http://jkcvhl.com

Journal of Kidney Cancer and VHL 2014;1(1):1-11

**Review Article** 

# Sunitinib resistance in renal cell carcinoma

# Christudas Morais

Centre for Kidney Disease Research, School of Medicine, The University of Queensland at Translational Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland 4102, Australia

Author for correspondence: Christudas Morais, Centre for Kidney Disease Research, University of Queensland at Translational Research Institute, 37 Kent Street, Woolloongabba, QLD 4102, Australia, Email: <u>c.morais@uq.edu.au</u>

Received: 11 March 2014; Accepted: 10 April 2014; Published 22 April 2014

#### Abstract

Of the many targeted therapies introduced since 2006, sunitinib has carved its way to become the most commonly used first-line therapy for the treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Despite significant improvements in progression-free survival, 30% of the patients are intrinsically resistant to sunitinib and the remaining 70% who respond initially will eventually become resistant in 6–15 months. While the molecular mechanisms of acquired resistance to sunitinib have been unravelling at a rapid rate, the mechanisms of intrinsic resistance remain elusive. Combination therapy, sunitinib rechallenge and sequential therapy have been investigated as means to overcome resistance to sunitinib. Of these, sequential therapy appears to be the most promising strategy. This mini review summarises our emerging understanding of the molecular mechanisms, and the strategies employed to overcome sunitinib resistance.

#### Introduction

The past decade has witnessed tremendous improvements in the understanding of the role of angiogenesis in renal cell carcinoma (RCC), leading to the development and implementation of many angiogenesisinhibitors, also known as targeted therapies, in clinical practice (1-7). These achievements largely stem from the elucidation of two inter-connected molecular pathways that regulate angiogenesis and proliferation in RCC, an inactivated von Hippel Lindau (VHL) gene and mammalian target activated of rapamycin (mTOR) (6-11). These pathways have been extensively reviewed (8-18). Of the many targeted therapies, sunitinib has carved its way to become the most frequently used first-line therapy for the treatment of metastatic RCC. However, the initial enthusiasm is hampered by the development of intrinsic and extrinsic resistance to therapy. In this mini review, a summary of the angiogenesis pathway in RCC, the emerging molecular mechanisms of sunitinib resistance, and the approaches to overcome resistance to sunitinib in RCC are discussed.

#### The VHL-HIF axis in RCC

The VHL gene is inactivated in 70-80% of sporadic clear cell RCC either through mutations, hyper-methylations or loss of heterozygosity (8-10). Subsequently, the production of its functional protein, pVHL, is either inhibited or decreased in these cases. The best studied function of pVHL is the degradation of the transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF). As the microenvironment of solid tumors is often hypoxic, tumor cells undergo adaptive changes to facilitate their survival. One such survival mechanism under hypoxic conditions is the up-regulation of HIFalpha (HIF-a). Under normoxic conditions, pVHL forms complexes with elongin B, elongin C, Rbx1 and cullin 2 to form a pVHL- E3 ubiquitin ligase complex (pVHL-E3 complex) (15-23). The pVHL-E3 complex then binds to HIF-a, leading to its polyubiquitination and proteasomal degradation. In the absence of a functional pVHL, secondary to VHL mutations, the formation of the pVHL-E3 complex and its binding to HIF are inhibited and therefore, the degradation of HIF-a is prevented even in normoxic conditions (15-23). This leads to the stabilization and accumulation of HIF in cells (Figure 1). Subsequently, HIF is translocated to the nucleus, where it binds to hypoxia-responsive elements of the DNA and transactivates a plethora of molecules that regulate angiogenesis (Figure 1). The best studied of these molecules is the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), a crucial regulator of vascular development during embryogenesis (vasculogenesis) and blood vessel formation from the existing endothelium in adults (angiogenesis) (24-31).

# The role of VEGF in RCC

In humans, the VEGF system consists of five secreted ligands, VEGF A-D and placenta growth factor-1 (PIGF), and three receptor tyrosine kinases, VEGF R1-R3. The binding of the ligands to the receptors **VEGF-mediated** initiates angiogenesis involves endothelial which cell proliferation, migration, permeability and capillary formation. However, VEGF alone is not sufficient for the maintenance and stabilization of the newly formed vessels, and requires input from the surrounding microenvironment. This support comes from surrounding peri-endothelial cells such as vascular smooth muscles (VSMC) and pericytes that stabilize the newly formed vasculature and support endothelial cell survival (32-34). This is achieved by the cross talk between plateletderived growth factor-B (PDGF-B) secreted by the endothelial cells and the receptor tyrosine kinases of PDGF, especially

PDGFR-B, of the VSMC and pericytes (32-35). Thus the interplay between VEGF, PDGF and their tyrosine kinase receptors plays a crucial role in angiogenesis secondary to VHL inactivation in RCC.

# The role of mTOR in RCC

mTOR, which exists as mTORC1 and mTORC2 complexes, is a key component of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt signalling pathway that regulate cell cycle, proliferation and angiogenesis (12, 36). mTOR signalling can be activated via a number of mechanisms, including overexpression of growth factor receptors, mutations in PI3K/Akt, tuberous sclerosis tumor suppressor genes TSC1/2 or phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) (37-42). mTOR activates the translation of the pro-proliferative factors such as cyclin D1 and cMyc, and the angiogenic factor HIF through phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S6 kinase (S6K) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4EBP1) (6, 43-45). The mTORC2, through protein kinase Ca, regulates cell morphology, motility, adhesion, invasion metastasis (45). Furthermore, and inactivation of VHL increases mTOR activity which in turn exacerbates the loss of VHL function leading to enhanced HIF activity (38) (Figure 1). VHL inactivation itself leads to deregulation of cyclin D1 (6, 44). Thus mTOR activation is involved in cell proliferation through cyclin D1 and c-Myc, and angiogenesis through HIF (Figure 1).

