Committee opinion no 671: perinatal risks associated with assisted reproductive technology. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2016) 128:e61–8. doi: 10.1097/AOG.00000000001643
- Practice Committee of Society for Assisted Reproductive T, Practice Committee of American Society for Reproductive M. Elective single-embryo transfer. *Fertil Steril.* (2012) 97:835–42. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.11.050
- ACOG. ACOG Practice bulletin no. 144: multifetal gestations: twin, triplet, and higher-order multifetal pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2014) 123:1118–32. doi: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000446856.51061.3e
- Alviggi C, Conforti A, Carbone IF, Borrelli R, de Placido G, Guerriero S. Influence of cryopreservation on perinatal outcome after blastocystvs cleavage-stage embryo transfer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ultrasound Obstetr. Gynecol.* (2018) 51:54–63. doi: 10.1002/uog.18942
- Verhaak CM, Smeenk JM, van Minnen A, Kremer JA, Kraaimaat FW. A longitudinal, prospective study on emotional adjustment before, during and after consecutive fertility treatment cycles. *Hum Reprod.* (2005) 20:2253–60. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dei015
- Slade P, Emery J, Lieberman BA. A prospective, longitudinal study of emotions and relationships in *in-vitro* fertilization treatment. *Human Reprod.* (1997) 12:183–90. doi: 10.1093/humrep/12.1.183
- Verberg MF, Eijkemans MJ, Heijnen EM, Broekmans FJ, de Klerk C, Fauser BC, et al. Why do couples drop-out from IVF treatment? A prospective cohort study. *Hum Reprod.* (2008) 23:2050–5. doi: 10.1093/humrep/ den219
- Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Electronic address Aao, Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive M. Fertility drugs and cancer: a guideline. *Fertil Steril.* (2016) 106:1617–26. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.08.035
- 9. Humaidan P, Alviggi C, Fischer R, Esteves SC. The novel POSEIDON stratification of "Low prognosis patients in Assisted Reproductive Technology" and its proposed marker of successful

1 and 2. Added to this, an individualized estimation of the number of oocytes needed to achieve at least one blastocyst for transfer-for instance, using the ART calculator- can make treatment more focused and cost-effective. Given the overlapping between POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 categories and hypo-response to OS, several pharmacological interventions may be considered as regards clinical management of such patients. According to the best available literature, there are at least five strategies to be considered, which are not mutually exclusive, namely (i) use of recombinant FSH in preference over urinary gonadotropin preparations, (ii) FSH dosage increase, (iii) use of rLH supplementation, (iv) Androgens supplementation before OS, (v) DuoStim. Nevertheless, no trial explicitly examining the role of interventions to POSEIDON's groups 1 and 2 patients has been carried out yet. The introduction of POSEIDON criteria and practical indices such as FOI, along with polymorphism testing, could help to understand better this specific subgroup of patients undergoing ART. Also, such an approach can be used to design robust clinical trials aiming at finding the optimal clinical management, thus making it an area open for further research.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AC, CA, and SE designed the manuscript and scrutinized the literature. All authors contributed to drafting and critical discussions. All authors contributed to revised and accepted the final manuscript.