# Targeted therapy in RCC

Elucidation of these pathways had identified the potential of angiogenesis inhibition as a promising therapeutic option for metastatic RCC leading to the implementation development and of angiogenesis and mTOR inhibitors in clinical practice. These targeted therapies are broadly classified as VEGF inhibitors, multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitors and mTOR inhibitors. The most successful VEGF VEGFinhibitor is the humanized neutralizing antibody bevacizumab, which



**Figure 1.The role of VHL and mTOR in angiogenesis and proliferation of RCC.** A non-functional VHL is the major risk factor for the development and progression of RCC. The functional protein of VHL, pVHL, complexes with E3-ligase and degrades HIF. When the VHL is non-functional, HIF is stabilized and translocated to nucleus where it binds with HIF responsive elements of the DNA and activates many pro-angiogenic factors including VEGF and PDGF. They interact with their respective tyrosine kinase receptors VEGFR (mostly at endothelial cells) and PDGFR (mostly at vascular smooth muscle cells and pericytes) and promote angiogenesis. The PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is activated by many factors including growth factor receptors. mTOR in turn activates cyclin D1 and cMyc and promotes cell proliferation and survival. Furthermore, VHL inactivation also activates mTOR, which in turn up-regulates HIF and subsequent angiogenesis. GFR, growth factor receptor; HIF, hypoxia-inducible factor; PDGF platelet-derived growth factor; PDGFR, receptor for PDGF; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGFR, receptor for VEGF; VHL,von Hippel Lindau gene.

exerts its anti-angiogenic activity by acting against the angiogenic endothelial cells surrounding the tumor, rather than the tumor per se, thus blocking the supply of oxygen and nutrients to the tumors (46-48). The multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitors are sunitinib, sorafenib, pazopanib and axitinib. Many more are in various phases of clinical trials. They inhibit multiple tyrosine kinase receptors and neutralize the downstream signalling pathways activated by ligand-receptor binding that leads to angiogenesis. Two of the most successful mTOR inhibitors are temsirolimus and everolimus. Both are rapamycin analogues and bind to FK506binding protein 12 (FKBP12), which in turn binds to mTOR leading to the inhibition of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway (45, 49). In addition, temsirolimus has been shown to have a direct inhibitory effect on HIF and VEGF (50). Of these targeted therapeutics, sunitinib has become the most frequently used first-line targeted therapeutic for the treatment of metastatic RCC.

# Sunitinib in RCC

Sunitinib malate (SUTENT<sup>®</sup>) is a small molecule multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor that inhibits VEGFR-1, -2 and -3, PDGFR- $\alpha$  and - $\beta$ , stem cell factor receptor (KIT), Fms-

like tyrosine kinase 3, colony stimulating factor type 1 receptor and the glial cell-linederived neurotrophic factor receptor (RET) (51). Phase II trials of sunitinib in cytokinerefractory RCC patients showed response rates of 39-40%, stable disease rates of 23-27%, median time to tumor progression of 8.7 months, and a median survival of 16.4 months (52-55), leading to its accelerated approval for RCC in 2006. This was followed by regular approval in 2007 based on a phase III clinical trial that showed superior outcome as a first-line therapy when compared with interferon alpha in patients with clear cell RCC (1, 3, 51, 55). Subsequently, immunotherapy, which used to be the mainstay of treatment for metastatic RCC, was replaced by targeted therapy, and sunitinib has become the most commonly used first-line therapy for metastatic RCC.

# Intrinsic and acquired resistance to sunitinib

Despite the benefits achieved through sunitinib in terms of progression-free survival and disease stabilization, all patients develop resistance to sunitinib and eventually relapse. While the criteria for defining resistance or response to therapy RECIST (response evaluation based on criteria in solid tumors) are debatable as recently pointed out (56, 57), and investigators implement variations, based on the available data it is reasonable to conclude that approximately 70% of patients respond to therapy initially and the remaining 30% show primary resistance (intrinsic resistance) (57-59). In the 70% of patients who show initial response, durable responses are rare, and acquired resistance (extrinsic resistance) to treatment develops in almost all of them in 6-15 months (56-63).

The mechanisms of sunitinib resistance are varied and multifactorial. Table 1 summarizes our emerging understanding of the molecular mechanisms of resistance to sunitinib. It should be noted that most of these mechanisms are based on preclinical studies, and therefore, their relevance in clinical settings is yet to be verified. Taken together, the functional

significance of these mechanisms can be summarized under two categories: restoration of angiogenesis through the activation of VEGF-independent pathways (64-69, 71-80) and reduced bioavailability either through increased efflux or lysosomal sequestration (70, 81). Of these, activation of tyrosine kinase-independent alternate angiogenesis pathways leading to restoration of angiogenesis appears to be gaining consensus.

the mechanisms of sunitinib-While mediated alternate angiogenesis are still elusive, hypoxia is emerging as the major culprit. Sunitinib inhibits angiogenesis, largely through the inhibition of VEGF and its receptors. This helps in the stabilization or regression of the tumor in the short term. However, this also results in hypoxia. Sustained hypoxia by sunitinib 'resets' the tumor microenvironment and leads to the VEGF/VEGFRdevelopment of а independent alternate angiogenic pathway through the up-regulation of angiogenic factors other than VEGF (60, 83). For example, as shown in Table 1, upregulation of IL-8 or down-regulation of IFN- $\gamma$  (65, 69), may circumvent the antieffects angiogenic of sunitinib, and functionally compensate the for VEGF/VEGFR-mediated inhibition of angiogenesis. Apart from contributing to acquired resistance through alternate angiogenesis pathways, hypoxia could also contribute to intrinsic resistance bv selecting а more malignant RCC phenotype, which accelerate may metastatic development and prone cells to insensitivity for anti-angiogenic treatment (59, 84).

# **Overcoming resistance to sunitinib**

The pre-clinical studies (Table 1) have demonstrated the beneficial effects of adjunct therapy, targeted at the specific molecules identified at each study, to overcome resistance. However, their clinical relevance needs to be established. In patients, sunitinib rechallenge, combination therapy and sequential therapy have been investigated to overcome sunitinib resistance.

Parameter	Mechanism of Resistance	Ref
ATX*	Endothelial ATX activates LPA signalling to promote renal tumorigenesis	(64)
Chemokines	Down-regulation of angiostatic chemokines IFN-γ, IFN-γR and CXCL9 restores angiogenesis	(65)
COX-2	Enhanced COX-2 up-regulates HIF	(66)
EMMPRIN	High EMMPRIN causes resistance via hyaluronan- mediated activation of ErbB2	(67)
HDM2/HDMX	Inhibition of p53 by HDM2 and HDMX restores angiogenesis	(68)
IL-8	Increased plasma level leads to tumor growth and vascularity	(69)
Lysosomes	Sequestration of sunitinib in lysosomes reduces bioavailability	(70)
MicroRNA	Decreased miR-141promotes angiogenesis; increased miR-942, miR-628-5p, miR-133a, and miR-484 promote angiogenesis through up- regulation of MMP-9 and VEGF	(71, 72)
MDSC	Intra-tumoral MDSC provides sustained immune suppression and angiogenesis	(73)
NGAL	Increased NGAL activates alternate pro-angiogenic signaling pathway such as Ras-GTP, Erk1/2, and STAT1a	(74)
Polymorphism	CYP3A5 rs776746; VEGFR2 rs1870377; VEGFR3 rs307826; VEGFR3 rs307821; VEGFR3 rs448012; PDGFRA rs1800812; IL-8 rs4073; PXR rs3814055; ABCB1 rs2032582; ABCB1 rs1128503	(75-78)
PRKX	Overexpression up-regulates microphthalmia- associated transcription factor (MITF)	(79)
PTEN	Inactivation of PTEN restores angiogenesis through activation of P13/Akt/mTOR	(80)
RLIP76	Active efflux of sunitinib from cells leads to reduced bioavailability	(81)
SKI	SK1activates ERK and inhibits ATP-binding cassette (ABC) drug transporter family	(82)

**Table 1.** Emerging mechanisms of resistance to sunitinib

\*ATX, autotaxin; Cox-2, cycloxygenase-2; EMMPRIN, Extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer; HDM2, human double minute 2; HDMX, human double minute x; IL-8, interleukin-8; LPA, lysophosphatidic acid; MDSC, myeloid derived suppressor cells; NGAL, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin; PRKX, protein kinase x-linked; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog; RLIP76, ral-interacting protein 76; SKI,sphingosine kinase-1.

The rationale for sunitinib rechallenge is that resistance to sunitinib is transient and that after a short treatment interruption, sensitivity to sunitinib can be restored by subsequent rechallenge. Evidence for this comes from a pre-clinical study, a retrospective study, and a case report. In the pre-clinical study, primary RCC cells isolated from patients who were resistant to sunitinib, when grown as a mouse xenograft, responded to sunitinib (85). In the retrospective study, of the 23 patients rechallenged with sunitinib, 5 patients achieved an objective partial (22%) response, and 17 patients (74%) had stable disease (86). The median progression-free survival (PFS) was 13.7 months with initial treatment, and months with 7.2rechallenge. Patients who had an interval of more than 6 months between sunitinib rechallenge had a longer PFS than those who started the rechallenge within 6 months (median PFS, 16.5 vs 6.0 months; P=.03). No substantial new toxicity or

significantly increased severity of prior toxicity was seen during rechallenge (86). A case report by Ravaud and colleagues showed that rechallenge with sunitinib resulted in a partial response for 12 months in a 60-year old patient (61). Additional prospective studies are required to establish the beneficial effect of sunitinib rechallenge.

Combination therapy aims to overcome the limitations of a single drug either by enhancing its effectiveness on a single pathway or acting on a different molecule thereby by blocking multiple pathways (60, 87, 88). Medioni and colleagues (89) investigated a combination of sunitinib (25-50 mg) with bevacizumab (10 mg/kg), as a salvage therapy after disease progression under sunitinib monotherapy. Of the 7 patients, 2 patients showed a partial response, four had stable disease, and one patient had disease progression. The median PFS and overall survival were 8.5 and 15.1 months respectively with a tolerable toxicity profile (89). Many phase I studies have investigated a combination of sunitinib with bevacizumab (90), interferon (91), temsirolimus (92), and everolimus (60, 93). These studies were abandoned because of high degree of toxicities without any apparent benefits.