outcome. F1000Res. (2016) 5:2911. doi: 10.12688/f1000research. 10382.1

- Esteves SC, Roque M, Bedoschi GM, Conforti A, Humaidan P, Alviggi C. Defining low prognosis patients undergoing assisted reproductive technology: POSEIDON criteria-the why. *Front Endocrinol.* (2018) 9:461. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00461
- Alviggi C, Andersen CY, Buehler K, Conforti A, De Placido G, Esteves SC, et al. A new more detailed stratification of low responders to ovarian stimulation: from a poor ovarian response to a low prognosis concept. *Fertil Steril.* (2016) 105:1452–3. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.02.005
- 12. Drakopoulos P, Blockeel C, Stoop D, Camus M, de Vos M, Tournaye H, et al. Conventional ovarian stimulation and single embryo transfer for IVF/ICSI. How many oocytes do we need to maximize cumulative live birth rates after utilization of all fresh and frozen embryos? *Hum Reprod.* (2016) 31:370–6. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dev316
- Sunkara SK, Rittenberg V, Raine-Fenning N, Bhattacharya S, Zamora J, Coomarasamy A. Association between the number of eggs and live birth in IVF treatment: an analysis of 400 135 treatment cycles. *Hum Reprod.* (2011) 26:1768–74. doi: 10.1093/humrep/der106
- 14. Polyzos NP, Drakopoulos P, Parra J, Pellicer A, Santos-Ribeiro S, Tournaye H, et al. Cumulative live birth rates according to the number of oocytes retrieved after the first ovarian stimulation for *in vitro* fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection: a multicenter multinational analysis including approximately 15,000 women. *Fertil Steril.* (2018) 110:661– 70.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.04.039
- Gallot V, Berwanger da Silva AL, Genro V, Grynberg M, Frydman N, Fanchin R. Antral follicle responsiveness to follicle-stimulating hormone administration assessed by the Follicular Output RaTe (FORT) may predict *in vitro* fertilization-embryo transfer outcome. *Hum Reprod.* (2012) 27:1066–72. doi: 10.1093/humrep/der479
- Genro VK, Grynberg M, Scheffer JB, Roux I, Frydman R, Fanchin R. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels are negatively related to Follicular Output RaTe (FORT) in normo-cycling women undergoing

controlled ovarian hyperstimulation. *Hum Reprod.* (2011) 26:671-7. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deq361

- Alviggi C, Conforti A, Esteves SC, Vallone R, Venturella R, Staiano S, et al. Understanding ovarian hypo-response to exogenous gonadotropin in ovarian stimulation and its new proposed marker—the follicle-To-Oocyte. (FOI) index. *Front Endocrinol.* (2018) 9:589. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00589
- Alviggi C, Guadagni R, Conforti A, Coppola G, Picarelli S, De Rosa P, et al. Association between intrafollicular concentration of benzene and outcome of controlled ovarian stimulation in IVF/ICSI cycles: a pilot study. J Ovar Res. (2014) 7:12. doi: 10.1186/1757-2215-7-67
- Mahalingaiah S, Missmer SA, Maity A, Williams PL, Meeker JD, Berry K, et al. Association of hexachlorobenzene. (HCB), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane. (DDT), and dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene. (DDE) with *in vitro* fertilization. (IVF) outcomes. *Environ Health Perspect*. (2012) 120:316–20. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1103696
- Conforti A, Mascia M, Cioffi G, De Angelis C, Coppola G, De Rosa P, et al. Air pollution and female fertility: a systematic review of literature. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* (2018) 16:117. doi: 10.1186/s12958-018-0433-z