The rationale for sequential therapy is that established resistance to sunitinib may be reversed with an alternate agent that either targets the same signalling pathway, or a different pathway (56, 59, 61, 94, 95). Sequential therapies that have been tested so far include axitinib (96), pazopanib (97, 98), everolimus and temsirolimus (99). As recently summarized by Sun et al., the optimal sequential therapy appears to be sunitinib-axitinib-everolimus (100). The most promising finding is a recent case report by Raja, which employed six lines of sequential therapy in a 45-year old male Sunitinib-everolimus-sorafenibpatient: bevacizumab/vinblastine/mitomvcinCtemsirolimus-bevacizumab/everolimus.

This resulted in a survival of 49 months (101).

# Conclusion

Intrinsic and extrinsic resistance to sunitinib remains a challenge in the

effective treatment of metastatic RCC. The mechanisms of intrinsic resistance remain elusive, and much research is warranted in this largely unexplored area. At the same time, pre-clinical studies have been unravelling the molecular mechanisms of extrinsic or acquired resistance at a rapid rate. However, there is a wide gap between the bench and the bedside. While optimism remains that this gap will narrow in the years to come, at present, the best strategy to overcome resistance to sunitinib appears to be sequential therapy. There is no universal consensus as to the optimal sequence of therapy. While establishing a universal consensus for sequential therapy appears logical, the practicality of implementing such approach would be difficult, at least in part, due the heterogeneity of RCC. Thus personalised medicine could be one way to overcome resistance. To achieve this, discovery of a sunitinib resistance 'biomarker map' would be of utmost value. A close interaction between clinicians and basic scientists aimed at designing clinically relevant experiments will enable a speedy resolution to overcome sunitinib resistance in the days to come.

# Conflict of interest: None

# References

1. Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Tomczak P, Michaelson MD, Bukowski RM, Oudard S, Negrier S, Szczylik C, Pili R, Bjarnason GA, Garcia-del-Muro X, Sosman JA, Solska E, Wilding G, Thompson JA, Kim ST, Chen I, Huang X, Figlin RA. Overall survival and updated results for sunitinib compared with interferon alfa in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 27:3584-3590.

2. Motzer RJ, Escudier B, Oudard S, Hutson TE, Porta C, Bracarda S, Grünwald V, Thompson JA, Figlin RA, Hollaender N, Urbanowitz G, Berg WJ, Kay A, Lebwohl D, Ravaud A; RECORD-1 Study Group. Efficacy of everolimus in advanced renal cell carcinoma: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled phase III trial. Lancet. 2008;372:449-456.

3. Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Tomczak P, Michaelson MD, Bukowski RM, Rixe O, Oudard S, Negrier S, Szczylik C, Kim ST, Chen I, Bycott PW, Baum CM, Figlin RA. Sunitinib versus interferon alfa in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 2007;356:115-124.

Sternberg CN, Davis ID, Mardiak J, 4. Szczylik C, Lee E, Wagstaff J, Barrios CH, Salman P, Gladkov OA, Kavina A, Zarbá JJ, Chen M, McCann L, Pandite L, Rovchowdhurv Hawkins DF. RE. Pazopanib in locally advanced or metastatic renal cell carcinoma: results of a randomized phase III trial. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:1061-1068.

5. Yang JC, Haworth L, Sherry RM, Hwu P, Schwartzentruber DJ, Topalian SL, Steinberg SM, Chen HX, Rosenberg SA. A randomized trial of bevacizumab, an antivascular endothelial growth factor antibody, for metastatic renal cancer. N Engl J Med. 2003;349:427-434.

6. Hudes GR. mTOR as a target for therapy of renal cancer. Clin Adv Hematol Oncol. 2007;5:772-774.

Hudes G, Carducci M, Tomczak P, 7. Dutcher J, Figlin R, Kapoor А, Staroslawska E, Sosman J, McDermott D, Bodrogi I, Kovacevic Z, Lesovoy V, Schmidt-Wolf IG, Barbarash O, Gokmen E, O'Toole T, Lustgarten S, Moore L, Motzer RJ; Global ARCC Temsirolimus, Trial. interferon alfa, or both for advanced renalcarcinoma. Engl cell Ν J Med. 2007;356:2271-2281.

8. Kim WY, Kaelin WG. Role of VHL gene mutation in human cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2004;22:4991-5004.

9. Arai E, Kanai Y. Genetic and epigenetic alterations during renal carcinogenesis. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2010;4:58-73.

10. Herman JG, Latif F, Weng Y, Lerman MI, Zbar B, Liu S, Samid D, Duan DS, Gnarra JR, Linehan WM, Baylin SB. Silencing of the VHL tumor-suppressor gene by DNA methylation in renal carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994;91:9700-9704.

11. Clark PE. The role of VHL in clearcell renal cell carcinoma and its relation to targeted therapy. Kidney Int. 2009:76:939-945.

12. Pal SK, Quinn DI. Differentiating mTOR inhibitors in renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Treat Rev. 2013;39:709-719.

13. Linehan WM, Vasselli J, Srinivasan R, Walther MM, Merino M, Choyke P, Vocke C, Schmidt L, Isaacs JS, Glenn G, Toro J, Zbar B, Bottaro D, Neckers L. Genetic basis of cancer of the kidney: disease-specific approaches to therapy. Clin Cancer Res. 2004;10(18 Pt 2):6282S-6289S.

14. Morais C, Johnson DW, Gobe GC. The VHL-HIF signaling in renal cell carcinoma: Promises and pitfalls. In: Amato RJ (Ed). Emerging Research and Treatments in Renal Cell Carcinoma. InTech Publishers, Coratia 2011; p. 57-82.

15. Huang LE, Bunn HF. Hypoxiainducible factor and its biomedical relevance. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:19575-19578.

16. Maxwell PH, Wiesener MS, Chang GW, Clifford SC, Vaux EC, Cockman ME, Wykoff CC, Pugh CW, Maher ER, Ratcliffe PJ. The tumour suppressor protein VHL targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis. Nature. 1999;399:271-275.

17. Maynard MA, Ohh M. Von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein and hypoxia-inducible factor in kidney cancer. Am J Nephrol. 2004;24:1-13.

18. Baldewijns MM, van Vlodrop IJ, Vermeulen PB, Soetekouw PM, van Engeland M, de Bruine AP. VHL and HIF signalling in renal cell carcinogenesis. J Pathol. 2010;221:125-138.

19. Maynard MA, Qi H, Chung J, Lee EH, Kondo Y, Hara S, et al. Multiple splice variants of the human HIF-3 alpha locus are targets of the von Hippel-Lindau E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. J Biol Chem. 2003;278:11032-11040.

20. Stebbins CE, Kaelin WG, Jr., Pavletich NP. Structure of the VHL-ElonginC-ElonginB complex: implications for VHL tumor suppressor function. Science. 1999;284:455-461.

21. Kaelin WG. The von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein: roles in cancer and oxygen sensing. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 2005;70:159-166.

22. Tanimoto K, Makino Y, Pereira T, Poellinger L. Mechanism of regulation of the hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha by the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor protein. Embo J. 2000;19:4298-4309.

23. Salceda S, Caro J. Hypoxia-(HIF-1alpha) inducible factor 1alpha protein is rapidly degraded by the under ubiquitin-proteasome system normoxic conditions. Its stabilization by depends hypoxia on redox-induced changes. J Biol Chem. 1997;272:22642-22647.

24. Carmeliet P. VEGF as a key mediator of angiogenesis in cancer. Oncology (Williston Park). 2005;69 Suppl 3:4-10.

25. Cebe-Suarez S, Zehnder-Fjallman A, Ballmer-Hofer K. The role of VEGF receptors in angiogenesis; complex partnerships. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2006;63:601-615.

26. Hicklin DJ, Ellis LM. Role of the vascular endothelial growth factor pathway in tumor growth and angiogenesis. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23:1011-1027.

27. Roy H, Bhardwaj S, Yla-Herttuala S. Biology of vascular endothelial growth factors. FEBS Lett. 2006;580:2879-2887.

28. Stuttfeld E, Ballmer-Hofer K. Structure and function of VEGF receptors. IUBMB Life. 2009;61:915-922.

29. Tammela T, Enholm B, Alitalo K, Paavonen K. The biology of vascular endothelial growth factors. Cardiovasc Res. 2005;65:550-563.

30. Thurston G, Kitajewski J. VEGF and Delta-Notch: interacting signalling pathways in tumour angiogenesis. Br J Cancer. 2008;99:1204-1209.

31. Olsson AK, Dimberg A, Kreuger J, Claesson-Welsh L. VEGF receptor signalling - in control of vascular function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006;7:359-371.

32. Carmeliet P. Mechanisms of angiogenesis and arteriogenesis. Nat Med. 2000;6:389-395.

33. Hellstrom M, Kalen M, Lindahl P, Abramsson A, Betsholtz C. Role of PDGF-B and PDGFR-beta in recruitment of vascular smooth muscle cells and pericytes during embryonic blood vessel formation in the mouse. Development. 1999;126:3047-3055.

34. Labrecque L, Lamy S, Chapus A, Mihoubi S, Durocher Y, Cass B, Bojanowski MW, Gingras D, Béliveau R. Combined inhibition of PDGF and VEGF receptors by ellagic acid, a dietary-derived phenolic compound. Carcinogenesis. 2005;26:821-826.

35. Ostman A. PDGF receptorsmediators of autocrine tumor growth and regulators of tumor vasculature and stroma. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2004;15:275-286.

36. Meric-Bernstam F, Gonzalez-Angulo AM. Targeting the mTOR signaling network for cancer therapy. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27:2278-2287. 37. Populo H, Lopes JM, Soares P. The mTOR Signalling Pathway in Human Cancer. Int J Mol Sci. 2012;13:1886-1918.

38. Kucejova B, Pena-Llopis S, Yamasaki T, Sivanand S, Tran TA, Alexander S, Alexander S, Wolff NC, Lotan Y, Xie XJ, Kabbani W, Kapur P, Brugarolas J. Interplay between pVHL and mTORC1 pathways in clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. Mol Cancer Res. 2011;9:1255-1265.

39. Weichhart T. Mammalian target of rapamycin: a signaling kinase for every aspect of cellular life. Methods Mol Biol. 2012;821:1-14.

40. Osborne JP, Fryer A, Webb D. Epidemiology of tuberous sclerosis. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1991;615:125-127.