- Conforti A, Cariati F, Vallone R, Alviggi C, de Placido G. Individualization of treatment in controlled ovarian stimulation: myth or reality? *Biochim Clin.* (2017) 41:294–305. doi: 10.19186/BC_2017.051
- 22. Alviggi C, Conforti A, Santi D, Esteves SC, Andersen CY, Humaidan P, et al. Clinical relevance of genetic variants of gonadotrophins and their receptors in controlled ovarian stimulation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Human Reprod Update*. (2018) 24:1–16. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmy019
- Alviggi C, Conforti A, Esteves SC. Impact of mutations and polymorphisms of gonadotrophins and their receptors on the outcome of controlled ovarian stimulation. *Princ Pract Contr Ovar Stimul ART*. (2015) 147–56. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-1686-5_14
- 24. Alviggi C, Conforti A, Caprio F, Gizzo S, Noventa M, Strina I, et al. In estimated good prognosis patients could unexpected "hyporesponse" to controlled ovarian stimulation be related to genetic polymorphisms of FSH receptor? *Reprod Sci.* (2016) 23:1103–8. doi: 10.1177/1933719116630419
- Achrekar SK, Modi DN, Desai SK, Mangoli VS, Mangoli RV, Mahale SD. Follicle-stimulating hormone receptor polymorphism. (Thr307Ala) is associated with variable ovarian response and ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome in Indian women. *Fertil Steril.* (2009) 91:432–9. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.11.093
- 26. La Marca A, Papaleo E, Alviggi C, Ruvolo G, De Placido G, Candiani M, et al. The combination of genetic variants of the FSHB and FSHR genes affects serum FSH in women of reproductive age. *Hum Reprod.* (2013) 28:1369–74. doi: 10.1093/humrep/det061
- Desai SS, Achrekar SK, Pathak BR, Desai SK, Mangoli VS, Mangoli RV, et al. Follicle-stimulating hormone receptor polymorphism. (G-29A) is associated with altered level of receptor expression in Granulosa cells. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2011) 96:2805–12. doi: 10.1210/jc.2011-1064
- 28. Tohlob D, Abo Hashem E, Ghareeb N, Ghanem M, Elfarahaty R, Byers H, et al. Association of a promoter polymorphism in FSHR with ovarian reserve and response to ovarian stimulation in women undergoing assisted reproductive treatment. *Reprod Biomed Online*. (2016) 33:391–7. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2016.06.001
- Simoni M, Gromoll J, Hoppner W, Kamischke A, Krafft T, Stahle D, et al. Mutational analysis of the follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) receptor in normal and infertile men: identification and characterization of two discrete FSH receptor isoforms. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (1999) 84:751–5. doi: 10.1210/jcem.84.2.5500
- Alviggi C, Humaidan P, Ezcurra D. Hormonal, functional and genetic biomarkers in controlled ovarian stimulation: tools for matching patients and protocols. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* (2012) 10:9. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-10-9
- Overbeek A, Kuijper EA, Hendriks ML, Blankenstein MA, Ketel IJ, Twisk JW, et al. Clomiphene citrate resistance in relation to follicle-stimulating hormone receptor Ser680Ser-polymorphism in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod.* (2009) 24:2007–13. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dep114
- Achrekar SK, Modi DN, Desai SK, Mangoli VS, Mangoli RV, Mahale SD. Poor ovarian response to gonadotrophin stimulation is associated with FSH receptor polymorphism. *Reprod Biomed Online*. (2009) 18:509–15. doi: 10.1016/S1472-6483(10)60127-7
- Rull K, Grigorova M, Ehrenberg A, Vaas P, Sekavin A, Nommemees D, et al. FSHB–211 G>T is a major genetic modulator of reproductive physiology

and health in childbearing age women. Hum Reprod. (2018) 33:954-66. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dey057

- Ferlin A, Vinanzi C, Selice R, Garolla A, Frigo AC, Foresta C. Toward a pharmacogenetic approach to male infertility: polymorphism of folliclestimulating hormone beta-subunit promoter. *Fertil Steril.* (2011) 96:1344– 9.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.09.034
- 35. Grigorova M, Punab M, Poolamets O, Kelgo P, Ausmees K, Korrovits P, et al. Increased prevalance of the-211 T allele of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) β subunit promoter polymorphism and lower serum FSH in infertile men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2010) 95:100–8. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-1010
- 36. Alviggi C, Pettersson K, Longobardi S, Andersen CY, Conforti A, De Rosa P, et al. A common polymorphic allele of the LH beta-subunit gene is associated with higher exogenous FSH consumption during controlled ovarian stimulation for assisted reproductive technology. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* (2013) 11:51. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-11-51
- Drakopoulos P, Santos-Ribeiro S, Bosch E, Garcia-Velasco J, Blockeel C, Romito A, et al. The effect of dose adjustments in a subsequent cycle of women with suboptimal response following conventional ovarian stimulation. *Front Endocrinol.* (2018) 9:361. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00361
- Conforti A, Esteves SC, Strina I, Picarelli S, Iorio G, Rania E, et al. Novel approaches for diagnosis and management of low prognosis patients in ART: the POSEIDON concept. *Panminerva Med.* (2018) 61:24–9. doi: 10.23736/S0031-0808.18.03511-5
- 39. van Tilborg TC, Oudshoorn SC, Eijkemans MJC, Mochtar MH, van Golde RJT, Hoek A, et al. Individualized FSH dosing based on ovarian reserve testing in women starting IVF/ICSI: a multicentre trial and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Hum Reprod.* (2017) 32:2485–95. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dex321
- Relling MV, Evans WE. Pharmacogenomics in the clinic. Nature. (2015) 526:343–50. doi: 10.1038/nature15817
- Alviggi C, Conforti A, Fabozzi F, De Placido G. Ovarian stimulation for IVF/ICSI cycles: A pharmacogenomic approach. *Med Therap Med Reprod Gynecol Endocrinol.* (2009) 11:271–7. doi: 10.1684/mte.2009.0255
- 42. Conforti A, Alfano S, De Rosa P, Alviggi C, De Placido G. The role of gonadotropin polymorphisms and their receptors in assisted reproductive technologies and controlled ovarian stimulation: a prospective observational study. *Ital J Gynaecol Obstetr.* (2017) 29:15–21.doi: 10.14660/2385-0868-67
- Simoni M, Casarini L. Mechanisms in endocrinology: genetics of FSH action: a 2014-and-beyond view. *Eur J Endocrinol.* (2014) 170:R91–107. doi: 10.1530/EJE-13-0624
- 44. Forman EJ, Hong KH, Ferry KM, Tao X, Taylor D, Levy B, et al. *In vitro* fertilization with single euploid blastocyst transfer: a randomized controlled trial. *Fertil Steril*. (2013) 100:100–7.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.02.056
- 45. Ata B, Kaplan B, Danzer H, Glassner M, Opsahl M, Tan SL, et al. Array CGH analysis shows that aneuploidy is not related to the number of embryos generated. *Reprod Biomed Online*. (2012) 24:614–20. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2012.02.009
- 46. Esteves SC, Carvalho JF, Martinhago CD, Melo AA, Bento FC, Humaidan P, et al. Estimation of age-dependent decrease in blastocyst euploidy by next generation sequencing: development of a novel prediction model. *Panminerva Med.* (2018) 61:3–10. doi: 10.23736/S0031-0808.18.03507-3
- Devroey P, Pellicer A, Nyboe Andersen A, Arce JC. A randomized assessorblind trial comparing highly purified hMG and recombinant FSH in a GnRH antagonist cycle with compulsory single-blastocyst transfer. *Fertil Steril.* (2012) 97:561–71. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.12.016
- Lehert P, Schertz JC, Ezcurra D. Recombinant human follicle-stimulating hormone produces more oocytes with a lower total dose per cycle in assisted reproductive technologies compared with highly purified human menopausal gonadotrophin: a meta-analysis. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* (2010) 8:112. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-8-112
- Hompes PG, Broekmans FJ, Hoozemans DA, Schats R. Effectiveness of highly purified human menopausal gonadotropin vs. recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone in first-cycle *in vitro* fertilizationintracytoplasmic sperm injection patients. *Fertil Steril.* (2008) 89:1685–93. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.05.039
- 50. Platteau P, Andersen AN, Balen A, Devroey P, Sorensen P, Helmgaard L, et al. Similar ovulation rates, but different follicular development with highly purified menotrophin compared with recombinant FSH in WHO Group II anovulatory infertility: a randomized controlled study. *Hum Reprod.* (2006) 21:1798–804. doi: 10.1093/humrep/del085