41. Crino PB, Nathanson KL, Henske EP. The tuberous sclerosis complex. N Engl J Med. 2006;355:1345-1356.

42. Linehan WM, Bratslavsky G, Pinto PA, Schmidt LS, Neckers L, Bottaro DP, Srinivasan R. Molecular diagnosis and therapy of kidney cancer. Annu Rev Med. 2010;61:329-343.

43. Gomez-Pinillos A, Ferrari AC. mTOR signaling pathway and mTOR inhibitors in cancer therapy. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am. 2012;26:483-505.

44. Figlin RA, Kaufmann I, Brechbiel J. Targeting PI3K and mTORC2 in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: new strategies for overcoming resistance to VEGFR and mTORC1 inhibitors. Int J Cancer. 2013;133:788-796.

45. Zhou H, Huang S. Role of mTOR signaling in tumor cell motility, invasion and metastasis. Curr Protein Pept Sci. 2011;12:30-42.

46. Banumathy G, Cairns P. Signaling pathways in renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Biol Ther. 2010;10:658-664.

47. Ellis LM, Hicklin DJ. VEGF-targeted therapy: mechanisms of anti-tumour activity. Nat Rev Cancer. 2008;8:579-591.

48. Ainsworth NL, Lee JS, Eisen T. Impact of anti-angiogenic treatments on metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. 2009;9:1793-1805.

49. Zhou H, Luo Y, Huang S. Updates of mTOR inhibitors. Anticancer Agents Med Chem. 2010;10:571-581.

50. Del Bufalo D, Ciuffreda L, Trisciuoglio D, Desideri M, Cognetti F, Zupi G, Milella M. Antiangiogenic potential of the Mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitor temsirolimus. Cancer Res. 2006;66:5549-5554.

Goodman VL, Rock EP, Dagher R, 51. Ramchandani RP, Abraham S, Gobburu JV, Booth BP, Verbois SL, Morse DE, Liang CY, Chidambaram N, Jiang JX, Tang S, Mahjoob K, Justice R, Pazdur R. Approval summary: sunitinib for the treatment of imatinib refractory or intolerant gastrointestinal stromal tumors and advanced renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2007;13:1367-1373.

52. Motzer RJ, Michaelson MD, Redman BG, Hudes GR, Wilding G, Figlin RA, Ginsberg MS, Kim ST, Baum CM, DePrimo SE, Li JZ, Bello CL, Theuer CP, George DJ, Rini BI. Activity of SU11248, a multitargeted inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor and platelet-derived growth factor receptor, in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2006;24:16-24.

53. Motzer RJ, Rini BI, Bukowski RM, Curti BD, George DJ, Hudes GR, Redman BG, Margolin KA, Merchan JR, Wilding G, Ginsberg MS, Bacik J, Kim ST, Baum CM, Michaelson MD. Sunitinib in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Jama. 2006;295:2516-2524.

54. Britten CD, Kabbinavar F, Hecht JR, Bello CL, Li J, Baum C, Slamon D. A phase I and pharmacokinetic study of sunitinib administered daily for 2 weeks, followed by a 1-week off period. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2008;61:515-524.

55. Dutcher JP. Recent developments in the treatment of renal cell carcinoma. Ther Adv Urol. 2013;5:338-353.

56. Rini BI, Atkins MB. Resistance to targeted therapy in renal-cell carcinoma. Lancet Oncol. 2009;10:992-1000.

57. Porta C, Sabbatini R, Procopio G, Paglino C, Galligioni E, Ortega C. Primary resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma: state-of-the-science. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. 2012;12:1571-1577.

58. Heng DY, Mackenzie MJ. Vaishampayan UN, Bjarnason GA, Knox JJ. Tan MH, Wood L, Wang Y, Kollmannsberger C, North S, Donskov F, Rini BI, Choueiri TK.. Primary antivascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)refractory metastatic renal cell carcinoma: clinical characteristics, risk factors, and subsequent therapy. Ann Oncol. 2012;23:1549-1555.

59. Busch J, Seidel C, Weikert S, Wolff I, Kempkensteffen C, Weinkauf L, Hinz S, Magheli A, Miller K, Grünwald V. Intrinsic resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors is associated with poor clinical outcome in metastatic renal cell carcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2011;11:295.

60. Santos N, Wenger JB, Havre P, Liu Y, Dagan R, Imanirad I, Ivey AM, Zlotecki RA, Algood CB, Gilbert SM, Allegra CJ, Okunieff P, Vieweg J, Dang NH, Luesch H, Dang LH. Combination therapy for renal cell cancer: what are possible options? Oncology. 2011;81:220-229.

61. Ravaud A, Gross-Goupil M. Overcoming resistance to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Treat Rev. 2012;38:996-1003.

62. Rini BI, Flaherty K. Clinical effect and future considerations for molecularlytargeted therapy in renal cell carcinoma. Urol Oncol. 2008;26:543-549.

63. Simon T, Gobe G, Wu C, Ko F, C. M. Molecular mechanisms of sunitinib resistance in renal cell carcinoma. In: Morais C (Ed). Advances in drug resistance research. Nova Science Publishers, Inc. NY, USA; 2014. p. 225-245.