- 51. Behre HM, Greb RR, Mempel A, Sonntag B, Kiesel L, Kaltwasser P, et al. Significance of a common single nucleotide polymorphism in exon 10 of the follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) receptor gene for the ovarian response to FSH: a pharmacogenetic approach to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation. *Pharm Genom.* (2005) 15:451–6. doi: 10.1097/01.fpc.0000167330.92786.5e
- Genro VK, Matte U, De Conto E, Cunha-Filho JS, Fanchin R. Frequent polymorphisms of FSH receptor do not influence antral follicle responsiveness to follicle-stimulating hormone administration as assessed by the Follicular Output RaTe (FORT). J Assist Reprod Genet. (2012) 29:657–63. doi: 10.1007/s10815-012-9761-7
- Lisi F, Rinaldi L, Fishel S, Lisi R, Pepe GP, Picconeri MG, et al. Use of recombinant LH in a group of unselected IVF patients. *Reprod Biomed Online*. (2002) 5:104–8. doi: 10.1016/S1472-6483(10)61610-0
- Ferraretti AP, Gianaroli L, Magli MC, D'Angelo A, Farfalli V, Montanaro N. Exogenous luteinizing hormone in controlled ovarian hyperstimulation for assisted reproduction techniques. *Fertil Steril.* (2004) 82:1521–6. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2004.06.041
- 55. De Placido G, Alviggi C, Perino A, Strina I, Lisi F, Fasolino A, et al. Recombinant human LH supplementation versus recombinant human FSH (rFSH) step-up protocol during controlled ovarian stimulation in normogonadotrophic women with initial inadequate ovarian response to rFSH. A multicentre, prospective, randomized controlled trial. *Hum Reprod.* (2005) 20:390–6. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deh625
- Ruvolo G, Bosco L, Pane A, Morici G, Cittadini E, Roccheri MC. Lower apoptosis rate in human cumulus cells after administration of recombinant luteinizing hormone to women undergoing ovarian stimulation for *in vitro* fertilization procedures. *Fertil Steril*. (2007) 87:542–6. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2006.06.059
- Alviggi C, Conforti A, Esteves SC, Andersen CY, Bosch E, Buhler K, et al. Recombinant luteinizing hormone supplementation in assisted reproductive technology: a systematic review. *Fertil Steril.* (2018) 109:644–64. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2018.01.003
- Alviggi C, Mollo A, Clarizia R, De Placido G. Exploiting LH in ovarian stimulation. *Reprod Biomed Online*. (2006) 12:221–33. doi: 10.1016/S1472-6483(10)60865-6
- 59. De Placido G, Alviggi C, Mollo A, Strina I, Ranieri A, Alviggi E, et al. Effects of recombinant LH (rLH) supplementation during controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH) in normogonadotrophic women with an initial inadequate response to recombinant FSH (rFSH) after pituitary downregulation. *Clin Endocrinol.* (2004) 60:637–43. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2265.2004.02027.x
- 60. Yilmaz FY, Görkemli H, Çolakoglu MC, Aktan M, Gezginç K. The evaluation of recombinant LH supplementation in patients with suboptimal response to recombinant FSH undergoing IVF treatment with GnRH agonist down-regulation. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* (2015) 31:141–4. doi: 10.3109/09513590.2014.965675
- Pezzuto A, Ferrari B, Coppola F, Nardelli GB. LH supplementation in down-regulated women undergoing assisted reproduction with baseline low serum LH levels. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* (2010) 26:118–24. doi: 10.3109/09513590903215516
- Pettersson KS, Söderholm JR. Individual differences in lutropin immunoreactivity revealed by monoclonal antibodies. *Clin Chem.* (1991) 37:333–40.
- Haavisto AM, Pettersson K, Bergendahl M, Virkamaki A, Huhtaniemi I. Occurrence and biological properties of a common genetic variant of luteinizing hormone. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (1995) 80:1257–63. doi: 10.1210/jcem.80.4.7714098
- 64. Lindgren I, Baath M, Uvebrant K, Dejmek A, Kjaer L, Henic E, et al. Combined assessment of polymorphisms in the LHCGR and FSHR genes predict chance of pregnancy after *in vitro* fertilization. *Hum Reprod.* (2016) 31:672–83. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dev342
- 65. Alviggi C, Conforti A, Cariati F, Alfano S, Strina I, Huhtaniemi I, et al. Abstracts of the 32nd annual meeting of the european society of human reproduction and embryology. *Hum Reprod.* (2016) 31(suppl_1):i1-513. doi: 10.1093/humrep/31.Supplement_1.1
- 66. Conforti A, Esteves SC, Di Rella F, Strina I, De Rosa P, Fiorenza A, et al. The role of recombinant LH in women with hypo-response to controlled ovarian

stimulation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* (2019) 17:18. doi: 10.1186/s12958-019-0460-4

- Yakin K, Urman B. DHEA as a miracle drug in the treatment of poor responders; hype or hope? *Hum Reprod.* (2011) 26:1941–4. doi: 10.1093/humrep/der150
- Casson PR, Lindsay MS, Pisarska MD, Carson SA, Buster JE. Dehydroepiandrosterone supplementation augments ovarian stimulation in poor responders: a case series. *Hum Reprod.* (2000) 15:2129–32. doi: 10.1093/humrep/15.10.2129
- Nagels HE, Rishworth JR, Siristatidis CS, Kroon B. Androgens (dehydroepiandrosterone or testosterone) for women undergoing assisted reproduction. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2015) 26:Cd009749. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009749.pub2
- Tartagni M, Cicinelli MV, Baldini D, Tartagni MV, Alrasheed H, DeSalvia MA, et al. Dehydroepiandrosterone decreases the age-related decline of the *in vitro* fertilization outcome in women younger than 40 years old. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* (2015) 13:18. doi: 10.1186/s12958-015-0014-3
- Moawad A, Shaeer M. Long-term androgen priming by use of dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) improves IVF outcome in poor-responder patients. A randomized controlled study. *Middle East Fertil Soc J.* (2012) 17:268–74. doi: 10.1016/j.mefs.2012.11.002
- Davison SL, Bell R, Donath S, Montalto JG, Davis SR. Androgen levels in adult females: changes with age, menopause, and oophorectomy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2005) 90:3847–53. doi: 10.1210/jc.2005-0212
- Welt CK, Jimenez Y, Sluss PM, Smith PC, Hall JE. Control of estradiol secretion in reproductive ageing. *Hum Reprod.* (2006) 21:2189–93. doi: 10.1093/humrep/del136
- 74. Vaiarelli A, Cimadomo D, Ubaldi N, Rienzi L, Ubaldi FM. What is new in the management of poor ovarian response in IVF? *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* (2018) 30:155–62. doi: 10.1097/gco.0000000000 00452
- 75. Cimadomo D, Vaiarelli A, Colamaria S, Trabucco E, Alviggi C, Venturella R, et al. Luteal phase anovulatory follicles result in the production of competent oocytes: intra-patient paired case-control study comparing follicular versus luteal phase stimulations in the same ovarian cycle. *Hum Reprod.* (2018). doi: 10.1093/humrep/dey217. [Epub ahead of print].
- Ubaldi FM, Capalbo A, Vaiarelli A, Cimadomo D, Colamaria S, Alviggi C, et al. Follicular versus luteal phase ovarian stimulation during the same menstrual cycle (DuoStim) in a reduced ovarian reserve population results in a similar euploid blastocyst formation rate: new insight in ovarian reserve exploitation. *Fertil Steril.* (2016) 105:1488–95.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016. 03.002
- 77. Vaiarelli A, Cimadomo D, Trabucco E, Vallefuoco R, Buffo L, Dusi L, et al. Double stimulation in the same ovarian cycle (DuoStim) to maximize the number of oocytes retrieved from poor prognosis patients: a multicenter experience and SWOT analysis. *Front Endocrinol.* (2018) 9:317. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2018.00317

Conflict of Interest Statement: AC, CA, SE, FU, and GD are co-founders and members of the POSEIDON group. CA received honoraria for lectures from Merck. SE received honoraria for lectures from Merck, Besins, Lilly, and Gedeon-Richter.

The handling editor is currently co-organizing a Research Topic with two of the authors SE and CA, and confirms the absence of any other collaboration.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Conforti, Esteves, Cimadomo, Vaiarelli, Di Rella, Ubaldi, Zullo, De Placido and Alviggi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.