Su SC, Hu X, Kenney PA, Merrill 64. MM, Babaian KN, Zhang XY, Maity T, Yang SF, Х, Wood CG. Autotaxin-Lin lysophosphatidic acid signaling axis mediates tumorigenesis and development of acquired resistance to sunitinib in renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2013;19:6461-6472.

65. Bhatt RS, Wang X, Zhang L, Collins MP, Signoretti S, Alsop DC, Goldberg SN, Atkins MB, Mier JW. Renal cancer resistance to antiangiogenic therapy is delayed by restoration of angiostatic signaling. Mol Cancer Ther. 2010;9:2793-2802.

66. Wang X, Zhang L, O'Neill A, Bahamon B, Alsop DC, Mier JW, Goldberg SN, Signoretti S, Atkins MB, Wood CG, Bhatt RS. Cox-2 inhibition enhances the activity of sunitinib in human renal cell carcinoma xenografts. Br J Cancer. 2013;108:319-326.

67. Sato M, Nakai Y, Nakata W, Yoshida T, Hatano K, Kawashima A, Fujita K, Uemura M, Takayama H, Nonomura N. EMMPRIN promotes angiogenesis, proliferation, invasion and resistance to sunitinib in renal cell carcinoma, and its level predicts patient outcome. PLoS One. 2013;8:e74313.

68. Panka DJ, Liu Q, Geissler AK, Mier JW. Effects of HDM2 antagonism on sunitinib resistance, p53 activation, SDF-1 induction, and tumor infiltration by CD11b+/Gr-1+ myeloid derived suppressor cells. Mol Cancer. 2013;12:17.

69. Huang D, Ding Y, Zhou M, Rini BI, Petillo D, Qian CN, Kahnoski R, Futreal PA, Furge KA, Teh BT. Interleukin-8 mediates resistance to antiangiogenic agent sunitinib in renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Res. 2010;70:1063-1071.

70. Gotink KJ, Broxterman HJ, Labots M, de Haas RR, Dekker H, Honeywell RJ, Rudek MA, Beerepoot LV, Musters RJ, Jansen G, Griffioen AW, Assaraf YG, Pili R, Peters GJ, Verheul HM. Lysosomal sequestration of sunitinib: a novel mechanism of drug resistance. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17:7337-7346.

71. Berkers J, Govaere O, Wolter P, Beuselinck B, Schoffski P, van Kempen LC, Albersen M, Van den Oord J, Roskams T, Swinnen J, Joniau S, Van Poppel H, Lerut E. A possible role for microRNA-141 downregulation in sunitinib resistant metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma through induction of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and hypoxia resistance. J Urol. 2013;189:1930-1938.

72. Prior C, Perez-Gracia JL, Garcia-Donas J, Rodriguez-Antona C, Guruceaga E, Esteban E, et al. Identification of Tissue microRNAs Predictive of Sunitinib Activity in Patients with Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma. PLoS One. 2014;9:e86263.

73. Finke J, Ko J, Rini B, Rayman P, Ireland J, Cohen P. MDSC as a mechanism of tumor escape from sunitinib mediated anti-angiogenic therapy. International immunopharmacology. 2011;11:856-861.

74. Yu DS, Wu CL, Ping SY, Huang YL, Shen KH. Neutrophil Gelatinase-Associated Lipocalin (NGAL) Can Alternative Mediate Sunitinib Resistance in Renal Cell Carcinoma. J Urol. 2014; doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2013.12.049.

75. Rodriguez-Antona C, Garcia-Donas J. Constitutional genetic variants as predictors of antiangiogenic therapy outcome in renal cell carcinoma. Pharmacogenomics. 2012;13:1621-1633.

76. van der Veldt AA, Eechoute K, Gelderblom H, Gietema J, Guchelaar HJ, van Erp NP, van den Eertwegh AJ, Haanen JB, Mathijssen RH, Wessels JA. Genetic polymorphisms associated with a prolonged progression-free survival in patients with metastatic renal cell cancer treated with sunitinib. Clin Cancer Res. 2011;17:620-629.

77. Garcia-Donas J, Esteban E. Leandro-Garcia LJ, Castellano DE, del AAG, Climent MA, Arranz JA, Gallardo E, Puente J, Bellmunt J, Mellado B, Martínez Moreno F, Font A, Robledo Ε. Μ, Rodríguez-Antona C. Single nucleotide polymorphism associations with response and toxic effects in patients with advanced renal-cell carcinoma treated with first-line sunitinib: a multicentre, observational, prospective Lancet study. Oncol. 2011;12:1143-1150.

78. Beuselinck Β, Karadimou Α, Couchy G. A pharmacogenomic scoring svstem predicting median time to progression (mTTP) on sunitinib (SUN) as first-line treatment in patients (pts) with metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC). Abstarct 359. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30 (Suppl. 5).

79. Bender C, Ullrich A. PRKX, TTBK2 and RSK4 expression causes Sunitinib resistance in kidney carcinoma- and melanoma-cell lines. Int J Cancer. 2012;131:E45-55.

80. Makhov PB, Golovine K, Kutikov A, Teper E, Canter DJ, Simhan J, Uzzo RG, Kolenko VM. Modulation of Akt/mTOR signaling overcomes sunitinib resistance in renal and prostate cancer cells. Mol Cancer Ther. 2012;11:1510-517.

81. Singhal SS, Sehrawat A, Sahu M, Singhal P, Vatsyayan R, Rao Lelsani PC, Yadav S, Awasthi S. Rlip76 transports sunitinib and sorafenib and mediates drug resistance in kidney cancer. Int J Cancer. 2010;126:1327-1338.

82. Gao H, Deng L. Sphingosine Kinase-1 Activation Causes Acquired Resistance Against Sunitinib in Renal Cell Carcinoma Cells. Cell Biochem Biophys. 2013;68:419-425.

83. Gonzalez LJL, Espinosa E, Garcia CI, Garcia-Donas J, Lopez M, Meana A, Puente J, Bellmunt J. Sequential therapy in metastatic renal cell carcinoma: preclinical and clinical rationale for selecting a second- or subsequent-line therapy with a different mechanism of action. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2012;31 Suppl 1:S11-17. 84. Yu JL, Rak JW, Coomber BL, Hicklin DJ, Kerbel RS. Effect of p53 status on tumor response to antiangiogenic therapy. Science. 2002;295:1526-1528.

85. Hammers HJ. Verheul HM, Salumbides B, Sharma R, Rudek M, Jaspers J, Shah P, Ellis L, Shen L, Paesante S, Dykema K, Furge K, Teh BT, Netto G, Pili R. Reversible epithelial to mesenchymal transition and acquired resistance to sunitinib in patients with renal cell carcinoma: evidence from a xenograft study. Mol Cancer Ther. 2010;9:1525-1535.

86. Zama IN, Hutson TE, Elson P, Cleary JM, Choueiri TK, Heng DY, Ramaiya N, Michaelson MD, Garcia JA, Knox JJ, Escudier B, Rini BI. Sunitinib rechallenge in metastatic renal cell carcinoma patients. Cancer. 2010;116:5400-5406.

87. Abe H, Kamai T. Recent advances in the treatment of metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Int J Urol. 2013;20:944-955.

88. Cho DC, Atkins MB. Future directions in renal cell carcinoma: 2011 and beyond. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am. 2011;25:917-935.

89. Medioni J, Banu E, Helley D, Scotte F, Fournier L, Mejean A, Chedid A, Azizi M, Andrieu JM, Oudard S. Salvage therapy with bevacizumab-sunitinib combination after failure of sunitinib alone for metastatic renal cell carcinoma: a case series. Eur Urol. 2009;56:207-211.

90. Feldman DR, Baum MS, Ginsberg MS, Hassoun H, Flombaum CD, Velasco S, Fischer P, Ronnen E, Ishill N, Patil S, Motzer RJ. Phase I trial of bevacizumab plus escalated doses of sunitinib in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2009; 20;27:1432-1439.

91. Motzer RJ, Hudes G, Wilding G, Schwartz LH, Hariharan S, Kempin S, Fayyad R, Figlin RA. Phase I trial of sunitinib malate plus interferon-alpha for patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Clin Genitourin Cancer. 2009;7:28-33.

92. Patel PH, Senico PL, Curiel RE, Motzer RJ. Phase I study combining treatment with temsirolimus and sunitinib malate in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma. Clin Genitourin Cancer. 2009;7:24-27. 93. Kroog GS, Feldman DR, Kondagunta GV, Ginsberg MS, Fischer PM, Trinos MJea. Phase I trial of RAD001 (everolimus) plus sunitinib in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma (abstract). J Clin Oncol. 2009;27(Suppl):15s.

94. Hutson TE, Bukowski RM, Cowey CL, Figlin R, Escudier B, Sternberg CN. Sequential use of targeted agents in the treatment of renal cell carcinoma. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2011;77:48-62.

95. Sonpavde G, Choueiri TK, Escudier B, Ficarra V, Hutson TE, Mulders PF, Patard JJ, Rini BI, Staehler M, Sternberg CN, Stief CG. Sequencing of agents for metastatic renal cell carcinoma: can we customize therapy? Eur Urol. 2012;61:307-316.

96. Rini BI, Escudier B, Tomczak P, Kaprin A, Szczylik C, Hutson TE, Michaelson MD, Gorbunova VA, Gore ME, Rusakov IG, Negrier S, Ou YC, Castellano D, Lim HY, Uemura H, Tarazi J, Cella D, Chen C, Rosbrook B, Kim S, Motzer RJ. Comparative effectiveness of axitinib versus sorafenib in advanced renal cell carcinoma (AXIS): a randomised phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2011;378:1931-1939.

Hainsworth JD, 97. Rubin MS, Arrowsmith ER, Khatcheressian J, Crane EJ, Franco LA. Pazopanib as second-line treatment after sunitinib or bevacizumab in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma: a Sarah Cannon Oncology Research Consortium Phase II Trial. Clin Genitourin Cancer. 2013;11:270-275.

98. Gupta S, Spiess PE. The prospects of pazopanib in advanced renal cell carcinoma. Ther Adv Urol. 2013;5:223-232. 99. Kumano M, Miyake H, Harada K, Fujisawa M. Sequential use of mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma following failure of tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Med Oncol. 2013;30:745.

100. Sun M, Shariat SF, Trinh QD, Meskawi M, Bianchi M, Hansen J, Abdollah F, Perrotte P, Karakiewicz PI. An evidencebased guide to the selection of sequential therapies metastatic renal in cell carcinoma. Ther Adv Urol. 2013;5:121-128. 101. Raja T. Forty-nine-month survival in a metastatic renal cell carcinoma patient across six lines of targeted therapy. Ecancermedicalscience. 2014;8:406.